Tannakian reconstruction of Tambara modules

Or, why that "optics" formula?

Mario Román

September 26, 2019

1 Definition of Tambara module

Let **M** be a monoidal category acting both on two arbitrary categories **C** and **D**. We write \underline{M} for the image of $M \in \mathbf{M}$ both in $[\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}]$ and $[\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D}]$.

Definition 1. A Tambara module consists of a profunctor $P: \mathbb{C}^{op} \times \mathbb{D} \to \mathbf{Sets}$ endowed with a family of morphisms $\alpha_M: P(A, B) \to P(\underline{M}A, \underline{M}B)$ natural in both $A \in \mathbb{C}$ and $B \in \mathbb{D}$, and dinatural in $M \in \mathbb{M}$; which additionally makes the following diagrams commute.

Remark 2. The original definition of Tambara module [T⁺06] deals only with actions that arise from a monoidal product $\otimes : \mathbf{C} \to [\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}]$. We use the term Tambara module also for the more general concept, allowing for arbitrary monoidal actions.

We can extend Pastro and Street [PS08] construction of free Tambara module over a profunctor $P \colon \mathbf{C}^{op} \times \mathbf{D} \to \mathbf{Sets}$ to the case of general monoidal actions. Tambara modules are equivalently algebras for a monad Ψ defined by

$$\Psi P(S,T) = \int^{M,X,Y} \mathbf{C}(S,\underline{M}X) \times \mathbf{D}(\underline{M}Y,T) \times P(X,Y).$$

We know how to contruct free Tambara modules. What is the free Tambara module over a representable functor hom((A, B), -)? We call it $\mathbf{Optic}((A, B), -)$, and it can be written as

$$\mathbf{Optic}((A,B),-) \cong \int^M \mathbf{C}(S,\underline{M}A) \times \mathbf{D}(\underline{M}B,T).$$

That is, the formula for optics is given by the free Tambara module on a representable functor.

2 Tannakian reconstruction

Milewski [Mil17], and then Boisseau and Gibbons [BG18], proved a unified profunctor representation theorem for optics, that is widely used in programming libraries such as

Kmett's lens [Kme18]. Milewski suggested to me that profunctor representation was surprisingly similar to Tannakian reconstruction; we will prove the theorem following the proof of Tannakian reconstruction (for, say, groups). The proof written in this way is similar to the one used by Riley [Ril18].

Theorem 3. Let $\mathcal{U}_{(A,B)} \colon \not \sim \mathbf{Sets}$ the functor that evaluates a Tambara module on the object (A,B). There exists an isomorphism

$$[\mathcal{T}, \mathbf{Sets}] (\mathcal{U}_{(A,B)}, \mathcal{U}_{(S,T)}) \cong \mathbf{Optic}((A,B), (S,T)),$$

natural on both (A, B) and (S, T).

Proof. The claim is that this theorem is precisely Tannakian reconstruction for Tambara modules. We first note that, by definition, the functor $\mathcal{U}_{(A,B)}$ is represented by $\mathbf{Optic}((A,B),-)$, the free Tambara module over the hom-profunctor. In fact, for any Tambara module $P \colon \mathbf{C}^{op} \times \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{Sets}$,

$$\mathcal{U}_{(A,B)}P \cong \operatorname{Nat}(\operatorname{hom}((A,B),-),P) \cong \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{Optic}((A,B),-),P).$$

Then, by Tannakian reconstruction, $[\mathcal{T}, \mathbf{Sets}] (\mathcal{U}_{(A,B)}, \mathcal{U}_{(S,T)}) \cong \mathbf{Optic}((A,B), (S,T)).$

References

- [BG18] Guillaume Boisseau and Jeremy Gibbons. What you need know about Yoneda: Profunctor optics and the Yoneda Lemma (functional pearl). *PACMPL*, 2(ICFP):84:1–84:27, 2018.
- [Kme18] Edward Kmett. lens library, version 4.16. Hackage https://hackage.haskell. org/package/lens-4.16, 2012-2018.
- [Mil17] Bartosz Milewski. Profunctor optics: the categorical view. https://bartoszmilewski.com/2017/07/07/profunctor-optics-the-categorical-view/, 2017.
- [PS08] Craig Pastro and Ross Street. Doubles for monoidal categories. *Theory and applications of categories*, 21(4):61–75, 2008.
- [Ril18] Mitchell Riley. Categories of optics. arXiv preprint arXiv:1809.00738, 2018.
- [T⁺06] Daisuke Tambara et al. Distributors on a tensor category. *Hokkaido mathematical journal*, 35(2):379–425, 2006.