



# VIRGINIA SEMICONDUCTOR

Chin Ting Wong & Marcus Nogueira

# BACKGROUND

## Virginia Semiconductor, Inc. – Key Facts for Case Study:

- **Founded:** Established in 1978 as a pioneer in prime silicon substrate manufacturing.
- **Core Business:** Specializes in high-value silicon wafers for niche markets.
- **Global Leadership History:** Once a global leader in silicon wafer manufacturing; now a significant player in specialized markets.
- **Innovation:** Strong focus on engineering research and product development to sustain market relevance.
- **International Reach:** Expanded into global markets to diversify and capture new opportunities.
- **Growth:** Continues to experience rising demand for its specialized products.
- **Operational Excellence:** Prioritizes quality and efficiency to meet evolving industry standards.



# OBJECTIVE

## Question 1:

- Identify factors influencing the size of a customer's purchase.
- Analyze predictors such as company size, import percentage, distance, and central purchasing agent.
- Determine significant variables to guide customer targeting strategies.

## Question 2:

- Explore relationships between sales, average hours worked per week, and number of customers.
- Investigate linear, quadratic, and interaction effects through scatter plots and regression analysis.
- Identify key predictors to improve operational efficiency and boost sales.

## Question 3:

- Analyze the relationship between sales and number of employees over 10 years.
- Identify trends and inefficiencies using regression and visualization techniques.
- Provide management recommendations to sustain growth and optimize workforce planning.



# # Q 1 : M E T H O D O L O G Y

## Data

- Independent Variables: Company Size (\$ millions sales), Percent of Customer Imports (% Imports), Distance from Virginia Semiconductor (Distance), Central Purchaser (*Binary variable*)
- Dependent Variable: Size of Purchase (\$1,000s)

## Method

- Apply stepwise (backward elimination) multiple regression analysis to predict the size of purchase based on other variables.

## Steps

- Include all variables in the “full” regression model
- Drop insignificant variables; starting by variable with the largest p-value
- Evaluate model

# # Q2: METHODOLOGY

## Data

- Independent Variables: Hours Worked per Week, Number of Customers
- Dependent Variable: Average Sales (\$ million)

## Method

- Apply stepwise (backward elimination) multiple regression analysis to predict average sales based on the independent variables and any newly created variables.

## Steps

- Create scatter plots (Sales vs. Hours Worked Per week & Sales vs. Number of Customers)
- Applying Tukey's Four Quadrant Approach to recode data (e.g. linearizing trend / introduce non-linearity / introduce binary variable(s))
- Include all variables (original & recoded) in the "full" regression model
- Drop insignificant variables; starting by variable with the largest p-value
- Evaluate model

# # Q 3 : M E T H O D O L O G Y

## Data

- Independent Variables: Number of Employees
- Dependent Variable: Sales (\$ million)

## Method

- Apply stepwise (backward elimination) multiple regression analysis to predict total sales based on the independent variable and any newly created variables.

## Steps

- Create scatter plots (Sales vs. Number of Employees)
- Applying Tukey's Four Quadrant Approach to recode data (e.g. linearizing trend / introduce non-linearity / introduce binary variable(s))
- Include all variables (original & recoded) in the "full" regression model
- Drop insignificant variables; starting by variable with the largest p-value
- Evaluate model

# # Q1: ANALYSIS & FINDINGS

## SUMMARY OUTPUT

<i>Regression Statistics</i>	
Multiple R	0.877506
R Square	0.770017
Adjusted R Square	0.734635
Standard Error	87.39594
Observations	16

## ANOVA

	<i>df</i>	<i>SS</i>	<i>MS</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>Significance F</i>
Regression	2	332453	166226.4964	21.76295	7.1E-05
Residual	13	99294.66	7638.050883		
Total	15	431747.7			

	<i>Coefficients</i>	<i>Standard Error</i>	<i>t Stat</i>	<i>P-value</i>	<i>Lower 95%</i>	<i>Upper 95%</i>	<i>Lower 95.0%</i>	<i>Upper 95.0%</i>
Intercept	-7.771082	39.24508	-0.198014169	0.846097	-92.55493	77.01276	-92.55493	77.01276
Company Size	1.450821	0.34029	4.263479708	0.000924	0.715668	2.185973	0.715668	2.185973
Central Purchaser	109.407	52.91921	2.067435139	0.059204	-4.917968	223.732	-4.917968	223.732

# # Q 1 : ANALYSIS & FINDINGS

## Model Fit

- R-Squared = 0.7700
- Adjusted R-Squared = 0.7346
- Standard Error = 87.40

## Significant Predictors

- **Company Size**
  - ❖ Coeff = 1.451: Each \$1M increase in company size leads to a \$1,451 increase in purchase size
  - ❖ p-value = 0.0009; Statistically significant predictor.
- **Central Purchase**
  - ❖ Coeff = 109.407 : Companies with a central purchaser spend \$109.41K more on average
  - ❖ p-value = 0.0592; Marginally significant at the 5% level.



# # Q2: ANALYSIS & FINDINGS

## SUMMARY OUTPUT

Regression Statistics	
Multiple R	0.976628327
R Square	0.95380289
Adjusted R Square	0.90760578
Standard Error	0.750993421
Observations	11

## ANOVA

	<i>df</i>	<i>SS</i>	<i>MS</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>Significance F</i>
Regression	5	58.22186259	11.64437252	20.64637567	0.002369702
Residual	5	2.819955596	0.563991119		
Total	10	61.04181818			

	<i>Coefficients</i>	<i>Standard Error</i>	<i>t Stat</i>	<i>P-value</i>	<i>Lower 95%</i>	<i>Upper 95%</i>	<i>Lower 95.0%</i>	<i>Upper 95.0%</i>
Intercept	-503.5082254	161.4969826	-3.117756241	0.026318893	-918.6494354	-88.36701538	-918.6494354	-88.367015
Hours Worked	22.37113246	7.44962651	3.00298712	0.029996136	3.221257867	41.52100705	3.221257867	41.521007
Number of Customers	1.294050132	0.336647582	3.843931167	0.012075339	0.428669973	2.159430291	0.428669973	2.15943029
(Hours Worked)^2	-0.242365802	0.085865035	-2.822636744	0.036993667	-0.463088901	-0.021642703	-0.463088901	-0.0216427
(Number of Customers)^2	-0.000940319	0.000197913	-4.751171492	0.005099215	-0.001449071	-0.000431567	-0.001449071	-0.0004316
hours*customers	-0.026554515	0.007755129	-3.42412291	0.018754346	-0.04648971	-0.006619321	-0.04648971	-0.0066193

# # Q2: ANALYSIS & FINDINGS

## Model Fit

- $R\text{-Squared} = 0.9538$
- $\text{Adjusted } R\text{-Squared} = 0.9076$
- $\text{Standard Error} = 0.751$

## Significant Predictors

- **Hours Worked:**
  - ❖  $\text{Coeff} = 22.37$ : Each additional hour worked per week increases sales by approximately \$22.37K.
- **Number of Customers:**
  - ❖  $\text{Coeff} = 1.29$ : Each additional customer increases sales by \$1.29K.
- **(Hours Worked)<sup>2</sup>:**
  - ❖  $\text{Coeff} = -0.242$ : A quadratic relationship indicates that the effect of hours worked on sales decreases after a certain point.
- **(Number of Customers)<sup>2</sup>:**
  - ❖  $\text{Coeff} = -0.00094$ : A quadratic effect suggests diminishing returns from additional customers on sales.
- **Hours Worked\*Number of Customers:**
  - ❖  $\text{Coeff} = -0.0266$ : The interaction term suggests that the effect of hours worked per week on sales decreases as the number of customers increases.

# # Q3: ANALYSIS & FINDINGS

## SUMMARY OUTPUT

Regression Statistics	
Multiple R	0.984509995
R Square	0.969259931
Adjusted R Square	0.953889897
Standard Error	1.269861078
Observations	10

## ANOVA

	<i>df</i>	<i>SS</i>	<i>MS</i>	<i>F</i>	<i>significance F</i>
Regression	3	305.0697171	101.6899057	63.06166316	6.28E-05
Residual	6	9.675282945	1.612547158		
Total	9	314.745			

	<i>Coefficients</i>	<i>Standard Error</i>	<i>t Stat</i>	<i>P-value</i>	<i>Lower 95%</i>	<i>Upper 95%</i>	<i>Lower 95.0%</i>	<i>Upper 95.0%</i>
Intercept	-570.59532	104.4678531	-5.461922527	0.002	-826.2189	-314.9717	-826.2189	-314.9717
Number of Employees	10.56748571	1.984774228	5.324275963	0.002	5.710918	15.42405	5.710918	15.42405
(Number of Employees)^2	-0.060752789	0.012334624	-4.925386307	0.003	-0.090935	-0.030571	-0.090935	-0.030571
(Number of Employees)^3	0.000115269	2.50686E-05	4.598167867	0.004	5.39E-05	0.000177	5.39E-05	0.000177

# # Q3: ANALYSIS & FINDINGS

## Model Fit

- R-Squared = 0.9693
- Adjusted R-Squared = 0.9539
- Standard Error = 1.27

## Significant Predictors

- **Number of Employees:**
  - ❖ Coeff = 10.57: Each additional employee increases sales by approximately \$10.57M.
- **(Number of Employees)<sup>2</sup>:**
  - ❖ Coeff = -0.061: Suggests a quadratic effect where the benefit of adding employees diminishes after a certain point.
- **(Number of Employees)<sup>3</sup>:**
  - ❖ Coeff = 0.00012: Indicates a cubic effect where very large numbers of employees may slightly increase sales, following initial diminishing returns.

# # Q 3 : M A N A G E M E N T   R E C O M M E N D A T I O N

## Optimize Workforce Productivity

**Observation:** Diminishing returns suggest inefficiencies in resource utilization.

- ❖ Implement training programs to enhance employee performance.
- ❖ Leverage technology and automation to maximize efficiency and reduce reliance on workforce size for growth.

## Determine the Optimal Workforce Size

- **Observation:** Sales growth plateaus beyond a specific employee count.
  - ❖ Conduct cost-benefit analysis to find the "sweet spot" for workforce size.
  - ❖ Continuously monitor and adjust hiring practices to align with optimal efficiency.

## Invest in Data-Driven Decision-Making

- **Observation:** Advanced modeling highlights the importance of data in strategic planning.
  - ❖ Use analytics to inform workforce planning, market strategies, and operational improvements.
  - ❖ Leverage predictive models to anticipate sales trends and proactively align resources.



# CONCLUSIONS

- **Key Findings Highlights:**

- Company size and having a central purchaser are significantly associated with purchase size (\$ thousands) at the 10% significance level.
- Average sales (\$ million) are best explained by hours worked, the number of customers, squared terms of both the variables, and an interaction term between hours and customers.
- Sales (\$ million) are effectively modeled using the number of employees and their squared and cubic terms, reflecting diminishing and compounding effects.

- **Model Strength and Predictors:**

- Linear regression models provided meaningful insights in Q1, while incorporating non-linear terms in Q2 and Q3 improved explanatory power, reflecting the complexity of the relationships analyzed.
- R-squared values highlighted the explanatory contribution of identified variables in understanding sales outcomes, with varying levels of effectiveness across models.
- Transformations and interaction terms improved model fit and provided deeper insights into relationships.

- **Recommendations:**

- Focus marketing strategies on larger companies with central purchasing agents to increase purchase size.
- Optimize workforce productivity to balance hours worked and customer management for maximizing average sales.
- Adjust hiring strategies based on the identified optimal workforce size to sustain growth while addressing inefficiencies.