Specific Learning Disability Eligibility Combination Method

nat is the Problem?	Target Academic Area:		Date of IEP Team Meeting:						
Student Name:				_ D	OB: Gr		ade:		
Impact on Learning	Minimal		Mild		Moderate		Severe		
Summary of Discrepancy Information: (FSIQ v. Achievement)	The difference between the student's expected achievement score and obtained achievement score represents no discrepancy to a mild discrepancy				The difference between the student's expected achievement score and obtained achievement score represents moderate discrepancy to a severe discrepancy				
2) Pattern of Cognitive Strengths and Weaknesses (FSIQ or PRI) Test: Date:	Evenly developed index scores; no significant weaknesses				Scatter of index scores; one or more significant weaknesses				
3) Achievement Standardized Test Scores (WJIIINU, WJIV): Test: Date:	SS = 90 or above Average		SS = 80 - 89 Low Average		SS = 70 - 79 Low		SS = 69 or below Very Low		
4) Relative Proficiency Index: (WJIII-NU, WJIV)	68/90 and above Limited to Average		34/90 to 67/90 Limited		19/90 to 33/90 Very Limited to Limited		0/90 to 18/90 Negligible to Very Limited		
5) SAGE Data (most current): Yearly Summative Assessment	Highly Proficient (4)		Proficient (3)		Approaching Proficient (2)		Below Proficient (1)		
Progress Monitoring Data: Student p Attach most recent data and graphs			ure progress towards instructional and data.	l grade	level goals.				
6) Benchmark Data (performance level)	At or above grade level benchmark		Approaching grade level benchmark		Below grade level benchmark		Well below grade level benchmark		
7) Benchmark/Screener Data: General Ed Peer Comparison	0-24% of peers are at or above grade level benchmark		25-49% of peers are at or above grade level benchmark		50-74% of peers are at or above grade level benchmark	e	75-100% of peers are at or above grade level benchmark		
8) Progress Monitoring Data (rate of progress): Review of progress monitoring data (Over 4-6 week period)	Rate of growth demonstrates adequate trend towards grade level benchmark		Rate of growth demonstrates somewhat adequate trend towards grade level benchmark.		Rate of growth demonstrates inadequate trend towards grade level benchmark		Rate of growth shows no growth towards grade level benchmark		
9) Intervention Tier required to attain rate of progress above: In what Tier and for what amount of supplemental time has student	Tier 1-General Ed/Whole or Small Group Core Instruction Only		Tier 1 PLUS Tier 2 (additional 30 min sessions multiple times per week of homogeneous small group in target academic area)		Tier 1 PLUS Tier 3 (additional 45 min sessions, multiple times per week of homogener small group in target academic area	ous	Tier 1 PLUS Tier 4 (additional 90+ min sessions, multiple times per week of homoger small group in target academic area		
received intervention?	Provided by:		Provided by:		Provided by:		Provided by:		
At least 7 of 9 check marks appea AND at least 5 of the above 7 chec Eligibility Team has ruled out "cor	ck marks appear in the "Severe" nsiderations" as substantially			_=			I		
impacting the student's education Eligibility Team has determined th Disability in this area:	•	arning	YE	s	NO				