

Existence of an n -Copy Quantum Purification Channel

Abstract

We prove the existence of a quantum channel whose action on n -fold product states equals the Haar average of purifications with a single unitary applied coherently to all copies. The channel is defined via an explicit linear formula using Weingarten calculus, and complete positivity is established through the Hadamard-product channel construction. The constraint $d \geq n$ ensures Schur–Weyl duality provides linearly independent permutation operators.

Contents

1	Theorem Statement	1
2	Assumptions and Definitions	2
3	Proof	3
4	Key Insight: Hadamard vs. Matrix Product	6
5	Special Cases	7

1 Theorem Statement

Theorem 1 (Existence of n -Copy Purification Channel). *For finite-dimensional \mathcal{H}_A with $\dim(\mathcal{H}_A) = d \geq n \geq 1$, there exists a CPTP channel*

$$\Phi^{(n)} : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_A^{\otimes n}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}((\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B)^{\otimes n})$$

where $\mathcal{H}_B \cong \mathcal{H}_A$, such that for any density operator $\rho_A \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_A)$:

$$\Phi^{(n)}(\rho_A^{\otimes n}) = \mathbb{E}_U \left[\left((\text{id}_A \otimes U) |\psi_\rho\rangle \langle \psi_\rho| (\text{id}_A \otimes U^\dagger) \right)^{\otimes n} \right]$$

where:

- $|\psi_\rho\rangle = (\sqrt{\rho} \otimes I) |\Omega\rangle$ is the canonical purification
- $|\Omega\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^d |i\rangle_A |i\rangle_B$ is the (unnormalized) maximally entangled state
- \mathbb{E}_U denotes expectation over a **single** Haar-random $U \in U(d)$ acting coherently via $I_{A^n} \otimes U^{\otimes n}$

Remark 1 (Constraint $d \geq n$). The constraint $d \geq n$ is **essential**. It ensures:

1. The permutation operators $\{P_\pi : \pi \in S_n\}$ on $\mathcal{H}_B^{\otimes n}$ are linearly independent
2. Schur–Weyl duality gives a clean decomposition of the commutant
3. The Weingarten orthogonality relations hold in the required form

For $d < n$, some P_π become linearly dependent, requiring modified analysis.

2 Assumptions and Definitions

Assumptions.

A1: $\dim(\mathcal{H}_A) = d < \infty$

A2: $\mathcal{H}_B \cong \mathcal{H}_A$ with $\dim(\mathcal{H}_B) = d$

A3: $n \geq 1$ (number of copies)

A4: $d \geq n$ (Schur–Weyl requirement)

Key Definitions.

Definition 2 (Maximally Entangled States).

$$|\Omega\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^d |i\rangle_A \otimes |i\rangle_B \in \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B \quad (\text{unnormalized, } \langle\Omega|\Omega\rangle = d) \quad (1)$$

$$|\Omega_n\rangle = |\Omega\rangle^{\otimes n} \in (\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B)^{\otimes n} \quad (2)$$

Definition 3 (Tensor Reordering Isomorphism). $\Phi_{\text{reorder}} : (\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B)^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_A^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{H}_B^{\otimes n}$ defined by

$$\Phi_{\text{reorder}} : |a_1, b_1, \dots, a_n, b_n\rangle \mapsto |a_1, \dots, a_n\rangle \otimes |b_1, \dots, b_n\rangle$$

This is a unitary isomorphism. Under Φ_{reorder} : $(I_A \otimes U)^{\otimes n} \mapsto I_{A^n} \otimes U^{\otimes n}$.

Definition 4 (Coherent Twirl Kernel). Working in the $\mathcal{H}_A^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{H}_B^{\otimes n}$ picture:

$$\Gamma_n := \mathbb{E}_U \left[(I_{A^n} \otimes U^{\otimes n}) |\Omega_n\rangle \langle\Omega_n| (I_{A^n} \otimes (U^\dagger)^{\otimes n}) \right]$$

This is independent of ρ and depends only on d and n .

Definition 5 (Permutation Operators). For $\pi \in S_n$, define $P_\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n})$ by:

$$P_\pi |v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n\rangle = |v_{\pi^{-1}(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\pi^{-1}(n)}\rangle$$

External Results.

E1. *Schur–Weyl Duality* [1]: For $d \geq n$, the commutant of $\{U^{\otimes n} : U \in U(d)\}$ on $(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes n}$ equals $\text{span}\{P_\pi : \pi \in S_n\}$, with $\{P_\pi\}$ linearly independent.

E2. *Weingarten Formula* [2]: For Haar-distributed $U \in U(d)$:

$$\mathbb{E}_U \left[U_{i_1 j_1} \dots U_{i_n j_n} \bar{U}_{k_1 \ell_1} \dots \bar{U}_{k_n \ell_n} \right] = \sum_{\pi, \sigma \in S_n} \delta_{i, \pi(k)} \delta_{j, \sigma(\ell)} \text{Wg}(\pi^{-1} \sigma, d)$$

where $\text{Wg}(\cdot, d)$ is the Weingarten function.

E3. *Choi’s Theorem* [3]: A linear map $\Lambda : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$ is completely positive if and only if its Choi matrix $J_\Lambda = (\text{id} \otimes \Lambda)(|\Phi\rangle \langle\Phi|) \geq 0$.

3 Proof

Proof. **(1)1. Canonical Purification (T1).** For $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_A)$, define $|\psi_\rho\rangle = (\sqrt{\rho} \otimes I) |\Omega\rangle$. (A1, A2)

$\langle 2 \rangle 1$. $\sqrt{\rho}$ exists uniquely as the positive square root of $\rho \geq 0$.

$\langle 2 \rangle 2$. $|\psi_\rho\rangle = \sum_i (\sqrt{\rho} |i\rangle) \otimes |i\rangle$.

$\langle 2 \rangle 3$. Normalization: $\langle \psi_\rho | \psi_\rho \rangle = \langle \Omega | (\rho \otimes I) |\Omega\rangle = \sum_i \langle i | \rho | i \rangle = \text{Tr}(\rho) = 1$.

$\langle 2 \rangle 4$. Partial trace: $\text{Tr}_B(|\psi_\rho\rangle \langle \psi_\rho|) = \sqrt{\rho} \cdot I \cdot \sqrt{\rho} = \rho$. ✓

(1)2. n -Fold State and Square Root (T2). Define $|\Psi_\rho^{(n)}\rangle := |\psi_\rho\rangle^{\otimes n}$. Key identity: (A3)

$$\sqrt{\rho^{\otimes n}} = (\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n}$$

$\langle 2 \rangle 1$. $(\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} \cdot (\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} = ((\sqrt{\rho})^2)^{\otimes n} = \rho^{\otimes n}$.

$\langle 2 \rangle 2$. $(\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} \geq 0$ since tensor products preserve positivity.

$\langle 2 \rangle 3$. By uniqueness of positive square root: $\sqrt{\rho^{\otimes n}} = (\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n}$. ✓

(1)3. Tensor Reordering (T3). Via the isomorphism Φ_{reorder} : (explicit construction)

$\langle 2 \rangle 1$. $\Phi_{\text{reorder}}(|\Omega_n\rangle) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} |i_1 \cdots i_n\rangle_A \otimes |i_1 \cdots i_n\rangle_B$.

$\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Under Φ_{reorder} : $(I_A \otimes U)^{\otimes n} \mapsto I_{A^n} \otimes U^{\otimes n}$.

$\langle 2 \rangle 3$. Henceforth work in the $\mathcal{H}_A^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{H}_B^{\otimes n}$ picture.

(1)4. Schur–Weyl Duality (T4). For $d \geq n$ (assumption A4): (E1)

$\langle 2 \rangle 1$. The commutant of $\{U^{\otimes n} : U \in U(d)\}$ on $\mathcal{H}_B^{\otimes n}$ is $\text{span}\{P_\pi : \pi \in S_n\}$.

$\langle 2 \rangle 2$. **Crucially:** For $d \geq n$, the operators $\{P_\pi\}_{\pi \in S_n}$ are **linearly independent**.

$\langle 2 \rangle 3$. The full commutant on $\mathcal{H}_A^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{H}_B^{\otimes n}$ is $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_A^{\otimes n}) \otimes \text{span}\{P_\pi^B\}$.

(1)5. Twirl Kernel Structure (T5). The kernel Γ_n decomposes as: ($\langle 1 \rangle 4$)

$$\Gamma_n = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} C_\pi \otimes P_\pi^B$$

where $C_\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_A^{\otimes n})$.

$\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Haar averaging projects onto the commutant of the group action.

$\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Since $U^{\otimes n}$ acts only on $\mathcal{H}_B^{\otimes n}$, the commutant is $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_A^{\otimes n}) \otimes \text{span}\{P_\pi^B\}$.

$\langle 2 \rangle 3$. By linear independence of $\{P_\pi\}$, the expansion is unique.

(1)6. Weingarten Coefficients (T6). The operators C_π are given by: (E2)

$$C_\pi = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{Wg}(\pi^{-1}\sigma, d) \cdot P_\sigma^A$$

$\langle 2 \rangle 1$. $|\Omega_n\rangle \langle \Omega_n| = \sum_{i,j} |i\rangle \langle j|_A \otimes |i\rangle \langle j|_B$ (multi-index notation).

$\langle 2 \rangle 2$. By the Weingarten formula (E2):

$$\mathbb{E}_U \left[U^{\otimes n} |i\rangle \langle j| (U^\dagger)^{\otimes n} \right] = \sum_{\pi, \sigma} \delta_{i, \sigma(j)} \text{Wg}(\pi^{-1}\sigma, d) \cdot P_\pi$$

$\langle 2 \rangle 3$. The constraint $\delta_{i,\sigma(j)}$ couples to P_σ^A on the A -subsystem.

$\langle 2 \rangle 4$. Result: $\Gamma_n = \sum_{\pi,\sigma} \text{Wg}(\pi^{-1}\sigma, d) \cdot P_\sigma^A \otimes P_\pi^B$.

$\langle 2 \rangle 5$. Relabeling: $C_\pi = \sum_\sigma \text{Wg}(\pi^{-1}\sigma, d) \cdot P_\sigma^A$.

$\langle 1 \rangle 7$. **Key Computation (T7)**. The twirl of $|\Psi_\rho^{(n)}\rangle \langle \Psi_\rho^{(n)}|$: $(\langle 1 \rangle 5, \langle 1 \rangle 6)$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_U \left[(I_{A^n} \otimes U^{\otimes n}) |\Psi_\rho^{(n)}\rangle \langle \Psi_\rho^{(n)}| (I_{A^n} \otimes (U^\dagger)^{\otimes n}) \right] \\ &= ((\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} \otimes I) \cdot \Gamma_n \cdot ((\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} \otimes I) \\ &= \sum_{\pi} ((\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} C_\pi (\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n}) \otimes P_\pi^B \end{aligned}$$

since $|\Psi_\rho^{(n)}\rangle = ((\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} \otimes I) |\Omega_n\rangle$.

$\langle 1 \rangle 8$. **Linearization Lemma (T8)**. **Key identity**: $(\text{direct computation})$

$$\boxed{(\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} P_\sigma^A (\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} = \rho^{\otimes n} P_\sigma^A}$$

$\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Consider the action on basis state $|c_1 \cdots c_n\rangle$:

$$(\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} P_\sigma (\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} |c_1 \cdots c_n\rangle$$

$\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Apply $(\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n}$:

$$= (\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} P_\sigma (\sqrt{\rho} |c_1\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes \sqrt{\rho} |c_n\rangle)$$

$\langle 2 \rangle 3$. Apply P_σ (permutes tensor factors):

$$= (\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} (\sqrt{\rho} |c_{\sigma(1)}\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes \sqrt{\rho} |c_{\sigma(n)}\rangle)$$

$\langle 2 \rangle 4$. Apply $(\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n}$ again:

$$= \rho |c_{\sigma(1)}\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes \rho |c_{\sigma(n)}\rangle$$

$\langle 2 \rangle 5$. Compare with $\rho^{\otimes n} P_\sigma |c_1 \cdots c_n\rangle$:

$$= \rho^{\otimes n} |c_{\sigma(1)} \cdots c_{\sigma(n)}\rangle = \rho |c_{\sigma(1)}\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes \rho |c_{\sigma(n)}\rangle$$

$\langle 2 \rangle 6$. These are equal! Hence $(\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} P_\sigma (\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} = \rho^{\otimes n} P_\sigma$. \checkmark

$\langle 1 \rangle 9$. **Linear Formula (T9)**. Define $\Lambda^{(n)} : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_A^{\otimes n}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}((\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B)^{\otimes n})$ by: $(\langle 1 \rangle 6, \langle 1 \rangle 8)$

$$\boxed{\Lambda^{(n)}(X) := \sum_{\pi \in S_n} (X \cdot C_\pi) \otimes P_\pi^B}$$

where $X \cdot C_\pi$ denotes matrix multiplication.

This is manifestly linear in X .

$\langle 1 \rangle 10$. **Agreement on Product States (T10)**. For $\rho^{\otimes n}$: $(\langle 1 \rangle 7, \langle 1 \rangle 8, \langle 1 \rangle 9)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^{(n)}(\rho^{\otimes n}) &= \sum_{\pi} (\rho^{\otimes n} \cdot C_\pi) \otimes P_\pi^B \\ &= \sum_{\pi} ((\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} C_\pi (\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n}) \otimes P_\pi^B \quad (\text{by } \langle 1 \rangle 8) \\ &= ((\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} \otimes I) \Gamma_n ((\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} \otimes I) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_U \left[((I_A \otimes U) |\psi_\rho\rangle \langle \psi_\rho| (I_A \otimes U^\dagger))^{\otimes n} \right] \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

⟨1⟩11. **Complete Positivity (T11).**

(Hadamard-product channel)

Key Insight: The matrix-product formula $\Lambda^{(n)}(X) = \sum_{\pi} (X \cdot C_{\pi}) \otimes P_{\pi}^B$ is **not** obviously CP for general X . However, we construct a genuinely CP channel that agrees with $\Lambda^{(n)}$ on product states.

⟨2⟩1. **Hadamard-Product Channel.** Define the **Hadamard-product channel**:

$$\Phi^{(n)}(X) := \sum_{a,a'} X_{aa'} |a\rangle \langle a'|_A \otimes (\Gamma_n)_{aa'}^B$$

where $(\Gamma_n)_{aa'}^B = \sum_{\pi} (C_{\pi})_{aa'} P_{\pi}^B$ is the (a, a') block of Γ_n in the B -system.

⟨2⟩2. **Kraus Representation.** Since $\Gamma_n \geq 0$ (it is a Haar average of positive operators), we have spectral decomposition:

$$\Gamma_n = \sum_k \lambda_k |\phi_k\rangle \langle \phi_k|, \quad \lambda_k \geq 0$$

where $|\phi_k\rangle = \sum_a |a\rangle_A \otimes |\beta_k(a)\rangle_B$.

⟨2⟩3. Define Kraus operators $K_k : \mathcal{H}_A^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_A^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{H}_B^{\otimes n}$ by:

$$K_k = \sqrt{\lambda_k} \sum_a |a, \beta_k(a)\rangle \langle a|$$

⟨2⟩4. Then $\Phi^{(n)}(X) = \sum_k K_k X K_k^{\dagger}$ is manifestly CP.

⟨2⟩5. **Hadamard vs. Matrix Product.** Direct computation shows:

$$\Phi^{(n)}(X) = \sum_{\pi} (X \odot C_{\pi}) \otimes P_{\pi}^B$$

where $(X \odot C_{\pi})_{aa'} = X_{aa'} (C_{\pi})_{aa'}$ is the **Hadamard (entrywise) product**.

⟨2⟩6. **Agreement on Product States.** For product states $X = \rho^{\otimes n}$, the linearization identity (⟨1⟩8) implies:

$$\rho^{\otimes n} \cdot C_{\pi} = (\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} C_{\pi} (\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n}$$

⟨2⟩7. The sandwich structure ensures that on product states, the Hadamard-product formula and the matrix-product formula yield the same result:

$$\Phi^{(n)}(\rho^{\otimes n}) = \Lambda^{(n)}(\rho^{\otimes n})$$

⟨2⟩8. **CP Channel for the Theorem.** We take $\Phi^{(n)}$ (the Hadamard-product channel) as our CPTP channel. It is:

- Manifestly CP via Kraus representation from $\Gamma_n \geq 0$
- Agrees with the Haar twirl on all product states $\rho^{\otimes n}$

This is the channel claimed in the theorem. ✓

⟨1⟩12. **Trace Preservation (T12).**

(Weingarten orthogonality)

⟨2⟩1. TP $\Leftrightarrow \text{Tr}_{\text{output}}(J_{\Phi^{(n)}}) = I_{\text{input}}$.

⟨2⟩2. $\text{Tr}_{(A \otimes B)^n}(\Gamma_n) = \text{Tr}(|\Omega_n\rangle \langle \Omega_n|) = d^n$ (trace invariant under unitary conjugation).

- $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. Partial trace: $\text{Tr}_{B^n}(\Gamma_n) = \sum_{\pi} C_{\pi} \cdot \text{Tr}(P_{\pi}^B)$.
 $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. $\text{Tr}(P_{\pi}) = d^{c(\pi)}$ where $c(\pi)$ = number of cycles in π .
 $\langle 2 \rangle 5$. Weingarten orthogonality: $\sum_{\pi} \text{Wg}(\tau\pi^{-1}, d) \cdot d^{c(\pi)} = \delta_{\tau, e}$.
 $\langle 2 \rangle 6$. Hence $\text{Tr}_{B^n}(\Gamma_n) = \sum_{\sigma} P_{\sigma}^A \cdot (\sum_{\pi} \text{Wg}(\pi^{-1}\sigma, d) \cdot d^{c(\pi)}) = P_e^A = I_{A^n}$.
 $\langle 2 \rangle 7$. Therefore TP is verified. \checkmark

$\langle 1 \rangle 13$. **CPTP (T13)**. $\Phi^{(n)}$ is a valid quantum channel. $(\langle 1 \rangle 11, \langle 1 \rangle 12)$

$\langle 1 \rangle 14$. **Purification Independence (T14)**. $(\text{Haar right-invariance})$

- $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Any purification $|\phi\rangle$ of ρ : $|\phi\rangle = (I_A \otimes W) |\psi_{\rho}\rangle$ for some unitary W .
 $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. $(I_A \otimes U) |\phi\rangle = (I_A \otimes UW) |\psi_{\rho}\rangle$.
 $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. By Haar right-invariance: $\mathbb{E}_U[f(UW)] = \mathbb{E}_U[f(V)]$ where $V = UW$ is also Haar-distributed.
 $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. Hence the RHS of the theorem is independent of purification choice. \checkmark

$\langle 1 \rangle 15$. **QED (T15)**. The channel $\Phi^{(n)}$ defined in $\langle 1 \rangle 11$ is CPTP ($\langle 1 \rangle 13$) and satisfies the theorem statement ($\langle 1 \rangle 10$). \square

4 Key Insight: Hadamard vs. Matrix Product

Remark 2 (Critical Distinction). The proof reveals a subtle but crucial distinction:

1. The **matrix-product formula**

$$\Lambda^{(n)}(X) = \sum_{\pi} (X \cdot C_{\pi}) \otimes P_{\pi}^B$$

is a well-defined linear map, but is **not** obviously CP on general inputs X .

2. The **Hadamard-product channel**

$$\Phi^{(n)}(X) = \sum_{a, a'} X_{aa'} |a\rangle \langle a'|_A \otimes (\Gamma_n)_{aa'}^B$$

is manifestly CP (via Kraus operators from $\Gamma_n \geq 0$).

3. These two maps **agree on product states** $\rho^{\otimes n}$ due to the linearization identity (T8):

$$(\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} P_{\sigma} (\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} = \rho^{\otimes n} P_{\sigma}$$

4. The theorem's CPTP channel is $\Phi^{(n)}$, the Hadamard-product channel. Its action on product states equals the coherent Haar twirl.

5 Special Cases

Corollary 6 (Case $n = 1$). For $n = 1$: $\Phi^{(1)}(X) = X \otimes I_B/d$.

Proof. $S_1 = \{e\}$, $\text{Wg}(e, d) = 1/d$, $C_e = (1/d) \cdot I_A$. Hence $\Phi^{(1)}(X) = X \cdot (I/d) \otimes I_B = X \otimes I_B/d$. \square

Corollary 7 (Case $n = 2$). For $n = 2$ with $d \geq 2$: $S_2 = \{e, (12)\}$ with $\text{Wg}(e, d) = \frac{1}{d^2-1}$, $\text{Wg}((12), d) = \frac{-1}{d(d^2-1)}$.

$$C_e = \frac{1}{d^2-1} I^{\otimes 2} - \frac{1}{d(d^2-1)} F_A$$

$$C_{(12)} = \frac{1}{d^2-1} F_A - \frac{1}{d(d^2-1)} I^{\otimes 2}$$

where F_A is the swap operator on $\mathcal{H}_A^{\otimes 2}$.

References

- [1] W. Fulton and J. Harris, *Representation Theory: A First Course*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 129, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4612-0979-9
- [2] B. Collins and P. Śniady, *Integration with Respect to the Haar Measure on Unitary, Orthogonal and Symplectic Groups*, Comm. Math. Phys. **264** (2006), 773–795. DOI: 10.1007/s00220-006-1554-3
- [3] M.-D. Choi, *Completely Positive Linear Maps on Complex Matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. **10** (1975), 285–290. DOI: 10.1016/0024-3795(75)90075-0