***Some people think that all university students should study whatever they like. Others believe that they should only be allowed to study subjects that will be useful in the future, such as those related to science and technology.***

***Discuss both these views and give your own opinion.***

People have different views about how much choice students should have with regard to what they can study at university. While some argue that it would be better for students to be forced into certain key subject areas, I believe that everyone should be able to study the course of their choice.

There are various reasons why people believe that universities should only offer subjects that will be useful in the future. **They may assert** that university courses like medicine, engineering and information technology are more likely to be beneficial than certain art degrees. From a personal perspective, it can be argued that these courses provide more job opportunities, career progression, better salaries, and therefore an improved quality of life for students who take them. On the societal level, by forcing people to choose particular university subjects, governments can ensure that any **knowledge and skill gaps in the economy are covered**. Finally, a focus on technology in higher education could lead **to new inventions, economic growth, and greater future prosperity**.

In spite of these arguments, I believe that university students **should be free to choose** their preferred areas of study. In my opinion, society will benefit more if our students are passionate about what they are learning. Besides, nobody can really predict which areas of knowledge will be most useful to society in the future, and it may be that employers begin to **value creative thinking skills above practical or technical skills**. If this were the case, perhaps we would need more students of art, history and philosophy than of science or technology.

In conclusion, although it might seem sensible for universities to focus only on the most useful subjects, I personally prefer the current system in which people have the right to study whatever they like.

**First attempt**

***Some people think that all university students should study whatever they like. Others believe that they should only be allowed to study subjects that will be useful in the future, such as those related to science and technology.***

**It is agured that all teritory students should learn whatever they want. However, many individuals figure that they should only be granted to study majors that are useful for their prospective career. In my opinion, I strongly disagree.**

**On the one hand, the root of this phenonmeon mostly comes from the fact that creative subjects like art or music often fail to gurantee a stable jobs in the future. As most of occupations require technical skills like mathematics or chemistry to bulid experiments or run machines.For example,to be a well-paid programmer, students need to understand the how the computer works, performs. Therefore, many families try to draw their children’ attentions to science subjects or force them to learn them. Another reason is that science subjects are necessary to our society.The more people learn science subjects the more inventions and tehnologies are created, which increase the quality of lives of citizens.For instance, due to the improvement of technologies in health system, the patients in developed countries have a better chance of surviving.Moreover, the government, therefore will fill the absence of jobs in the community.**

**On the other hand, children should have right to learn whatever they like. While many people are interested in science subjects, others are keen on creative majors. Moreover, focusing on subjects like art or music does not mean they do not have a brigh future. For example, many talented and famous musicians in the world, have discovered their abilities while they were at schools and they choose them to be their future career.**

**In conclusion, although those subjects like science and techonlogy open a great opportunity for their future, it seems to me that students need to learn whatever they like.**

***Some people think that all university students should study whatever they like. Others believe that they should only be allowed to study subjects that will be useful in the future, such as those related to science and technology.***

While many individuals figure that teriotary students learn whatever they want, others believe that they should focus on majors that may be useful for their future career.

On the one hand, the root of this phenomenon comes from the fact that students who favour science subjects is likely to get a well-paid jobs in the future. As many occupations often require practical and technical skills like mathematics, chemistry to conduct experiments or to run complex machines. For example, to be a programmers, workers need to undestand how the computer works and performers. Therefore, their salaries can take up to 10,000 dollars per month as these skills take years of training and onsite experience.

On the other hand, learners should be free to learn their preferred areas of study. Firstly, it is observed that those who choose creative subjects will have a chance to get bright career like those learning science subjects. For instance, many famous artists and musicians have discovered their talent and passion while learning at schools and choose them to be their career path. Secondly, creative subjects play a huge role in cultural and historical meanings. Because there are a wide range of traditional stories are told in form of songs and traditional dances. Without them , newer generations can not understand the customs of their regions or lose connection with their ancestors.

In conclusions, although studying science subjects is likely to help learners get a better career in their future, it should not mean that creative subjects are less important.