Exercise List: Proving convergence of the Stochastic Gradient Descent and Coordinate Descent on the Ridge Regression Problem.

Robert M. Gower

October 15, 2018

### 1 Introduction

Consider the task of learning a rule that maps the feature vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  to outputs  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . Furthermore you are given a set of labelled observations  $(x_i, y_i)$  for i = 1, ..., n. We restrict ourselves to linear mappings. That is, we need to find  $w \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that

$$x_i^{\top} w \approx y_i, \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$
 (1)

That is the *hypothesis function* is parametrized by w and is given by  $h_w: x \mapsto w^\top x$ .<sup>1</sup> To choose a w such that each  $x_i^\top w$  is close to  $y_i$ , we use the squared loss  $\ell(y) = y^2/2$  and the squared regularizor. That is, we minimize

$$w^* = \arg\min_{w} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2} (x_i^{\top} w - y_i)^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} ||w||_2^2,$$
 (2)

where  $\lambda > 0$  is the regularization parameter. We now have a complete training problem  $(2)^2$ .

Using the matrix notation

$$X \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [x_1, \dots, x_n] \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}, \quad \text{and} \quad y = [y_1, \dots, y_n] \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$
 (3)

we can re-write the objective function in (2) as

$$f(w) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2n} \|X^{\top} w - y\|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|_2^2. \tag{4}$$

First we introduce some necessary notation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>We need only consider a linear mapping as opposed to the more general affine mapping  $x_i \mapsto w^\top x_i + \beta$ , because the zero order term  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$  can be incorporated by defining a new feature vectors  $\hat{x}_i = [x_1, 1]$  and new variable  $\hat{w} = [w, \beta]$  so that  $\hat{x}_i^\top \hat{w} = x_i^\top w + \beta$ 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Excluding the issue of selection  $\lambda$  using something like crossvalidation https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cross-validation\_(statistics)

**Notation:** For every  $x, w \in \mathbb{R}^d$  let  $\langle x, w \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x^\top y$  and let  $||x||_2 = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$ . Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  be a matrix and let  $\sigma_{\min}(A)$  and  $\sigma_{\max}(A)$  be the smallest and largest singular values of A defined by

$$\sigma_{\min}(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \, x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{\max}(A) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \, x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2}. \tag{5}$$

Finally, a result you will need, if A is a symmetric positive semi-definite matrix the largest singular value of A can be defined instead as

$$\sigma_{\max}(A) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, x \neq 0} \frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle_2}{\|x\|_2^2} = \max_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d, x \neq 0} \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2}.$$
 (6)

Therefore

$$\frac{\langle Ax, x \rangle}{\|x\|_2^2} \le \sigma_{\max}(A), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$
 (7)

and

$$\frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2} \le \sigma_{\max}(A), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$
 (8)

We will now solve the following ridge regression problem

$$w^* = \arg\min_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left( \frac{1}{2n} \|X^\top w - y\|_2^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|w\|_2^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(w) \right), \tag{9}$$

using stochastic gradient descent and stochastic coordinate descent.

## 2 Stochastic Gradient Descent

Some more notation: Let  $||A||_F^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Tr}(A^{\top}A)$  denote the Frobenius norm of A. Let

$$A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{n} X X^{\top} + \lambda I \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d} \quad \text{and} \quad b \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{n} X y.$$
 (10)

**Ex. 1** — We can exploit the separability of the objective function (2) to design a *stochastic* gradient method. For this, first we re-write the problem Aw = b as different linear least squares problem

$$\hat{w}^* = \min_{w} \frac{1}{2} ||Aw - b||_2^2 = \min_{w} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{1}{2} (A_{i:}w - b_i)^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \min_{w} \sum_{i=1}^{d} p_i f_i(w), \tag{11}$$

where  $f_i(w) = \frac{1}{2p_i}(A_{i:}w - b_i)^2$ ,  $A_{i:}$  denotes the *i*th row of A,  $b_i$  denotes the *i*th element of b and  $p_i = \frac{\|A_{i:}\|_2^2}{\|A\|_F^2}$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, d$ . Note that  $\sum_{i=1}^d p_i = 1$  thus the  $p_i$ 's are probabilities.

From a given  $w^0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , consider the iterates

$$w^{t+1} = w^t - \alpha \nabla f_i(w^t), \tag{12}$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{\|A\|_F^2},\tag{13}$$

and j is a random index chosen from  $\{1,\ldots,d\}$  sampled with probability  $p_j$ . In other words,  $\mathbb{P}(j=i)=p_i=\frac{\|A_i:\|_2^2}{\|A\|_F^2}$  for all  $i\in\{1,\ldots,d\}$ .

Part I

Show that the solution  $\hat{w}^*$  to (11) and the solution to  $w^*$  to (9) are equal.

Part II

Show that

$$\nabla f_j(w) = \frac{1}{p_j} A_{j:}^{\top} A_{j:}(w - w^*)$$

and that

$$\mathbb{E}_{j \sim p} \left[ \nabla f_j(w) \right] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i=1}^d p_i \nabla f_i(w) = A^\top (Aw - b) = A^\top A(w - w^*),$$

thus  $\nabla f_j(w)$  is an unbiased estimator of the full gradient of the objective function in (11). This justifies applying the stochastic gradient method.

$$\Pi_{j} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{A_{j:}^{\top} A_{j:}}{\|A_{i:}\|_{2}^{2}},\tag{14}$$

show that

$$\Pi_i \Pi_i = \Pi_i \quad \text{and} \quad (I - \Pi_i)(I - \Pi_i) = I - \Pi_i.$$
 (15)

In other words,  $\Pi_j$  is a projection operator which projects orthogonally onto **Range**  $(A_{j:})$ . Furthermore, if  $j \sim p_j$  verify that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\Pi_{j}\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{d} p_{i} \Pi_{i} = \frac{A^{\top} A}{\|A\|_{F}^{2}}.$$
(16)

Part III

Using analogous techniques from the previous exercise, show that the iterates (12) converge according to

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|w^{t+1} - w^*\|_2^2\right] \leq \left(1 - \frac{\sigma_{\min}(A)^2}{\|A\|_F^2}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\|w^t - w^*\|_2^2\right]. \tag{17}$$

This is an amazing and recent result [2], since it shows that SGD converges exponentially fast despite the fact that the iterates (12) only require access to a single row of A at a time! This result can be extended to solving any linear system Aw = b, including the case where A rank deficient. Indeed, so long as there exists a solution to Aw = b, the iterates (12) converge to the solution of least norm and at rate of  $\left(1 - \frac{\sigma_{\min}^+(A)^2}{\|A\|_F^2}\right)$  where  $\sigma_{\min}^+(A)$  is the smallest nonzero singular value of A [1]. Thus this method can solve any linear system.

#### Part IV

When is this stochastic gradient method (12) faster than the stochastic coordinate descent method of gradient descent? Note that the each iteration of SGD and CD costs O(d) floating point operations while an iteration of the GD method costs  $O(d^2)$  floating point operations (assuming that A has been previously calculated and stored). What happens if d is very big? What if  $||A||_F^2$  is very large? Discuss this.

### 3 Stochastic Coordinate Descent

Ex. 2 — Consider the minimization problem

$$w^* = \arg\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left( f(w) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2} w^\top A w - w^\top b \right), \tag{20}$$

where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  is a symmetric positive definite matrix, and  $w, b \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

Part I

First show that using the notation (10) that solving (20) is equivalent to solving (9).

Part II

Show that

$$\frac{\partial f(w)}{\partial w_i} = A_{i:}w - b_i,\tag{21}$$

where  $A_{i:}$  is the *i*th row of A. Furthermore note that  $w^* = A^{-1}b$  thus

$$\frac{\partial f(w)}{\partial w_i} = e_i^{\mathsf{T}} (Aw - b) = e_i^{\mathsf{T}} A(w - w^*). \tag{22}$$

Part III

Consider a step of the stochastic coordinate descent method

$$w^{k+1} = w^k - \alpha_i \frac{\partial f(w^k)}{\partial x_i} e_i, \tag{23}$$

where  $e_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is the *i*th unit coordinate vector,  $\alpha_i = \frac{1}{A_{ii}}$ , and  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  is sampled i.i.d at each step according to  $i \sim p_i$  where  $p_i = \frac{A_{ii}}{\text{Tr}(A)}$ . Let  $||x||_A^2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} x^\top A x$ . Prove that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|w^{k+1} - w^*\|_A^2\right] \leq \left(1 - \frac{\lambda_{\min}(A)}{\operatorname{Tr}(A)}\right) \mathbb{E}\left[\|w^k - w^*\|_A^2\right]$$
(24)

thus (23) converges to the solution.

**Hint:** Since A is symmetric positive definite you can use that

$$\lambda_{\min}(A) = \inf_{w \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{w^\top A w}{\|w\|_2^2}.$$

You will need to use that  $x^{\top}Ax \geq \lambda_{\min}(A)||x||_2^2$  at some point.

# References

- [1] R. M. Gower and P. Richtárik. "Stochastic Dual Ascent for Solving Linear Systems". In: arXiv:1512.06890 (2015).
- [2] T. Strohmer and R. Vershynin. "A Randomized Kaczmarz Algorithm with Exponential Convergence". In: *Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications* 15.2 (2009), pp. 262–278.