

analyticsedge

Mridul Jain

7/2/23

Table of contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| The Analytics Edge: Introduction | 3 |
| The Analytics Edge: Assignment 1 | 4 |
| An Analytical Detective | 4 |
| Stock Dynamics | 14 |
| Demographics and Employment in the United States | 21 |
| Internet Privacy Poll (OPTIONAL) | 46 |
| The Analytics Edge: Assignment 2 | 56 |
| Climate Change | 56 |
| Reading Test Scores | 62 |

The Analytics Edge: Introduction

The Analytics Edge: Assignment 1

The following link will lead you to the assignment on the edX website: <https://learning.edx.org/course/course-v1:MITx+15.071x+2T2020/block-v1:MITx+15.071x+2T2020+type@sequential+block@a5915d0492804dada5feb1926ba5be7a>

An Analytical Detective

There are two main types of crimes: violent crimes, and property crimes. In this problem, we'll focus on one specific type of property crime, called "motor vehicle theft" (sometimes referred to as grand theft auto). This is the act of stealing, or attempting to steal, a car. In this problem, we'll use some basic data analysis in R to understand the motor vehicle thefts in Chicago.

Please download the file [mvtWeek1.csv](#) for this problem (do not open this file in any spreadsheet software before completing this problem because it might change the format of the Date field).

Start:

Read the dataset `mvtWeek1.csv` into R, using the `read.csv` function, and call the data frame "mvt".

```
mvt <- read.csv("/cloud/project/analyticsedge/Datasets/DatasetsUnit1/mvtWeek1.csv")
```

1.1: How many rows of data (observations) are in this dataset?

Answer: 191641

```
nrow(mvt)
```

```
[1] 191641
```

1.2: How many variables are in this dataset?

Answer: 11

```
ncol(mvt)
```

```
[1] 11
```

1.3: Using the “max” function, what is the maximum value of the variable “ID”?

Answer: 9181151

```
max(mvt$ID)
```

```
[1] 9181151
```

1.4: What is the minimum value of the variable “Beat”?

Answer: 111

```
min(mvt$Beat)
```

```
[1] 111
```

1.5: How many observations have value TRUE in the Arrest variable (this is the number of crimes for which an arrest was made)?

Answer: 15536

```
sum(mvt$Arrest)
```

```
[1] 15536
```

1.6: How many observations have a LocationDescription value of ALLEY?

Answer: 2308

```
sum(mvt$LocationDescription == "ALLEY")
```

```
[1] 2308
```

2.1: In what format are the entries in the variable Date?

Answer: Month/Day/Year Hour:Minute

```
mvt$Date[1]
```

```
[1] "12/31/12 23:15"
```

2.2: What is the month and year of the median date in our dataset? Enter your answer as “Month Year”, without the quotes.

Answer: May 2006

```
DateConvert = as.Date(strptime(mvt$Date, "%m/%d/%y %H:%M"))
#summary(DateConvert)
median(DateConvert)
```

```
[1] "2006-05-21"
```

2.3: In which month did the fewest motor vehicle thefts occur?

Answer: February

```
mvt$Month = months(DateConvert)
mvt$Weekday = weekdays(DateConvert)
mvt$Date = DateConvert
table(mvt$Month)
```

| | | | | | | | |
|-------|----------|----------|-----------|---------|-------|-------|-------|
| April | August | December | February | January | July | June | March |
| 15280 | 16572 | 16426 | 13511 | 16047 | 16801 | 16002 | 15758 |
| May | November | October | September | | | | |
| 16035 | 16063 | 17086 | 16060 | | | | |

2.4: On which weekday did the most motor vehicle thefts occur?

Answer: Friday

```
table(mvt$Weekday)
```

| | | | | | | |
|--------|--------|----------|--------|----------|---------|-----------|
| Friday | Monday | Saturday | Sunday | Thursday | Tuesday | Wednesday |
| 29284 | 27397 | 27118 | 26316 | 27319 | 26791 | 27416 |

2.5: Which month has the largest number of motor vehicle thefts for which an arrest was made?

Answer: January

```
table(mvt$Month, mvt$Arrest)
```

| | FALSE | TRUE |
|-----------|-------|------|
| April | 14028 | 1252 |
| August | 15243 | 1329 |
| December | 15029 | 1397 |
| February | 12273 | 1238 |
| January | 14612 | 1435 |
| July | 15477 | 1324 |
| June | 14772 | 1230 |
| March | 14460 | 1298 |
| May | 14848 | 1187 |
| November | 14807 | 1256 |
| October | 15744 | 1342 |
| September | 14812 | 1248 |

3.1.1: In general, does it look like crime increases or decreases from 2002 - 2012?

Answer: Decreases

3.1.2: In general, does it look like crime increases or decreases from 2005 - 2008?


Answer: Decreases

3.1.3: In general, does it look like crime increases or decreases from 2009 - 2011?

Answer: Increases

```
hist(mvt$Date, breaks=100)
```


Histogram of mvt\$Date



3.2: Does it look like there were more crimes for which arrests were made in the first half of the time period or the second half of the time period?

Answer: First half

```
boxplot(Date ~ Arrest, data = mvt)
```



3.3: For what proportion of motor vehicle thefts in 2001 was an arrest made?

Answer: 0.1041173

```
tapply(mvt$Arrest, mvt$Year, mean)
```

| 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 0.10411728 | 0.11278195 | 0.10794261 | 0.10040327 | 0.09269595 | 0.08087961 | 0.08487395 |
| 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | | |
| 0.07061267 | 0.06903920 | 0.04523456 | 0.03996930 | 0.03902924 | | |

3.4: For what proportion of motor vehicle thefts in 2007 was an arrest made?

Answer: 0.08487395

```
tapply(mvt$Arrest, mvt$Year, mean)
```

| 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 0.10411728 | 0.11278195 | 0.10794261 | 0.10040327 | 0.09269595 | 0.08087961 | 0.08487395 |
| 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | | |
| 0.07061267 | 0.06903920 | 0.04523456 | 0.03996930 | 0.03902924 | | |

3.5: For what proportion of motor vehicle thefts in 2012 was an arrest made?

Answer: 0.03902924

```
tapply(mvt$Arrest, mvt$Year, mean)
```

| 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 |
|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| 0.10411728 | 0.11278195 | 0.10794261 | 0.10040327 | 0.09269595 | 0.08087961 | 0.08487395 |
| 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | | |
| 0.07061267 | 0.06903920 | 0.04523456 | 0.03996930 | 0.03902924 | | |

4.1: Which locations are the top five locations for motor vehicle thefts, excluding the “Other” category?

Answer: STREET, PARKING LOT/GARAGE(NON.RESID.), ALLEY, GAS STATION, DRIVEWAY - RESIDENTIAL

```
sort(table(mvt$LocationDescription), decreasing = TRUE)
```

| | |
|--------------------------------|--------|
| STREET | 156564 |
| PARKING LOT/GARAGE(NON.RESID.) | 14852 |
| OTHER | 4573 |
| ALLEY | 2308 |
| GAS STATION | 2111 |
| DRIVEWAY - RESIDENTIAL | 1675 |
| RESIDENTIAL YARD (FRONT/BACK) | 1536 |
| RESIDENCE | 1302 |
| RESIDENCE-GARAGE | 1176 |
| VACANT LOT/LAND | 985 |
| VEHICLE NON-COMMERCIAL | 817 |
| SIDEWALK | 462 |

| | |
|------------------------------------|-----|
| CHA PARKING LOT/GROUNDS | 405 |
| AIRPORT/AIRCRAFT | 363 |
| POLICE FACILITY/VEH PARKING LOT | 266 |
| PARK PROPERTY | 255 |
| SCHOOL, PUBLIC, GROUNDS | 206 |
| APARTMENT | 184 |
| SPORTS ARENA/STADIUM | 166 |
| CTA GARAGE / OTHER PROPERTY | 148 |
| COMMERCIAL / BUSINESS OFFICE | 126 |
| HOTEL/MOTEL | 124 |
| SCHOOL, PUBLIC, BUILDING | 114 |
| HOSPITAL BUILDING/GROUNDS | 101 |
| GROCERY FOOD STORE | 80 |
| CHURCH/SYNAGOGUE/PLACE OF WORSHIP | 56 |
| RESTAURANT | 49 |
| GOVERNMENT BUILDING/PROPERTY | 48 |
| COLLEGE/UNIVERSITY GROUNDS | 47 |
| CAR WASH | 44 |
| CONSTRUCTION SITE | 35 |
| SMALL RETAIL STORE | 33 |
| OTHER RAILROAD PROP / TRAIN DEPOT | 28 |
| AIRPORT EXTERIOR - NON-SECURE AREA | |

| | |
|---------------------------------|----|
| | 24 |
| SCHOOL, PRIVATE, GROUNDS | |
| | 23 |
| VEHICLE-COMMERCIAL | |
| | 23 |
| DEPARTMENT STORE | |
| | 22 |
| HIGHWAY/EXPRESSWAY | |
| | 22 |
| NURSING HOME/RETIREMENT HOME | |
| | 21 |
| TAXICAB | |
| | 21 |
| MOVIE HOUSE/THEATER | |
| | 18 |
| RESIDENCE PORCH/HALLWAY | |
| | 18 |
| BAR OR TAVERN | |
| | 17 |
| WAREHOUSE | |
| | 17 |
| FACTORY/MANUFACTURING BUILDING | |
| | 16 |
| SCHOOL, PRIVATE, BUILDING | |
| | 14 |
| TAVERN/LIQUOR STORE | |
| | 14 |
| AIRPORT PARKING LOT | |
| | 11 |
| AIRPORT VENDING ESTABLISHMENT | |
| | 10 |
| ATHLETIC CLUB | |
| | 9 |
| DRUG STORE | |
| | 8 |
| OTHER COMMERCIAL TRANSPORTATION | |
| | 8 |
| BANK | |
| | 7 |
| CONVENIENCE STORE | |
| | 7 |
| FOREST PRESERVE | |
| | 6 |

| | |
|---|---|
| AIRPORT TERMINAL UPPER LEVEL - NON-SECURE AREA | 5 |
| CHA APARTMENT | 5 |
| DAY CARE CENTER | 5 |
| FIRE STATION | 5 |
| ABANDONED BUILDING | 4 |
| AIRPORT BUILDING NON-TERMINAL - NON-SECURE AREA | 4 |
| BARBERSHOP | 4 |
| LAKEFRONT/WATERFRONT/RIVERBANK | 4 |
| LIBRARY | 4 |
| SAVINGS AND LOAN | 4 |
| BOWLING ALLEY | 3 |
| CLEANING STORE | 3 |
| MEDICAL/DENTAL OFFICE | 3 |
| BRIDGE | 2 |
| COLLEGE/UNIVERSITY RESIDENCE HALL | 2 |
| CURRENCY EXCHANGE | 2 |
| AIRPORT BUILDING NON-TERMINAL - SECURE AREA | 1 |
| AIRPORT EXTERIOR - SECURE AREA | 1 |
| ANIMAL HOSPITAL | 1 |
| APPLIANCE STORE | 1 |
| CTA TRAIN | 1 |
| JAIL / LOCK-UP FACILITY | |

1
NEWSSTAND
1

Create a subset of your data, only taking observations for which the theft happened in one of these five locations, and call this new data set “Top5”:

```
Top5 <- subset(mvt, mvt$LocationDescription == "STREET"  
  | mvt$LocationDescription == "PARKING LOT/GARAGE(NON.RESID.)"  
  | mvt$LocationDescription == "ALLEY"  
  | mvt$LocationDescription == "GAS STATION"  
  | mvt$LocationDescription == "DRIVEWAY - RESIDENTIAL")
```

4.2: How many observations are in Top5?

Answer: 177510

```
nrow(Top5)
```

```
[1] 177510
```

4.3: One of the locations has a much higher arrest rate than the other locations. Which is it?

Answer: Gas Station (Check percentages)

```
Top5$LocationDescription = factor(Top5$LocationDescription)  
table(Top5$LocationDescription, Top5$Arrest)
```

| | FALSE | TRUE |
|--------------------------------|--------|-------|
| ALLEY | 2059 | 249 |
| DRIVEWAY - RESIDENTIAL | 1543 | 132 |
| GAS STATION | 1672 | 439 |
| PARKING LOT/GARAGE(NON.RESID.) | 13249 | 1603 |
| STREET | 144969 | 11595 |

4.4: On which day of the week do the most motor vehicle thefts at gas stations happen?

Answer: Saturday

```
table(Top5$LocationDescription == "GAS STATION", Top5$Weekday)
```

| | Friday | Monday | Saturday | Sunday | Thursday | Tuesday | Wednesday |
|-------|--------|--------|----------|--------|----------|---------|-----------|
| FALSE | 26746 | 25008 | 24917 | 24220 | 24956 | 24527 | 25025 |
| TRUE | 332 | 280 | 338 | 336 | 282 | 270 | 273 |

4.5: On which day of the week do the fewest motor vehicle thefts in residential driveways happen?

Answer: Saturday

```
table(Top5$LocationDescription == "DRIVEWAY - RESIDENTIAL", Top5$Weekday)
```

| | Friday | Monday | Saturday | Sunday | Thursday | Tuesday | Wednesday |
|-------|--------|--------|----------|--------|----------|---------|-----------|
| FALSE | 26821 | 25033 | 25053 | 24335 | 24975 | 24554 | 25064 |
| TRUE | 257 | 255 | 202 | 221 | 263 | 243 | 234 |

Stock Dynamics

A stock market is where buyers and sellers trade shares of a company, and is one of the most popular ways for individuals and companies to invest money. The size of the world stock market is now estimated to be in the trillions. The largest stock market in the world is the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE), located in New York City. About 2,800 companies are listed on the NYSE. In this problem, we'll look at the monthly stock prices of five of these companies: IBM, General Electric (GE), Procter and Gamble, Coca Cola, and Boeing. The data used in this problem comes from Infochimps.

Please download the following files: [IBMStock.csv](#), [GESTock.csv](#), [ProcterGambleStock.csv](#), [CocaColaStock.csv](#), [BoeingStock.csv](#) (do not open these files in any spreadsheet software before completing this problem because it might change the format of the Date field).

Start:

Read the datasets into R, using the `read.csv` function, and call the data frames “IBM”, “GE”, “ProcterGamble”, “CocaCola”, and “Boeing”, respectively.

```
IBM <- read.csv("/cloud/project/analyticsege/Datasets/DatasetsUnit1/IBMStock.csv")
GE <- read.csv("/cloud/project/analyticsege/Datasets/DatasetsUnit1/GESTock.csv")
ProcterGamble <- read.csv("/cloud/project/analyticsege/Datasets/DatasetsUnit1/ProcterGamb
CocaCola <- read.csv("/cloud/project/analyticsege/Datasets/DatasetsUnit1/CocaColaStock.cs
Boeing <- read.csv("/cloud/project/analyticsege/Datasets/DatasetsUnit1/BoeingStock.csv")
```

Before working with these data sets, we need to convert the dates into a format that R can understand. Take a look at the structure of one of the datasets using the `str` function. Right

now, the date variable is stored as a factor. We can convert this to a “Date” object in R by using the following five commands (one for each data set):

```
IBM$Date = as.Date(IBM$Date, "%m/%d/%y")
GE$Date = as.Date(GE$Date, "%m/%d/%y")
CocaCola$Date = as.Date(CocaCola$Date, "%m/%d/%y")
ProcterGamble$Date = as.Date(ProcterGamble$Date, "%m/%d/%y")
Boeing$Date = as.Date(Boeing$Date, "%m/%d/%y")
```

1.1: Our five datasets all have the same number of observations. How many observations are there in each data set?

Answer: 480

```
nrow(IBM)
```

```
[1] 480
```

1.2: What is the earliest year in our datasets?

Answer: 1970

```
min(IBM$Date)
```

```
[1] "1970-01-01"
```

1.3: What is the latest year in our datasets?

Answer: 2009

```
max(IBM$Date)
```

```
[1] "2009-12-01"
```

1.4: What is the mean stock price of IBM over this time period?

Answer: 144.375

```
mean(IBM$StockPrice)
```

```
[1] 144.375
```

1.5: What is the minimum stock price of General Electric (GE) over this time period?

Answer: 9.293636

```
min(GE$StockPrice)
```

```
[1] 9.293636
```

1.6: What is the maximum stock price of Coca-Cola over this time period?

Answer: 146.5843

```
max(CocaCola$StockPrice)
```

```
[1] 146.5843
```

1.7: What is the median stock price of Boeing over this time period?

Answer:

```
median(Boeing$StockPrice)
```

```
[1] 44.8834
```

1.8: What is the standard deviation of the stock price of Procter & Gamble over this time period?

Answer: 18.19414

```
sd(ProcterGamble$StockPrice)
```

```
[1] 18.19414
```

Side note: According to the assignment, questions 1.2 - 1.7 should've been solved using the summary function. However, I used commands that would give more accurate answer. Along with the commands I used, I also wrote how the assignment could be solved using the summary function.


2.1.1: Around what year did Coca-Cola has its highest stock price in this time period?

Answer: 1973

2.1.2: Around what year did Coca-Cola has its lowest stock price in this time period?

Answer: 1980


```
plot(CocaCola$Date, CocaCola$StockPrice, "l")
```



2.2: In March of 2000, the technology bubble burst, and a stock market crash occurred. According to this plot, which company's stock dropped more?

Answer: Procter and Gamble

```
plot(CocaCola$Date, CocaCola$StockPrice, "l", col = "red")
lines(ProcterGamble$Date, ProcterGamble$StockPrice, col = "blue")
abline(v=as.Date(c("2000-03-01")), lwd=2)
```




2.3.1: Around 1983, the stock for one of these companies (Coca-Cola or Procter and Gamble) was going up, while the other was going down. Which one was going up?

Answer: CocaCola

2.3.1: In the time period shown in the plot, which stock generally has lower values?


Answer: CocaCola

```
plot(CocaCola$Date, CocaCola$StockPrice, "l", col = "red")
lines(ProcterGamble$Date, ProcterGamble$StockPrice, col = "blue")
abline(v=as.Date(c("1983-01-01")))
```



Plot to answer the following questions:


```
plot(CocaCola$Date[301:432], CocaCola$StockPrice[301:432], type="l", col="red", ylim=c(0,200))
lines(ProcterGamble$Date[301:432], ProcterGamble$StockPrice[301:432], col = "blue")
lines(IBM$Date[301:432], IBM$StockPrice[301:432], col = "green")
lines(GE$Date[301:432], GE$StockPrice[301:432], col = "purple")
lines(Boeing$Date[301:432], Boeing$StockPrice[301:432], col = "orange")
```



3.1: Which stock fell the most right after the technology bubble burst in March 2000?

Answer: General Electric (GE)

```
plot(CocaCola$Date[301:432], CocaCola$StockPrice[301:432], type="l", col="red", ylim=c(0,200))
lines(ProcterGamble$Date[301:432], ProcterGamble$StockPrice[301:432], col = "blue")
lines(IBM$Date[301:432], IBM$StockPrice[301:432], col = "green")
lines(GE$Date[301:432], GE$StockPrice[301:432], col = "purple")
lines(Boeing$Date[301:432], Boeing$StockPrice[301:432], col = "orange")
abline(v = as.Date(c("2000-03-01")))
```




3.2: Which stock reaches the highest value in the time period 1995-2005?

Answer: IBM

3.3: Comparing September 1997 to November 1997, which companies saw a decreasing trend in their stock price?

Answer: Procter and Gamble, Boeing

```
plot(CocaCola$Date[301:432], CocaCola$StockPrice[301:432], type="l", col="red", ylim=c(0,200))
lines(ProcterGamble$Date[301:432], ProcterGamble$StockPrice[301:432], col = "blue")
lines(IBM$Date[301:432], IBM$StockPrice[301:432], col = "green")
lines(GE$Date[301:432], GE$StockPrice[301:432], col = "purple")
lines(Boeing$Date[301:432], Boeing$StockPrice[301:432], col = "orange")
abline(v = as.Date(c("1997-09-1")))
abline(v = as.Date(c("1997-11-1")))
```



3.4: In the last two years of this time period (2004 and 2005) which stock seems to be performing the best, in terms of increasing stock price?

Answer: Boeing

4.1: In which months has IBM historically had a higher stock price (on average)?

Answer: January, February, March, April, May

```
tapply(IBM$StockPrice, months(IBM$Date), mean) > mean(IBM$StockPrice)
```

| | | | | | | | |
|-------|--------|----------|----------|---------|-------|-------|-------|
| April | August | December | February | January | July | June | March |
| TRUE | FALSE | FALSE | TRUE | TRUE | FALSE | FALSE | TRUE |

| | | | |
|------|----------|---------|-----------|
| May | November | October | September |
| TRUE | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE |

4.2: General Electric and Coca-Cola both have their highest average stock price in the same month. Which month is this?

Answer: April

```
tapply(GE$StockPrice, months(GE$Date), mean) == max(tapply(GE$StockPrice, months(GE$Date),
```

| | | | | | | | |
|-------|----------|----------|-----------|---------|-------|-------|-------|
| April | August | December | February | January | July | June | March |
| TRUE | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE |
| May | November | October | September | | | | |
| FALSE | FALSE | FALSE | FALSE | | | | |

4.3: For the months of December and January, every company's average stock is higher in one month and lower in the other. In which month are the stock prices lower?

Answer: December

```
tapply(GE$StockPrice, months(GE$Date), mean)
```

| | | | | | | | |
|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| April | August | December | February | January | July | June | March |
| 64.48009 | 56.50315 | 59.10217 | 62.52080 | 62.04511 | 56.73349 | 56.46844 | 63.15055 |
| May | November | October | September | | | | |
| 60.87135 | 57.28879 | 56.23897 | 56.23913 | | | | |

Demographics and Employment in the United States

In the wake of the Great Recession of 2009, there has been a good deal of focus on employment statistics, one of the most important metrics policymakers use to gauge the overall strength of the economy. In the United States, the government measures unemployment using the Current Population Survey (CPS), which collects demographic and employment information from a wide range of Americans each month. In this exercise, we will employ the topics reviewed in the lectures as well as a few new techniques using the September 2013 version of this rich, nationally representative dataset (available online).

The observations in the dataset represent people surveyed in the September 2013 CPS who actually completed a survey. While the full dataset has 385 variables, in this exercise we will use a more compact version of the dataset.

Please download the following file: [CPSPData.csv](#)

Start:

Load the dataset from CPSPData.csv into a data frame called CPS.

```
CPS <- read.csv("/cloud/project/analyticsedge/Datasets/DatasetsUnit1/CPSPData.csv")
```

1.1: How many interviewees are in the dataset?

Answer: 131302

```
nrow(CPS)
```

```
[1] 131302
```

1.2: Among the interviewees with a value reported for the Industry variable, what is the most common industry of employment?

Answer: Educational and health services

```
head(sort(table(CPS$Industry), decreasing = TRUE), 1)
```

```
Educational and health services  
15017
```

1.3.1: Which state has the fewest interviewees?

Answer: New Mexico

```
tail(sort(table(CPS$State), decreasing = TRUE), 1)
```

```
New Mexico  
1102
```

1.3.2: Which state has the largest number of interviewees?

Answer: California

```
head(sort(table(CPS$State), decreasing = TRUE), 1)
```

```
California  
11570
```

1.4: What proportion of interviewees are citizens of the United States?

Answer: 0.9421943

```
table(CPS$Citizenship)
```

| | |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| Citizen, Native Citizen, Naturalized | Non-Citizen |
| 116639 | 7590 |

```
(116639 + 7073)/nrow(CPS)
```

```
[1] 0.9421943
```

1.5: For which races are there at least 250 interviewees in the CPS dataset of Hispanic ethnicity?

Answer: American Indian, Black, Multiracial, White

```
table(CPS$Race, CPS$Hispanic) > 250
```

| | | |
|------------------|------|-------|
| | 0 | 1 |
| American Indian | TRUE | TRUE |
| Asian | TRUE | FALSE |
| Black | TRUE | TRUE |
| Multiracial | TRUE | TRUE |
| Pacific Islander | TRUE | FALSE |
| White | TRUE | TRUE |

2.1: Which variables have at least one interviewee with a missing (NA) value?

Answer: MetroAreaCode, Married, Education, EmploymentStatus, Industry

```
names(which(colSums(is.na(CPS)) > 0))
```

```
[1] "MetroAreaCode"    "Married"          "Education"         "EmploymentStatus"
[5] "Industry"
```

2.2: We will try to determine if there is a pattern in the missing values of the Married variable.

Answer: The Married variable being missing is related to the Age value for the interviewee.

```
table(CPS$Region, is.na(CPS$Married))
```

| | FALSE | TRUE |
|-----------|-------|------|
| Midwest | 24609 | 6075 |
| Northeast | 21432 | 4507 |
| South | 33535 | 7967 |
| West | 26388 | 6789 |

```
table(CPS$Sex, is.na(CPS$Married))
```

| | FALSE | TRUE |
|--------|-------|-------|
| Female | 55264 | 12217 |
| Male | 50700 | 13121 |

```
table(CPS$Age, is.na(CPS$Married))
```

| | FALSE | TRUE |
|----|-------|------|
| 0 | 0 | 1283 |
| 1 | 0 | 1559 |
| 2 | 0 | 1574 |
| 3 | 0 | 1693 |
| 4 | 0 | 1695 |
| 5 | 0 | 1795 |
| 6 | 0 | 1721 |
| 7 | 0 | 1681 |
| 8 | 0 | 1729 |
| 9 | 0 | 1748 |
| 10 | 0 | 1750 |
| 11 | 0 | 1721 |
| 12 | 0 | 1797 |
| 13 | 0 | 1802 |
| 14 | 0 | 1790 |
| 15 | 1795 | 0 |
| 16 | 1751 | 0 |
| 17 | 1764 | 0 |
| 18 | 1596 | 0 |
| 19 | 1517 | 0 |
| 20 | 1398 | 0 |
| 21 | 1525 | 0 |
| 22 | 1536 | 0 |
| 23 | 1638 | 0 |

| | | |
|----|------|---|
| 24 | 1627 | 0 |
| 25 | 1604 | 0 |
| 26 | 1643 | 0 |
| 27 | 1657 | 0 |
| 28 | 1736 | 0 |
| 29 | 1645 | 0 |
| 30 | 1854 | 0 |
| 31 | 1762 | 0 |
| 32 | 1790 | 0 |
| 33 | 1804 | 0 |
| 34 | 1653 | 0 |
| 35 | 1716 | 0 |
| 36 | 1663 | 0 |
| 37 | 1531 | 0 |
| 38 | 1530 | 0 |
| 39 | 1542 | 0 |
| 40 | 1571 | 0 |
| 41 | 1673 | 0 |
| 42 | 1711 | 0 |
| 43 | 1819 | 0 |
| 44 | 1764 | 0 |
| 45 | 1749 | 0 |
| 46 | 1665 | 0 |
| 47 | 1647 | 0 |
| 48 | 1791 | 0 |
| 49 | 1989 | 0 |
| 50 | 1966 | 0 |
| 51 | 1931 | 0 |
| 52 | 1935 | 0 |
| 53 | 1994 | 0 |
| 54 | 1912 | 0 |
| 55 | 1895 | 0 |
| 56 | 1935 | 0 |
| 57 | 1827 | 0 |
| 58 | 1874 | 0 |
| 59 | 1758 | 0 |
| 60 | 1746 | 0 |
| 61 | 1735 | 0 |
| 62 | 1595 | 0 |
| 63 | 1596 | 0 |
| 64 | 1519 | 0 |
| 65 | 1569 | 0 |
| 66 | 1577 | 0 |

| | | |
|----|------|---|
| 67 | 1227 | 0 |
| 68 | 1130 | 0 |
| 69 | 1062 | 0 |
| 70 | 1195 | 0 |
| 71 | 1031 | 0 |
| 72 | 941 | 0 |
| 73 | 896 | 0 |
| 74 | 842 | 0 |
| 75 | 763 | 0 |
| 76 | 729 | 0 |
| 77 | 698 | 0 |
| 78 | 659 | 0 |
| 79 | 661 | 0 |
| 80 | 2664 | 0 |
| 85 | 2446 | 0 |

```
table(CPS$Citizenship, is.na(CPS$Married))
```

| | FALSE | TRUE |
|----------------------|-------|-------|
| Citizen, Native | 91956 | 24683 |
| Citizen, Naturalized | 6910 | 163 |
| Non-Citizen | 7098 | 492 |

2.3.1: How many states had all interviewees living in a non-metropolitan area (aka they have a missing MetroAreaCode value)? For this question, treat the District of Columbia as a state (even though it is not technically a state).

Answer: 2

2.3.2: How many states had all interviewees living in a metropolitan area? Again, treat the District of Columbia as a state.

Answer: 3

```
table(CPS$State, is.na(CPS$MetroAreaCode))
```

| | FALSE | TRUE |
|------------|-------|------|
| Alabama | 1020 | 356 |
| Alaska | 0 | 1590 |
| Arizona | 1327 | 201 |
| Arkansas | 724 | 697 |
| California | 11333 | 237 |

| | | |
|----------------------|------|------|
| Colorado | 2545 | 380 |
| Connecticut | 2593 | 243 |
| Delaware | 1696 | 518 |
| District of Columbia | 1791 | 0 |
| Florida | 4947 | 202 |
| Georgia | 2250 | 557 |
| Hawaii | 1576 | 523 |
| Idaho | 761 | 757 |
| Illinois | 3473 | 439 |
| Indiana | 1420 | 584 |
| Iowa | 1297 | 1231 |
| Kansas | 1234 | 701 |
| Kentucky | 908 | 933 |
| Louisiana | 1216 | 234 |
| Maine | 909 | 1354 |
| Maryland | 2978 | 222 |
| Massachusetts | 1858 | 129 |
| Michigan | 2517 | 546 |
| Minnesota | 2150 | 989 |
| Mississippi | 376 | 854 |
| Missouri | 1440 | 705 |
| Montana | 199 | 1015 |
| Nebraska | 816 | 1133 |
| Nevada | 1609 | 247 |
| New Hampshire | 1148 | 1514 |
| New Jersey | 2567 | 0 |
| New Mexico | 832 | 270 |
| New York | 5144 | 451 |
| North Carolina | 1642 | 977 |
| North Dakota | 432 | 1213 |
| Ohio | 2754 | 924 |
| Oklahoma | 1024 | 499 |
| Oregon | 1519 | 424 |
| Pennsylvania | 3245 | 685 |
| Rhode Island | 2209 | 0 |
| South Carolina | 1139 | 519 |
| South Dakota | 595 | 1405 |
| Tennessee | 1149 | 635 |
| Texas | 6060 | 1017 |
| Utah | 1455 | 387 |
| Vermont | 657 | 1233 |
| Virginia | 2367 | 586 |
| Washington | 1937 | 429 |

| | | |
|---------------|------|------|
| West Virginia | 344 | 1065 |
| Wisconsin | 1882 | 804 |
| Wyoming | 0 | 1624 |

2.4: Which region of the United States has the largest proportion of interviewees living in a non-metropolitan area?

Answer: Midwest

```
table(CPS$Region, is.na(CPS$MetroAreaCode))
```

| | FALSE | TRUE |
|-----------|-------|-------|
| Midwest | 20010 | 10674 |
| Northeast | 20330 | 5609 |
| South | 31631 | 9871 |
| West | 25093 | 8084 |

2.5.1: Which state has a proportion of interviewees living in a non-metropolitan area closest to 30%?

Answer: Wisconsin

2.5.2: Which state has the largest proportion of non-metropolitan interviewees, ignoring states where all interviewees were non-metropolitan?

Answer: Montana

```
sort(tapply(is.na(CPS$MetroAreaCode), CPS$State, mean))
```

| | | |
|----------------------|------------|---------------|
| District of Columbia | New Jersey | Rhode Island |
| 0.00000000 | 0.00000000 | 0.00000000 |
| California | Florida | Massachusetts |
| 0.02048401 | 0.03923092 | 0.06492199 |
| Maryland | New York | Connecticut |
| 0.06937500 | 0.08060769 | 0.08568406 |
| Illinois | Colorado | Arizona |
| 0.11221881 | 0.12991453 | 0.13154450 |
| Nevada | Texas | Louisiana |
| 0.13308190 | 0.14370496 | 0.16137931 |
| Pennsylvania | Michigan | Washington |
| 0.17430025 | 0.17825661 | 0.18131868 |
| Georgia | Virginia | Utah |
| 0.19843249 | 0.19844226 | 0.21009772 |
| Oregon | Delaware | New Mexico |

| | | |
|--------------|---------------|----------------|
| 0.21821925 | 0.23396567 | 0.24500907 |
| Hawaii | Ohio | Alabama |
| 0.24916627 | 0.25122349 | 0.25872093 |
| Indiana | Wisconsin | South Carolina |
| 0.29141717 | 0.29932986 | 0.31302774 |
| Minnesota | Oklahoma | Missouri |
| 0.31506849 | 0.32764281 | 0.32867133 |
| Tennessee | Kansas | North Carolina |
| 0.35594170 | 0.36227390 | 0.37304315 |
| Iowa | Arkansas | Idaho |
| 0.48694620 | 0.49049965 | 0.49868248 |
| Kentucky | New Hampshire | Nebraska |
| 0.50678979 | 0.56874530 | 0.58132376 |
| Maine | Vermont | Mississippi |
| 0.59832081 | 0.65238095 | 0.69430894 |
| South Dakota | North Dakota | West Virginia |
| 0.70250000 | 0.73738602 | 0.75585522 |
| Montana | Alaska | Wyoming |
| 0.83607908 | 1.00000000 | 1.00000000 |

Codes like `MetroAreaCode` and `CountryOfBirthCode` are a compact way to encode factor variables with text as their possible values, and they are therefore quite common in survey datasets. In fact, all but one of the variables in this dataset were actually stored by a numeric code in the original CPS datafile.

When analyzing a variable stored by a numeric code, we will often want to convert it into the values the codes represent. To do this, we will use a dictionary, which maps the code to the actual value of the variable. We have provided dictionaries [MetroAreaCodes.csv](#) and [CountryCodes.csv](#), which respectively map `MetroAreaCode` and `CountryOfBirthCode` into their true values. **Read these two dictionaries into data frames `MetroAreaMap` and `CountryMap`:**

```
MetroAreaMap <- read.csv("/cloud/project/analyticssedge/Datasets/DatasetsUnit1/MetroAreaCod
CountryMap <- read.csv("/cloud/project/analyticssedge/Datasets/DatasetsUnit1/CountryCodes.c
```

3.1.1: How many observations (codes for metropolitan areas) are there in `MetroAreaMap`?

Answer: 271

```
nrow(MetroAreaMap)
```

```
[1] 271
```

3.1.2: How many observations (codes for countries) are there in CountryMap?

Answer: 149

```
nrow(CountryMap)
```

```
[1] 149
```

To merge in the metropolitan areas, we want to connect the field `MetroAreaCode` from the CPS data frame with the field `Code` in `MetroAreaMap`. The following command merges the two data frames on these columns, overwriting the CPS data frame with the result:

```
CPS = merge(CPS, MetroAreaMap, by.x = "MetroAreaCode", by.y = "Code", all.x = TRUE)
```

3.2.1: What is the name of the variable that was added to the data frame by the `merge()` operation?

Answer: `MetroArea`

```
str(CPS)
```

```
'data.frame':  131302 obs. of  15 variables:
 $ MetroAreaCode      : int  10420 10420 10420 10420 10420 10420 10420 10420 10420 10420 ...
 $ PeopleInHousehold : int   4 4 2 4 1 3 4 4 2 3 ...
 $ Region             : chr   "Midwest" "Midwest" "Midwest" "Midwest" ...
 $ State              : chr   "Ohio" "Ohio" "Ohio" "Ohio" ...
 $ Age                : int   2 9 73 40 63 19 30 6 60 32 ...
 $ Married            : chr   NA NA "Married" "Married" ...
 $ Sex                : chr   "Male" "Male" "Female" "Female" ...
 $ Education          : chr   NA NA "Some college, no degree" "High school" ...
 $ Race               : chr   "White" "White" "White" "White" ...
 $ Hispanic           : int   0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 ...
 $ CountryOfBirthCode: int   57 57 57 362 57 57 203 57 57 57 ...
 $ Citizenship        : chr   "Citizen, Native" "Citizen, Native" "Citizen, Native" "Citizen, Native" ...
 $ EmploymentStatus   : chr   NA NA "Retired" "Not in Labor Force" ...
 $ Industry           : chr   NA NA NA NA ...
 $ MetroArea          : chr   "Akron, OH" "Akron, OH" "Akron, OH" "Akron, OH" ...
```

3.2.2: How many interviewees have a missing value for the new metropolitan area variable?

Answer: 34238

```
sum(is.na(CPS$MetroArea))
```

```
[1] 34238
```

3.3: Which of the following metropolitan areas has the largest number of interviewees?

Answer: Boston-Cambridge-Quincy, MA-NH

```
sort(table(CPS$MetroArea), decreasing = TRUE)
```

```
New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island, NY-NJ-PA
                                         5409
Washington-Arlington-Alexandria, DC-VA-MD-WV
                                         4177
Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana, CA
                                         4102
Philadelphia-Camden-Wilmington, PA-NJ-DE
                                         2855
Chicago-Naperville-Joliet, IN-IN-WI
                                         2772
Providence-Fall River-Warwick, MA-RI
                                         2284
Boston-Cambridge-Quincy, MA-NH
                                         2229
Minneapolis-St Paul-Bloomington, MN-WI
                                         1942
Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington, TX
                                         1863
Houston-Baytown-Sugar Land, TX
                                         1649
Honolulu, HI
                                         1576
Miami-Fort Lauderdale-Miami Beach, FL
                                         1554
Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Marietta, GA
                                         1552
Denver-Aurora, CO
                                         1504
Baltimore-Towson, MD
                                         1483
```

San Francisco-Oakland-Fremont, CA
 1386
 Detroit-Warren-Livonia, MI
 1354
 Las Vegas-Paradise, NV
 1299
 Riverside-San Bernardino, CA
 1290
 Seattle-Tacoma-Bellevue, WA
 1255
 Portland-Vancouver-Beaverton, OR-WA
 1089
 Phoenix-Mesa-Scottsdale, AZ
 971
 Kansas City, MO-KS
 962
 Omaha-Council Bluffs, NE-IA
 957
 St. Louis, MO-IL
 956
 San Diego-Carlsbad-San Marcos, CA
 907
 Hartford-West Hartford-East Hartford, CT
 885
 Tampa-St. Petersburg-Clearwater, FL
 842
 Pittsburgh, PA
 732
 Bridgeport-Stamford-Norwalk, CT
 730
 Salt Lake City, UT
 723
 Cincinnati-Middletown, OH-KY-IN
 719
 Milwaukee-Waukesha-West Allis, WI
 714
 Portland-South Portland, ME
 701
 Cleveland-Elyria-Mentor, OH
 681
 San Jose-Sunnyvale-Santa Clara, CA
 670
 Sacramento-Arden-Arcade-Roseville, CA

| | |
|--|-----|
| | 667 |
| Burlington-South Burlington, VT | 657 |
| Boise City-Nampa, ID | 644 |
| Orlando, FL | 610 |
| Albuquerque, NM | 609 |
| San Antonio, TX | 607 |
| Oklahoma City, OK | 604 |
| Virginia Beach-Norfolk-Newport News, VA-NC | 597 |
| Sioux Falls, SD | 595 |
| Indianapolis, IN | 570 |
| Columbus, OH | 551 |
| Louisville, KY-IN | 519 |
| Charlotte-Gastonia-Concord, NC-SC | 517 |
| Austin-Round Rock, TX | 516 |
| New Haven, CT | 506 |
| Nashville-Davidson-Murfreesboro, TN | 505 |
| Des Moines, IA | 501 |
| Richmond, VA | 490 |
| Dover, DE | 456 |
| Fargo, ND-MN | 432 |
| Wichita, KS | 427 |
| Ogden-Clearfield, UT | 423 |

Little Rock-North Little Rock, AR
 404
 Jacksonville, FL
 393
 Birmingham-Hoover, AL
 392
 Colorado Springs, CO
 372
 New Orleans-Metairie-Kenner, LA
 367
 Memphis, TN-MS-AR
 348
 Buffalo-Niagara Falls, NY
 344
 Raleigh-Cary, NC
 336
 Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton, PA-NJ
 334
 Tulsa, OK
 323
 Reno-Sparks, NV
 310
 Provo-Orem, UT
 309
 Rochester, NY
 307
 Grand Rapids-Wyoming, MI
 304
 Fresno, CA
 303
 Tucson, AZ
 302
 Columbia, SC
 291
 Madison, WI
 284
 Albany-Schenectady-Troy, NY
 268
 Dayton, OH
 268
 Oxnard-Thousand Oaks-Ventura, CA
 267
 Baton Rouge, LA

| | |
|---------------------------------------|-----|
| | 262 |
| Charleston, WV | 262 |
| Rochester-Dover, NH-ME | 262 |
| Greensboro-High Point, NC | 251 |
| Bakersfield, CA | 245 |
| El Paso, TX | 244 |
| Davenport-Moline-Rock Island, IA-IL | 240 |
| Toledo, OH | 235 |
| Charleston-North Charleston, SC | 232 |
| Akron, OH | 231 |
| Syracuse, NY | 223 |
| Jackson, MS | 222 |
| Fayetteville-Springdale-Rogers, AR-MO | 215 |
| Bangor, ME | 208 |
| Fort Collins-Loveland, CO | 206 |
| Norwich-New London, CT-RI | 203 |
| Savannah, GA | 202 |
| Poughkeepsie-Newburgh-Middletown, NY | 201 |
| Billings, MT | 199 |
| Lexington-Fayette, KY | 198 |
| Cedar Rapids, IA | 196 |
| Eugene-Springfield, OR | 196 |

McAllen-Edinburg-Pharr, TX
 195
 Stockton, CA
 193
 Sarasota-Bradenton-Venice, FL
 192
 Durham, NC
 189
 Greenville, SC
 185
 Topeka, KS
 182
 Lafayette, LA
 181
 Monroe, LA
 179
 Scranton-Wilkes Barre, PA
 176
 Harrisburg-Carlisle, PA
 174
 Boulder, CO
 171
 Salem, OR
 170
 Knoxville, TN
 168
 Palm Bay-Melbourne-Titusville, FL
 168
 Chattanooga, TN-GA
 167
 Greeley, CO
 162
 Augusta-Richmond County, GA-SC
 161
 Springfield, MO
 161
 Modesto, CA
 158
 Waterbury, CT
 157
 Lancaster, PA
 156
 Spokane, WA

| | |
|--|-----|
| | 156 |
| Waterloo-Cedar Falls, IA | 156 |
| Springfield, MA-CT | 155 |
| Youngstown-Warren-Boardman, OH | 153 |
| Lakeland-Winter Haven, FL | 149 |
| Cape Coral-Fort Myers, FL | 146 |
| Shreveport-Bossier City, LA | 146 |
| Worcester, MA-CT | 144 |
| Reading, PA | 142 |
| Bend, OR | 140 |
| Deltona-Daytona Beach-Ormond Beach, FL | 140 |
| Fort Wayne, IN | 136 |
| Green Bay, WI | 136 |
| Vallejo-Fairfield, CA | 133 |
| Corpus Christi, TX | 132 |
| Santa Barbara-Santa Maria-Goleta, CA | 132 |
| Iowa City, IA | 131 |
| Pueblo, CO | 130 |
| Santa Rosa-Petaluma, CA | 129 |
| Kalamazoo-Portage, MI | 127 |
| Winston-Salem, NC | 127 |
| Duluth, MN-WI | 126 |

| | |
|--------------------------------|-----|
| Appleton, WI | 125 |
| Beaumont-Port Author, TX | 123 |
| Champaign-Urbana, IL | 122 |
| Visalia-Porterville, CA | 121 |
| Lansing-East Lansing, MI | 119 |
| Racine, WI | 119 |
| Canton-Massillon, OH | 118 |
| Coeur d'Alene, ID | 117 |
| Huntsville, AL | 117 |
| York-Hanover, PA | 117 |
| Asheville, NC | 116 |
| Victoria, TX | 116 |
| La Crosse, WI | 114 |
| Rockford, IL | 114 |
| Danbury, CT | 112 |
| Peoria, IL | 112 |
| Yakima, WA | 112 |
| Atlantic City, NJ | 111 |
| Eau Claire, WI | 110 |
| Mobile, AL | 110 |
| Port St. Lucie-Fort Pierce, FL | 109 |
| Las Cruces, NM | |

| | |
|--|-----|
| | 107 |
| Pensacola-Ferry Pass-Brent, FL | 107 |
| Merced, CA | 106 |
| Fort Smith, AR-OK | 105 |
| Bloomington, IN | 104 |
| Salinas, CA | 104 |
| Montgomery, AL | 103 |
| Flint, MI | 102 |
| Myrtle Beach-Conway-North Myrtle Beach, SC | 102 |
| Killeen-Temple-Fort Hood, TX | 101 |
| El Centro, CA | 99 |
| Evansville, IN-KY | 99 |
| Janesville, WI | 99 |
| Olympia, WA | 99 |
| Spartanburg, SC | 99 |
| Lawrence, KS | 98 |
| Lawton, OK | 97 |
| Decatur, AL | 96 |
| Wausau, WI | 96 |
| Trenton-Ewing, NJ | 91 |
| Harrisonburg, VA | 90 |
| Muskegon-Norton Shores, MI | 90 |

| | |
|--|----|
| Laredo, TX | 89 |
| Amarillo, TX | 88 |
| Bremerton-Silverdale, WA | 87 |
| Erie, PA | 87 |
| Kankakee-Bradley, IL | 87 |
| Kingston, NY | 87 |
| Hagerstown-Martinsburg, MD-WV | 86 |
| Ann Arbor, MI | 85 |
| Oshkosh-Neenah, WI | 85 |
| Altoona, PA | 82 |
| Huntington-Ashland, WV-KY-OH | 82 |
| Medford, OR | 82 |
| Naples-Marco Island, FL | 82 |
| St. Cloud, MN | 82 |
| Decatur, IL | 81 |
| Lake Charles, LA | 81 |
| South Bend-Mishawaka, IN-MI | 81 |
| Fort Walton Beach-Crestview-Destin, FL | 80 |
| Utica-Rome, NY | 80 |
| Brownsville-Harlingen, TX | 79 |
| Vero Beach, FL | 79 |
| Waco, TX | |

| | |
|------------------------------------|----|
| | 79 |
| Holland-Grand Haven, MI | 78 |
| Tuscaloosa, AL | 78 |
| Fayetteville, NC | 77 |
| Michigan City-La Porte, IN | 77 |
| San Luis Obispo-Paso Robles, CA | 77 |
| Ocala, FL | 76 |
| Springfield, IL | 76 |
| Barnstable Town, MA | 75 |
| Saginaw-Saginaw Township North, MI | 74 |
| Salisbury, MD | 74 |
| Binghamton, NY | 73 |
| Lynchburg, VA | 73 |
| Bellingham, WA | 70 |
| Gainesville, FL | 70 |
| Jackson, MI | 70 |
| Albany, GA | 68 |
| Kingsport-Bristol, TN-VA | 67 |
| Leominster-Fitchburg-Gardner, MA | 66 |
| Roanoke, VA | 66 |
| Santa-Cruz-Watsonville, CA | 66 |
| Athens-Clark County, GA | 65 |

| | |
|----------------------------------|----|
| Gulfport-Biloxi, MS | 65 |
| Longview, TX | 65 |
| Macon, GA | 65 |
| Anderson, SC | 64 |
| Farmington, NM | 64 |
| Florence, AL | 63 |
| Jacksonville, NC | 63 |
| Johnstown, PA | 63 |
| Lubbock, TX | 63 |
| Monroe, MI | 63 |
| Anderson, IN | 62 |
| Anniston-Oxford, AL | 61 |
| Napa, CA | 61 |
| Chico, CA | 60 |
| Columbus, GA-AL | 59 |
| Joplin, MO | 59 |
| Panama City-Lynn Haven, FL | 59 |
| Hickory-Morgantown-Lenoir, NC | 57 |
| Madera, CA | 57 |
| Prescott, AZ | 54 |
| Vineland-Millville-Bridgeton, NJ | 54 |
| Johnson City, TN | |

| | |
|-------------------------|----|
| | 52 |
| Santa Fe, NM | |
| | 52 |
| Midland, TX | |
| | 51 |
| Niles-Benton Harbor, MI | |
| | 51 |
| Punta Gorda, FL | |
| | 48 |
| Columbia, MO | |
| | 47 |
| Tallahassee, FL | |
| | 43 |
| Valdosta, GA | |
| | 42 |
| Warner Robins, GA | |
| | 42 |
| Bloomington-Normal IL | |
| | 40 |
| Springfield, OH | |
| | 34 |
| Ocean City, NJ | |
| | 30 |
| Bowling Green, KY | |
| | 29 |

3.4: Which metropolitan area has the highest proportion of interviewees of Hispanic ethnicity?

Answer: Laredo, TX

```
head(sort(tapply(CPS$Hispanic, CPS$MetroArea, mean), decreasing = TRUE), 1)
```

```
Laredo, TX
0.9662921
```

3.5: Determine the number of metropolitan areas in the United States from which at least 20% of interviewees are Asian.

Answer: 4

```
sum(sort(tapply(CPS$Race == "Asian", CPS$MetroArea, mean), decreasing = TRUE) > 0.2)
```

```
[1] 4
```

3.6: Determine which metropolitan area has the smallest proportion of interviewees who have received no high school diploma.

Answer: Iowa City, IA

```
head(sort(tapply(CPS$Education == "No high school diploma", CPS$MetroArea, mean, na.rm = T
```

```
Iowa City, IA  
0.02912621
```

Just as we did with the metropolitan area information, merge in the country of birth information from the CountryMap data frame, replacing the CPS data frame with the result:

```
CPS = merge(CPS, CountryMap, by.x = "CountryOfBirthCode", by.y = "Code", all.x = TRUE)
```

4.1.1: What is the name of the variable added to the CPS data frame by this merge operation?

Answer: Country

```
str(CPS)
```

```
'data.frame': 131302 obs. of 16 variables:  
 $ CountryOfBirthCode: int 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 57 ...  
 $ MetroAreaCode : int 10420 71650 10420 10420 10420 10420 10420 10420 10420 10420 ...  
 $ PeopleInHousehold : int 2 4 5 2 2 3 1 3 4 4 ...  
 $ Region : chr "Midwest" "Northeast" "Midwest" "Midwest" ...  
 $ State : chr "Ohio" "New Hampshire" "Ohio" "Ohio" ...  
 $ Age : int 73 5 10 30 30 0 34 32 6 9 ...  
 $ Married : chr "Married" NA NA "Married" ...  
 $ Sex : chr "Female" "Female" "Female" "Female" ...  
 $ Education : chr "Some college, no degree" NA NA "Associate degree" ...  
 $ Race : chr "White" "White" "White" "White" ...  
 $ Hispanic : int 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 ...  
 $ Citizenship : chr "Citizen, Native" "Citizen, Native" "Citizen, Native" "Citizen, Native" ...  
 $ EmploymentStatus : chr "Retired" NA NA "Employed" ...  
 $ Industry : chr NA NA NA "Trade" ...  
 $ MetroArea : chr "Akron, OH" "Boston-Cambridge-Quincy, MA-NH" "Akron, OH" "Akron, OH" ...  
 $ Country : chr "United States" "United States" "United States" "United States"
```

4.1.2: How many interviewees have a missing value for the new country of birth variable?

Answer: 176

```
sum(is.na(CPS$Country))
```

```
[1] 176
```

4.2: Among all interviewees born outside of North America, which country was the most common place of birth?

Answer: Philippines

```
head(sort(table(CPS$Country), decreasing = TRUE), 3)
```

| United States | Mexico | Philippines |
|---------------|--------|-------------|
| 115063 | 3921 | 839 |

4.3: What proportion of the interviewees from the “New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island, NY-NJ-PA” metropolitan area have a country of birth that is not the United States? For this computation, don’t include people from this metropolitan area who have a missing country of birth.

Answer: 0.3086603

```
tapply(CPS$Country != "United States", CPS$MetroArea == "New York-Northern New Jersey-Long
```

| FALSE | TRUE |
|-----------|-----------|
| 0.1392772 | 0.3086603 |

4.4: Which metropolitan area has the largest number (note – not proportion) of interviewees with a country of birth... 4.4.1: ...in India?

Answer: New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island, NY-NJ-PA

```
tail(sort(tapply(CPS$Country == "India", CPS$MetroArea, sum, na.rm = TRUE))), 1)
```

| |
|--|
| New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island, NY-NJ-PA |
| 96 |

4.4.2: ...in Brazil?

Answer: Boston-Cambridge-Quincy, MA-NH

```
tail(sort(tapply(CPS$Country == "Brazil", CPS$MetroArea, sum, na.rm = TRUE)), 1)
```

Boston-Cambridge-Quincy, MA-NH
18

4.4.3: ...in Somalia?

Answer: Minneapolis-St Paul-Bloomington, MN-WI

```
tail(sort(tapply(CPS$Country == "Somalia", CPS$MetroArea, sum, na.rm = TRUE)), 1)
```

Minneapolis-St Paul-Bloomington, MN-WI
17

Internet Privacy Poll (OPTIONAL)

Internet privacy has gained widespread attention in recent years. To measure the degree to which people are concerned about hot-button issues like Internet privacy, social scientists conduct polls in which they interview a large number of people about the topic. In this assignment, we will analyze data from a July 2013 Pew Internet and American Life Project poll on Internet anonymity and privacy, which involved interviews across the United States. While the full polling data can be found [here](#), we will use a more limited version of the results, available in [AnonymityPoll.csv](#).

Start:

Using `read.csv()`, load the dataset from `AnonymityPoll.csv` into a data frame called `poll`.

```
poll <- read.csv("/cloud/project/analyticssedge/Datasets/DatasetsUnit1/AnonymityPoll.csv")
```

1.1: How many people participated in the poll?

Answer: 1002

```
nrow(poll)
```

```
[1] 1002
```

1.2.1: How many interviewees responded that they use a smartphone?

Answer: 487

```
sum(poll$Smartphone, na.rm = TRUE)
```

```
[1] 487
```

1.2.2: How many interviewees responded that they don't use a smartphone?

Answer: 472

```
table(poll$Smartphone)
```

```
0    1  
472 487
```

1.2.3: How many interviewees did not respond to the question, resulting in a missing value, or NA, in the summary() output?

Answer: 43

```
sum(is.na(poll$Smartphone))
```

```
[1] 43
```

1.3.1: Which of the following are states in the Midwest census region?

Answer: Kansas, Missouri, Ohio

1.3.2: Which was the state in the South census region with the largest number of interviewees?

Answer: Texas

```
table(poll$Region, poll$State)
```

| | Alabama | Arizona | Arkansas | California | Colorado | Connecticut | Delaware |
|-----------|---------|---------|----------|------------|----------|-------------|----------|
| Midwest | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Northeast | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 |
| South | 11 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| West | 0 | 24 | 0 | 103 | 19 | 0 | 0 |

| | District of Columbia | Florida | Georgia | Idaho | Illinois | Indiana | Iowa |
|-----------|----------------------|---------|---------|-------|----------|---------|------|
| Midwest | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 27 | 14 |
| Northeast | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | | | | | | | |
|-------|---|----|----|---|---|---|---|
| South | 2 | 42 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| West | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | | | | | | | |
|-----------|--------|----------|-----------|-------|----------|---------------|----------|
| | Kansas | Kentucky | Louisiana | Maine | Maryland | Massachusetts | Michigan |
| Midwest | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| Northeast | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 19 | 0 |
| South | 0 | 25 | 17 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 |
| West | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----------|-------------|----------|---------|----------|--------|
| | Minnesota | Mississippi | Missouri | Montana | Nebraska | Nevada |
| Midwest | 15 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 11 | 0 |
| Northeast | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| South | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| West | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 8 |

| | | | | | |
|-----------|---------------|------------|------------|----------|----------------|
| | New Hampshire | New Jersey | New Mexico | New York | North Carolina |
| Midwest | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Northeast | 7 | 16 | 0 | 60 | 0 |
| South | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 32 |
| West | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |

| | | | | | | |
|-----------|--------------|------|----------|--------|--------------|--------------|
| | North Dakota | Ohio | Oklahoma | Oregon | Pennsylvania | Rhode Island |
| Midwest | 5 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Northeast | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 4 |
| South | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| West | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |

| | | | | | | | |
|-----------|----------------|--------------|-----------|-------|------|---------|----------|
| | South Carolina | South Dakota | Tennessee | Texas | Utah | Vermont | Virginia |
| Midwest | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Northeast | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| South | 12 | 0 | 17 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 31 |
| West | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 |

| | | | | |
|-----------|------------|---------------|-----------|---------|
| | Washington | West Virginia | Wisconsin | Wyoming |
| Midwest | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 |
| Northeast | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| South | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| West | 28 | 0 | 0 | 7 |

2.1.1: How many interviewees reported not having used the Internet and not having used a smartphone?

Answer: 186


```
tapply(poll$Internet.Use == 0, poll$Smartphone == 0, sum, na.rm = TRUE)
```

```
FALSE  TRUE  
    17   186
```

2.1.2: How many interviewees reported having used the Internet and having used a smartphone?

Answer: 470

```
tapply(poll$Internet.Use, poll$Smartphone, sum, na.rm = TRUE)
```

```
0    1  
285 470
```

2.1.3: How many interviewees reported having used the Internet but not having used a smartphone?

Answer: 285

```
tapply(poll$Internet.Use == 1, poll$Smartphone == 0, sum, na.rm = TRUE)
```

```
FALSE  TRUE  
    470   285
```

2.1.4: How many interviewees reported having used a smartphone but not having used the Internet?

Answer: 17

```
tapply(poll$Internet.Use == 0, poll$Smartphone == 1, sum, na.rm = TRUE)
```

```
FALSE  TRUE  
    186   17
```

```
# Alternative to all 4 above questions: table(poll$Internet.Use, poll$Smartphone)
```

2.2.1: How many interviewees have a missing value for their Internet use?

Answer: 1

```
sum(is.na(poll$Internet.Use))
```

```
[1] 1
```

2.2.2: How many interviewees have a missing value for their smartphone use?

Answer: 43

```
sum(is.na(poll$Smartphone))
```

```
[1] 43
```

Use the subset function to obtain a data frame called “limited”, which is limited to interviewees who reported Internet use or who reported smartphone use:

```
limited <- subset(poll, poll$Internet.Use == 1  
                 | poll$Smartphone == 1)  
# Alternative: limited = subset(poll, Internet.Use == 1 | Smartphone == 1)
```

2.3: How many interviewees are in the new data frame?

Answer: 792

```
nrow(limited)
```

```
[1] 792
```

3.1: Which variables have missing values in the limited data frame?

Answer: *Check output*

```
names(which(colSums(is.na(limited)) > 0))
```

```
[1] "Smartphone"      "Age"              "Conservativeness"  
[4] "Worry.About.Info" "Privacy.Importance" "Anonymity.Possible"  
[7] "Tried.Masking.Identity" "Privacy.Laws.Effective"
```

3.2: What is the average number of pieces of personal information on the Internet, according to the Info.On.Internet variable?

Answer: 3.795455

```
mean(limited$Info.On.Internet)
```

```
[1] 3.795455
```

3.3.1: How many interviewees reported a value of 0 for Info.On.Internet?

Answer: 105

```
sum(limited$Info.On.Internet == 0)
```

```
[1] 105
```

3.3.2: How many interviewees reported the maximum value of 11 for Info.On.Internet?

Answer: 8

```
sum(limited$Info.On.Internet == 11)
```

```
[1] 8
```

3.4: What proportion of interviewees who answered the Worry>About.Info question worry about how much information is available about them on the Internet?

Answer: 0.4886076

```
table(limited$Worry>About.Info)
```

```
 0    1
404 386
```

```
386/(404 + 386)
```

```
[1] 0.4886076
```

3.5: What proportion of interviewees who answered the Anonymity.Possible question think it is possible to be completely anonymous on the Internet?

Answer: 0.3691899

```
table(limited$Anonymity.Possible)
```

```
  0    1  
475 278
```

```
278/(475 + 278)
```

```
[1] 0.3691899
```

3.6: What proportion of interviewees who answered the Tried.Masking.Identity question have tried masking their identity on the Internet?

Answer: 0.1632653

```
table(limited$Tried.Masking.Identity)
```

```
  0    1  
656 128
```

```
128/(656 + 128)
```

```
[1] 0.1632653
```

3.7: What proportion of interviewees who answered the Privacy.Laws.Effective question find United States privacy laws effective?

Answer: 0.2558459

```
table(limited$Privacy.Laws.Effective)
```

```
  0    1  
541 186
```


```
186/(541 + 186)
```

```
[1] 0.2558459
```

4.1: Build a histogram of the age of interviewees. What is the best represented age group in the population?


Answer: People aged about 60 years old.

```
hist(limited$Age)
```



Both Age and Info.On.Internet are variables that take on many values, so a good way to observe their relationship is through a graph. We learned in lecture that we can plot Age against Info.On.Internet with the command `plot(limited$Age, limited$Info.On.Internet)`. However, because Info.On.Internet takes on a small number of values, multiple points will be plotted in exactly the same location on this graph, making the distribution hard to see:

```
plot(limited$Age, limited$Info.On.Internet)
```



4.2: What is the largest number of interviewees that have exactly the same value in their Age variable AND the same value in their Info.On.Internet variable?

Answer: 6

```
max(table(limited$Age, limited$Info.On.Internet))
```

[1] 6

4.3: Experimenting with the command jitter(c(1, 2, 3)), what appears to be the functionality of the jitter command?

Answer: jitter adds or subtracts a small amount of random noise to the values passed to it, and two runs will yield different results.


```
jitter(c(1, 2, 3))
```

[1] 0.8320915 2.1740353 3.1696182

4.4: What relationship do you observe between Age and Info.On.Internet?

Answer: Older age seems moderately associated with a smaller value for Info.On.Internet.

```
plot(jitter(limited$Age), jitter(limited$Info.On.Internet))
```



4.5.1: What is the average Info.On.Internet value for smartphone users?

Answer: 4.367556

4.5.2: What is the average Info.On.Internet value for non-smartphone users?

Answer: 2.922807

```
tapply(limited$Info.On.Internet, limited$Smartphone, mean, na.rm = TRUE)
```

```
      0      1
2.922807 4.367556
```

4.6.1: What proportion of smartphone users who answered the Tried.Masking.Identity question have tried masking their identity when using the Internet?

Answer: 0.1925466

4.6.2: What proportion of non-smartphone users who answered the Tried.Masking.Identity question have tried masking their identity when using the Internet?

Answer: 0.1174377

```
tapply(limited$Tried.Masking.Identity, limited$Smartphone, mean, na.rm = TRUE)
```

```
      0      1
0.1174377 0.1925466
```

And we're done! That was all for Assignment 1!

The Analytics Edge: Assignment 2

The following link will lead you to the assignment on the edX website: <https://learning.edx.org/course/course-v1:MITx+15.071x+2T2020/block-v1:MITx+15.071x+2T2020+type@sequential+block@60d93a44280348d7a0a16663f92af0f7>

Climate Change

There have been many studies documenting that the average global temperature has been increasing over the last century. The consequences of a continued rise in global temperature will be dire. Rising sea levels and an increased frequency of extreme weather events will affect billions of people.

In this problem, we will attempt to study the relationship between average global temperature and several other factors.

The file `climate_change.csv` contains climate data from May 1983 to December 2008.

Start:

We are interested in how changes in these variables affect future temperatures, as well as how well these variables explain temperature changes so far. To do this, first read the dataset `climate_change.csv` into R.

```
climateChange <- read.csv("/cloud/project/analyticsedge/Datasets/DatasetsUnit2/climate_cha
```

Then, split the data into a *training set*, consisting of all the observations up to and including 2006, and a *testing set* consisting of the remaining years (hint: use `subset`). A training set refers to the data that will be used to build the model (this is the data we give to the `lm()` function), and a testing set refers to the data we will use to test our predictive ability.

```
climateTrain <- subset(climateChange, Year <= 2006)
climateTest <- subset(climateChange, Year > 2006)
```

Next, build a linear regression model to predict the dependent variable `Temp`, using `MEI`, `CO2`, `CH4`, `N2O`, `CFC.11`, `CFC.12`, `TSI`, and `Aerosols` as independent variables (`Year` and `Month` should NOT be used in the model). Use the training set to build the model.


```
climateModel1 <- lm(Temp ~ MEI + CO2 + CH4 + N2O + CFC.11 + CFC.12 + TSI + Aerosols, data
```

1.1: What is the model R2 (the “Multiple R-squared” value)?

Answer: 0.7509

1.2: Which variables are significant in the model?

Answer: MEI, CO2, CFC.11, CFC.12, TSI, Aerosols

```
summary(climateModel1)
```

Call:

```
lm(formula = Temp ~ MEI + CO2 + CH4 + N2O + CFC.11 + CFC.12 +  
    TSI + Aerosols, data = climateTrain)
```

Residuals:

| | Min | 1Q | Median | 3Q | Max |
|--|----------|----------|----------|---------|---------|
| | -0.25888 | -0.05913 | -0.00082 | 0.05649 | 0.32433 |

Coefficients:

| | Estimate | Std. Error | t value | Pr(> t) | |
|-------------|------------|------------|---------|----------|-----|
| (Intercept) | -1.246e+02 | 1.989e+01 | -6.265 | 1.43e-09 | *** |
| MEI | 6.421e-02 | 6.470e-03 | 9.923 | < 2e-16 | *** |
| CO2 | 6.457e-03 | 2.285e-03 | 2.826 | 0.00505 | ** |
| CH4 | 1.240e-04 | 5.158e-04 | 0.240 | 0.81015 | |
| N2O | -1.653e-02 | 8.565e-03 | -1.930 | 0.05467 | . |
| CFC.11 | -6.631e-03 | 1.626e-03 | -4.078 | 5.96e-05 | *** |
| CFC.12 | 3.808e-03 | 1.014e-03 | 3.757 | 0.00021 | *** |
| TSI | 9.314e-02 | 1.475e-02 | 6.313 | 1.10e-09 | *** |
| Aerosols | -1.538e+00 | 2.133e-01 | -7.210 | 5.41e-12 | *** |

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 0.09171 on 275 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.7509, Adjusted R-squared: 0.7436

F-statistic: 103.6 on 8 and 275 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

Current scientific opinion is that nitrous oxide and CFC-11 are greenhouse gases: gases that are able to trap heat from the sun and contribute to the heating of the Earth. However, the regression coefficients of both the N2O and CFC-11 variables are *negative*, indicating that increasing atmospheric concentrations of either of these two compounds is associated with lower global temperatures.

2.1: What is the simplest correct explanation for this contradiction?

Answer: All of the gas concentration variables reflect human development - N2O and CFC.11 are correlated with other variables in the data set.

2.2.1: Which of the following independent variables is N2O highly correlated with (absolute correlation greater than 0.7)?

Answer: CO2, CH4, CFC.12

2.2.2: Which of the following independent variables is CFC.11 highly correlated with?

Answer: CH4, CFC.12

```
cor(climateTrain)
```

| | Year | Month | MEI | CO2 | CH4 |
|----------|-------------|---------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|
| Year | 1.00000000 | -0.0279419602 | -0.0369876842 | 0.98274939 | 0.91565945 |
| Month | -0.02794196 | 1.0000000000 | 0.0008846905 | -0.10673246 | 0.01856866 |
| MEI | -0.03698768 | 0.0008846905 | 1.0000000000 | -0.04114717 | -0.03341930 |
| CO2 | 0.98274939 | -0.1067324607 | -0.0411471651 | 1.00000000 | 0.87727963 |
| CH4 | 0.91565945 | 0.0185686624 | -0.0334193014 | 0.87727963 | 1.00000000 |
| N2O | 0.99384523 | 0.0136315303 | -0.0508197755 | 0.97671982 | 0.89983864 |
| CFC.11 | 0.56910643 | -0.0131112236 | 0.0690004387 | 0.51405975 | 0.77990402 |
| CFC.12 | 0.89701166 | 0.0006751102 | 0.0082855443 | 0.85268963 | 0.96361625 |
| TSI | 0.17030201 | -0.0346061935 | -0.1544919227 | 0.17742893 | 0.24552844 |
| Aerosols | -0.34524670 | 0.0148895406 | 0.3402377871 | -0.35615480 | -0.26780919 |
| Temp | 0.78679714 | -0.0998567411 | 0.1724707512 | 0.78852921 | 0.70325502 |

| | N2O | CFC.11 | CFC.12 | TSI | Aerosols |
|----------|-------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|
| Year | 0.99384523 | 0.56910643 | 0.8970116635 | 0.17030201 | -0.34524670 |
| Month | 0.01363153 | -0.01311122 | 0.0006751102 | -0.03460619 | 0.01488954 |
| MEI | -0.05081978 | 0.06900044 | 0.0082855443 | -0.15449192 | 0.34023779 |
| CO2 | 0.97671982 | 0.51405975 | 0.8526896272 | 0.17742893 | -0.35615480 |
| CH4 | 0.89983864 | 0.77990402 | 0.9636162478 | 0.24552844 | -0.26780919 |
| N2O | 1.00000000 | 0.52247732 | 0.8679307757 | 0.19975668 | -0.33705457 |
| CFC.11 | 0.52247732 | 1.00000000 | 0.8689851828 | 0.27204596 | -0.04392120 |
| CFC.12 | 0.86793078 | 0.86898518 | 1.0000000000 | 0.25530281 | -0.22513124 |
| TSI | 0.19975668 | 0.27204596 | 0.2553028138 | 1.00000000 | 0.05211651 |
| Aerosols | -0.33705457 | -0.04392120 | -0.2251312440 | 0.05211651 | 1.00000000 |
| Temp | 0.77863893 | 0.40771029 | 0.6875575483 | 0.24338269 | -0.38491375 |

| | Temp |
|-------|-------------|
| Year | 0.78679714 |
| Month | -0.09985674 |
| MEI | 0.17247075 |
| CO2 | 0.78852921 |

| | |
|----------|-------------|
| CH4 | 0.70325502 |
| N2O | 0.77863893 |
| CFC.11 | 0.40771029 |
| CFC.12 | 0.68755755 |
| TSI | 0.24338269 |
| Aerosols | -0.38491375 |
| Temp | 1.00000000 |

Given that the correlations are so high, let us focus on the N2O variable and build a model with only MEI, TSI, Aerosols and N2O as independent variables. Remember to use the training set to build the model:

```
climateModel2 <- lm(Temp ~ MEI + N2O + TSI + Aerosols, data = climateTrain)
```

3.1: What is the coefficient of N2O in this reduced model?

Answer: 2.532e-02 (0.02532)

3.2: What is the model R^2 ?

Answer: 0.7261

```
summary(climateModel2)
```

Call:

```
lm(formula = Temp ~ MEI + N2O + TSI + Aerosols, data = climateTrain)
```

Residuals:

| | Min | 1Q | Median | 3Q | Max |
|--|----------|----------|----------|---------|---------|
| | -0.27916 | -0.05975 | -0.00595 | 0.05672 | 0.34195 |

Coefficients:

| | Estimate | Std. Error | t value | Pr(> t) | |
|-------------|------------|------------|---------|----------|-----|
| (Intercept) | -1.162e+02 | 2.022e+01 | -5.747 | 2.37e-08 | *** |
| MEI | 6.419e-02 | 6.652e-03 | 9.649 | < 2e-16 | *** |
| N2O | 2.532e-02 | 1.311e-03 | 19.307 | < 2e-16 | *** |
| TSI | 7.949e-02 | 1.487e-02 | 5.344 | 1.89e-07 | *** |
| Aerosols | -1.702e+00 | 2.180e-01 | -7.806 | 1.19e-13 | *** |

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 0.09547 on 279 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.7261, Adjusted R-squared: 0.7222

F-statistic: 184.9 on 4 and 279 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

We have many variables in this problem, and as we have seen above, dropping some from the model does not decrease model quality. R provides a function, `step`, that will automate the procedure of trying different combinations of variables to find a good compromise of model simplicity and R^2 . This trade-off is formalized by the Akaike information criterion (AIC) - it can be informally thought of as the quality of the model with a penalty for the number of variables in the model.

Use the `step` function in R to derive a new model, with the full model as the initial model:

```
climateModel <- step(climateModel1)
```

Start: AIC=-1348.16

Temp ~ MEI + CO2 + CH4 + N2O + CFC.11 + CFC.12 + TSI + Aerosols

| | Df | Sum of Sq | RSS | AIC |
|------------|----|-----------|--------|---------|
| - CH4 | 1 | 0.00049 | 2.3135 | -1350.1 |
| <none> | | | 2.3130 | -1348.2 |
| - N2O | 1 | 0.03132 | 2.3443 | -1346.3 |
| - CO2 | 1 | 0.06719 | 2.3802 | -1342.0 |
| - CFC.12 | 1 | 0.11874 | 2.4318 | -1335.9 |
| - CFC.11 | 1 | 0.13986 | 2.4529 | -1333.5 |
| - TSI | 1 | 0.33516 | 2.6482 | -1311.7 |
| - Aerosols | 1 | 0.43727 | 2.7503 | -1301.0 |
| - MEI | 1 | 0.82823 | 3.1412 | -1263.2 |

Step: AIC=-1350.1

Temp ~ MEI + CO2 + N2O + CFC.11 + CFC.12 + TSI + Aerosols

| | Df | Sum of Sq | RSS | AIC |
|------------|----|-----------|--------|---------|
| <none> | | | 2.3135 | -1350.1 |
| - N2O | 1 | 0.03133 | 2.3448 | -1348.3 |
| - CO2 | 1 | 0.06672 | 2.3802 | -1344.0 |
| - CFC.12 | 1 | 0.13023 | 2.4437 | -1336.5 |
| - CFC.11 | 1 | 0.13938 | 2.4529 | -1335.5 |
| - TSI | 1 | 0.33500 | 2.6485 | -1313.7 |
| - Aerosols | 1 | 0.43987 | 2.7534 | -1302.7 |
| - MEI | 1 | 0.83118 | 3.1447 | -1264.9 |

4.1: What is the R^2 value of the model produced by the step function?

Answer: 0.7508

4.2: Which of the variable(s) were eliminated from the full model by the step function?

Answer: CH4

```
summary(climateModel)
```

Call:

```
lm(formula = Temp ~ MEI + CO2 + N2O + CFC.11 + CFC.12 + TSI +  
    Aerosols, data = climateTrain)
```

Residuals:

| | Min | 1Q | Median | 3Q | Max |
|--|----------|----------|----------|---------|---------|
| | -0.25770 | -0.05994 | -0.00104 | 0.05588 | 0.32203 |

Coefficients:

| | Estimate | Std. Error | t value | Pr(> t) | |
|-------------|------------|------------|---------|----------|-----|
| (Intercept) | -1.245e+02 | 1.985e+01 | -6.273 | 1.37e-09 | *** |
| MEI | 6.407e-02 | 6.434e-03 | 9.958 | < 2e-16 | *** |
| CO2 | 6.402e-03 | 2.269e-03 | 2.821 | 0.005129 | ** |
| N2O | -1.602e-02 | 8.287e-03 | -1.933 | 0.054234 | . |
| CFC.11 | -6.609e-03 | 1.621e-03 | -4.078 | 5.95e-05 | *** |
| CFC.12 | 3.868e-03 | 9.812e-04 | 3.942 | 0.000103 | *** |
| TSI | 9.312e-02 | 1.473e-02 | 6.322 | 1.04e-09 | *** |
| Aerosols | -1.540e+00 | 2.126e-01 | -7.244 | 4.36e-12 | *** |

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 0.09155 on 276 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.7508, Adjusted R-squared: 0.7445

F-statistic: 118.8 on 7 and 276 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

5: Using the model produced from the step function, calculate temperature predictions for the testing data set, using the predict function. What is the testing set R^2 ?

Answer: 0.6286051

```
predictTemp <- predict(climateModel, newdata = climateTest)
SSE = sum((predictTemp - climateTest$Temp)^2)
SST = sum((mean(climateTrain$Temp) - climateTest$Temp)^2)
R2 = 1 - SSE/SST
R2
```

```
[1] 0.6286051
```

Reading Test Scores

The Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) is a test given every three years to 15-year-old students from around the world to evaluate their performance in mathematics, reading, and science. This test provides a quantitative way to compare the performance of students from different parts of the world. In this homework assignment, we will predict the reading scores of students from the United States of America on the 2009 PISA exam.

The datasets [pisa2009train.csv](#) and [pisa2009test.csv](#) contain information about the demographics and schools for American students taking the exam, derived from [2009 PISA Public-Use Data Files](#) distributed by the United States National Center for Education Statistics (NCES). While the datasets are not supposed to contain identifying information about students taking the test, by using the data you are bound by them [NCES data use agreement](#), which prohibits any attempt to determine the identity of any student in the datasets.

Start:

Load the training and testing sets [pisa2009train.csv](#) and [pisa2009test.csv](#) using the `read.csv()` function, and save them as variables with the names `pisaTrain` and `pisaTest`.

```
pisaTrain <- read.csv("/cloud/project/analyticsege/Datasets/DatasetsUnit2/pisa2009train.csv")
pisaTest  <- read.csv("/cloud/project/analyticsege/Datasets/DatasetsUnit2/pisa2009test.csv")
```

1.1: How many students are there in the training set?

Answer: 3663

```
nrow(pisaTrain)
```

```
[1] 3663
```

1.2.1: Using `tapply()` on `pisaTrain`, what is the average reading test score of males

Answer: 483.5325

1.2.2: Using `tapply()` on `pisaTrain`, what is the average reading test score of females?

Answer: 512.9406

```
tapply(pisaTrain$readingScore, pisaTrain$male, mean)
```

```
      0      1
512.9406 483.5325
```

1.3: Which variables are missing data in at least one observation in the training set?

Answer: *Check output*

```
names(which(colSums(is.na(pisaTrain)) > 0))
```

```
[1] "raceeth"          "preschool"          "expectBachelors"
[4] "motherHS"         "motherBachelors"    "motherWork"
[7] "fatherHS"         "fatherBachelors"    "fatherWork"
[10] "selfBornUS"       "motherBornUS"       "fatherBornUS"
[13] "englishAtHome"    "computerForSchoolwork" "read30MinsADay"
[16] "minutesPerWeekEnglish" "studentsInEnglish" "schoolHasLibrary"
[19] "schoolSize"
```

Linear regression discards observations with missing data, so we will remove all such observations from the training and testing sets:

```
pisaTrain <- na.omit(pisaTrain)
pisaTest <- na.omit(pisaTest)
```

1.4: How many observations are now in the training/testing set?

Answer: 2414/990 respectively

```
nrow(pisaTrain)
```

```
[1] 2414
```

```
nrow(pisaTest)
```

```
[1] 990
```

2.1: Which of the variables *grade*, *male* and *raceeth* is an unordered or ordered factor with a min. of 3 values

Answer: grade - ordered (ex. 8, 9, 10, 11) male - only has 2 values raceeth - unordered (no way to specifically order it)

How to include unordered factors in a linear regression model

To include unordered factors in a linear regression model, we define one level as the “reference level” and add a binary variable for each of the remaining levels. In this way, a factor with n levels is replaced by n-1 binary variables. The reference level is typically selected to be the most frequently occurring level in the dataset.

As an example, consider the unordered factor variable “color”, with levels “red”, “green”, and “blue”. If “green” were the reference level, then we would add binary variables “colorred” and “colorblue” to a linear regression problem. All red examples would have colorred=1 and colorblue=0. All blue examples would have colorred=0 and colorblue=1. All green examples would have colorred=0 and colorblue=0.

Now, consider the variable “raceeth” in our problem, which has levels “American Indian/Alaska Native”, “Asian”, “Black”, “Hispanic”, “More than one race”, “Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander”, and “White”. Because it is the most common in our population, we will select White as the reference level.

2.2: Which binary variables will be included in the regression model?

Answer: We create a binary variable for each level except the reference level, so we would create all these variables except for raceethWhite.

2.3: For a student who is Asian, which binary variables would be set to 0? What about a student who is white?

Answer: An Asian student will have raceethAsian set to 1 and all other raceeth binary variables set to 0. Because “White” is the reference level, a white student will have all raceeth binary variables set to 0. -----

Because the race variable takes on text values, it was loaded as a factor variable when we read in the dataset with read.csv() – you can see this when you run str(pisaTrain) or str(pisaTest). However, by default R selects the first level alphabetically (“American Indian/Alaska Native”) as the reference level of our factor instead of the most common level (“White”). **Set the reference level of the factor by typing the following two lines in your R console:**

```
pisaTrain$raceeth = relevel(factor(pisaTrain$raceeth), "White")
pisaTest$raceeth = relevel(factor(pisaTest$raceeth), "White")
```

Now, build a linear regression model (call it lmScore) using the training set to predict readingScore using all the remaining variables:

```
lmScore <- lm(readingScore ~ ., data = pisaTrain)
```


3.1: What is the Multiple R-squared value of lmScore on the training set?

Answer: 0.3251

```
summary(lmScore)
```

Call:

```
lm(formula = readingScore ~ ., data = pisaTrain)
```

Residuals:

| Min | 1Q | Median | 3Q | Max |
|---------|--------|--------|-------|--------|
| -247.44 | -48.86 | 1.86 | 49.77 | 217.18 |

Coefficients:

| | Estimate | Std. Error | t value |
|---|------------|------------|---------|
| (Intercept) | 143.766333 | 33.841226 | 4.248 |
| grade | 29.542707 | 2.937399 | 10.057 |
| male | -14.521653 | 3.155926 | -4.601 |
| raceethAmerican Indian/Alaska Native | -67.277327 | 16.786935 | -4.008 |
| raceethAsian | -4.110325 | 9.220071 | -0.446 |
| raceethBlack | -67.012347 | 5.460883 | -12.271 |
| raceethHispanic | -38.975486 | 5.177743 | -7.528 |
| raceethMore than one race | -16.922522 | 8.496268 | -1.992 |
| raceethNative Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | -5.101601 | 17.005696 | -0.300 |
| preschool | -4.463670 | 3.486055 | -1.280 |
| expectBachelors | 55.267080 | 4.293893 | 12.871 |
| motherHS | 6.058774 | 6.091423 | 0.995 |
| motherBachelors | 12.638068 | 3.861457 | 3.273 |
| motherWork | -2.809101 | 3.521827 | -0.798 |
| fatherHS | 4.018214 | 5.579269 | 0.720 |
| fatherBachelors | 16.929755 | 3.995253 | 4.237 |
| fatherWork | 5.842798 | 4.395978 | 1.329 |
| selfBornUS | -3.806278 | 7.323718 | -0.520 |
| motherBornUS | -8.798153 | 6.587621 | -1.336 |
| fatherBornUS | 4.306994 | 6.263875 | 0.688 |
| englishAtHome | 8.035685 | 6.859492 | 1.171 |
| computerForSchoolwork | 22.500232 | 5.702562 | 3.946 |
| read30MinsADay | 34.871924 | 3.408447 | 10.231 |
| minutesPerWeekEnglish | 0.012788 | 0.010712 | 1.194 |
| studentsInEnglish | -0.286631 | 0.227819 | -1.258 |
| schoolHasLibrary | 12.215085 | 9.264884 | 1.318 |
| publicSchool | -16.857475 | 6.725614 | -2.506 |
| urban | -0.110132 | 3.962724 | -0.028 |

| | | | |
|---|----------|----------|-------|
| schoolSize | 0.006540 | 0.002197 | 2.977 |
| | Pr(> t) | | |
| (Intercept) | 2.24e-05 | *** | |
| grade | < 2e-16 | *** | |
| male | 4.42e-06 | *** | |
| raceethAmerican Indian/Alaska Native | 6.32e-05 | *** | |
| raceethAsian | 0.65578 | | |
| raceethBlack | < 2e-16 | *** | |
| raceethHispanic | 7.29e-14 | *** | |
| raceethMore than one race | 0.04651 | * | |
| raceethNative Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | 0.76421 | | |
| preschool | 0.20052 | | |
| expectBachelors | < 2e-16 | *** | |
| motherHS | 0.32001 | | |
| motherBachelors | 0.00108 | ** | |
| motherWork | 0.42517 | | |
| fatherHS | 0.47147 | | |
| fatherBachelors | 2.35e-05 | *** | |
| fatherWork | 0.18393 | | |
| selfBornUS | 0.60331 | | |
| motherBornUS | 0.18182 | | |
| fatherBornUS | 0.49178 | | |
| englishAtHome | 0.24153 | | |
| computerForSchoolwork | 8.19e-05 | *** | |
| read30MinsADay | < 2e-16 | *** | |
| minutesPerWeekEnglish | 0.23264 | | |
| studentsInEnglish | 0.20846 | | |
| schoolHasLibrary | 0.18749 | | |
| publicSchool | 0.01226 | * | |
| urban | 0.97783 | | |
| schoolSize | 0.00294 | ** | |

Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

Residual standard error: 73.81 on 2385 degrees of freedom

Multiple R-squared: 0.3251, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3172

F-statistic: 41.04 on 28 and 2385 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16

3.2: What is the training-set root-mean squared error (RMSE) of lmScore?

Answer: 73.36555

```
sqrt(mean(lmScore$residuals^2))
```

```
[1] 73.36555
```

3.3: Consider two students A and B. They have all variable values the same, except that student A is in grade 11 and student B is in grade 9. What is the predicted reading score of student A minus the predicted reading score of student B?

Answer: 59.08541

```
29.542707*2
```

```
[1] 59.08541
```

```
# The coefficient of the variable grade is 29.542707, meaning that it affects the reading
```

3.4: What is the meaning of the coefficient associated with variable raceethAsian?

Answer: Predicted difference in the reading score between an Asian student and a white student who is otherwise identical

3.5: Based on the significance codes, which variables are candidates for removal from the model?

Answer: preschool, expectBachelors, motherHS, motherWork, fatherHS, fatherWork, self-BornUS, motherBornUS, fatherBornUS, englishAtHome, minutesPerWeekEnglish, studentsInEnglish, schoolHasLibrary, urban

```
summary(lmScore)
```

Call:

```
lm(formula = readingScore ~ ., data = pisaTrain)
```

Residuals:

| Min | 1Q | Median | 3Q | Max |
|---------|--------|--------|-------|--------|
| -247.44 | -48.86 | 1.86 | 49.77 | 217.18 |

Coefficients:

| | Estimate | Std. Error | t value |
|--------------------------------------|------------|------------|---------|
| (Intercept) | 143.766333 | 33.841226 | 4.248 |
| grade | 29.542707 | 2.937399 | 10.057 |
| male | -14.521653 | 3.155926 | -4.601 |
| raceethAmerican Indian/Alaska Native | -67.277327 | 16.786935 | -4.008 |
| raceethAsian | -4.110325 | 9.220071 | -0.446 |

| | | | |
|---|------------|-----------|---------|
| raceethBlack | -67.012347 | 5.460883 | -12.271 |
| raceethHispanic | -38.975486 | 5.177743 | -7.528 |
| raceethMore than one race | -16.922522 | 8.496268 | -1.992 |
| raceethNative Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | -5.101601 | 17.005696 | -0.300 |
| preschool | -4.463670 | 3.486055 | -1.280 |
| expectBachelors | 55.267080 | 4.293893 | 12.871 |
| motherHS | 6.058774 | 6.091423 | 0.995 |
| motherBachelors | 12.638068 | 3.861457 | 3.273 |
| motherWork | -2.809101 | 3.521827 | -0.798 |
| fatherHS | 4.018214 | 5.579269 | 0.720 |
| fatherBachelors | 16.929755 | 3.995253 | 4.237 |
| fatherWork | 5.842798 | 4.395978 | 1.329 |
| selfBornUS | -3.806278 | 7.323718 | -0.520 |
| motherBornUS | -8.798153 | 6.587621 | -1.336 |
| fatherBornUS | 4.306994 | 6.263875 | 0.688 |
| englishAtHome | 8.035685 | 6.859492 | 1.171 |
| computerForSchoolwork | 22.500232 | 5.702562 | 3.946 |
| read30MinsADay | 34.871924 | 3.408447 | 10.231 |
| minutesPerWeekEnglish | 0.012788 | 0.010712 | 1.194 |
| studentsInEnglish | -0.286631 | 0.227819 | -1.258 |
| schoolHasLibrary | 12.215085 | 9.264884 | 1.318 |
| publicSchool | -16.857475 | 6.725614 | -2.506 |
| urban | -0.110132 | 3.962724 | -0.028 |
| schoolSize | 0.006540 | 0.002197 | 2.977 |
| | Pr(> t) | | |
| (Intercept) | 2.24e-05 | *** | |
| grade | < 2e-16 | *** | |
| male | 4.42e-06 | *** | |
| raceethAmerican Indian/Alaska Native | 6.32e-05 | *** | |
| raceethAsian | 0.65578 | | |
| raceethBlack | < 2e-16 | *** | |
| raceethHispanic | 7.29e-14 | *** | |
| raceethMore than one race | 0.04651 | * | |
| raceethNative Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander | 0.76421 | | |
| preschool | 0.20052 | | |
| expectBachelors | < 2e-16 | *** | |
| motherHS | 0.32001 | | |
| motherBachelors | 0.00108 | ** | |
| motherWork | 0.42517 | | |
| fatherHS | 0.47147 | | |
| fatherBachelors | 2.35e-05 | *** | |
| fatherWork | 0.18393 | | |
| selfBornUS | 0.60331 | | |

```

motherBornUS                0.18182
fatherBornUS                 0.49178
englishAtHome                0.24153
computerForSchoolwork        8.19e-05 ***
read30MinsADay               < 2e-16 ***
minutesPerWeekEnglish         0.23264
studentsInEnglish            0.20846
schoolHasLibrary             0.18749
publicSchool                 0.01226 *
urban                        0.97783
schoolSize                   0.00294 **
---
Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1

```

```

Residual standard error: 73.81 on 2385 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared:  0.3251,    Adjusted R-squared:  0.3172
F-statistic: 41.04 on 28 and 2385 DF,  p-value: < 2.2e-16

```

Using the “predict” function and supplying the “newdata” argument, use the `lmScore` model to predict the reading scores of students in `pisaTest`. Call this vector of predictions “`predTest`” (Do not change the variables in the model (for example, do not remove variables that we found were not significant in the previous part of this problem)):

```
predTest <- predict(lmScore, newdata = pisaTest)
```

4.1: What is the range between the maximum and minimum predicted reading score on the test set?

Answer: 284.4683

```
max(predTest) - min(predTest)
```

```
[1] 284.4683
```

4.2.1: What is the sum of squared errors (SSE) of `lmScore` on the testing set?

Answer: 5762082

```
SSE <- sum((predTest - pisaTest$readingScore)^2)
SSE
```

```
[1] 5762082
```

4.2.2: What is the root-mean squared error (RMSE) of lmScore on the testing set?

Answer: 76.29079

```
sqrt(SSE/nrow(pisaTest))
```

```
[1] 76.29079
```

4.3.1: What is the predicted test score used in the baseline model?

Answer: 517.9629

```
mean(pisaTrain$readingScore)
```

```
[1] 517.9629
```

4.3.2: What is the total sum of squares (SST) on the testing-set?

Answer: 7802354

```
SST <- sum((mean(pisaTrain$readingScore)-pisaTest$readingScore)^2)
SST
```

```
[1] 7802354
```

4.4: What is the test-set R-squared value of lmScore?

Answer: 0.2614944

```
R2 <- 1 - SSE/SST
R2
```

```
[1] 0.2614944
```