

(msb-mib-gross-mobility) An integrated digital architecture: 1 tax, 1 dividend, 1 layer of mobility indicators

MSB/MIB: a new indicator to complement GDP and UBI in digital economies

An integrated digital architecture:

1 tax, 1 dividend, 1 layer of mobility indicators

Author: Juan Robles (Mexico)

December 9, 2025

Contact: mroblesg88@gmail.com

One-sentence proposal

I propose an integrated digital architecture:

1 tax, 1 dividend, 1 layer of MSB/MIB indicators based on digital traces and satisfaction signals, to complement **GDP** and **Universal Basic Income (UBI)** schemes in fully digitalized economies.

1. Context: from analog GDP to a traceable economy

In many economies, digitalization has created something that 50 years ago was unthinkable:

almost every relevant transaction leaves a **digital trace** with:

- economic value,

- identifiable sender and receiver,
- date and time (timestamp),
- a tax invoice or verifiable record.

At the same time, interest is growing in:

- **Basic Income / Universal Basic Income (UBI)**,
- “beyond GDP” indicators (wellbeing, happiness, social mobility).

However, GDP and UBI still operate **almost blind** to a key question:

How possible is it to move people’s lives within this digital economy,
and how **satisfying** is that mobility?

2. Integrated digital architecture: 1 tax, 1 dividend, 1 indicators layer

The conceptual contribution of this document is a minimal architecture:

1. A single transaction tax

- The act of buying/selling or transferring value is taxed in a simple way.
- Revenue is calculated automatically from existing digital records.

2. A single Universal Dividend (UD)

- A fixed share of revenue is allocated to a recurring Universal Dividend.
- Each person sees **a single line** in their account:

“Universal Dividend – month X”.

3. A single layer of mobility indicators: MSB/MIB

- On top of the same transactional database, we calculate:
 - **MSB (Gross Substantive Mobility)**: individual level.
 - **MIB (Gross Internal Mobility)**: territorial / national level.

- This layer does not complicate the citizen's experience; it is there to **measure and decide better**, not to punish.

The key is that **taxation, distribution and measurement** all rely on the same digital infrastructure, instead of living in separate silos.

3. What are MSB and MIB?

MSB – Gross Substantive Mobility (individual)

MSB aims to answer:

“Beyond how much a person earns or spends,
how much does their life actually move, and with what quality is it lived?”

In simple terms, a person's MSB over a period combines:

- how many **activities and transactions** they carry out,
- what **types of activities** these are (work, health, education, leisure, care, etc.),
- with **what level of satisfaction** they are experienced (satisfaction signals).

Those satisfaction signals can be:

- **direct**: short surveys, ratings, NPS, etc.
- **indirect**: usage patterns, repetition, abandonment, text/voice.
- **biometric** (in a regulated future): stress, physiological wellbeing.

Intuitively:

- Many “stressful” or pure survival transactions → low MSB.
 - Fewer transactions, but meaningful, voluntary and experienced with satisfaction → high MSB.
-

MIB – Gross Internal Mobility (territorial)

MIB is the **snapshot of lived mobility in a territory** (for example, a state or a country).

In simple terms, it is the aggregation of the MSBs of the resident population.

While:

- **GDP** says “how much this territory produces”,
- **MIB** seeks to say “how movable and livable life is within that territory”.

It does not aim to replace GDP, but to **place alongside GDP** an indicator that looks directly at the population’s experience of mobility.

4. How they complement GDP and UBI

4.1. In relation to GDP

- Two territories may have **similar GDP per capita**, but:
 - one with **high MIB** (real opportunities, effective mobility),
 - another with **low MIB** (congestion, debt, defensive spending).

MIB makes it possible to distinguish:

- **Virtuous growth** (GDP that translates into lived mobility)
- from **toxic growth** (GDP that coexists with trapped lives).

4.2. In relation to UBI / Universal Dividend

A Universal Dividend can exist **without translating into real mobility** if the environment is:

- expensive,
- unsafe,
- saturated,
- or captured by debt and abusive rents.

MSB/MIB make it possible to assess:

“With the same Universal Dividend,
in which territories do people truly manage to move their lives,
and in which ones do they only put out fires?”

Thus, UBI stops being just a number and becomes **a tool evaluated by its impact on lived mobility**.

5. Simple examples of use

Example 1 – Infrastructure with a mobility criterion

- Two cities have similar GDP and receive the same Universal Dividend.
- But their MIB values are very different:
 - City A: high MIB → good combination of transport, services, leisure, education.
 - City B: low MIB → large amount of forced expenditure on commuting, debt and reactive healthcare.

The authorities decide:

- not just “more money” for B,
- but **targeted investment** in public transport, preventive healthcare or financial regulation,

and then measure how B’s MIB evolves.

Example 2 – Monitoring social crises

- A country enters a recession.
- GDP falls, but we observe that **MIB falls more sharply** among young people or single mothers.

This makes it possible to:

- anticipate hotspots of conflict,
- adjust support programs,
- evaluate whether the Universal Dividend is or is not cushioning the impact on those groups’ mobility.

6. Conclusion

This proposal does not claim to be a closed economic theory, but rather a **simple framework** to leverage something that is already real:

- economies where almost everything is digitally recorded,
- active discussions about UBI,
- and the need to go beyond GDP.

The concrete contribution is an **integrated digital architecture**:

- **a single transaction tax**,
- **a single Universal Dividend** visible to citizens,
- **and a single layer of MSB/MIB indicators** that makes it possible to measure how much real mobility and satisfaction each unit of economic activity produces.

With this, states, institutions and societies can begin to decide not only **how much to produce and collect**, but **what kind of mobility they want to generate, and for whom**.

How to cite this work / Cómo citar este trabajo

English

Robles, Juan (2025). MSB/MIB: a new indicator to complement GDP and UBI in digital economies. An integrated digital architecture: 1 tax, 1 dividend, 1 mobility indicators layer.

GitHub: <https://github.com/mroblesg88/msb-mib-gross-mobility>

ORCID: 0009-0006-0458-6186

Español

Robles, Juan (2025). MSB/MIB: un nuevo indicador para complementar PIB y UBI en economías digitalizadas. Una arquitectura digital integrada: 1 impuesto, 1 dividendo, 1 capa de indicadores de movilidad.

GitHub: <https://github.com/mroblesg88/msb-mib-gross-mobility>

ORCID: 0009-0006-0458-6186

License / Licencia

English

This work is licensed under **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)**.

This means anyone may:

- share, adapt and reuse this content,
- as long as they give appropriate credit to **Juan Robles** and this original repository.

Español

Este trabajo se distribuye bajo la licencia **Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)**.

Esto significa que cualquier persona puede:

- compartir, adaptar y reutilizar este contenido,
- siempre que otorgue el crédito correspondiente a **Juan Robles** y a este repositorio original.