Restricting air travel is the only way to prevent air pollution. Do you agree?

Air polution continues to rise dramatically , this is causing a wide range of problems around the world . Some people believe that puting retrictions on air travel is the only way .This essay will provide some evidences to support this idea .

Emision fumes from air planes contains highly poportion of pollutants such as carbondioxide . By bringing in some regulations on air travel, leading to reduction of flights ,the quality of air is surely improved . On recent reasearch conducted by sciencetists , thousands tons of toxic fumes mostly come out from planes , placing huge threats on human heaths , espicially residents who live near by . In addition to this , restrictions will encourage engineering to create a better transportation . As the government take this issues seriously , so more companies will invest on sustainable ,friendly-environmentally transportations like electrical train .Take japan as an example , Japan has one of the best electrical train infracture in the world , their trains can travel thousands miles in simply one or two hours .Lastly , building instructions for air travel will push natural habitat to the edge . Constructing airports require numberous lands ,posing a threat natuarl habitat. This can be seen by the growing number of hectors destroyed to build airports around the world every year ,which .Moreover the natural animals are barely unliveable because of the noise and air polutions .

Therefor ,cutting down air polution is one of the best way to …/4

Some people believe that the only way to reduce air pollution is to limit the number of flights. I do not agree with this view. In my opinion, restricting air travel is unlikely to have any real impact on air quality. At the same time, it may adversely affect the economy of the nation.

There is no denying the fact that aeroplanes cause air and noise pollution. They produce too much noise and emit harmful gases. While it is possible to control this pollution by reducing the number of flights, it will not fully solve the problem because the majority of pollutants in the air do not come from aircrafts. It is true that air travel has become more affordable and popular recently. However, aeroplanes still are not the primary mode of transport for the majority of people and as such they cannot be considered the number one cause of air pollution. In fact, cars and buses cause more pollution than flights because there are too many of them on the road.

What’s more, restricting air travel can have disastrous consequences for the economy. The tourism industry will be the worst hit because limited availability of flights will discourage tourists from visiting foreign countries. Import and export of goods will also be affected. Since both of these factors will affect the financial growth of the country, I do not believe that governments will want to limit air travel.

To conclude, restricting air travel is unlikely to improve air quality much because aeroplanes are not the biggest polluters on the planet. What’s more, any such move will have serious economic consequences.

Notice sentence .

1. If the pollution affects the level of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere , the results are likely to serious . Carbon dioxide

Consitutues only a small part of the atmosphere .

1. There is also a fairly strong posibility that the dust level in the atmosphere will rise as a result of industrial pollution.