Introduction To Machine Learning and Applications

Assignment 2: Programming Questions on Generative Classifiers.

Submitted by,

Md Rifat Ul Karim Shovon

Access ID: hr1396

Task List:

1. Download the data. Create an account with Kaggle (if you have not previously done so) and download the heart disease dataset. You should split the 920 instances into training and test sets (8:2) for Naive Bayes classifier.

While for the k-NN classifier, you need to split the instances into training, validation, and test sets as (6:2:2). The validation set is used to fine-tune the hyper- parameter k. Data can be downloaded from

https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/redwankarimsony/heart-disease-data.

- 2. Train your Naive Bayes and k-NN Classifiers on the training set. (70 points)
- 3.After training/validation, test them on the test set, construct confusion matrices for the testing set results, and show these confusion matrices calculate accuracy, precision, recall, and F-score. (20 points)
- 4. Compare the results between the two classifiers. Which classifier performs better? Why? (10 points)

```
import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
from collections import defaultdict
from math import sqrt, exp, pi
# Read the CSV file into a pandas DataFrame
file_path = 'heart_disease_uci.csv'
heart disease df = pd.read csv(file path)
# Display the first few rows to verify
print(heart_disease_df.head())
# Function to normalize continuous features using z-score
normalization
def normalize_features(X, mean, std):
 return (X - mean) / std
# Step 1: Prepare Data
heart_disease_df_cleaned =
heart_disease_df.drop(columns=['id'])
heart_disease_df_encoded =
pd.get_dummies(heart_disease_df_cleaned, drop_first=True)
X = heart_disease_df_encoded.drop(columns=['num']) # Features
y = heart_disease_df_encoded['num']
                                             # Labels
# Step 2: Split into training and test sets for Naive Bayes (80-20
split)
train size = int(0.8 * len(X))
X train nb, X test nb = X.iloc[:train size], X.iloc[train size:]
y_train_nb, y_test_nb = y.iloc[:train_size], y.iloc[train_size:]
# Step 3: Naive Bayes Classifier Implementation
```

```
class NaiveBayes:
 def __init__(self, epsilon=1e-9): # Add epsilon to avoid division
by zero
   self.class_stats = defaultdict(dict)
   self.epsilon = epsilon # Small constant for numerical stability
 # Calculate mean and variance for each feature per class
 def calculate_statistics(self, X, y):
   classes = np.unique(y)
   for c in classes:
     X c = X[y == c]
     self.class_stats[c]['mean'] = X_c.mean(axis=0)
     self.class_stats[c]['var'] = X_c.var(axis=0)
     self.class_stats[c]['prior'] = len(X_c) / len(X)
 # Gaussian probability density function
 def gaussian_probability(self, x, mean, var):
   var = max(var, self.epsilon) # Ensure variance is never zero
   exponent = \exp(-((x - mean) ** 2) / (2 * var))
   return (1 / sqrt(2 * pi * var)) * exponent
 # Calculate class probabilities
 def calculate_class_probabilities(self, X):
   probabilities = {}
   for c, stats in self.class_stats.items():
     probabilities[c] = stats['prior']
     for i in range(len(X)):
       probabilities[c] *= self.gaussian_probability(X[i],
stats['mean'][i], stats['var'][i])
   return probabilities
 # Predict function
 def predict(self, X):
   predictions = []
```

```
for instance in X:
     probabilities = self.calculate_class_probabilities(instance)
     best_class = max(probabilities, key=probabilities.get)
     predictions.append(best_class)
   return np.array(predictions)
# Step 4: Train Naive Bayes
nb_classifier = NaiveBayes()
nb classifier.calculate statistics(X train nb.values,
y train nb.values)
# Step 5: Test Naive Bayes
y_pred_nb = nb_classifier.predict(X_test_nb.values)
# Step 6: Calculate Naive Bayes Accuracy
nb_accuracy = np.mean(y_pred_nb == y_test_nb.values)
print(f'Naive Bayes Test Accuracy: {nb_accuracy}')
# Step 7: k-NN Classifier Implementation
class KNN:
 def __init__(self, k=5):
   self.k = k
  # Euclidean distance function
 def euclidean_distance(self, instance1, instance2):
   return sqrt(np.sum((instance1 - instance2) ** 2))
 # Get the k-nearest neighbors
 def get_neighbors(self, X_train, y_train, test_instance):
   distances = []
   for i in range(len(X_train)):
     dist = self.euclidean_distance(test_instance, X_train[i])
     distances.append((y_train[i], dist))
```

```
distances.sort(key=lambda x: x[1])
   neighbors = distances[:self.k]
   return neighbors
 # Predict the class based on majority voting
 def predict(self, X_train, y_train, X_test):
   predictions = []
   for test instance in X test:
     neighbors = self.get_neighbors(X_train, y_train,
test instance)
     classes = [neighbor[0] for neighbor in neighbors]
     predicted_class = max(set(classes), key=classes.count)
     predictions.append(predicted_class)
   return np.array(predictions)
# Step 8: Split for k-NN (60% training, 20% validation, 20% test)
train\_size\_knn = int(0.6 * len(X))
val_size_knn = int(0.2 * len(X))
X_train_knn, X_val_knn, X_test_knn = np.split(X.values,
[train_size_knn, train_size_knn + val_size_knn])
y_train_knn, y_val_knn, y_test_knn = np.split(y.values,
[train_size_knn, train_size_knn + val_size_knn])
# Step 9: Tune k for k-NN using validation set
best k = 1
best_accuracy = 0
for k in range(1, 21):
 knn classifier = KNN(k=k)
 y_val_pred = knn_classifier.predict(X_train_knn, y_train_knn,
X val knn)
 val_accuracy = np.mean(y_val_pred == y_val_knn)
 if val_accuracy > best_accuracy:
   best_accuracy = val_accuracy
```

```
best k = k
# Step 10: Train k-NN with the best k and test on the test set
knn_classifier_best = KNN(k=best_k)
y pred knn = knn classifier best.predict(X train knn, y train knn,
X_test_knn)
# Step 11: Calculate k-NN accuracy
knn_accuracy = np.mean(y_pred_knn == y_test_knn)
print(f'k-NN Test Accuracy: {knn accuracy} (Best k={best k})')
# Function to construct the confusion matrix
def confusion_matrix(y_true, y_pred):
 TP = np.sum((y_true == 1) & (y_pred == 1))
 TN = np.sum((y_true == 0) & (y_pred == 0))
 FP = np.sum((y_true == 0) & (y_pred == 1))
 FN = np.sum((y_true == 1) & (y_pred == 0))
 return TP, TN, FP, FN
# Function to calculate accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score
def calculate_metrics(TP, TN, FP, FN):
 accuracy = (TP + TN) / (TP + TN + FP + FN)
 precision = TP / (TP + FP) if (TP + FP) != 0 else 0
 recall = TP / (TP + FN) if (TP + FN) != 0 else 0
 f1_score = 2 * precision * recall / (precision + recall) if (precision
+ recall) != 0 else 0
 return accuracy, precision, recall, f1_score
# Naive Bayes results (for example)
```

```
TP_nb, TN_nb, FP_nb, FN_nb = confusion_matrix(y_test_nb,
y_pred_nb)
# k-NN results
TP knn, TN knn, FP knn, FN knn = confusion matrix(y test knn,
y_pred_knn)
# Calculate metrics for Naive Bayes
nb accuracy, nb precision, nb recall, nb f1 score =
calculate metrics(TP nb, TN nb, FP nb, FN nb)
print(f"Naive Bayes Confusion Matrix: TP={TP nb}, TN={TN nb},
FP={FP nb}, FN={FN nb}")
print(f"Naive Bayes Metrics: Accuracy={nb_accuracy:.4f},
Precision={nb_precision:.4f}, Recall={nb_recall:.4f}, F1
Score={nb_f1_score:.4f}")
# Calculate metrics for k-NN
knn_accuracy, knn_precision, knn_recall, knn_f1_score =
calculate_metrics(TP_knn, TN_knn, FP_knn, FN_knn)
print(f"k-NN Confusion Matrix: TP={TP_knn}, TN={TN_knn},
FP={FP_knn}, FN={FN_knn}")
print(f"k-NN Metrics: Accuracy={knn_accuracy:.4f},
Precision={knn_precision:.4f}, Recall={knn_recall:.4f}, F1
Score={knn_f1_score:.4f}")
```

Output from the shell:

```
@DS035010:/mnt/c/Users/hr1396/OneDrive - Wayne State University/PhD semesters/Fall 2024/Introduction to machine learning/ML_assignments/Generative_clclass
lassifier$ python3 final.py
                            cp trestbps chol fbs
                                                            restecg thalch exang oldpeak
                                                                                               slope ca
                                                                                                                      thal num
                                  145.0 233.0 True lv hypertrophy
  1 63 Male typical angina
                                                                    150.0 False
                                                                                    2.3 downsloping 0.0
                                                                                                               fixed defect
                                  160.0 286.0 False lv hypertrophy
                                                                                                flat 3.0
                                                                                     1.5
  2 67 Male asymptomatic
                                  120.0 229.0 False lv hypertrophy
                                                                     129.0 True
                                                                                     2.6
                                                                                                flat 2.0 reversable defect
  3 67 Male
                asymptomatic
                non-anginal
  4 37 Male
                                  130.0 250.0 False
                                                             normal 187.0 False
                                                                                     3.5 downsloping 0.0
                                                                                                                    normal
 5 41 Female atypical angina
                                  130.0 204.0 False lv hypertrophy 172.0 False
                                                                                                                    normal
                                                                                    1.4 upsloping 0.0
aive Bayes Test Accuracy: 0.24456521739130435
-NN Test Accuracy: 0.24456521739130435 (Best k=1)
aive Bayes Confusion Matrix: TP=0, TN=45, FP=0, FN=50
aive Bayes Metrics: Accuracy=0.4737, Precision=0.0000, Recall=0.0000, F1 Score=0.0000
-NN Confusion Matrix: TP=1, TN=44, FP=0, FN=49
-NN Metrics: Accuracy=0.4787, Precision=1.0000, Recall=0.0200, F1 Score=0.0392
```

k-NN performs better overall due to the higher Recall and F1-Score, which makes it better in identifying heart patients. However, Naive Bayes's higher precision suggests that k-NN makes fewer false positive errors. Which classifier to choose probably depends on what exactly is required from the task-at hand-if it is crucial to minimize false positives, one may want to use Naive Bayes. If we want better recall (minimizing false negatives), k-NN is the superior choice.