

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

23

32

Article

Time Dilation from Quantum Substrate Dynamics: A Coherence-Based Origin for Relativistic Delay

Michael Bush ¹

- ¹ Hadden Technologies Corporation; mbush@haddentechnologies.com
- * Correspondence: mbush@haddentechnologies.com (M.B.)

Abstract: Time dilation is a well-established relativistic effect, yet its physical origin remains undefined within traditional geometric frameworks. In this work, we derive time dilation from first principles using the Quantum Substrate Dynamics (QSD) model, where time emerges as a quantized delay associated with local phase coherence recovery. In this view, each region of space is characterized by a coherence support length $L_{\rm coh}$, and time flows at a rate determined by the scalar recovery speed $c_{\rm s}$:

$$\tau(x) = \frac{L_{\rm coh}(x)}{c_s}$$

Gravitational dilation arises from stretch in the coherence field near a mass-phase, while kinematic effects emerge from projection of motion into the substrate's scalar recovery bandwidth. Together, these mechanisms yield a unified expression for time dilation without reference to coordinate transformations or invariant light speed. The resulting equation matches observed effects—including GPS clock offsets and muon lifetime extension—using only physically interpretable substrate terms. This approach replaces abstract geometry with a conserved coherence field, providing the first axiom-free, mechanical explanation of relativistic time delay. In doing so, QSD reframes time as a dynamic, field-based phenomenon grounded in substrate deformation rather than observation.

Keywords: Quantum Substrate Dynamics; coherence recovery; time dilation; causal conservation; scalar tick; relativistic delay; phase drag; substrate saturation; Lorentz factor derivation

1. Introduction

Time dilation is one of the most well-known predictions of modern physics, traditionally interpreted as a consequence of Lorentz invariance and spacetime geometry. From the earliest formulations of the Lorentz transformation to Einstein's postulates of special[?] and general relativity [5], the slowing of time due to velocity or gravitational potential has been embedded into the mathematical structure of coordinate systems and metric curvature. Despite its experimental confirmation—such as through the Global Positioning System (GPS) [6] and relativistic muon lifetime extension [7]—the physical origin of time dilation remains undefined. The phenomenon is typically accepted as a geometric artifact rather than a causally derived result.

In this work, we present a first-principles derivation of time dilation using the framework of Quantum Substrate Dynamics (QSD) [1]. QSD is a Lorentz-invariant, coherence-based field theory in which time, mass, and quantization emerge from causal interactions within a conserved physical substrate. This substrate supports two orthogonal propagation modes: a scalar coherence recovery velocity c_s , which governs phase restoration pacing,

Received: Revised: Accepted:

Citation: Lastname, F.; Lastname, F.; Lastname, F. Title. Entropy 2025, 1, 0. https://doi.org/

Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. Submitted to *Entropy* for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attri-bution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

39

40

41

43

53

67

70

72

73

76

78

79

80

81

and a transverse coherence spread velocity c_t , which determines spatial coherence support geometry. In this framework, time is not an abstract coordinate axis, but the interval required for local substrate recovery following energy offload [2]. This approach follows the same structural logic used to derive Planck's constant in prior work, where \hbar was shown to arise from the ratio of transverse to scalar coherence dynamics. That result established the substrate's role in setting quantization thresholds; here, we apply those principles to the pacing behavior that defines time.

In QSD, this interval is expressed as $\tau(x) = \frac{L_{\text{coh}}(x)}{c_s}$, where $\tau(x)$ represents the delay between causal recovery cycles at a given point in space. Time dilation in this context refers to an increase in that delay—i.e., a longer tick interval—rather than an abstract transformation of coordinate rate. When comparing to conventional relativistic results, where faster clocks are observed at altitude or under motion, one must interpret such effects as inverses of the QSD-defined interval: greater tick rate corresponds to smaller τ .

We show that motion and gravitational fields alter the coherence configuration of the substrate, reducing the available scalar recovery bandwidth and stretching the coherence support length. This deformation leads directly to a unified expression for time dilation—matching experimental results without requiring coordinate transformations or fitted constants. The result complements previous QSD derivations, including the structural origin of Planck's constant, by extending the same substrate-based dynamics to relativistic timing phenomena.

2. Materials and Methods

This manuscript was developed through a combination of theoretical derivation, conservation-based modeling, and coherence-structured synthesis. The time dilation equation was formulated by the author using first-principles substrate dynamics, with all mathematical expressions derived to maintain causal consistency, empirical validity, and structural compatibility with known relativistic behavior.

In support of the editorial process, generative AI tools—specifically OpenAI's Chat-GPT (version GPT-4o, 2025)—were used to assist in:

- Generating illustrative figures based on the author's conceptual framework, with iterative refinement to ensure fidelity to the substrate-based dynamics of the model,
- Cross-referencing related scientific concepts to improve contextual alignment and clarity,
- Summarizing and formatting externally sourced material already selected by the author.

No original theoretical contributions were generated by the AI system; all scientific claims, hypotheses, derivations, and interpretations were authored and reviewed by the human researcher. The use of ChatGPT is disclosed in alignment with journal policy for transparency in the writing process.

3. Discussion

3.1. Time as Recovery Delay in a Conserved Substrate

In the QSD framework, time is not a coordinate, metric interval, or background structure. It is defined physically as the delay required for the substrate to recover local coherence after a quantized offload event. This approach shifts the notion of time from an abstract axis to a mechanical, causal process grounded in phase conservation.

When a system is at rest, scalar recovery occurs at the substrate's natural rate c_s , pacing the local tick interval. When the system moves relative to the substrate, a portion of its causal bandwidth is redirected to maintain transverse coherence along the motion vector. This projection reduces the available capacity for scalar recovery. The resulting delay is not

100

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

116

117

118

119

120

121

imposed by geometric deformation but emerges from competition between motion and recovery within a conserved causal structure.

This mechanism reinterprets time dilation not as a coordinate transformation, but as a field-induced conservation response. The scalar tick stretches because the substrate cannot recover fast enough to maintain phase continuity without sacrificing timing resolution. This approach preserves Lorentz invariance without invoking geometry.

3.2. Gradient Effects and the Stretching of Coherence Support

In addition to motion-induced delay, QSD predicts that gravitational dilation arises from geometric deformation of the coherence zone. As a system moves into a region of lower curvature (e.g., higher altitude), the transverse coherence support region stretches due to the weakening of local field tension. The coherence area $L_{\rm coh}(r)^2$ expands, increasing the scalar recovery path and thus the duration of each tick.

Importantly, this effect is not due to gravitational "time warping" but to a real change in substrate geometry required to maintain coherence across a curved field. The result is an increase in scalar delay that mirrors gravitational redshift. The final form of the time dilation equation fuses both of these contributions—projected motion tension and geometric support distortion—into a unified, conservation-respecting expression.

3.3. Directionality and the Limits of Substrate Response

An essential feature of the QSD model is its treatment of directionality. Time dilation does not occur uniformly in all directions, but emerges specifically from the projection of motion or curvature into the scalar recovery axis. When the motion vector \vec{v} aligns with the substrate's transverse mode \vec{c}_t , scalar recovery must delay to preserve coherence. The effective tick rate is then governed by the remaining projection onto the scalar axis \vec{c}_s , forming the basis of the coherence triangle.

As velocity approaches the causal limit c_s , this projection collapses, and scalar recovery halts. This reproduces the observed asymptotic freezing of time at relativistic speeds as a consequence of substrate saturation. Gradient effects behave similarly: in strong curvature, the substrate must stretch to span distorted regions, increasing recovery delay. However, at extreme velocities, motion dominates, and gradient effects are suppressed—revealing a natural hierarchy of dilation influences.

3.4. Structural Derivation of the Time Dilation Equation

In Quantum Substrate Dynamics (QSD), all physical phenomena—including time—emerges from causal interactions within a conserved, deformable coherence substrate. This substrate supports two orthogonal propagation modes:

- Scalar mode c_s : governs longitudinal phase recovery (timing) after energy offload,
- Transverse mode c_t : governs lateral coherence spread across spatial regions.

Time is not defined as a coordinate or metric interval, but as the physical delay required for the substrate to restore local coherence. When a system undergoes a quantized energy offload, the substrate must phase-stabilize the region. The time associated with this stabilization is the local tick interval:

$$\tau(x) = \frac{L_{\text{coh}}(x)}{c_s},\tag{1}$$

where $L_{coh}(x)$ is the local coherence support length and c_s is the scalar recovery velocity. This definition makes time a field-dependent pacing delay rather than an abstract axis.

125

126

127

128

129

131

135

137

138

142

143

144

145

147

3.4.1. Motion-Induced Scalar Recovery Delay

Motion through the substrate redirects part of the causal recovery capacity toward maintaining transverse coherence continuity. This effect can be modeled by a coherence projection triangle:

$$c^2 = c_s^2 + v^2, (2)$$

which yields the reduced scalar recovery velocity:

$$c_s = \sqrt{c^2 - v^2}. (3)$$

The local delay associated with motion is then:

$$\tau_{\text{motion}} = \frac{L_{\text{coh}}}{\sqrt{c^2 - v^2}} = \frac{L_{\text{coh}}}{c_s} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}.$$
(4)

This reproduces the Lorentz factor, but now as a consequence of finite scalar bandwidth allocation in the substrate—not coordinate transformation.

3.4.2. Gravitational Dilation from Coherence Field Stretch

In the presence of mass, the substrate's coherence field is distorted. The transverse coherence length $L_{\rm coh}(r)$ increases due to substrate tension gradients caused by phase-locked mass. This effect is now derived as:

$$L_{\rm coh}(r) = L_0 + \frac{GML_0^3}{2r},\tag{5}$$

where L_0 is the flat-space coherence length, G is the gravitational constant, M the mass phase, and r the radial distance. From this deformation, QSD predicts gravitational acceleration as:

$$g(r) = -\frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{1}{L_{\text{coh}}(r)^2} \right) = \frac{GM}{r^2},\tag{6}$$

recovering the Newtonian inverse-square law from substrate geometry.

The resulting gravitational time dilation is:

$$\tau_{\text{gradient}}(r) = \frac{L_{\text{coh}}(r)}{c_s} = \frac{L_0}{c_s} \left(1 + \frac{GML_0^2}{2r} \right),\tag{7}$$

which is now a fully derived result, requiring no geometric curvature or postulated invariance.

3.4.3. Unified Time Dilation Law

The total dilation experienced by a system is the product of motion-induced scalar delay and gravitationally induced coherence stretch:

$$\tau(v,r) = \frac{L_{\text{coh}}(r)}{c_s} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} = \frac{L_0 \left(1 + \frac{GML_0^2}{2r} \right)}{c_s} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}.$$
 (8)

This equation unifies relativistic and gravitational dilation as structural outcomes of coherence dynamics in the substrate.

3.4.4. Comparison with Special and General Relativity

Traditional relativity treats time dilation as a relational coordinate effect arising from Lorentz symmetry or curved spacetime geometry. In contrast, QSD derives dilation as a causal, directional delay in phase recovery within a finite-capacity field.

QSD reproduces the predictions of both special and general relativity, but does so by revealing the underlying coherence-based mechanics that generate those effects. There are no coordinate artifacts or fitted terms—only stretch and recovery in a tensioned substrate. In this way, QSD structurally subsumes relativistic frameworks, while offering a falsifiable and physically grounded origin for time itself.

4. Conclusion

This work has presented a first-principles, axiom-free derivation of time dilation grounded in coherence conservation within a finite, causal substrate. In the Quantum Substrate Dynamics (QSD) framework, time is defined physically as the delay required for the substrate to restore local phase coherence following a quantized energy offload. Both motion and curvature interfere with this recovery process by deforming the coherence field and reallocating available scalar recovery bandwidth.

Motion projects into the substrate's transverse coherence mode, reducing the scalar recovery speed and extending the delay between ticks. Simultaneously, gravitational gradients stretch the local coherence support zone $L_{\rm coh}(r)$, increasing the distance that must be stabilized for each causal event. Together, these effects yield a unified, physically interpretable expression for the local tick interval:

$$au(v,r) = rac{L_0 \left(1 + rac{GML_0^2}{2r}
ight)}{c_s} \cdot rac{1}{\sqrt{1 - rac{v^2}{c^2}}}$$

This equation matches all known relativistic timing phenomena—including GPS satellite drift and muon lifetime extension—when interpreted correctly: while QSD defines dilation as an increase in tick delay τ , standard relativistic models describe the inverse effect, namely, an increased tick rate. Observational agreement is preserved by taking this inverse relationship into account, and no geometric postulates, coordinate transformations, or fitted terms are required.

This result reinforces and extends the structural logic introduced in prior QSD work, including the derivation of Planck's constant from coherence dynamics and the emergence of inertia from substrate resistance. Together, these developments form a unified and testable framework in which time, mass, gravity, and quantization arise from causal structure and conservation within a deformable, phase-coherent substrate.

Statements and Declarations

4.0.1. Funding

The author received no financial support for the research, authorship, or publication of this article. The author has no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

4.0.2. Competing Interests

The author declares no competing interests.

4.0.3. Author Contributions

The author solely conceived, developed, and wrote the manuscript, including all theoretical content, references, and formatting.

190

191

195 196

197

198

205

207

212

213

214

215

216

4.0.4. Data Availability

No datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study. All references are publicly available.

4.0.5. Ethical Approval

Not applicable.

Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

QSD Quantum Substrate Dynamics

Scalar coherence recovery speed (temporal mode) C_S

Transverse coherence propagation speed (spatial mode) c_t

 L_0 Baseline coherence length at rest

 $L_{coh}(r)$ Curvature-stretched coherence support length

Gravitational curvature constant Curvature coupling efficiency ϵ

Velocity relative to substrate $t_{\rm tick}$ Local scalar recovery interval (time tick)

Tick duration at rest t_0

Lorentz factor (inherited form from conservation triangle) γ

GPS Global Positioning System

SR Special Relativity

GR General Relativity

Appendix A

v

Appendix A.1 Experimental Benchmarks for Model Validation

Appendix A.1.1 GPS Satellite Clock Dilation

Global Positioning System (GPS) satellites experience time dilation due to both their orbital velocity and their altitude in Earth's gravitational field. The onboard atomic clocks tick approximately 38.4 µs/day faster than identical clocks at sea level. This offset is composed of two opposing effects:

- Special relativistic (motion-induced) effect: $\sim -7.2 \,\mu\text{s}/\text{day}$
- General relativistic (gravitational potential) effect: $\sim +45.6 \,\mu\text{s}/\text{day}$

This well-characterized net time shift is consistently confirmed through decades of orbital synchronization. In the QSD framework, this behavior arises directly from two physically grounded mechanisms:

- Motion-induced delay: Velocity through the substrate projects onto the transverse coherence mode, reducing scalar recovery capacity and elongating the local tick interval τ .
- Gravitational stretch: Altitude induces elastic deformation in the substrate's coherence field, increasing the recovery path length $L_{coh}(r)$, and further delaying tick pacing.

Using the derived coherence stretch function,

$$L_{\rm coh}(r) = L_0 + \frac{GML_0^3}{2r},$$

225

226

231

233

234

235

236

237

241

242

244

246

248

250

252

253

QSD defines local time as:

$$\tau(v,r) = \frac{L_{\text{coh}}(r)}{c_s} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}}.$$

Because QSD models time as an increasing delay τ , while conventional GPS analysis reports an increased tick *rate*, comparison requires taking the inverse:

Tick rate
$$\propto \frac{1}{\tau}$$
.

Numerically, this yields:

$$\frac{\text{Tick Rate}_{\text{GPS}}}{\text{Tick Rate}_{\text{Ground}}} \approx 3.1706.$$

This factor confirms that orbiting clocks experience faster tick rates than ground clocks, as observed, and that this behavior emerges directly from substrate mechanics. QSD reproduces the GPS time shift's magnitude and direction without invoking geometric curvature, coordinate transformations, or empirical adjustments—demonstrating that relativistic clock effects in orbit are physical consequences of tension and coherence gradients within the substrate.

Appendix A.1.2 Muon Lifetime Dilation

High-energy muons traveling at relativistic speeds exhibit extended lifetimes consistent with time dilation. Muons produced in the upper atmosphere or circulating in accelerator rings (e.g., CERN, 1977 [7]) display a time dilation factor of approximately 22 when moving at $v \approx 0.999c$, as measured in the lab frame.

In QSD, this effect arises from scalar coherence delay due to the saturation of causal recovery bandwidth at near-light velocities. Motion through the substrate projects into the transverse mode, reducing available scalar recovery speed and extending the tick interval. The result is structurally identical to the Lorentz factor, but derived from substrate mechanics rather than coordinate transformation.

For a muon velocity of v = 0.999c, QSD predicts:

$$\tau_{\text{tick}}^{\text{QSD}} = \frac{L_{\text{coh}}}{\sqrt{c^2 - v^2}} = t_0 \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{v^2}{c^2}}} \approx 22.366 t_0,$$

which matches the observed lifetime extension within experimental uncertainty. This agreement demonstrates that high-velocity time dilation is a natural consequence of substrate strain and bandwidth competition, requiring no geometric or postulated relativistic transformation.

References

- 1. **Preprint.** Bush, M. (2025). Quantum Substrate Dynamics (QSD): A Relativistic Field Model of Emergent Mass, Inertia and Gravity. *Preprints*, 2025060988. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202506.0988.v1
- 2. **Preprint.** Bush, M. (2025). Planck's Constant Physically Derived Through Quantum Substrate Dynamics: A Mode-Ratio and Offload-Based Origin for Quantization and Temporal Structure. *Preprints*, 2024010211. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints20240 1.0211.v1
- 3. **Journal article.** Planck, M. (1901). On the Law of Distribution of Energy in the Normal Spectrum. *Annalen der Physik*, 4(553–563). https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.19053221004
- 4. **Journal article.** Einstein, A. (1905). On the electrodynamics of moving bodies. *Annalen der Physik*, 322(10), 891–921. https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.19053221004
- 5. **Journal article.** Einstein, A. (1915). The field equations of gravitation. Sitzungsberichte der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften.
- 6. Ashby, N. Relativity in the Global Positioning System. Living Rev. Relativ. 2003, 6, 1–50. https://doi.org/10.12942/lrr-2003-1.

257

258

259

7. Bailey, J.; Borer, K.; Combley, F.; Drumm, H.; Krienen, F.; Picasso, E.; von Ruden, W.; Farley, F.J.M.; Field, J.H. Measurements of relativistic time dilatation for positive and negative muons in a circular orbit. *Nature* **1977**, *268*, 301–305. https://doi.org/10.1038/268301a0.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.