## Practical Exercises for Day 6

Sonja Hartnack, Terence Odoch & Muriel Buri

October 2017

## **Exercise 17**

• Load the ToothGrowth data set and run the following four linear regression models.

```
mod1 <- lm(len ~ dose.fac, data = ToothGrowth)
mod2 <- lm(len ~ supp, data = ToothGrowth)
mod3 <- lm(len ~ dose.fac + supp, data = ToothGrowth)</pre>
```

• Have a look at the summary of these models.

```
mod1 <- lm(len ~ dose.fac, data = ToothGrowth)
mod2 <- lm(len ~ supp, data = ToothGrowth)
mod3 <- lm(len ~ dose.fac + supp, data = ToothGrowth)

# mod4 <- lm(len ~ dose.fac + supp + dose.fac:supp, data = ToothGrowth)
mod4 <- lm(len ~ dose.fac*supp, data = ToothGrowth)
summary(mod1)
summary(mod2)
summary(mod3)
summary(mod4)
# Check model assumptions
par(mfrow=c(2, 2))
plot(mod1)
plot(mod2)
plot(mod3)
plot(mod4)</pre>
```

- How do you interpret the model coefficients?
- Which model is best?

```
# t.test(ToothGrowth$len ~ ToothGrowth$supp) # not significant
# mod4 is the best model, because it has the smallest AIC.
# THE SMALLER THE AIC, THE BETTER THE MODEL!
```

## **Exercise 18**

• Load the water data set and fit a multiple linear regression model. Use mortality as your response variable and add hardness and location as an explanatory variable.

Check the underlying model assumptions.

```
par(mfrow=c(2,2))
plot(lm.mod)
```

• Add an interaction term between hardness and location to the above estimated multiple linear regression model.

```
data = water)
AIC(mod1, mod2)
```

- Interpret the interaction coefficient hardness:locationSouth.
- Check the underlying model assumptions.

```
par(mfrow=c(2,2))
plot(lm.mod.int)
```

• Which one is the better model? With or without the interaction term?

```
AIC(lm.mod, lm.mod.int)
summary(lm.mod)
summary(lm.mod.int)
```

• How to derive confidence intervals for the regression coefficient of hardness and location?

```
confint(lm.mod)
```

## **Exercise 19**

Hypothetical example - from Kirkwood and Sterne, Medical Statistics, 2nd ed., p. 177

• Read in the data set lepto. This study presents a serology survey of leptospira sero-prevalence in rural and urban areas of the west indies.

```
lepto <- read.csv("~/Dropbox/201710_Makerere/03_Exercises/data/lepto.csv", sep = ";")
# SONJA
# lepto <- read.csv("C:\\Users\\admin\\Dropbox\\201710_Makerere\\03_Exercises\\data\\lepto.cs
# sep = ";")
head(lepto)
str(lepto)</pre>
```

ullet Encode the numeric variable antibodies as a factor with levels 0 and 1.

• Make a crosstable with the risk factor exposure and antibodies.

```
table(lepto$exposure, lepto$antibodies)
```

• Run a Chi-squared test, a Fisher's exact test and a logistic regression (glm) to assess if the exposure (living in rural vs. urban areas) is a risk factor.

Create a subset for male and female based on the variable gender.

```
lepto.fem <- subset(lepto, gender == "female")
lepto.male <- subset(lepto, gender == "male")</pre>
```

• Repeat the crosstable, Chi-squared test, Fisher's exact test and a logistic regression (glm) for the subsets separately.

```
# FEMALES
table(lepto.fem$exposure, lepto.fem$antibodies)
chisq.test(lepto.fem$exposure, lepto.fem$antibodies)
fisher.test(lepto.fem$exposure, lepto.fem$antibodies)
glm.mod.fem <- glm(antibodies ~ exposure, data = lepto.fem,
               family = "binomial")
summary(glm.mod.fem)
confint(glm.mod.fem)
# MALES
table(lepto.male$exposure, lepto.male$antibodies)
chisq.test(lepto.male$exposure, lepto.male$antibodies)
fisher.test(lepto.male$exposure, lepto.male$antibodies)
glm.mod.male <- glm(antibodies ~ exposure, data = lepto.male,</pre>
               family = "binomial")
summary(glm.mod.male)
confint(glm.mod.male)
```

- Does the conclusion of your research question change with the analysis of the subsets? (Research question: Is the exposure (rural and urban areas) a risk factor?)
- Fit a logistic regression model (glm) with exposure and gender as explanatory variables.

• SPECIAL FOR GUMA: Is exposure being from an urban area a risk factor?