

## Kalman Filter

consider an LTI system:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = Ax + Bu + Gw \\ y = Cx + Dw \end{cases} \rightarrow \text{measurement noise}$$

↑  
process noise

Reason

- In this system, first of all we do not know  $x(0)$
- secondly, the output  $y_{out}$  is available but is affected by process noise and measurement noise.

$\Rightarrow$  simple observer does not work  $\Rightarrow$  Kalman Filter

since noise is a random signal  $\Rightarrow$  we need to review  
random processes

## State estimation

problem formulation:

$$\text{Plant: } \begin{cases} \dot{x} = Ax + Bu + Gw(t) \\ y = cx + v(t) \end{cases}$$

where:  $w(t)$ ,  $v(t)$ ,  $x(0)$  are mutually orthogonal and are unrelated.

- $x(0) \approx N(\bar{x}_0, P_0)$

- $w(t)$ ,  $v(t)$  are white noises with

- zero mean:  $E\{w(t)\} = 0$ ,  $E\{v(t)\} = 0$

- $R_{ww}(t) = E\{w(t) w^T(t+\tau)\} = Q \delta(t-\tau)$

- $R_{vv}(t) = E\{v(t) v^T(t+\tau)\} = R \delta(t-\tau)$

- $E\{w(t) v^T(t)\} = 0 \delta(t-\tau)$   $\rightarrow R \text{ nonsingular}$

**Objective:** is to design a state estimator to estimate the state  $x(t)$  by  $\hat{x}(t)$  s.t. the estimation error covariance is minimized

## Analysis of this estimator:

plant:  $\begin{cases} \dot{x} = Ax + Bu + Gw \\ y = cx + v \end{cases}$

observer:  $\begin{cases} \dot{\hat{x}} = A\hat{x} + Bu + L(y - \hat{y}) \\ \hat{y} = c\hat{x} \end{cases}$

$$\Rightarrow \text{define } \tilde{x} = x - \hat{x} \Rightarrow \dot{\tilde{x}} = \dot{x} - \dot{\hat{x}} = (Ax + Bu + Gw) - [A\hat{x} + Bu + L(c\hat{x} - \hat{y})] \\ = (A - LC)x - (A - LC)\hat{x} + Gw - LV \\ = (A - LC)\tilde{x} + Gw + LV$$

Remark the dynamic of the estimation error explicitly shows the conflict between estimation speed and the attenuation of the measurement noise's effect.

- Fast state reconstruction requires rapid decay rate estimation decay rate:  $\text{Re}\{\text{eig}(A - LC)\}$  which is typically achieved at large  $L$ , but large  $L$  magnify the effect of  $v$  on the estimation process.
- To mitigate the effect of  $v$  on  $\hat{x}(t)$ , we should choose small  $L$  but this reduce the estimation speed.
- we should find an optimal balance between these two conflicting problems  $\Rightarrow$  kalman filter

## Optimization

$$\tilde{x} = \underbrace{(\bar{A} - LC)}_{\bar{A}} \tilde{u} + \underbrace{Gu + Lv}_{d(t)}$$

$$\text{Define } P(t) = E \{ \tilde{u}(t) \tilde{u}(t)^T \}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{P}(t) &= \frac{d}{dt} E \{ \tilde{u}(t) \tilde{u}(t)^T \} = E \left\{ \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{u}(t) \tilde{u}(t)^T \right\} = \\ &= E \{ \dot{\tilde{u}}(t) \tilde{u}(t)^T \} + E \{ \tilde{u}(t) \dot{\tilde{u}}(t)^T \} = \\ &= E \{ (\bar{A} \tilde{u}(t) + d(t)) \tilde{u}(t)^T \} + E \{ \tilde{u}(t) [A \tilde{u}(t) + d(t)]^T \} = \\ &= \bar{A} E \{ \tilde{u}(t) \tilde{u}(t)^T \} + E \{ \tilde{u}(t) \tilde{u}(t)^T \} \bar{A}^T + E \{ d(t) \tilde{u}(t)^T \} + E \{ \tilde{u}(t) d(t)^T \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Appendix 1} \Rightarrow \dot{P}(t) = \bar{A} P(t) + P(t) \bar{A}^T + \frac{1}{2} \nabla + \frac{1}{2} \nabla^T = \bar{A} P(t) + P(t) \bar{A}^T + \nabla$$

where  $E \{ d(t) d(t)^T \} = \nabla \otimes \nabla^T$ ,  $\nabla = L R L^T + G Q G^T$

Objective Our purpose is to minimize the cost function

$$\min_L J = E \{ \tilde{u}(t) \tilde{u}(t)^T \} = \text{trace}(P)$$

K.W  
 $E \{ \tilde{u}(t) \tilde{u}(t)^T \}$

subject to  $\dot{P}(t) = \bar{A} P(t) + P(t) \bar{A}^T + \nabla$

introduce a Lagrange multiplier  $\lambda$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{J} &= \text{trace}(P + \lambda (-\dot{P} + \bar{A}P + P\bar{A}^T + \nabla)) \\ &= \text{trace}(P + \lambda \dot{P} + \lambda (A - LC)P + \lambda P(A^T - C^T L^T) + \lambda GQG^T + \lambda LR L^T) \\ &= \text{trace}(P - \lambda \dot{P} + \lambda AP + \lambda PA^T - \lambda LCP + \lambda PC^T L^T + \lambda GQG^T + \lambda LR L^T) \end{aligned}$$

The external happens at  $\frac{\partial \bar{J}}{\partial \lambda} = 0$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \text{trace}(AXB) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \text{trace}(B^T x^T A^T) = A^T B^T \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \text{trace}(AXBx^T) = A^T \times B \times + A \times B \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \text{trace}(Ax^T) = A \end{array} \right. \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \bar{J}}{\partial \lambda} = -\lambda \dot{P}^T + \lambda P^T + \lambda^T L^T R^T + \lambda L R$$

See Appendix 5

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{since } \lambda \text{ could be arbitrary, choose } \lambda = \lambda^T \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \bar{J}}{\partial \lambda} = -2 \lambda P^T + 2 \lambda L^T R^T = 0 \\ (P \in E \{ x(t) \tilde{u}(t)^T \}) \Rightarrow P^T = P \\ \lambda (-P^T + L^T R^T) = 0 \\ \Rightarrow L = +P^T R^{-1} \end{array} \right.$$

$\Rightarrow$  substituting  $L = P C^T R^{-1}$  in

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dot{\hat{P}} &= \bar{A}P + P\bar{A}^T + \nabla = (A - LC)P + P(A - LC)^T + G \otimes G^T + LRL^T \\
 &= (A - P C^T R^{-1} C)P + P(A - P C^T R^{-1} C)^T + G \otimes G^T + P C^T R^{-1} C P \\
 &= AP + PA^T = 2P C^T R^{-1} C P + G \otimes G^T + P C^T R^{-1} C P \\
 &= AP + PA^T + G \otimes G^T - P C^T R^{-1} C P
 \end{aligned}$$

$\boxed{\dot{\hat{P}}(t) = AP + PA^T + G \otimes G^T - P C^T R^{-1} C P}$

which is a matrix ODE.

## Optimal Kalman Filter

Kalman-Bucy Filter - Linear quadratic estimator (LQE)

plant : 
$$\begin{cases} \dot{\hat{x}} = Ax + Bu + Gw(t) \\ y = Cx + v(t) \end{cases}$$

where  $v(t)$ ,  $w(t)$ ,  $x(0)$  are mutually orthogonal and unrelated

$$x(0) \sim N(\bar{x}(0), P_0)$$

$$E\{w(t)\} = 0, E\{v(t)\} = 0$$

$$R_{ww(t)} = E\{w(t)w^T(t+\tau)\} = Q\delta(\tau)$$

$$R_{vv(t)} = E\{v(t)v^T(t+\tau)\} = R\delta(\tau)$$

observe : 
$$\begin{cases} \dot{\hat{x}} = A\hat{x} + L(y - \hat{y}) \\ \hat{y} = C\hat{x} \end{cases}$$
 with initial guess  $\hat{x}(0)$

P.I.  $J = E\{[x(t) - \hat{x}(t)][x(t) - \hat{x}(t)]^T\} = \underbrace{\text{trace}(E\{(x(t) - \hat{x}(t))(x(t) - \hat{x}(t))^T\})}_P$

Objectives  $\min_L J$

solution :  $L(t) = P(t)C^T R^{-1}$  where  $P(t) \geq 0$  is the solution

of following matrix DRE :

$$\begin{cases} \dot{P}(t) = AP(t) + P(t)A^T + GQG^T - P(t)C^T R^{-1} C P(t) \\ P(0) = E\{(x(0) - \hat{x}(0))(x(0) - \hat{x}(0))^T\} \\ = E\{x(0)^2\} - 2\hat{x}(0) E\{x(0)\} - \hat{x}(0)^2 \\ = P_0 - 2\hat{x}(0) \bar{x}_0 - \hat{x}(0)^2 \end{cases}$$

This DRE should be solved forward in time.

### Remarks

- $\uparrow P(t) \approx \uparrow$  uncertainty in state estimation
- increase in process noise  $\equiv \uparrow Q \Rightarrow$  increases  $GQG^T$  in  $\dot{P}$
- increase in measurement noise  $\equiv \uparrow R \Rightarrow$  decreases  $P^T R^{-1} C P$

### Theorem

IF  $(A, C)$  is ~~detectable~~<sup>detectable</sup>, then for every  $P(0)$  there exists a bounded limiting  $P_\infty$  to the DRE. Furthermore  $P_\infty$  is a solution to the ARE too!

$(A, C)$  detectable  $\Rightarrow$

$$\text{in } \tilde{x} = (A - LC) \tilde{x} + d(t)$$

there exists  $L$  s.t.  $\bar{A} = A - LC$  is stable and  $\tilde{x}(t)$  becomes asymptotically stable.  $\Rightarrow$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{\bar{A}t} P(0) e^{\bar{A}^T t} + \int_0^t e^{\bar{A}\eta} \nabla e^{\bar{A}^T \eta} d\eta = \int_0^\infty e^{\bar{A}\eta} \nabla e^{\bar{A}^T \eta} d\eta = P_\infty$$

$\downarrow \bar{A} \text{ stable}$

Moreover, since  $P(t) \rightarrow P_\infty \Rightarrow \dot{P} = 0 \Rightarrow P_\infty$  is a solution of ARE:

$$AP + PA^T + GQG^T - PC^TR^{-1}CP = 0$$

In fact, if  $GQG^T < 0$  and  $R > 0$  then  $P_\infty$  is the unique solution.

If  $GQG^T \geq 0$  and  $R > 0$  then  $P_\infty$  is the unique solution of  $AP + PA^T + GQG^T - PC^TR^{-1}CP = 0$ .

Proof: by contradiction suppose there is another solution  $P$  which satisfies the above ARE.

Let  $D = P - P_\infty$  we want to show  $D = 0$ .

Since  $D$  is a symmetric matrix  $D^T = D$  and  $D^T = 0$ .

Applying  $D^T = 0$  to the ARE we get  $D^T AD = 0$ .

Now applying  $D^T = 0$  to the DRE we get  $D^T \tilde{x} = 0$ .

Now applying  $D^T = 0$  to the ARE we get  $D^T AD = 0$ .

## Theorem:

If  $(A, c)$  is detectable and if  $(A, G \Gamma Q)$  is stabilizable

For  $Q \geq 0, R \geq 0$ , the observer gain  $L = P_\infty C^T R^{-1}$  results

in stable ~~asymptotic~~ estimation matrix  $\bar{A} = A - LC$

$L = P_\infty C^T R^{-1}$  where  $P_\infty$  is the solution of ARE:

$$\begin{aligned} & P_\infty A^T + AP_\infty - P_\infty C^T R^{-1} C P_\infty + G Q G^T = 0 \\ & P_\infty A^T - P_\infty C^T R^{-1} C P_\infty + AP_\infty - P_\infty C^T R^{-1} C P_\infty + P_\infty C^T R^{-1} C P_\infty + G Q G^T = 0 \\ & P_\infty (A^T - C^T R^{-1} C P_\infty) + (A - P_\infty C^T R^{-1} C) P_\infty + P_\infty C^T R^{-1} C P_\infty + G Q G^T = 0 \\ & P_\infty \bar{A}^T + \bar{A} P = P_\infty C^T R^{-1} C P_\infty - G Q G^T = -\nabla \\ & P = L R L^T + G Q G^T \end{aligned}$$

$$R > 0, Q > 0 \Rightarrow P_\infty \bar{A}^T + \bar{A} P_\infty = -\nabla < 0 \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ (A, G \Gamma Q) \text{ stabilizable} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \bar{A} = A - LC \text{ is asymptotically stable.}$$

## Theorem

If  $(A, c)$  is ~~detectable~~, then  $P_\infty$  is the unique solution.

$$\text{of ARE: } PA^T + AP + G Q G - P C^T R^{-1} C P = 0 \equiv P \bar{A}^T + \bar{A} P + \nabla = 0$$

Proof: by contradiction assume that the solution of ARE is not unique and  $\hat{P}$  also satisfies the ARE  $\Rightarrow$  since  $(A, c)$  is detectable,  $\exists L$  s.t.  $\bar{A} = A - LC$  is stable

$$\Rightarrow \text{Let } \dot{z} = \bar{A}^T z \Rightarrow z(t) = e^{\bar{A}^T t} z(0) \Rightarrow z(\infty) = 0$$

$\hat{P}$  is the solution of ARE  $\Rightarrow \hat{P} \bar{A}^T + \bar{A} \hat{P} = -\nabla \Rightarrow z^T [\hat{P} \bar{A}^T + \bar{A} \hat{P}] z = -z^T \nabla z$

$$\Rightarrow z^T \hat{P} \dot{z} + \dot{z}^T \hat{P} z = -z^T \nabla z \Rightarrow \frac{d}{dt} (z^T \hat{P} z) = -z^T \nabla z$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_0^\infty z^T \nabla z dt = \int_0^\infty z^T(0) e^{\bar{A}^T t} \nabla - e^{\bar{A}^T t} z^T(0) dt = z^T(0) \int_0^\infty e^{\bar{A}^T t} \nabla e^{\bar{A}^T t} dt z^T(0) = z^T(0) \hat{P} z(0)$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_0^\infty \frac{d}{dt} (z^T \hat{P} z) dt = z^T \hat{P} z \Big|_0^\infty = z^T(0) \hat{P} z(0) - z^T(0) \hat{P} z(0) = - \int_0^\infty z^T \nabla z dt$$

$$\Rightarrow z^T(0) \hat{P} z(0) = z^T(0) P_\infty z(0) \text{ for any } z(0) \Rightarrow \hat{P} = P_\infty \checkmark$$

# Steady state case

## summary

plant: 
$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = Ax + Bu + Gw(t), \\ y = cx + v(t) \end{cases}$$

where  $v(t)$ ,  $w(t)$ ,  $x(0)$  are mutually orthogonal and are unrelated

$$x(0) = N(\bar{x}_0, P_0)$$

$w(t)$  and  $v(t)$  are white noise with

zero mean:  $E\{v(t)\} = 0 \quad E\{w(t)\} = 0$

$$R_{ww}(t) = E\{w(t) w^T(t+\tau)\} = Q \delta(t-\tau) \quad Q = Q^T \geq 0$$

$$R_{vv}(t) = E\{v(t) v^T(t+\tau)\} = R \delta(t-\tau) \quad R = R^T \geq 0$$

↓  
the measurement noise corrupts  
all the measurement channels

- $(A, c)$  detectable

- $(A, G)$  stabilizable

observer: 
$$\begin{cases} \dot{\hat{x}} = A\hat{x} + Bu + L(y - \hat{y}) \\ \hat{y} = c\hat{x} \end{cases} \quad \hat{x}(0) = \bar{x}_0$$

Estimation P.I.:  $J_{\text{PI}} = E \left\{ \underbrace{(x(t) - \hat{x}(t))}_{\tilde{x}}^T (x(t) - \hat{x}(t)) \right\} = \text{trace} (E \{ \tilde{x}(t) \tilde{x}^T(t) \})$

solution  $L = P_{\infty} C^T R^{-1}$  where  $P_{\infty}$  is the P.O. solution of

$$P_A^T + AP - P C^T R^{-1} C P + G Q G^T = 0$$

properties:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E \{ \tilde{x}(t) \} = 0$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{x}(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E \{ \tilde{x}(t) \tilde{x}^T(t) \} = \text{trace } P_{\infty}$$

Properties of steady state LQE:

$$\bullet \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} J = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E \{ \tilde{x}(t)^T \tilde{x}(t) \} = \text{trace } P_\infty$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(t) = E \{ \tilde{x}(t) \tilde{x}(t)^T \} \Rightarrow E \{ \tilde{x}(t)^T \tilde{x}(t) \} = \text{trace } P(t) \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(t) = P_\infty \end{array} \right.$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} J = \text{trace}(P_\infty)$$

$$\bullet \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E \{ \tilde{x}(t) \} = 0$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E \{ \tilde{x}(t) \} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E \{ e^{\bar{A}t} \tilde{x}(0) + \int_0^t e^{\bar{A}(t-\tau)} d(\tau) d\tau \}$$

$$= e^{\bar{A}t} E \{ \tilde{x}(0) \} + \int_0^t e^{\bar{A}(t-\tau)} \cancel{E \{ d(\tau) \}} d\tau = 0$$

(see appendix 3)

$$E \{ \tilde{x}(0) \} = E \{ x(0) - \hat{x}(0) \} = E \{ x(0) \} - \hat{x}(0) = \bar{x}_0 - \bar{x}_0 = 0$$

↓  
deterministic

Example :

Let  $\begin{cases} \dot{\hat{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \hat{x} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u + w(t) \\ y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \hat{x} + v(t) \end{cases}$

$$E\{w(t) w^T(t)\} = Q \delta(t-\tau) = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \delta(t-\tau)$$

$$E\{v(t) v^T(t)\} = R \delta(t-\tau) = 0.2 \delta(t-\tau)$$

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad R = 0.2 \quad , \quad G = I$$

$$P_e A^T + A P_e - P_e C^T R^{-1} C P_e + Q = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow P_e = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0792 & -0.0343 \\ -0.0343 & 0.0314 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow L = P_e C^T R^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.3962 \\ -0.1715 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \dot{\hat{x}} = A \hat{x} + B u + L(y - \hat{y}) \\ \hat{y} = C \hat{x} \end{cases}$$

### Appendix-1

$$\begin{aligned} E\{\tilde{x}(t) d^T(t)\} &= E\left\{ \left[ e^{\bar{A}t} \tilde{x}(0) + \int_0^t e^{\bar{A}(t-\tau)} d\tilde{x}(\tau) \right] d^T(t) \right\} \\ &= e^{\bar{A}t} E\{\tilde{x}(0) d^T(t)\} + E\left\{ \int_0^t e^{\bar{A}(t-\tau)} d\tilde{x}(\tau) d^T(t) \right\} \\ &= \int_0^t e^{\bar{A}(t-\tau)} E\{d\tilde{x}(\tau) d^T(t)\} d\tau = \int_0^t e^{\bar{A}(t-\tau)} \nabla s(t-\tau) d\tau \end{aligned}$$

$$\eta = t - \tau \Rightarrow d\eta = -d\tau$$

$$\Rightarrow E\{\tilde{x}(t) d^T(t)\} = - \int_{-t}^0 e^{\bar{A}\eta} \nabla s(\eta) d\eta = \int_{-t}^0 e^{\bar{A}\eta} \nabla s(\eta) d\eta$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \square$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Diagram of a rectangle from } -\tau_1 \text{ to } \tau_2 & \rightarrow \eta & = \int_{-\tau_1}^{\tau_2} s(\eta) d\eta = \frac{1}{2} \end{array}$$

$$\int_{-t}^0 f(\eta) \delta(\eta) d\eta = \frac{1}{2} f(0)$$

## Appendix 2

$$\begin{aligned} E \{ d(t) d^T(\tau) \} &= E \{ [G \ L] \begin{bmatrix} w(t) \\ v(t) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} w^T(\tau) & v^T(\tau) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} G^T \\ L^T \end{bmatrix} \} = \\ &= [G \ L] E \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} w(t) w^T(\tau) & w(t) v^T(\tau) \\ v(t) w^T(\tau) & v(t) v^T(\tau) \end{bmatrix} \right\} \begin{bmatrix} G^T \\ L^T \end{bmatrix} = \\ &= [G \ L] \begin{bmatrix} E\{w(t) w^T(\tau)\} & E\{w(t) v^T(\tau)\} \\ E\{v(t) w^T(\tau)\} & E\{v(t) v^T(\tau)\} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} G^T \\ L^T \end{bmatrix} \\ &= [G \ L] \begin{bmatrix} Q \delta(t-\tau) & 0 \\ 0 & R \delta(t-\tau) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} G^T \\ L^T \end{bmatrix} \\ &\leftarrow (G Q G^T + L R L^T) \delta(t-\tau) \end{aligned}$$

### Appendix 3

$$d(t) = Gw - Lv(t) = [G \quad -L] \begin{bmatrix} w(t) \\ v(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\bullet E\{d(t)\} = E\{[G \quad -L] \begin{bmatrix} w(t) \\ v(t) \end{bmatrix}\} = [G \quad -L] \begin{bmatrix} E\{w(t)\} \\ E\{v(t)\} \end{bmatrix} = [G \quad -L] \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

$$\bullet E\{\tilde{x}(t) d^T(t)\} = E\{\tilde{x}(t)\} E\{\cancel{d^T(t)}\} = 0$$

## A Appendix 4

$$P(t) = E\{\tilde{x}(t) \tilde{x}^T(t)\} = e^{\bar{A}t} P(0) e^{\bar{A}^T t} + \int_0^t e^{\bar{A}\tau} \nabla e^{\bar{A}^T \tau} d\eta$$

proof:

$$\{ P(t) = E\{\tilde{x}(t) \tilde{x}^T(t)\} \}$$

$$\{ \dot{\tilde{x}}(t) = \underbrace{(A - LC)}_{\bar{A}} \tilde{x}(t) + \underbrace{(w(t) + Ld(t))}_{d(t)} = \bar{A} \tilde{x}(t) + d(t) \}$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{x}(t) = e^{\bar{A}t} x(0) + \int_0^t e^{\bar{A}(t-\tau)} d(\tau) d\tau$$

$$\Rightarrow P(t) = E\left[ e^{\bar{A}t} \tilde{x}(0) + \int_0^t e^{\bar{A}(t-\tau)} d(\tau) d\tau \right] \left[ e^{\bar{A}t} \tilde{x}(0) + \int_0^t e^{\bar{A}(t-\tau)} d(\tau) d\tau \right]^T \right\}$$

$$= e^{\bar{A}t} E\{\tilde{x}(0) \tilde{x}^T(0)\} e^{\bar{A}^T t} + \int_0^t e^{\bar{A}(t-\tau)} E\{d(\tau) \tilde{x}^T(0)\} e^{\bar{A}^T \tau} d\tau +$$

is deterministic

$$\int_0^t e^{\bar{A}\tau} E\{\tilde{x}(\tau) d^T(\tau)\} e^{\bar{A}^T \tau} d\tau + \int_0^t \int_0^\tau e^{\bar{A}(\tau-\sigma)} E\{d(\tau) d^T(\sigma)\} e^{\bar{A}^T (\tau-\sigma)} d\tau d\sigma$$

see Appendix 2

see Appendix 3

$$= e^{\bar{A}t} P(0) e^{\bar{A}^T t} + \int_0^t e^{\bar{A}(t-\tau)} \nabla e^{\bar{A}^T \tau} d\eta = e^{\bar{A}t} P(0) e^{\bar{A}^T t} + \int_0^t e^{\bar{A}\tau} \nabla e^{\bar{A}^T \tau} d\eta$$

$$\tau = t - \theta \Rightarrow d\eta = -d\theta$$

## Appendix 5

+ the  $i^{th}$  element

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \text{trace}(AXB) = A^T B^T \\
 \text{Let } M = AXB \Rightarrow \text{trace}(AXB) &= \text{trace}[M] = \text{trace}\left[\sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^l B_{jk} B_{ki}\right] = \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} \sum_{k=1}^l x_{jk} B_{ki}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j,k}} \text{trace}(AXB) = \sum_{i=1}^n A_{ij} B_{ki} = (BA)_{k,j,i} = (BA)^T_{i,j,k}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \text{trace}(AXB) \leq (BA)^T = A^T B^T$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \text{trace}(Ax^T) = A \quad \text{trace}(Ax^T) = \text{trace}(xA^T)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \text{trace}(Ax^T) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \text{trace}(x^TA) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (I^T x^TA) = A$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \text{trace}(AXBx^T) = A^T x^T B + AxB$$

$$\text{Define } \begin{cases} H(w, y) = \text{trace} A^T w^T \\ w = f(x) = x^T B \end{cases} \quad \text{trace}(AXBx^T) = H(w, y) = \text{trace} A^T w^T$$

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial w} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial H}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} =$$

$$= A^T B + A^T w = A^T B + A^T x^T B$$

$$= A^T B + A^T x^T B$$