Practical Exercises for ALL EXERCISES

Sonja Hartnack, Terence Odoch & Muriel Buri

October 2017

Exercise 1

- Open R Studio
- Open a new R-Script
- Load data set chickwts

```
data(chickwts)
head(chickwts)
# ?chickwts
```

• Do summary statistic (numerically and graphically)

Anova, Im, which groups differ, Bonferroni, Tukey-Anscombe Histogram with density line Normally distributed weights

• Create a data frame with 3 columns.

Exercise 3

• Install package MASS.

```
# install.packages("MASS")
library("MASS")
```

• Load data set bacteria.

```
data(bacteria)
head(bacteria)
# ?bacteria
```

• Do summary statistic (numerically and graphically).

```
summary(bacteria)
table(bacteria$week)
barplot(table(bacteria$trt))
table(bacteria$trt, bacteria$ap)
table(bacteria$trt, bacteria$y)
%
fisher.test(table(bacteria$trt, bacteria$y))
%
prop.table(table(bacteria$trt, bacteria$y))
prop.table(table(bacteria$trt, bacteria$y), margin = 1)
```

```
prop.table(table(bacteria$trt, bacteria$y), margin = 2)
%
plot(prop.table(table(bacteria$trt, bacteria$y)))
mosaicplot(~trt + y, data = bacteria)
barplot(prop.table(table(bacteria$y, bacteria$trt),margin=1), beside=TRUE)
barplot(prop.table(table(bacteria$trt, bacteria$y),margin=1), beside=TRUE)
barplot(prop.table(table(bacteria$y, bacteria$trt),margin=1), beside=FALSE)
barplot(prop.table(table(bacteria$trt, bacteria$y),margin=1), beside=FALSE)
?barplot
```

• Select only observations collected during the second week.

```
subset(bacteria, week == 2)
ss <- subset(bacteria, week == 2)
summary(ss)
# Check if we only have observations of week 2.
table(bacteria$week)
table(ss$week)</pre>
```

Exercise 4

What is conceptionally the difference between these bracket types ([...], (...))?

```
chickwts[, 2]
summary(aov(weight ~ feed, data = chickwts))
```

Exercise 5

• How many levels has the factor variable trt from bacteria?

```
str(bacteria)
head(bacteria$trt)
table(bacteria$trt)
levels(bacteria$trt)
nlevels(bacteria$trt)
```

• Define a new variable trt.new in which you combine the levels drug and drug+ into one single level and label it as treated. The new variable trt.new should in the end have two levels: placebo and treated.

```
table(bacteria$trt)
# OPTION 1:
# Test how many levels are in the variable "trt"?
levels(bacteria$trt)
bacteria$trt.new <- bacteria$trt
# Overwrite the levels "placebo", "drug", "drug+" with new
# levels called "placebo", "drug", "drug" --> combine "drug" and "drug+"
levels(bacteria$trt.new) <- c("placebo", "drug", "drug")
# Do table for variable "trt" and "trt.new" to see if you combined correctly
table(bacteria$trt.new)
# Rename the levels from "placebo", "drug" to "placebo", "treated"
levels(bacteria$trt.new) <- c("placebo", "treated")
# Do another table to check if you did everything correctly:
table(bacteria$trt.new)</pre>
```

• Do summary statistics for placebo and treated group.

```
summary(bacteria)
table(bacteria$trt.new)
barplot(table(bacteria$trt.new))
table(bacteria$trt.new, bacteria$ap)
table(bacteria$trt.new, bacteria$y)
plot(table(bacteria$trt.new, bacteria$y))
```

Exercise 6

• Load data set ToothGrowth.

```
data(ToothGrowth)
str(ToothGrowth)
head(ToothGrowth)
```

Do summary statistic (numerically and graphically).

• Define additional column dose.fac by converting the numeric variable dose into a factor variable.

```
table(ToothGrowth$dose)
class(ToothGrowth$dose)
ToothGrowth$dose.fac <- factor(ToothGrowth$dose, levels = c("0.5", "1", "2"))
class(ToothGrowth$dose.fac)
table(ToothGrowth$dose.fac)</pre>
```

• Are the tooth length measurements normally distributed within the treatment (supp: VC or OJ) and within in the different doses (dose: 0.5, 1, 2)?

```
# supp: VC, OJ
sub.OJ <- subset(ToothGrowth, supp == "OJ")
sub.VC <- subset(ToothGrowth, supp == "VC")
# graphically
qqnorm(sub.OJ$len)
qqline(sub.OJ$len)
qqnorm(sub.VC$len)
qqline(sub.VC$len)</pre>
```

```
# with a statistical test
shapiro.test(sub.OJ$len)
shapiro.test(sub.VC$len)
# dose: 0.5, 1, 2
sub.0.5 <- subset(ToothGrowth, dose.fac == "0.5")</pre>
sub.1 <- subset(ToothGrowth, dose.fac == "1")</pre>
sub.2 <- subset(ToothGrowth, dose.fac == "2")</pre>
# graphically
qqnorm(sub.0.5$len)
qqline(sub.0.5$len)
qqnorm(sub.1$len)
qqline(sub.1$len)
qqnorm(sub.2$len)
qqline(sub.2$len)
# with a statistical test
shapiro.test(sub.0.5$len)
shapiro.test(sub.1$len)
shapiro.test(sub.2$len)
```

- Import the data set perulung_ems.csv (taken from Kirkwood and Sterne, 2nd edition) into R. Data from a study of lung function among children living in a deprived suburb of Lima, Peru. Variables:
 - fev1: in liter, "Forced Expiratory Volume in 1 second" measured by a spirometer. This is the maximum volume of air which the children could breath out in 1 second
 - age: in years
 - height: in cm
 - sex: 0 = girl, 1 = boy
 - respsymp: respiratory symptoms experienced by the child over the previous 12 months
- What delimiter do you need to choose?

• Do all variables have the correct data type (numeric, integer, factor)? If not, do correct and / or define them.

```
head(lung)
str(lung)
lung$sex <- factor(lung$sex, levels = c("0", "1"))
# levels(lung$sex) <- c("female", "male")
# levels(lung$sex)[levels(lung$sex)=="0"] <- "female"
# levels(lung$sex)[levels(lung$sex)=="1"] <- "male"
# tapply(lung$fev1, lung$sex, mean)
lung$respsymptoms <- factor(lung$respsymptoms, levels = c("0", "1"))</pre>
```

```
library(usdm)
# check for multicollinearity by using variance inflation factors
# cerate a dataframe just with the three continuous/numeric variables fevs, age and height
try.vif <- lung[,c("fev1","height","age")];
# perform scatterplots for these three variables
pairs(try.vif)
# get the three VIF, as a rule of thumb they should be < 3
vif(try.vif)</pre>
```

Check for heteroscedascity or homogeneity of variances

```
?bartlett.test
data("chickwts")
bartlett.test(weight ~ feed, data = chickwts)
```

Apply the summary statistics to the perulung_ems and ToothGrowth data set.

```
# Read in .csv data
lung <- read.csv("C:\\Users\\Exercises\\data\\perulung_ems.csv", sep = ";")</pre>
head(lung)
str(lung)
summary(lung)
lung$sex <- factor(lung$sex, levels = c("0", "1"))</pre>
levels(lung$sex) <- c("female", "male")</pre>
lung$respsymptoms <- factor(lung$respsymptoms, levels = c("0", "1"))</pre>
# Continuous and factor
tapply(lung$height, lung$sex, mean)
tapply(lung$height, lung$respsymptoms, mean)
# Factor and factor
table(lung$respsymptoms, lung$sex)
prop.table(table(lung$respsymptoms, lung$sex))
# Continuous and factor
tapply(lung$age, lung$sex, mean)
tapply(lung$age, lung$respsymptoms, mean)
# Continuous and factor
tapply(lung$fev1, lung$sex, mean)
tapply(lung$fev1, lung$respsymptoms, mean)
# Continuous and continuous
pairs(lung)
cor.test(lung$fev1, lung$age, method = "pearson")
cor.test(lung$fev1, lung$height, method = "pearson")
# ToothGrowth
summary(ToothGrowth)
table(ToothGrowth$supp)
tapply(ToothGrowth$len, ToothGrowth$supp, mean)
```

```
tapply(ToothGrowth$len, ToothGrowth$supp, median)
tapply(ToothGrowth$len, ToothGrowth$supp, sd)
table(ToothGrowth$dose)
tapply(ToothGrowth$len, ToothGrowth$dose, mean)
tapply(ToothGrowth$len, ToothGrowth$dose, median)
tapply(ToothGrowth$len, ToothGrowth$dose, sd)
```

Exercise 9A: Plausibility Checks

- What can go wrong?
- Identify different strategies for spotting these potential errors.
 - Logical errors
 - Spelling mistakes
- Import the data set bacteria_plausibility_check.csv to R.

• Detect the six errors in the imported data set bacteria_plausibility_check.csv in R.

```
str(bac)
table(bac$y) # We have wrong factor levels: 0, 1
table(bac$ap)
```

```
table(bac$hilo) # We have a spelling mistake: Hi.
table(bac$week) # There's only ONE observation in week 20.
table(bac$ID)
table(bac$trt) # We have wrong factor levels: drug++, penicillin+
summary(bac$child_weight) # child weight of 302.8 kg is impossible --> comma
```

• Find possible solutions in R how to handle these challenges.

```
bac$y[which(bac$y == 0] <- "n"

# bac$y[bac$y == 0] <- "y"

# Delete the unused levels with the function droplevels(...)

bac$y <- droplevels(bac$y)

bac$hilo[bac$hilo == "Hi"] <- "hi"

bac$hilo[which(bac$hilo == "Hi")] <- "hi"

levels(bac$hilo) <- c("hi", "hi", "lo")

summary(bac)

bac <- bac[-which(bac$week == 20), ] # dim(bac)

bac$trt[bac$trt == "drug++"] <- "drug+"

bac$trt[bac$trt == "penicillin+"] <- "drug+"

table(bac$trt) # We have wrong factor levels: drug++, penicillin+

bac$child_weight[bac$child_weight == 302.8] <- 30.28

summary(bac)</pre>
```

• Do all variables have the correct data type (numeric, integer, factor)? - If not, do correct / define them.

```
bac$y <- factor(bac$y, levels = c("n", "y"))
bac$hilo[bac$hilo == "Hi"] <- "hi"
bac$ID <- factor(bac$ID)
bac$trt <- factor(bac$trt)</pre>
```

Exercise 9B: Missing Values

• Check out the difference between the different missing values

```
y1 <- c(2, 4, 3, NA, 6, 1)
y2 <- c("diseased", "healthy", NA, "NA")
y3 <- c(1, "NA", 0, 1, NaN)
%
is.na(y1)
which(is.na(y1))
is.na(y2)
which(is.na(y2))
is.na(y3)
which(is.na(y3))
is.na(y3)</pre>
```

• Create a vector with missing values and determine the mean and median

```
myvector <- c(1:3,NA,NA,1:3)
mean(myvector)
mean(myvector,na.rm=TRUE) # calculates c(1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3)
median(myvector,na.rm=TRUE)</pre>
```

• If x = c (22,3,7,NA,NA,67) what will be the output for the R statement length(x)?

```
x <- c (22,3,7,NA,NA,67)

length(x)
```

• If x = c(NA,3,14,NA,33,17,NA,41) which line of R code removes all occurrences of NA in x.

```
x <- c(NA,3,14,NA,33,17,NA,41)
x[!is.na(x)]
x[is.na(x)]
x[which(is.na(x))] <- 0</pre>
```

• If y = c(1,3,12,NA,33,7,NA,21) what R statement will replace all occurrences of NA with 11?

```
y <- c(1,3,12,NA,33,7,NA,21)
y[y=="NA"] <- 11
y[is.na(y)] <- 11
y[y==11] <- NA
```

• If x = c(34,33,65,37,89,NA,43,NA,11,NA,23,NA) then what will count the number of occurrences of NA in x?

```
x <- c(34,33,65,37,89,NA,43,NA,11,NA,23,NA)
sum(x=="NA")
sum(x == "NA", is.na(x))
sum(is.na(x))</pre>
```

• Create a vector and find the number of missing values and their position

```
x1 <- c(rnorm(10,5,2),NA,5:12,NA,6,7.5,NA)
is.na(x1)
summary(x1)
sum(is.na(x1))
which(is.na(x1))</pre>
```

• Now, create the vector x2 and assess the difference to x1

```
x2 <- c(rnorm(10,5,2),NA,5:12,NA,6,7.5,NA,log(-2))
x2
```

- What is the meaning of "NA" versus "NaN"?
- Replace the missing values in x1 with a 0, and check that no NAs are present try two different commands to coerce the NAs into 0

```
x1[is.na(x1)] <- 0
is.na(x1)
# or
ifelse(is.na(x1),0,x1)</pre>
```

Exercise 10

- Import the data set water_errors.csv to R: A data frame with 61 observations on the following 6 variables.
 - location: a factor with levels North and South indicating whether the town is as north as Derby.
 - town: the name of the town.

- mortality: averaged annual mortality per 100.000 male inhabitants.
- hardness: calcium concentration (in parts per million).
- **smoker**: If there are any smokers living in town.
- num.of.cig: In case, smokers live in town, what number of cigarettes do they smoke per day.

```
\# H20\_err <- read\_csv("C:|Vsers||admin||Dropbox||201710\_Makerere||03\_Exercises||data||water\_|
# str(H20_err)
# H20_err <- data.frame(H20_err)
# str(H20_err)
# BEST SOLUTION how to read it in:
# Try to use the "read.csv(...)" function to read data in!
# use the separator sep=";" or sep="," - which ever works better.
H20_err <- read.csv("C:\\Users\\admin\\Dropbox\\201710_Makerere\\03_Exercises\\data\\water_ers
str(H20_err)
%
# H2O_err <- read_csv("~/Dropbox/201710_Makerere/03_Exercises/data/water_errors.csv")
H20_err <- read.csv("~/Dropbox/201710_Makerere/03_Exercises/data/water_errors.csv", sep=",")
%
C:\Users\admin\Dropbox\201710_Makerere\03_Exercises\data
H20_err <- data.frame(H20_err)</pre>
str(H20_err)
head(H20_err)
```

• Detect the errors in the imported data set water_errors.csv in R.

```
str(H2O_err)
table(H2O_err$location) # Only one N and only one West observation.
table(H2O_err$town) # LIVERPOOL is in capital letter.
summary(H2O_err$mortality)
summary(H2O_err$hardness) # hardness of -2 does not make sense, two NA's
table(H2O_err$num.of.cig) # only one "zero" observation (wrong coding / level)
table(H2O_err$smoker, H2O_err$num.of.cig) # non-smokers who smoke more than 20?
```

• Find possible solutions in R how to handle these challenges.

```
str(H20_err)
which(H20_err$location == "N") # 6th row
which(H20_err$location == "West") # 9th row
H20_err$location[H20_err$location == "N"] <- "North"</pre>
H2O_err$location[H2O_err$location == "West"] <- NA # Option 1: Set to NA.
dim(H20_err)
H2O_err <- H2O_err[-which(H2O_err$location == "West"), ] # Option 2: Remove from data.
dim(H20_err)
# H20_err$town[H20_err$town == "LIVERPOOL"] <- "Liverpool"</pre>
# H20_err <- H20_err$town[-which(H20_err$town == "LIVERPOOL"), ]</pre>
which(is.na(H20_err$hardness))
H2O_err$hardness[which(is.na(H2O_err$hardness))] <- NA
H2O_err$hardness[which(H2O_err$hardness == -2)] <- NA
# H20_err$hardness[which(H20_err$hardness == -2)] <- 2</pre>
summary(H20_err$hardness)
# Check levels of varibale num.of.cig
levels(H20_err$num.of.cig)
table(H20_err$num.of.cig)
# Change the zero level to none
H2O_err$num.of.cig[H2O_err$num.of.cig == "zero"] <- "none"
# Drop unused levels
H20_err$num.of.cig <- droplevels(H20_err$num.of.cig)</pre>
# levels(droplevels(H2O_err$num.of.cig))
table(H20_err$num.of.cig)
which.F.morethan20 <- which(H20_err$smoker == FALSE & H20_err$num.of.cig == "more than 20")
H20_err[which.F.morethan20, ]
# OPTION 1:
H20_err$num.of.cig[which.F.morethan20] <- NA</pre>
# OPTION 2:
H20_err$smoker[which.F.morethan20] <- TRUE</pre>
# check again, that we corrected it right
H20_err[which.F.morethan20, ]
table(H2O_err$smoker, H2O_err$num.of.cig) # check again!
%
which(H2O_err$smoker == FALSE & H2O_err$num.of.cig == "more than 20")
```

```
which.T.none <- which(H2O_err$smoker == TRUE & H2O_err$num.of.cig == "none")
H2O_err[which.T.none, ]
H2O_err$smoker[which.T.none] <- FALSE
table(H2O_err$smoker, H2O_err$num.of.cig)</pre>
```

• Do all variables have the correct data type (numeric, integer, factor)? - If not, do correct / define them.

Exercise 11

• Apply the two-sided two sample t-test to suitable variables of the data set ToothGrowth.

```
?t.test
t.test(ToothGrowth$len ~ ToothGrowth$supp)
t.test(len ~ supp, data = ToothGrowth)
# p-value = 0.06039 (borderline) significant, close to 0.05
# p-value says the difference is not (borderline) significant
# however, the boxplot do somehow look different
boxplot(ToothGrowth$len ~ ToothGrowth$supp)
# change the default setting of var.equal
t.test(ToothGrowth$len ~ ToothGrowth$supp, var.equal = TRUE)
```

```
t.test(ToothGrowth$len ~ ToothGrowth$supp, var.equal = FALSE) # DEFAULT!

%
# Define subset
sub.OJ <- subset(ToothGrowth, supp == "OJ")
sub.VC <- subset(ToothGrowth, supp == "VC")
# Drop (unused) levels for each subset
sub.VC$supp <- droplevels(sub.VC$supp)
levels(sub.VC$supp) # check that levels are dropped
sub.OJ$supp <- droplevels(sub.OJ$supp)
levels(sub.OJ$supp) # check that levels are dropped
# Additional option for comparing lengths between the two groups:
# Compare the two vectors of lengths
t.test(sub.VC$len, sub.OJ$len)
%</pre>
```

• Interpret the results.

```
# t = 1.9153
# df = 55.309
# p-value = 0.06063
# 95 percent confidence interval: -0.1710156 7.5710156
# sample mean in group OJ: 20.66333
# sample mean in group VC: 16.96333
# Also with the lm(...) function for "linear model" you get the same sample means:
lm.mod0 <- lm(ToothGrowth$len ~ ToothGrowth$supp - 1)
coef(lm.mod)</pre>
```

Apply the two-sided t-test to the perulang_ems data set

```
# two-sided t-test of fev1 vs respsymptoms

t.test(lung$fev1 ~ lung$respsymptoms)

t.test(fev1 ~ respsymptoms, data = lung)

# Define linear model

mod.fev.resp.0 <- lm(lung$fev1 ~ lung$respsymptoms)

summary(mod.fev.resp.0)

mod.fev.resp.1 <- lm(lung$fev1 ~ lung$respsymptoms - 1)</pre>
```

```
summary(mod.fev.resp.1)
# Coefficients of linear model
coef(mod.fev.resp.0)
coef(mod.fev.resp.1)
# Anova
anova(mod.fev.resp.0)
anova(mod.fev.resp.1)
# two-sided t-test of fev1 vs sex
t.test(lung$fev1 ~ lung$sex)
t.test(fev1 ~ sex, data = lung)
# Define linear model
mod.fev.sex.0 <- lm(lung$fev1 ~ lung$sex)</pre>
mod.fev.sex.1 <- lm(lung$fev1 ~ lung$sex - 1)</pre>
# Coefficients of linear model
coef(mod.fev.sex.0)
coef(mod.fev.sex.1)
# Anova
anova(mod.fev.sex.0)
anova(mod.fev.sex.1)
```

• Apply the Chi-square Test and the fisher exact test to the whole bacteria data set.

```
library("MASS")
data(bacteria)
summary(bacteria)
subbac <- subset(bacteria, week == 2)
bacteria$trt.new <- bacteria$trt
levels(bacteria$trt.new) <- c("placebo", "drug", "drug")
bacteria$trt.new <- droplevels(bacteria$trt.new)
# Ordering of the variables does not matter
chisq.test(table(bacteria$trt, bacteria$y))
chisq.test(table(bacteria$trt, bacteria$trt))
chisq.test(bacteria$trt, bacteria$y)
my.table <- table(bacteria$trt, bacteria$y)</pre>
```

```
chisq.test(my.table)
table(subbac$trt, subbac$y)
chisq.test(table(subbac$trt, subbac$y))
fisher.test(table(subbac$trt, subbac$y))
fisher.test(table(subbac$trt.new, subbac$y))
# Chi-squared test with trt and y
chisq.test(table(bacteria$trt, bacteria$y))
# Fisher test with trt and y
fisher.test(table(bacteria$trt, bacteria$y))
```

• Apply the Chi-square Test and the fisher exact test to the subset of bacteria containing only the observations taken in week 2. Are there any issues?

```
subbac <- subset(bacteria, week == 2)

# Chi-squared test with trt and y

chisq.test(table(subbac$trt, subbac$y))

# --> NOT RELIABLE RESULTS: at least 5 observations per group.

# Fisher test with trt and y

fisher.test(table(subbac$trt, subbac$y))
```

• Repeat this exercise by using the (previously defined) combined trt.new variable with the two levels treated and drug.

```
# WHOLE DATA SET

# Chi-squared test with trt.new and y
chisq.test(table(bacteria$trt.new, bacteria$y))

# Fisher test with trt.new and y
fisher.test(table(bacteria$trt.new, bacteria$y))

# SUB DATA SET only observations from week 2

# Chi-squared test with trt.new and y
chisq.test(table(subbac$trt.new, subbac$y))

# --> NOT RELIABLE RESULTS: at least 5 observations per group.

# Fisher test with trt.new and y
fisher.test(table(subbac$trt.new, subbac$y))
```

Could you also obtain the odds ratios?

```
fisher.test(table(subbac$trt.new, subbac$y))
fisher.test(bacteria$y, bacteria$ap)
my.logreg <- glm(y ~ ap, data = bacteria, family = "binomial")
summary(my.logreg)
exp(0.8473 )
coef(my.logreg)
exp(coef(my.logreg))</pre>
```

• Try also a logistic regression in R. Ask Google for help!

```
model.logreg <- glm(bacteria$y ~ bacteria$trt.new, family = "binomial")
model.logreg <- glm(y ~ trt.new, data = bacteria, family = "binomial")
summary(model.logreg)
anova(model.logreg)
coef(model.logreg)
exp(coef(model.logreg))</pre>
```

Exercise 13A: Outside plot frame

• Type demo(graphics) in your console and press enter. This command shows you a nice demonstration of possible R graphics.

```
# After the demonstration us the following commands:
dev.off()
par(mfrow=c(1,1))
```

• Change the x-axis and y-axis labelling of a boxplot plotting the len variable of the ToothGrowth data set.

How do you set a main title for your above plot?

What does the following command do?

```
par(mfrow=c(2,2))
```

```
# With the par(...) function, you can include the option
# mfrow=c(nrows, ncols) to create a matrix of nrows x ncols plots
# that are filled in by row.
par(mfrow=c(2,2)) # 2 rows, 2 columns
par(mfrow=c(4,3)) # 4 rows, 3 columns
# DO NOT FORGET TO CHANGE IT BACK TO:
par(mfrow=c(1, 1)) # the default
```

• We have six different feed types in chickwts. Try to plot two separate boxplots for casein and horsebean and set the same minimum and maximum for the y-axis. Use the function subset for doing so.

```
sub.casein <- subset(chickwts, feed == "casein")
sub.casein <- droplevels(sub.casein)
sub.horsebean <- subset(chickwts, feed == "horsebean")
sub.horsebean <- droplevels(sub.horsebean)</pre>
```

```
sub.casein <- subset(chickwts, feed == "casein")
sub.casein <- droplevels(sub.casein)
sub.horsebean <- subset(chickwts, feed == "horsebean")
sub.horsebean <- droplevels(sub.horsebean)
summary(sub.casein$weight)
summary(sub.horsebean$weight)
boxplot(sub.casein$weight ~ sub.casein$feed, ylim = c(100, 410))
boxplot(sub.horsebean$weight ~ sub.horsebean$feed, ylim = c(100, 410))</pre>
```

• How do you enlarge the font size of the axis as well as the axis labels of the following plot with the perulung data set?

```
plot(lung$fev1, lung$height)

plot(lung$fev1, lung$height, cex.axis = 1.5, cex.lab = 1.5)
plot(lung$fev1, lung$height, cex.axis = 1.5, cex.lab = 1.5, las = 1)
```

• Label the x-axis of the following plot with "Vitamin C in μ g". Use the greek letter for μ .

• Read http://www.statmethods.net/advgraphs/parameters.html.

Exercise 13B: Inside the square of the plot

- Type demo(graphics) in your console and press enter.
- Add a legend to the following barplot. Are there several different solutions for this?

• Add a density line to this histogram.

```
hist(ToothGrowth$len, prob = TRUE, col = "grey", ylim = c(0, 0.05))
```

• Add a **dotted red** linear regression line to the following plot.

```
plot(lung$height, lung$fev1)
```

• Color the points in the following plot according to the sex variable.

```
plot(lung$height, lung$fev1)

plot(lung$height, lung$fev1, col = as.numeric(lung$sex))
```

• Add two linear regression lines separately for female and maleto the following plot.

```
plot(lung$height, lung$fev1)
```

• Color the points in the following plot according to the supp variable. Use different point characters (pch) based on the supp variable.

```
plot(ToothGrowth$len, ToothGrowth$dose)
```

```
plot(ToothGrowth$len, ToothGrowth$dose,
    pch = levels(ToothGrowth$supp),
    col = as.numeric(ToothGrowth$supp))
```

• Read http://www.statmethods.net/advgraphs/parameters.html.

Exercise 14

• Load the below data set and for further information check the command ?water.

```
# install.packages("HSAUR3")
library("HSAUR3")
data("water")
str(water)
head(water)
summary(water)
```

• Try to plot the variables mortality against hardness from the water data set.

```
par(mfrow=c(1,1))
plot(x = water$hardness, y = water$mortality)
plot(mortality ~ hardness, data = water)
```

• Add a main title to the above plot (mortality against hardness).

- Change the ...
 - 1. font size of the axis annotation
 - 2. font size of the x- and y-axis labels
 - 3. the point sizes within the plot
 - ... of the above plot (mortality against hardness).

• Looking at the above plot: Do you think the two variables hardness and mortality correlate? What function do you use to find out the correlation coefficient? Do they have a positive or a negative correlation coefficient? How do you interpret the correlation coefficient in your own words?

```
cor(x = water$hardness, y = water$mortality) # -0.6548486

cor.test(x = water$hardness, y = water$mortality)

# negative correlation of -0.65 with confidence interval of [-0.78, -0.48]:

# the higher the calcium concentration (hardness),

# the smaller the averaged annual mortality per 100.000 male

# inhabitants (mortality)
```

• In the water data set, can you graphically find out if there is a difference between the two variables hardness and mortality conditional on the location (North, South).

Add a legend to the above plot so that you can easily differentiate the locations (North or South) of the
observations.

• Do a barplot of the variable location from the water data set.

```
barplot(table(water$location))
```

 ADDITIONAL: Try if any of these following plotting functions can be applied to the data sets perulung or ToothGrowth.

```
install.packages("graphics")
library("graphics")
```

```
?coplot
#
# install.packages("lattice")
library("lattice")
?xyplot
#
?interaction.plot
```

- Download the .R file ANOVA_with_chickwts.R from the switch drive and have another look on how we applied the anova to the chickwts data set.
- Load the ToothGrowth data set into R and encode the numeric variable dose as a factor variable. Define the new factor variable as dose.fac with the three levels low, med and high and add it to the data frame of ToothGrowth.

• Visualize the variable len per dose level in a boxplot.

```
boxplot(ToothGrowth$len ~ ToothGrowth$dose.fac)
```

• With the help of the R-commands written in the ANOVA_with_chickwts.R file, apply a analysis of variance (ANOVA) to the data set ToothGrowth

```
# aov.mod <- aov(ToothGrowth$len ~ ToothGrowth$dose.fac)
aov.mod <- aov(len ~ dose.fac, data = ToothGrowth)</pre>
# What objects can we extract from a anova model?
objects(aov.mod)
summary(aov.mod)
# What are residuals?
ToothGrowth$residuals <- residuals(aov.mod)
tapply(ToothGrowth$len, ToothGrowth$dose.fac, mean)
ToothGrowth[c(1:3),]
# Save residuals to an objects and check mean of residuals
aov.mod.resid <- residuals(aov.mod)</pre>
mean(aov.mod.resid)
round(mean(aov.mod.resid), 3)
par(mfrow=c(1,1))
qqnorm(aov.mod.resid)
qqline(aov.mod.resid, col = "red", lwd = 3, lty = 2)
# Shapiro-Wilk test (dependent on sample size --> limited use)
shapiro.test(aov.mod.resid)
# a <- rnorm(100, 20, 3)
# qqnorm(a)
# qqplot(a)
# shapiro.test(a)
# Bartlett Test
bartlett.test(ToothGrowth$len ~ ToothGrowth$dose.fac)
# Levene's Test
```

```
# install.packages("Rcmdr")
# library("Rcmdr")
# levene.test(ToothGrowth$len ~ ToothGrowth$dose.fac)
# Plot fitted against residual values
objects(aov.mod)
plot(fitted.values(aov.mod), residuals(aov.mod))
# Plot fitted against residual values
par(mfrow=c(1,2), pty="s", mar = c(1, 4, 1, 2))
plot(fitted.values(aov.mod), residuals(aov.mod))
abline(h = 0, col = "red", lwd = 3, lty = 2)
plot(aov.mod, which=1)
# Plot fitted against residual values
# Cut-off at 3 (y-axis)
# observations above 3 are regarded as having high
# influence to the analysis - have a closer look at them:
# outliers? delete them from the data set?
# why are these observations so influencial?
# everything below 3 is okay for the model
par(mfrow=c(1,1), pty="s", mar = c(5, 4, 4, 2))
plot(aov.mod, which=4)
# ToothGrowth[c(22, 23, 32),]
par(mfrow=c(1,3), pty="s")
plot(fitted.values(aov.mod), residuals(aov.mod))
abline(h = 0, col = "red", lwd = 3, lty = 2)
# Plot residuals against variables from the model
plot(ToothGrowth$len, residuals(aov.mod), ylab = "residuals")
plot(ToothGrowth$dose.fac, residuals(aov.mod),
     xlab = "ToothGrowth$dose.fac", ylab = "residuals")
par(mfrow=c(2, 2))
plot(aov.mod)
```

```
# # HOW TO RELEVEL FACTORS?
# # How to change the reference category of a factor variable?
# # Use the relevel(...) function
# # Make "sunflower" as reference category
# chickwts$feed <- relevel(chickwts$feed, "sunflower")</pre>
# levels(chickwts$feed)
# # Make "linseed" as reference category
# chickwts$feed <- relevel(chickwts$feed, "linseed")</pre>
# levels(chickwts$feed)
# chickwts$feed <- relevel(chickwts$feed, "casein")</pre>
# levels(chickwts$feed)
aov.mod <- aov(len ~ dose.fac, data = ToothGrowth)</pre>
# aov.mod1 <- aov(len ~ dose.fac, data = ToothGrowth)</pre>
# aov.mod2 <- aov(ToothGrowth$len ~ ToothGrowth$dose.fac)
# summary(aov.mod1)
# summary(aov.mod2)
# DO NOT USE THIS COMMAND, OTHERWISE THE LINEAR FUNCTION WITHIN
# DUNNETT AND TUKEY DOES NOT WORK!
# --> specify the data at the end of the aov model
# aov.mod <- aov(ToothGrowth$len ~ ToothGrowth$dose.fac)
summary(aov.mod)
pairwise.t.test(ToothGrowth$len, ToothGrowth$dose.fac, p.adj = "none")
pairwise.t.test(ToothGrowth$len, ToothGrowth$dose.fac, p.adj = "bonferroni")
# install the package first (one time)
# install.packages("multcomp")
# load the library (every single time you use it!)
library("multcomp")
# compares always to baseline levels (here: casein) --> saves degrees of freedom
# make sure you saved the aov.mod as:
# aov.mod <- aov(len ~ dose.fac, data = ToothGrowth)
dunnett <- glht(aov.mod, linfct = mcp(dose.fac = "Dunnett"))</pre>
```

```
library("multcomp")
# compares all factor levels
tukey <- glht(aov.mod, linfct = mcp(dose.fac = "Tukey"))
summary(tukey)
# summary(tukey) # standard display
tukey.cld <- cld(tukey) # letter-based display
# the cld(...) function sets up a compact letter display of all pair-wise comparisons
?par
par(mfrow=c(1,1), mar=c(5,4,8,2))
plot(tukey.cld)</pre>
```

- Download the .R file LM_with_water .R from the switch drive and have another look on how we applied the linear model to the water data set.
- Reuse these commands to fit a simple as well as multiple linear regression model to the data set of perulung_ems.
 Use fev1 as your response variable y.

```
lung <- read.csv("~/Dropbox/data/perulung_ems.csv", sep = ";")
head(lung)
str(lung)
lung$sex <- factor(lung$sex, levels = c("0", "1"))
levels(lung$sex) <- c("female", "male")
lung$respsymptoms <- factor(lung$respsymptoms, levels = c("0", "1"))

# MODEL 1
# mod.age <- lm(fev1 ~ age, data = lung)
mod.age <- lm(lung$fev1 ~ lung$age)
summary(mod.age)
coef(mod.age)
# Check model assumptions graphically
par(mfrow=c(2,2))
plot(mod.age)</pre>
```

```
# MODEL 2
# mod.height <- lm(fev1 ~ height, data = lung)</pre>
mod.height <- lm(lung$fev1 ~ lung$height)</pre>
summary(mod.height)
coef(mod.height)
# Check model assumptions graphically
par(mfrow=c(2,2))
plot(mod.height)
# MODEL 3
mod.age.height <- lm(fev1 ~ age + height, data = lung)
summary(mod.age.height)
coef(mod.age.height)
# Check model assumptions graphically
par(mfrow=c(2,2))
plot(mod.age.height)
# MODEL 4
mod.age.height.sex <- lm(fev1 ~ age + height + sex, data = lung)</pre>
summary(mod.age.height.sex)
coef(mod.age.height.sex)
# Check model assumptions graphically
par(mfrow=c(2,2))
plot(mod.age.height.sex)
# MODEL 5
mod.age.height.sex.resp <- lm(fev1 ~ age + height + sex + respsymptoms,
                               data = lung)
summary(mod.age.height.sex.resp)
coef(mod.age.height.sex.resp)
# Check model assumptions graphically
par(mfrow=c(2,2))
plot(mod.age.height.sex.resp)
mod1 <- lm(lung$fev1 ~ lung$age)</pre>
```

• Load the ToothGrowth data set and run the following four linear regression models.

```
mod1 <- lm(len ~ dose.fac, data = ToothGrowth)
mod2 <- lm(len ~ supp, data = ToothGrowth)
mod3 <- lm(len ~ dose.fac + supp, data = ToothGrowth)</pre>
```

• Have a look at the summary of these models.

```
mod1 <- lm(len ~ dose.fac, data = ToothGrowth)
mod2 <- lm(len ~ supp, data = ToothGrowth)
mod3 <- lm(len ~ dose.fac + supp, data = ToothGrowth)
# mod4 <- lm(len ~ dose.fac + supp + dose.fac:supp, data = ToothGrowth)
mod4 <- lm(len ~ dose.fac*supp, data = ToothGrowth)
summary(mod1)
summary(mod2)
summary(mod3)
summary(mod4)
# Check model assumptions
par(mfrow=c(2, 2))
plot(mod1)</pre>
```

```
plot(mod2)
plot(mod3)
plot(mod4)
```

- How do you interpret the model coefficients?
- Which model is best?

```
AIC(mod1, mod2, mod3, mod4)
# t.test(ToothGrowth$len ~ ToothGrowth$supp) # not significant
# mod4 is the best model, because it has the smallest AIC.
# THE SMALLER THE AIC, THE BETTER THE MODEL!
```

• Load the water data set and fit a multiple linear regression model. Use mortality as your response variable and add hardness and location as an explanatory variable.

• Check the underlying model assumptions.

```
par(mfrow=c(2,2))
plot(lm.mod)
```

• Add an interaction term between hardness and location to the above estimated multiple linear regression model.

- Interpret the interaction coefficient hardness:locationSouth.
- Check the underlying model assumptions.

```
par(mfrow=c(2,2))
plot(lm.mod.int)
```

• Which one is the better model? With or without the interaction term?

```
AIC(lm.mod, lm.mod.int)
summary(lm.mod)
summary(lm.mod.int)
```

• How to derive confidence intervals for the regression coefficient of hardness and location?

```
confint(lm.mod)
```

Exercise 19

Hypothetical example - from Kirkwood and Sterne, Medical Statistics, 2nd ed., p. 177

• Read in the data set lepto. This study presents a serology survey of leptospira sero-prevalence in rural and urban areas of the west indies.

```
lepto <- read.csv("~/Dropbox/201710_Makerere/03_Exercises/data/lepto.csv", sep = ";")
# SONJA
lepto <- read.csv("C:\\Users\\admin\\Dropbox\\201710_Makerere\\03_Exercises\\data\\lepto.csv"
sep = ";")
head(lepto)
str(lepto)</pre>
```

• Encode the numeric variable antibodies as a factor with levels 0 and 1.

```
table(lepto$antibodies)
class(lepto$antibodies)
lepto\$antibodies <- factor(lepto\$antibodies, level = c(0, 1),
                            labels = c("no", "ves"))
# Many different ways how to encode a numeric variable into a factor:
# lepto$antibodies <- factor(lepto$antibodies,
                              levels = c(0, 1),
                              labels = c("no", "yes"))
# lepto$antibodies <- factor(lepto$antibodies,</pre>
                              levels = c(0, 1),
                              labels = c("NO", "YES"))
# lepto$antibodies <- factor(lepto$antibodies,</pre>
                              levels = c(0, 1),
                              labels = c("Ugandian", "Kenian"))
table(lepto$antibodies)
class(lepto$antibodies)
```

Make a crosstable with the risk factor exposure and antibodies.

```
table(lepto$exposure, lepto$antibodies)
```

• Run a Chi-squared test, a Fisher's exact test and a logistic regression (glm) to assess if the exposure (living in rural vs. urban areas) is a risk factor.

• Create a subset for male and female based on the variable gender.

```
lepto.fem <- subset(lepto, gender == "female")
lepto.male <- subset(lepto, gender == "male")</pre>
```

• Repeat the crosstable, Chi-squared test, Fisher's exact test and a logistic regression (glm) for the subsets separately.

```
# FEMALES
lepto.fem <- subset(lepto, gender == "female")</pre>
table(lepto.fem$exposure, lepto.fem$antibodies)
chisq.test(lepto.fem$exposure, lepto.fem$antibodies)
fisher.test(lepto.fem$exposure, lepto.fem$antibodies)
glm.mod.fem <- glm(antibodies ~ exposure, data = lepto.fem,
               family = "binomial")
summary(glm.mod.fem)
confint(glm.mod.fem)
# MALES
lepto.male <- subset(lepto, gender == "male")</pre>
table(lepto.male$exposure, lepto.male$antibodies)
chisq.test(lepto.male$exposure, lepto.male$antibodies)
fisher.test(lepto.male$exposure, lepto.male$antibodies)
glm.mod.male <- glm(antibodies ~ exposure, data = lepto.male,</pre>
               family = "binomial")
summary(glm.mod.male)
confint(glm.mod.male)
```

- Does the conclusion of your research question change with the analysis of the subsets? (Research question: Is the exposure (rural and urban areas) a risk factor?)
- Fit a logistic regression model (glm) with exposure and gender as explanatory variables.

• **SPECIAL FOR GUMA**: Is exposure being from an urban area a risk factor?