

# Lecture 6

## Generating Sets and Bases

Let  $V$  be the vector space  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and consider the vectors  $(1, 0), (0, 1)$ . Then, every vector  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  can be written as a combination of those vectors. That is:

$$(x, y) = x(1, 0) + y(0, 1).$$

Similarly, the two vectors  $(1, 1)$  and  $(1, 2)$  do not belong to the same line, and every vector in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  can be written as a combination of those two vectors.

### 6.1 Introduction

In particular:

$$(x, y) = a(1, 1) + (1, 2)$$

gives us two equations

$$a + b = x \quad \text{and} \quad a + 2b = y$$

Thus, by substituting the first equation to the second, we get

$$b = -x + y$$

Inserting this into the first equation we get

$$a = 2x - y$$

Take for example the point  $(4, 3)$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} (4, 3) &= 5(1, 1) + (-1)(1, 2) \\ &= 5(1, 1) - (1, 2) \end{aligned}$$

We have similar situation for  $\mathbb{R}^3$  and all of the spaces  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

In the case of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , for example, every vector can be written as combinations of  $(1, 0, 0)$ ,  $(0, 1, 0)$  and  $(0, 0, 1)$ , i.e.,

$$(x, y, z) = x(1, 0, 0) + y(0, 1, 0) + z(0, 0, 1).$$

Or, as a combination of  $(1, -1, 0)$ ,  $(1, 1, 1)$  and  $(0, 1, -1)$ , that is:

$$(x, y, z) = a(1, -1, 0) + b(1, 1, 1) + c(0, 1, -1).$$

The latter gives three equation:

$$a + b = x \quad (1)$$

$$-a + b + c = y \quad (2)$$

$$b - c = z \quad (3).$$

(2) + (3) gives:

$$-a + 2b = y + z \quad (4)$$

(4) + (1) gives:

$$3b = x + y + z \text{ or } b = \frac{x + y + z}{3}.$$

Then (1) gives:

$$\begin{aligned} a &= x - b \\ &= x - \frac{x + y + z}{3} \\ &= \frac{2x - y - z}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, (3) gives:

$$c = b - z = \frac{x + y - 2z}{3}$$

Hence, we get:

$$(x, y, z) = \frac{2x - y - z}{3}(1, -1, 0) + \frac{x + y + z}{3}(1, 1, 1) + \frac{x + y - 2z}{3}(0, 1, -1).$$

## 6.2 In general

Notice that we get only one solution, so there is only one way that we can write a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  as a combination of those vectors. In general, if we have  $k$  vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , then the equation:

$$x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2 + \dots + c_k v_k \quad (*)$$

gives  $n$ -equations involving the  $n$ -coordinates of  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k$  and the unknowns  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k$ . There are three possibilities:

## 6.3 Possibilities

- A The equation(\*) has no solution. Thus,  $x$  can not be written as a combination of the vectors  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k$ .
- B The equation (\*) has only one solution, so  $x$  can be written in exactly one way as a combination of  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k$ .
- C The system of equations has infinitely many solutions, so there are more than one way to write  $x$  as a combination of  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k$ .

### 6.3.1 Case C

Let us look at the last case a little closer. If we write  $x$  in two different ways:

$$\begin{aligned} x &= c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2 + \dots + c_k v_k \\ x &= d_1 v_1 + d_2 v_2 + \dots + d_k v_k \end{aligned}$$

Then, by subtracting, we get:

$$0 = (c_1 - d_1)v_1 + (c_2 - d_2)v_2 + \dots + (c_k - d_k)v_k$$

where some of the numbers  $c_i - d_i$  are non-zero.

Similarly, since we can write:

$$0 = a_1 v_1 + a_2 v_2 + \dots + a_k v_k$$

and

$$x = c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2 + \dots + c_k v_k$$

then we also have:

$$x = (c_1 + a_1)v_1 + (c_2 + a_2)v_2 + \dots + (c_k + a_k)v_k.$$

Thus, we can write  $x$  as a combination of the vectors  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_k$  in several different ways (in fact  $\infty$ -many ways).

## 6.4 Definitions

We will now use this as a motivation for the following definitions.

**Definition.** Let  $V$  be a vector space and  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \in V$ .

1. Let  $W \subseteq V$  be a subspace. We say that  $W$  is spanned by the vectors  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  if every vector in  $W$  can be written as a linear combination of  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$ . Thus, if  $w \in W$ , then there exist numbers  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $w = c_1v_1 + c_2v_2 + \dots + c_nv_n$ .
2. The set of vectors  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  is linearly dependent if there exist  $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n$ , not all equal to zero, such that  $c_1v_1 + c_2v_2 + \dots + c_nv_n = 0$ .

**Definition.** 1. The set of vectors  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  is linearly independent if the set is not linearly dependent (if and only if we can only write  $c_1v_1 + c_2v_2 + \dots + c_nv_n = 0$  with all  $c_i = 0$ ).

2. The set of vectors  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  is a basis for  $W$ , if  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  is linearly independent and spans  $W$ .

Before we show some examples, let us make the following observations:

*Lemma.* Let  $V$  be a vector space with an inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Assume that  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  is an orthogonal subset of vectors in  $V$  (thus  $(v_i, v_j) = 0$  if  $i \neq j$ ). If  $v = c_1v_1 + c_2v_2 + \dots + c_nv_n$ , then  $c_i = \frac{(v, v_i)}{\|v_i\|^2}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $v = c_1v_1 + c_2v_2 + \dots + c_nv_n$ . Take the inner product with  $v_1$  in both sides of the equation. The LHS is  $(v, v_1)$ . The RHS is:

$$\begin{aligned} (c_1v_1 + c_2v_2 + \dots + c_nv_n, v_1) &= c_1(v_1, v_1) + c_2(v_2, v_1) \\ &\quad + \dots + c_n(v_n, v_1) \\ &= c_1(v_1, v_1) \\ &= c_1 \|v_1\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $(v, v_1) = c_1 \|v_1\|^2$ , or  $c_1 = \frac{(v, v_1)}{\|v_1\|^2}$ . Repeat this for  $v_2, \dots, v_n$ . □

*Corollary.* If the vectors  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  are orthogonal, then they are linearly independent.

## 6.5 Examples

*Example.* Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^2$ . The vectors  $(1, 2)$  and  $(-2, -4)$  are linearly dependent because:

$$(-2)(1, 2) + 1(-2, -4) = 0.$$

The vectors  $(1, 2), (1, 1)$  are linearly independent. In fact,  $(1, 2), (1, 1)$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

Indeed, let  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}(x, y) &= c_1(1, 2) + c_2(1, 1) \\ &= (c_1 + c_2, 2c_1 + c_2).\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}x &= c_1 + c_2 \\ y &= 2c_1 + c_2.\end{aligned}$$

Subtracting we get:  $x - y = -c_1$ , or  $c_1 = y - x$ . Plugging this into the first equation we get:

$$c_2 = x - c_1 = x - (y - x) = 2x - y.$$

Thus, we can write any vector in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  as a combination of those two. In particular, for  $(0, 0)$  we get  $c_1 = c_2 = 0$ . The vectors  $(1, 2), (-2, 1)$  are orthogonal and hence linearly independent, and in fact a basis. Hence,

$$(x, y) = c_1(1, 2) + c_2(-2, 1).$$

Taking the inner product we get:  $c_1 = \frac{x+2y}{\|v_1\|^2} = \frac{x+2y}{5}$  and  $c_2 = \frac{-2x+y}{5}$ .

*Example.* Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^3$ . One vector can only generate a line, two vectors can at most span a plane, so we need at least three vectors to span  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . The vectors  $(1, 2, 1), (1, -1, 1)$  are orthogonal but not a basis. In fact, those two vectors span the plane:

$$W = (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x - z = 0$$

(explain why).

On the other hand, the vectors:  $(1, 2, 1), (1, -1, 1)$  and  $(1, 0, -1)$  are orthogonal, and hence a basis.

We have, for example:

$$(4, 3, 1) = c_1(1, 2, 1) + c_2(1, -1, 1) + c_3(1, 0, -1)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 &= \frac{4 + 6 + 1}{1 + 4 + 1} \\ c_2 &= \frac{4 - 3 + 1}{3} \\ c_3 &= \frac{4 - 1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

In general, we have:

$$(x, y, z) = \frac{x + 2y + z}{6}(1, 2, 1) + \frac{x - y + z}{3}(1, -1, 1) + \frac{x - z}{2}(1, 0, -1)$$

Let us now discuss some spaces of functions:

a) Let  $v_0(x) = 1$ ,  $v_1(x) = x$  and  $v_2(x) = x^2$ . Then,  $v_0, v_1$  and  $v_2$  are linearly independent.

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= c_0v_0(x) + c_1v_1(x) + c_2v_2(x) \text{ for all } x \\ &= c_0 + c_1x + c_2x^2 \end{aligned}$$

Take  $x = 0$ , then we get  $c_0 = 0$

Differentiate both sides to get:

$$0 = c_1 + 2c_2x$$

Take again  $x = 0$  to find  $c_1 = 0$ . Differentiate one more time to get that  $c_2 = 0$ . Notice that the span of  $v_0, v_1, v_2$  is in the space of polynomials of degree  $\leq 2$ . Hence, the functions  $1, x, x^2$  form a basis for this space. Notice that the functions  $1 + x, 1 - 2x, x^2$  are also a basis.

b) Are the functions  $v_0(x) = x, v_1(x) = xe^x$  linearly independent/dependent on  $\mathbb{R}$ ? Answer: No.

Assume that  $0 = c_0x + c_1xe^x$ . It does not help to put  $x = 0$  now, but let us first differentiate both sides and get:

$$0 = c_0 + c_1e^x + c_1xe^x$$

Now,  $x = 0$  gives:

$$0 = c_0 + c_1 \quad (1)$$

Differentiating again, we get:  $0 = c_1 e^x + c_1 e^x + c_1 x e^x$ . Now,  $x = 0$  gives  $0 = 2c_1$ , or  $c_1 = 0$ . Hence, (1) gives  $c_1 = 0$ .

c) The functions  $\chi_{[0, \frac{1}{2})}, \chi_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1)}$  are orthogonal and hence linearly independent. Let us show this directly. Assume that

$$0 = c_1 \chi_{[0, \frac{1}{2})} + c_2 \chi_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1)}.$$

An equation like this means that every  $x$  we put into the function on the RHS, the result is always 0.

Let us take  $x = \frac{1}{4}$ . Then:  $\chi_{[0, \frac{1}{2})}(\frac{1}{4}) = 1$ , but  $\chi_{[\frac{1}{2}, 1)}(\frac{1}{4}) = 0$ . Hence,  $0 = c_1 \cdot 1 + c_2 \cdot 0$ , or  $c_1 = 0$ . Taking  $x = \frac{3}{4}$  shows that  $c_2 = 0$ .

d) The functions  $\chi_{[0, \frac{1}{2})}, \chi_{[0, 1)}$  are not orthogonal, but linearly independent.

$$0 = c_1 \chi_{[0, 1)} + c_2 \chi_{[0, \frac{1}{2})}.$$

Take  $x$  so that  $\chi_{[0, \frac{1}{2})}(x) = 0$  but  $\chi_{[0, 1)}(x) = 1$ . Thus, any  $x \in [0, 1) \setminus [0, \frac{1}{2}) = [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$  will do the job. So, take  $x = \frac{3}{4}$ . Then, we see that:

$$0 = c_1 \cdot 1 + c_2 \cdot 0, \text{ or } c_1 = 0.$$

Then take  $x = \frac{1}{4}$  to see that  $c_2 = 0$ .