

Exercise List: Proving convergence of the Stochastic Gradient Descent and Coordinate Descent on the Ridge Regression Problem.

Robert M. Gower & Francis Bach & Nidham Gazagnadou

October 5, 2019

Introduction

Consider the task of learning a rule that maps the *feature vector* $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ to outputs $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Furthermore you are given a set of labelled observations (x_i, y_i) for $i = 1, \dots, n$. We restrict ourselves to linear mappings. That is, we need to find $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that

$$x_i^\top w \approx y_i, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (1)$$

That is the *hypothesis function* is parametrized by w and is given by $h_w : x \mapsto w^\top x$.¹ To choose a w such that each $x_i^\top w$ is close to y_i , we use the squared loss $\ell(y) = y^2/2$ and the squared regularizer. That is, we minimize

$$w^* = \arg \min_w \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} (x_i^\top w - y_i)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|_2^2, \quad (2)$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is the regularization parameter. We now have a complete training problem (2)².

Using the matrix notation

$$X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [x_1, \dots, x_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}, \quad \text{and} \quad y = [y_1, \dots, y_n] \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (3)$$

we can re-write the objective function in (2) as

$$f(w) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2n} \|X^\top w - y\|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|_2^2. \quad (4)$$

First we introduce some necessary notation.

¹We need only consider a linear mapping as opposed to the more general *affine* mapping $x_i \mapsto w^\top x_i + \beta$, because the zero order term $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ can be incorporated by defining a new feature vectors $\hat{x}_i = [x_i, 1]$ and new variable $\hat{w} = [w, \beta]$ so that $\hat{x}_i^\top \hat{w} = x_i^\top w + \beta$

²Excluding the issue of selection λ using something like crossvalidation [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cross-validation_\(statistics\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cross-validation_(statistics))

Notation: For every $x, w, \in \mathbb{R}^d$ let $\langle x, w \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x^\top w$ and let $\|x\|_2 = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ be a matrix and let $\sigma_{\min}(A)$ and $\sigma_{\max}(A)$ be the smallest and largest singular values of A defined by

$$\sigma_{\min}(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{\max}(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2}. \quad (5)$$

Finally, a result you will need, if A is a symmetric positive semi-definite matrix the largest singular value of A can be defined instead as

$$\sigma_{\max}(A) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, x \neq 0} \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle_2}{\|x\|_2^2} = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2}. \quad (6)$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\|x\|_2^2} \leq \sigma_{\max}(A), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}. \quad (7)$$

and

$$\frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2} \leq \sigma_{\max}(A), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{0\}. \quad (8)$$

We will now solve the following ridge regression problem

$$w^* = \arg \min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left(\frac{1}{2n} \|X^\top w - y\|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|_2^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(w) \right), \quad (9)$$

using stochastic gradient descent and stochastic coordinate descent.

Exercise 1 : Stochastic Gradient Descent (SGD)

Some more notation: Let $\|A\|_F^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Tr}(A^\top A)$ denote the Frobenius norm of A . Let

$$A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{n} X X^\top + \lambda I \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \quad \text{and} \quad b \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{n} X y. \quad (10)$$

We can exploit the separability of the objective function (2) to design a *stochastic* gradient method. For this, first we re-write the problem $Aw = b$ as different linear least squares problem

$$\hat{w}^* = \arg \min_w \frac{1}{2} \|Aw - b\|_2^2 = \arg \min_w \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{1}{2} (A_{i:} w - b_i)^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \arg \min_w \sum_{i=1}^d p_i f_i(w), \quad (11)$$

where $f_i(w) = \frac{1}{2p_i} (A_{i:} w - b_i)^2$, $A_{i:}$ denotes the i th row of A , b_i denotes the i th element of b and $p_i = \frac{\|A_{i:}\|_2^2}{\|A\|_F^2}$ for $i = 1, \dots, d$. Note that $\sum_{i=1}^d p_i = 1$ thus the p_i 's are probabilities.

From a given $w^0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, consider the iterates

$$w^{t+1} = w^t - \alpha \nabla f_j(w^t), \quad (12)$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\|A\|_F^2}, \quad (13)$$

and j is a random index chosen from $\{1, \dots, d\}$ sampled with probability p_j . In other words, $\mathbb{P}(j = i) = p_i = \frac{\|A_{i:}\|_2^2}{\|A\|_F^2}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$.

Question 1.1: Show that the solution \hat{w}^* to (11) and the solution to w^* to (9) are equal.

Question 1.2: Show that

$$\nabla f_j(w) = \frac{1}{p_j} A_{j:}^\top A_{j:} (w - w^*) \quad (14)$$

and that

$$\mathbb{E}_{j \sim p} [\nabla f_j(w)] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^d p_i \nabla f_i(w) = A^\top A (w - w^*),$$

thus $\nabla f_j(w)$ is an unbiased estimator of the full gradient of the objective function in (11). This justifies applying the stochastic gradient method.

Question 1.3: Let $\Pi_j \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{A_{j:}^\top A_{j:}}{\|A_{j:}\|_2^2}$, show that

$$\Pi_j \Pi_j = \Pi_j, \quad (15)$$

and

$$(I - \Pi_j)(I - \Pi_j) = I - \Pi_j. \quad (16)$$

In other words, Π_j is a projection operator which projects orthogonally onto **Range** ($A_{j:}$). Furthermore, if $j \sim p_j$ verify that

$$\mathbb{E} [\Pi_j] = \sum_{i=1}^d p_i \Pi_i = \frac{A^\top A}{\|A\|_F^2}. \quad (17)$$

Question 1.4: Show the following equality ruling the squared norm of the distance to the solution

$$\|w^{t+1} - w^*\|_2^2 = \|w^t - w^*\|_2^2 - \left\langle \frac{A_{j:}^\top A_{j:}}{\|A_{j:}\|_2^2} (w^t - w^*), w^t - w^* \right\rangle. \quad (18)$$

Question 1.5: Using previous answer and analogous techniques from the course, show that the iterates (12) converge according to

$$\mathbb{E} [\|w^{t+1} - w^*\|_2^2] \leq \left(1 - \frac{\sigma_{\min}(A)^2}{\|A\|_F^2}\right) \mathbb{E} [\|w^t - w^*\|_2^2] . \quad (19)$$

Remark: This is an amazing and recent result [2], since it shows that SGD converges exponentially fast despite the fact that the iterates (14) only require access to a single row of A at a time! This result can be extended to solving any linear system $Aw = b$, including the case where A rank deficient. Indeed, so long as there exists a solution to $Aw = b$, the iterates (14) converge to the solution of least norm and at rate of $\left(1 - \frac{\sigma_{\min}^+(A)^2}{\|A\|_F^2}\right)$ where $\sigma_{\min}^+(A)$ is the smallest nonzero singular value of A [1]. Thus this method can solve any linear system.

BONUS

Exercise 2: Stochastic Coordinate Descent (CD)

Consider the minimization problem

$$w^* = \arg \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left(f(w) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2} w^\top A w - w^\top b \right), \quad (20)$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is a symmetric positive definite matrix, and $w, b \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Question 2.1: First show that, using the notation (10), solving (20) is equivalent to solving (9).

Question 2.2: Show that

$$\frac{\partial f(w)}{\partial w_i} = A_{i:} w - b_i, \quad (21)$$

where $A_{i:}$ is the i th row of A . Furthermore note that $w^* = A^{-1}b$, thus

$$\frac{\partial f(w)}{\partial w_i} = e_i^\top (A w - b) = e_i^\top A (w - w^*). \quad (22)$$

Question 2.3: Consider a step of the stochastic coordinate descent method

$$w^{k+1} = w^k - \alpha_i \frac{\partial f(w^k)}{\partial x_i} e_i, \quad (23)$$

where $e_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is the i th unit coordinate vector, $\alpha_i = \frac{1}{A_{ii}}$, and $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ is sampled i.i.d at each step according to $i \sim p_i$ where $p_i = \frac{A_{ii}}{\text{Tr}(A)}$. Let $\|x\|_A^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x^\top A x$.

First, prove that

$$\|w^{k+1} - w^*\|_A^2 = \left\langle (I - \Pi_i^\top) A (I - \Pi_i) (w^k - w^*), w^k - w^* \right\rangle. \quad (24)$$

Question 2.4: Let $r^k \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A^{1/2} (w^k - w^*)$. Deduce from (24) that

$$\|r^{k+1}\|_2^2 = \|r^k\|_2^2 - \left\langle \frac{A^{1/2} e_i e_i^\top A^{1/2}}{A_{ii}} r^k, r^k \right\rangle. \quad (25)$$

Question 2.5: Finally, prove the convergence of the iterates of CD (23) converge according to

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\|w^{k+1} - w^*\|_A^2 \right] \leq \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_{\min}(A)}{\text{Tr}(A)} \right) \mathbb{E} \left[\|w^k - w^*\|_A^2 \right] \quad (26)$$

thus (23) converges to the solution.

Hint: Since A is symmetric positive definite you can use that

$$\lambda_{\min}(A) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, x \neq 0} \frac{x^\top A x}{\|x\|_2^2}.$$

You will need to use that $x^\top A x \geq \lambda_{\min}(A) \|x\|_2^2$ at some point.

Question 2.6: When is this stochastic gradient method (14) *faster* than the stochastic coordinate descent method of gradient descent? Note that the each iteration of SGD and CD costs $O(d)$ floating point operations while an iteration of the GD method costs $O(d^2)$ floating point operations (assuming that A has been previously calculated and stored). What happens if d is very big? What if $\|A\|_F^2$ is very large? Discuss this.

References

- [1] R. M. Gower and P. Richtárik. “Stochastic Dual Ascent for Solving Linear Systems”. In: *arXiv:1512.06890* (2015).
- [2] T. Strohmer and R. Vershynin. “A Randomized Kaczmarz Algorithm with Exponential Convergence”. In: *Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications* 15.2 (2009), pp. 262–278.