Exercise List: Proving convergence of the Stochastic Gradient Descent and Coordinate Descent on the Ridge Regression Problem.

Robert M. Gower & Francis Bach & Nidham Gazagnadou

October 5, 2019

Introduction

Consider the task of learning a rule that maps the feature vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ to outputs $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore you are given a set of labelled observations (x_i, y_i) for i = 1, ..., n. We restrict ourselves to linear mappings. That is, we need to find $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$x_i^{\top} w \approx y_i, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$
 (1)

That is the *hypothesis function* is parametrized by w and is given by $h_w: x \mapsto w^\top x$.¹ To choose a w such that each $x_i^\top w$ is close to y_i , we use the squared loss $\ell(y) = y^2/2$ and the squared regularizor. That is, we minimize

$$w^* = \arg\min_{w} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} (x_i^{\top} w - y_i)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||w||_2^2,$$
 (2)

where $\lambda > 0$ is the regularization parameter. We now have a complete training problem $(2)^2$.

Using the matrix notation

$$X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [x_1, \dots, x_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}, \quad \text{and} \quad y = [y_1, \dots, y_n] \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$
 (3)

we can re-write the objective function in (2) as

$$f(w) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2n} \|X^{\top} w - y\|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|_2^2. \tag{4}$$

First we introduce some necessary notation.

¹We need only consider a linear mapping as opposed to the more general affine mapping $x_i \mapsto w^\top x_i + \beta$, because the zero order term $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ can be incorporated by defining a new feature vectors $\hat{x}_i = [x_1, 1]$ and new variable $\hat{w} = [w, \beta]$ so that $\hat{x}_i^\top \hat{w} = x_i^\top w + \beta$

²Excluding the issue of selection λ using something like crossvalidation https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cross-validation_(statistics)

Notation: For every $x, w \in \mathbb{R}^d$ let $\langle x, w \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x^\top y$ and let $||x||_2 = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ be a matrix and let $\sigma_{\min}(A)$ and $\sigma_{\max}(A)$ be the smallest and largest singular values of A defined by

$$\sigma_{\min}(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \, x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{\max}(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \, x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2}. \tag{5}$$

Finally, a result you will need, if A is a symmetric positive semi-definite matrix the largest singular value of A can be defined instead as

$$\sigma_{\max}(A) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, x \neq 0} \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle_2}{\|x\|_2^2} = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2}.$$
 (6)

Therefore

$$\frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\|x\|_2^2} \le \sigma_{\max}(A), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}.$$
 (7)

and

$$\frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2} \le \sigma_{\max}(A), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}.$$
 (8)

We will now solve the following ridge regression problem

$$w^* = \arg\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{1}{2n} \|X^\top w - y\|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|_2^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(w) \right), \tag{9}$$

using stochastic gradient descent and stochastic coordinate descent.

Exercise 1: Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD)

Some more notation: Let $||A||_F^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Tr}(A^{\top}A)$ denote the Frobenius norm of A. Let

$$A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{n} X X^{\top} + \lambda I \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \quad \text{and} \quad b \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{n} X y.$$
 (10)

We can exploit the separability of the objective function (2) to design a *stochastic* gradient method. For this, first we re-write the problem Aw = b as different linear least squares problem

$$\hat{w}^* = \arg\min_{w} \frac{1}{2} ||Aw - b||_2^2 = \arg\min_{w} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{1}{2} (A_{i:}w - b_i)^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \arg\min_{w} \sum_{i=1}^{d} p_i f_i(w), (11)$$

where $f_i(w) = \frac{1}{2p_i}(A_{i:}w - b_i)^2$, $A_{i:}$ denotes the *i*th row of A, b_i denotes the *i*th element of b and $p_i = \frac{\|A_{i:}\|_2^2}{\|A\|_F^2}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, d$. Note that $\sum_{i=1}^d p_i = 1$ thus the p_i 's are probabilities.

From a given $w^0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, consider the iterates

$$w^{t+1} = w^t - \alpha \nabla f_i(w^t), \tag{12}$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\|A\|_F^2},\tag{13}$$

and j is a random index chosen from $\{1,\ldots,d\}$ sampled with probability p_j . In other words, $\mathbb{P}(j=i)=p_i=\frac{\|A_{i:}\|_2^2}{\|A\|_F^2}$ for all $i\in\{1,\ldots,d\}$.

Question 1.1: Show that the solution \hat{w}^* to (11) and the solution to w^* to (9) are equal.

Answer 1.1: On the one hand, taking the gradient with respect to w in (9) leads to

$$\frac{1}{n}X(X^{\top}w - y) + \lambda w = \left(\frac{1}{n}XX^{\top} + \lambda I\right)w - \frac{1}{n}Xy \stackrel{(10)}{=} Aw - b.$$

On the other hand, doing the same in (11), we get $A^{\top}(Aw - b) = A(Aw - b)$. So that, the argument of the minimum is given by the parameter for which the gradient is null:

$$w^* = \hat{w}^* = A^{-1}b$$
.

Can we affirm that A is full rank because we added a regularization term so that solving A(Aw - b) = 0 leads to (Aw - b) = 0?

Question 1.2: Show that

$$\nabla f_j(w) = \frac{1}{p_j} A_{j:}^{\top} A_{j:}(w - w^*)$$
(14)

and that

$$\mathbb{E}_{j \sim p} \left[\nabla f_j(w) \right] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^d p_i \nabla f_i(w) = A^{\top} A(w - w^*) ,$$

thus $\nabla f_j(w)$ is an unbiased estimator of the full gradient of the objective function in (11). This justifies applying the stochastic gradient method.

Answer 1.2: First note that

$$\nabla f_j(w) = \frac{1}{p_i} A_{j:}^{\top} (A_{j:} w - b_j) = \frac{1}{p_i} A_{j:}^{\top} A_{j:} (w - w^*) .$$

Taking expectation we have that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\nabla f_{j}(w)\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{p_{i}}{p_{i}} A_{j:}^{\top} (A_{j:}w - b_{j}) = A^{\top} (Aw - b) = A^{\top} A(w - w^{*}) . \quad \blacksquare$$

Question 1.3:

Let $\Pi_j \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{A_{j:}^{\top} A_{j:}}{\|A_{j:}\|_2^2}$, show that

$$\Pi_j \Pi_j = \Pi_j \quad , \tag{15}$$

and

$$(I - \Pi_i)(I - \Pi_i) = I - \Pi_i. \tag{16}$$

In other words, Π_j is a projection operator which projects orthogonally onto **Range** $(A_{j:})$. Furthermore, if $j \sim p_j$ verify that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\Pi_{j}\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{d} p_{i} \Pi_{i} = \frac{A^{\top} A}{\|A\|_{F}^{2}}.$$
(17)

Answer 1.3: We check that Π_j is an orthogonal projector onto **Range** $(A_{j:})$ by computing

$$\Pi_{j}\Pi_{j} = \frac{A_{j:}^{\top}A_{j:}A_{j:}^{\top}A_{j:}}{\|A_{i:}\|_{2}^{2}\|A_{j:}\|_{2}^{2}} = \frac{A_{j:}^{\top}\|A_{j:}\|_{2}^{2}A_{j:}}{\|A_{i:}\|_{2}^{2}\|A_{i:}\|_{2}^{2}} = \frac{A_{j:}^{\top}A_{j:}}{\|A_{i:}\|_{2}^{2}} = \Pi_{j} ,$$

and

$$(I - \Pi_j)(I - \Pi_j) = I - 2\Pi_j + \Pi_j\Pi_j \stackrel{\text{(15)}}{=} I - \Pi_j$$
.

Finally, we have

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\Pi_{j}\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{P}(j=i)\Pi_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\|A_{i:}\|_{2}^{2}}{\|A\|_{F}^{2}} \frac{A_{i:}^{\top}A_{i:}}{\|A_{i:}\|_{2}^{2}} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{A_{i:}^{\top}A_{i:}}{\|A\|_{F}^{2}} = \frac{A^{\top}A}{\|A\|_{F}^{2}}. \quad \blacksquare$$

Question 1.4: Show the following equality ruling the squared norm of the distance to the solution

$$\|w^{t+1} - w^*\|_2^2 = \|w^t - w^*\|_2^2 - \left\langle \frac{A_{j:}^\top A_{j:}}{\|A_{j:}\|_2^2} (w^t - w^*), w^t - w^* \right\rangle . \tag{18}$$

Answer 1.4: Using (14) and subtracting w^* from both sides of (12) we have

$$w^{t+1} - w^* = w^t - w^* - \frac{\alpha_j}{p_j} A_{j:}^{\top} A_{j:} (w^t - w^*)$$

$$\stackrel{(13)}{=} \left(I - \frac{A_{j:}^{\top} A_{j:}}{\|A_{j:}\|_2^2} \right) (w^t - w^*).$$

Taking norm squared in the above we have that

$$||w^{t+1} - w^*||_2^2 = ||\left(I - \frac{A_{j:}^\top A_{j:}}{||A_{j:}||_2^2}\right) (w^t - w^*)||_2^2$$

$$\stackrel{(15)}{=} \left\langle \left(I - \frac{A_{j:}^\top A_{j:}}{||A_{j:}||_2^2}\right) (w^t - w^*), w^t - w^* \right\rangle$$

$$= ||w^t - w^*||_2^2 - \left\langle \frac{A_{j:}^\top A_{j:}}{||A_{j:}||_2^2} (w^t - w^*), w^t - w^* \right\rangle.$$

Question 1.5: Using previous answer and analogous techniques from the course, show that the iterates (12) converge according to

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|w^{t+1} - w^*\|_2^2\right] \leq \left(1 - \frac{\sigma_{\min}(A)^2}{\|A\|_F^2}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\|w^t - w^*\|_2^2\right] . \tag{19}$$

Answer 1.5: Taking expectation conditioned on w^t in the above gives

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|w^{t+1} - w^*\|_2^2 \mid w^t\right] = \|w^t - w^*\|_2^2 - \left\langle \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{A_{j:}^\top A_{j:}}{\|A_{j:}\|_2^2}\right] (w^t - w^*), w^t - w^* \right\rangle$$

$$\stackrel{(17)}{=} \|w^t - w^*\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{\|A\|_F^2} \left\langle A^\top A(w^t - w^*), w^t - w^* \right\rangle$$

$$\stackrel{(7)}{\leq} \|w^t - w^*\|_2^2 - \frac{\sigma_{\min}(A)^2}{\|A\|_F^2} \|w^t - w^*\|_2^2$$

$$= \left(1 - \frac{\sigma_{\min}(A)^2}{\|A\|_F^2}\right) \|w^t - w^*\|_2^2.$$

It remains to take expectation in the above.

Remark: This is an amazing and recent result [2], since it shows that SGD converges exponentially fast despite the fact that the iterates (14) only require access to a single row of A at a time! This result can be extended to solving any linear system Aw = b, including the case where A rank deficient. Indeed, so long as there exists a solution to Aw = b, the iterates (14) converge to the solution of least norm and at rate of $\left(1 - \frac{\sigma_{\min}^+(A)^2}{\|A\|_F^2}\right)$ where $\sigma_{\min}^+(A)$ is the smallest nonzero singular value of A [1]. Thus this method can solve any linear system.

BONUS

Exercise 2: Stochastic Coordinate Descent (CD)

Consider the minimization problem

$$w^* = \arg\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left(f(w) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2} w^\top A w - w^\top b \right), \tag{20}$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is a symmetric positive definite matrix, and $w, b \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Question 2.1: First show that, using the notation (10), solving (20) is equivalent to solving (9).

Answer 2.1: Differentiating (20) or (9) in w gives

$$\nabla f(x) = Ax - b.$$

Consequently the unique solution w^* to both of these problems is given by $w^* = A^{-1}b$.

Question 2.2: Show that

$$\frac{\partial f(w)}{\partial w_i} = A_{i:} w - b_i \quad , \tag{21}$$

where A_i : is the *i*th row of A. Furthermore note that $w^* = A^{-1}b$, thus

$$\frac{\partial f(w)}{\partial w_i} = e_i^{\mathsf{T}} (Aw - b) = e_i^{\mathsf{T}} A(w - w^*) . \tag{22}$$

Answer 2.2: Follows immediately from $\nabla f(x) = Ax - b$ and $w^* = A^{-1}b$.

Question 2.3: Consider a step of the stochastic coordinate descent method

$$w^{k+1} = w^k - \alpha_i \frac{\partial f(w^k)}{\partial x_i} e_i, \tag{23}$$

where $e_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is the *i*th unit coordinate vector, $\alpha_i = \frac{1}{A_{ii}}$, and $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ is sampled i.i.d at each step according to $i \sim p_i$ where $p_i = \frac{A_{ii}}{\operatorname{Tr}(A)}$. Let $||x||_A^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x^\top A x$.

First, prove that

$$||w^{k+1} - w^*||_A^2 = \left\langle (I - \Pi_i^\top) A (I - \Pi_i) (w^k - w^*), w^k - w^* \right\rangle . \tag{24}$$

Answer 2.3: Subtracting w^* from both sides of (23) gives

$$w^{k+1} - w^* \stackrel{(22)+(23)}{=} w^k - w^* - \alpha_i e_i^\top A(w^k - w^*) e_i$$

$$= \left(I - \frac{e_i e_i^\top A}{A_{ii}}\right) (w^k - w^*). \tag{25}$$

Let $\Pi_i = \frac{e_i e_i^{\top} A}{A_{ii}}$. Taking the squared norm $\|\cdot\|_A$ on both sides of (25) gives

$$||w^{k+1} - w^*||_A^2 = \left\langle A(I - \Pi_i)(w^k - w^*), (I - \Pi_i)(w^k - w^*) \right\rangle$$
$$= \left\langle (I - \Pi_i^\top)A(I - \Pi_i)(w^k - w^*), w^k - w^* \right\rangle.$$

Question 2.4: Let $r^k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A^{1/2}(w^k - w^*)$. Deduce from (24) that

$$||r^{k+1}||_2^2 = ||r^k||_2^2 - \left\langle \frac{A^{1/2} e_i e_i^\top A^{1/2}}{A_{ii}} r^k, r^k \right\rangle . \tag{26}$$

Answer 2.4: Let $r^k = A^{1/2}(w^k - w^*)$ and note that

$$(I - \Pi_i^{\top})A(I - \Pi_i) = A - 2A\Pi_i + \Pi_i^{\top}A\Pi_i = A - \frac{Ae_ie_i^{\top}A}{A_{ii}}.$$

Using this we have from (24) that

$$||r^{k+1}||_{2}^{2} = \left\langle \left(A - \frac{Ae_{i}e_{i}^{\top}A}{A_{ii}} \right) (w^{k} - w^{*}), w^{k} - w^{*} \right\rangle$$

$$= ||r^{k}||_{2}^{2} - \left\langle \frac{Ae_{i}e_{i}^{\top}A}{A_{ii}} (w^{k} - w^{*}), w^{k} - w^{*} \right\rangle$$

$$= ||r^{k}||_{2}^{2} - \left\langle \frac{A^{1/2}e_{i}e_{i}^{\top}A^{1/2}}{A_{ii}} r^{k}, r^{k} \right\rangle. \quad \blacksquare$$
(27)

Question 2.5: Finally, prove the convergence of the iterates of CD (23) converge according to

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|w^{k+1} - w^*\|_A^2\right] \le \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_{\min}(A)}{\operatorname{Tr}(A)}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\|w^k - w^*\|_A^2\right]$$
(28)

thus (23) converges to the solution.

Hint: Since A is symmetric positive definite you can use that

$$\lambda_{\min}(A) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, x \neq 0} \frac{x^\top A x}{\|x\|_2^2}.$$

You will need to use that $x^{\top}Ax \geq \lambda_{\min}(A)||x||_2^2$ at some point.

Answer 2.5: Taking expectation conditioned on r^k over the second term in (27) gives

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\left\langle \frac{A^{1/2}e_{i}e_{i}^{\top}A^{1/2}}{A_{ii}}r^{k}, r^{k}\right\rangle \mid r^{k}\right] = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{A_{jj}}{\operatorname{Tr}\left(A\right)} \left\langle \frac{A^{1/2}e_{j}e_{j}^{\top}A^{1/2}}{A_{jj}}r^{k}, r^{k}\right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{\operatorname{Tr}\left(A\right)} \left\langle A^{1/2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} e_{j}e_{j}^{\top}A^{1/2}r^{k}, r^{k}\right\rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{\operatorname{Tr}\left(A\right)} \left\langle Ar^{k}, r^{k}\right\rangle$$

$$\geq \frac{\lambda_{\min}(A)}{\operatorname{Tr}\left(A\right)} \|r^{k}\|_{2}^{2}.$$

Consequently taking expectation conditioned on r^k in (26) gives

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|r^{k+1}\|_{2}^{2}\,|\,r^{k}\right] \leq \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_{\min}(A)}{\operatorname{Tr}(A)}\right)\|r^{k}\|_{2}^{2}.\tag{29}$$

It now remains to take expectation and re-write $||r^k||_2^2 = ||w^k - w^*||_A^2$.

Question 2.6: When is this stochastic gradient method (14) faster than the stochastic coordinate descent method of gradient descent? Note that the each iteration of SGD and CD costs O(d) floating point operations while an iteration of the GD method costs $O(d^2)$ floating point operations (assuming that A has been previously calculated and stored). What happens if d is very big? What if $||A||_F^2$ is very large? Discuss this.

Answer 2.6: What's the correct answer here?

References

- [1] R. M. Gower and P. Richtárik. "Stochastic Dual Ascent for Solving Linear Systems". In: arXiv:1512.06890 (2015).
- [2] T. Strohmer and R. Vershynin. "A Randomized Kaczmarz Algorithm with Exponential Convergence". In: *Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications* 15.2 (2009), pp. 262–278.