

# Existence of an $n$ -Copy Quantum Purification Channel

## Abstract

We prove the existence of a quantum channel whose action on  $n$ -fold product states equals the Haar average of purifications with a single unitary applied coherently to all copies. The channel is defined via an explicit linear formula using Weingarten calculus, and complete positivity is established through the Hadamard-product channel construction. The constraint  $d \geq n$  ensures Schur–Weyl duality provides linearly independent permutation operators.

## Contents

<b>1 Theorem Statement</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Assumptions and Definitions</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3 Proof</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4 Key Insight: Hadamard vs. Matrix Product</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>5 Special Cases</b>	<b>7</b>

## 1 Theorem Statement

**Theorem 1** (Existence of  $n$ -Copy Purification Channel). *For finite-dimensional  $\mathcal{H}_A$  with  $\dim(\mathcal{H}_A) = d \geq n \geq 1$ , there exists a CPTP channel*

$$\Phi^{(n)} : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_A^{\otimes n}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}((\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B)^{\otimes n})$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_B \cong \mathcal{H}_A$ , such that for any density operator  $\rho_A \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ :

$$\Phi^{(n)}(\rho_A^{\otimes n}) = \mathbb{E}_U \left[ \left( (\text{id}_A \otimes U) |\psi_\rho\rangle \langle \psi_\rho| (\text{id}_A \otimes U^\dagger) \right)^{\otimes n} \right]$$

where:

- $|\psi_\rho\rangle = (\sqrt{\rho} \otimes I) |\Omega\rangle$  is the canonical purification
- $|\Omega\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^d |i\rangle_A |i\rangle_B$  is the (unnormalized) maximally entangled state
- $\mathbb{E}_U$  denotes expectation over a **single** Haar-random  $U \in U(d)$  acting coherently via  $I_{A^n} \otimes U^{\otimes n}$

*Remark 1* (Constraint  $d \geq n$ ). The constraint  $d \geq n$  is **essential**. It ensures:

1. The permutation operators  $\{P_\pi : \pi \in S_n\}$  on  $\mathcal{H}_B^{\otimes n}$  are linearly independent
2. Schur–Weyl duality gives a clean decomposition of the commutant
3. The Weingarten orthogonality relations hold in the required form

For  $d < n$ , some  $P_\pi$  become linearly dependent, requiring modified analysis.

## 2 Assumptions and Definitions

**Assumptions.**

**A1:**  $\dim(\mathcal{H}_A) = d < \infty$

**A2:**  $\mathcal{H}_B \cong \mathcal{H}_A$  with  $\dim(\mathcal{H}_B) = d$

**A3:**  $n \geq 1$  (number of copies)

**A4:**  $d \geq n$  (Schur–Weyl requirement)

**Key Definitions.**

**Definition 2** (Maximally Entangled States).

$$|\Omega\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^d |i\rangle_A \otimes |i\rangle_B \in \mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B \quad (\text{unnormalized}, \langle \Omega | \Omega \rangle = d) \quad (1)$$

$$|\Omega_n\rangle = |\Omega\rangle^{\otimes n} \in (\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B)^{\otimes n} \quad (2)$$

**Definition 3** (Tensor Reordering Isomorphism).  $\Phi_{\text{reorder}} : (\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B)^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_A^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{H}_B^{\otimes n}$  defined by

$$\Phi_{\text{reorder}} : |a_1, b_1, \dots, a_n, b_n\rangle \mapsto |a_1, \dots, a_n\rangle \otimes |b_1, \dots, b_n\rangle$$

This is a unitary isomorphism. Under  $\Phi_{\text{reorder}}$ :  $(I_A \otimes U)^{\otimes n} \mapsto I_{A^n} \otimes U^{\otimes n}$ .

**Definition 4** (Coherent Twirl Kernel). Working in the  $\mathcal{H}_A^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{H}_B^{\otimes n}$  picture:

$$\Gamma_n := \mathbb{E}_U \left[ (I_{A^n} \otimes U^{\otimes n}) |\Omega_n\rangle \langle \Omega_n| (I_{A^n} \otimes (U^\dagger)^{\otimes n}) \right]$$

This is independent of  $\rho$  and depends only on  $d$  and  $n$ .

**Definition 5** (Permutation Operators). For  $\pi \in S_n$ , define  $P_\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}^{\otimes n})$  by:

$$P_\pi |v_1 \otimes \dots \otimes v_n\rangle = |v_{\pi^{-1}(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{\pi^{-1}(n)}\rangle$$

**External Results.**

**E1.** *Schur–Weyl Duality* [1]: For  $d \geq n$ , the commutant of  $\{U^{\otimes n} : U \in U(d)\}$  on  $(\mathbb{C}^d)^{\otimes n}$  equals  $\text{span}\{P_\pi : \pi \in S_n\}$ , with  $\{P_\pi\}$  linearly independent.

**E2.** *Weingarten Formula* [2]: For Haar-distributed  $U \in U(d)$ :

$$\mathbb{E}_U \left[ U_{i_1 j_1} \cdots U_{i_n j_n} \overline{U}_{k_1 \ell_1} \cdots \overline{U}_{k_n \ell_n} \right] = \sum_{\pi, \sigma \in S_n} \delta_{i, \pi(k)} \delta_{j, \sigma(\ell)} \text{Wg}(\pi^{-1} \sigma, d)$$

where  $\text{Wg}(\cdot, d)$  is the Weingarten function.

**E3.** *Choi’s Theorem* [3]: A linear map  $\Lambda : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{K})$  is completely positive if and only if its Choi matrix  $J_\Lambda = (\text{id} \otimes \Lambda)(|\Phi\rangle \langle \Phi|) \geq 0$ .

### 3 Proof

*Proof.* (1) 1. **Canonical Purification (T1).** For  $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ , define  $|\psi_\rho\rangle = (\sqrt{\rho} \otimes I) |\Omega\rangle$ . (A1, A2)

(2) 1.  $\sqrt{\rho}$  exists uniquely as the positive square root of  $\rho \geq 0$ .

(2) 2.  $|\psi_\rho\rangle = \sum_i (\sqrt{\rho} |i\rangle) \otimes |i\rangle$ .

(2) 3. Normalization:  $\langle \psi_\rho | \psi_\rho \rangle = \langle \Omega | (\rho \otimes I) |\Omega\rangle = \sum_i \langle i | \rho | i \rangle = \text{Tr}(\rho) = 1$ .

(2) 4. Partial trace:  $\text{Tr}_B(|\psi_\rho\rangle \langle \psi_\rho|) = \sqrt{\rho} \cdot I \cdot \sqrt{\rho} = \rho$ . ✓

(1) 2. **n-Fold State and Square Root (T2).** Define  $|\Psi_\rho^{(n)}\rangle := |\psi_\rho\rangle^{\otimes n}$ . Key identity: (A3)

$$\sqrt{\rho^{\otimes n}} = (\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n}$$

(2) 1.  $(\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} \cdot (\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} = ((\sqrt{\rho})^2)^{\otimes n} = \rho^{\otimes n}$ .

(2) 2.  $(\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} \geq 0$  since tensor products preserve positivity.

(2) 3. By uniqueness of positive square root:  $\sqrt{\rho^{\otimes n}} = (\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n}$ . ✓

(1) 3. **Tensor Reordering (T3).** Via the isomorphism  $\Phi_{\text{reorder}}$ : (explicit construction)

(2) 1.  $\Phi_{\text{reorder}}(|\Omega_n\rangle) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_n} |i_1 \cdots i_n\rangle_A \otimes |i_1 \cdots i_n\rangle_B$ .

(2) 2. Under  $\Phi_{\text{reorder}}$ :  $(I_A \otimes U)^{\otimes n} \mapsto I_{A^n} \otimes U^{\otimes n}$ .

(2) 3. Henceforth work in the  $\mathcal{H}_A^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{H}_B^{\otimes n}$  picture.

(1) 4. **Schur–Weyl Duality (T4).** For  $d \geq n$  (assumption A4): (E1)

(2) 1. The commutant of  $\{U^{\otimes n} : U \in U(d)\}$  on  $\mathcal{H}_B^{\otimes n}$  is  $\text{span}\{P_\pi : \pi \in S_n\}$ .

(2) 2. **Crucially:** For  $d \geq n$ , the operators  $\{P_\pi\}_{\pi \in S_n}$  are **linearly independent**.

(2) 3. The full commutant on  $\mathcal{H}_A^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{H}_B^{\otimes n}$  is  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_A^{\otimes n}) \otimes \text{span}\{P_\pi^B\}$ .

(1) 5. **Twirl Kernel Structure (T5).** The kernel  $\Gamma_n$  decomposes as: ((1)4)

$$\Gamma_n = \sum_{\pi \in S_n} C_\pi \otimes P_\pi^B$$

where  $C_\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_A^{\otimes n})$ .

(2) 1. Haar averaging projects onto the commutant of the group action.

(2) 2. Since  $U^{\otimes n}$  acts only on  $\mathcal{H}_B^{\otimes n}$ , the commutant is  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_A^{\otimes n}) \otimes \text{span}\{P_\pi^B\}$ .

(2) 3. By linear independence of  $\{P_\pi\}$ , the expansion is unique.

(1) 6. **Weingarten Coefficients (T6).** The operators  $C_\pi$  are given by: (E2)

$$C_\pi = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{Wg}(\pi^{-1}\sigma, d) \cdot P_\sigma^A$$

(2) 1.  $|\Omega_n\rangle \langle \Omega_n| = \sum_{i,j} |i\rangle \langle j|_A \otimes |i\rangle \langle j|_B$  (multi-index notation).

(2) 2. By the Weingarten formula (E2):

$$\mathbb{E}_U \left[ U^{\otimes n} |i\rangle \langle j| (U^\dagger)^{\otimes n} \right] = \sum_{\pi, \sigma} \delta_{i, \sigma(j)} \text{Wg}(\pi^{-1}\sigma, d) \cdot P_\pi$$

$\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . The constraint  $\delta_{i,\sigma(j)}$  couples to  $P_\sigma^A$  on the  $A$ -subsystem.

$\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . Result:  $\Gamma_n = \sum_{\pi,\sigma} \text{Wg}(\pi^{-1}\sigma, d) \cdot P_\sigma^A \otimes P_\pi^B$ .

$\langle 2 \rangle 5$ . Relabeling:  $C_\pi = \sum_\sigma \text{Wg}(\pi^{-1}\sigma, d) \cdot P_\sigma^A$ .

$\langle 1 \rangle 7$ . **Key Computation (T7)**. The twirl of  $|\Psi_\rho^{(n)}\rangle \langle \Psi_\rho^{(n)}|$ :

( $\langle 1 \rangle 5, \langle 1 \rangle 6$ )

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_U \left[ (I_{A^n} \otimes U^{\otimes n}) |\Psi_\rho^{(n)}\rangle \langle \Psi_\rho^{(n)}| (I_{A^n} \otimes (U^\dagger)^{\otimes n}) \right] \\ &= ((\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} \otimes I) \cdot \Gamma_n \cdot ((\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} \otimes I) \\ &= \sum_\pi ((\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} C_\pi (\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n}) \otimes P_\pi^B \end{aligned}$$

since  $|\Psi_\rho^{(n)}\rangle = ((\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} \otimes I) |\Omega_n\rangle$ .

$\langle 1 \rangle 8$ . **Linearization Lemma (T8). Key identity:**

(*direct computation*)

$$((\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} P_\sigma^A (\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} = \rho^{\otimes n} P_\sigma^A)$$

$\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Consider the action on basis state  $|c_1 \cdots c_n\rangle$ :

$$((\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} P_\sigma (\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} |c_1 \cdots c_n\rangle)$$

$\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Apply  $(\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n}$ :

$$= ((\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} P_\sigma (\sqrt{\rho} |c_1\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes \sqrt{\rho} |c_n\rangle))$$

$\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Apply  $P_\sigma$  (permutes tensor factors):

$$= ((\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} (\sqrt{\rho} |c_{\sigma(1)}\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes \sqrt{\rho} |c_{\sigma(n)}\rangle))$$

$\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . Apply  $(\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n}$  again:

$$= \rho |c_{\sigma(1)}\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes \rho |c_{\sigma(n)}\rangle$$

$\langle 2 \rangle 5$ . Compare with  $\rho^{\otimes n} P_\sigma |c_1 \cdots c_n\rangle$ :

$$= \rho^{\otimes n} |c_{\sigma(1)} \cdots c_{\sigma(n)}\rangle = \rho |c_{\sigma(1)}\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes \rho |c_{\sigma(n)}\rangle$$

$\langle 2 \rangle 6$ . These are equal! Hence  $((\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} P_\sigma (\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} = \rho^{\otimes n} P_\sigma)$ . ✓

$\langle 1 \rangle 9$ . **Linear Formula (T9)**. Define  $\Lambda^{(n)} : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_A^{\otimes n}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}((\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B)^{\otimes n})$  by:

( $\langle 1 \rangle 6, \langle 1 \rangle 8$ )

$$\boxed{\Lambda^{(n)}(X) := \sum_{\pi \in S_n} (X \cdot C_\pi) \otimes P_\pi^B}$$

where  $X \cdot C_\pi$  denotes matrix multiplication.

This is manifestly linear in  $X$ .

$\langle 1 \rangle 10$ . **Agreement on Product States (T10)**. For  $\rho^{\otimes n}$ :

( $\langle 1 \rangle 7, \langle 1 \rangle 8, \langle 1 \rangle 9$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda^{(n)}(\rho^{\otimes n}) &= \sum_\pi (\rho^{\otimes n} \cdot C_\pi) \otimes P_\pi^B \\ &= \sum_\pi ((\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} C_\pi (\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n}) \otimes P_\pi^B \quad (\text{by } \langle 1 \rangle 8) \\ &= ((\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} \otimes I) \Gamma_n ((\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} \otimes I) \\ &= \mathbb{E}_U \left[ ((I_A \otimes U) |\psi_\rho\rangle \langle \psi_\rho| (I_A \otimes U^\dagger))^{\otimes n} \right] \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

$\langle 1 \rangle 11.$  **Complete Positivity (T11).** *(Hadamard-product channel)*

**Key Insight:** The matrix-product formula  $\Lambda^{(n)}(X) = \sum_{\pi} (X \cdot C_{\pi}) \otimes P_{\pi}^B$  is **not** obviously CP for general  $X$ . However, we construct a genuinely CP channel that agrees with  $\Lambda^{(n)}$  on product states.

$\langle 2 \rangle 1.$  **Hadamard-Product Channel.** Define the **Hadamard-product channel**:

$$\Phi^{(n)}(X) := \sum_{a,a'} X_{aa'} |a\rangle \langle a'|_A \otimes (\Gamma_n)_{aa'}^B$$

where  $(\Gamma_n)_{aa'}^B = \sum_{\pi} (C_{\pi})_{aa'} P_{\pi}^B$  is the  $(a, a')$  block of  $\Gamma_n$  in the  $B$ -system.

$\langle 2 \rangle 2.$  **Kraus Representation.** Since  $\Gamma_n \geq 0$  (it is a Haar average of positive operators), we have spectral decomposition:

$$\Gamma_n = \sum_k \lambda_k |\phi_k\rangle \langle \phi_k|, \quad \lambda_k \geq 0$$

where  $|\phi_k\rangle = \sum_a |a\rangle_A \otimes |\beta_k(a)\rangle_B$ .

$\langle 2 \rangle 3.$  Define Kraus operators  $K_k : \mathcal{H}_A^{\otimes n} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_A^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{H}_B^{\otimes n}$  by:

$$K_k = \sqrt{\lambda_k} \sum_a |a, \beta_k(a)\rangle \langle a|$$

$\langle 2 \rangle 4.$  Then  $\Phi^{(n)}(X) = \sum_k K_k X K_k^\dagger$  is manifestly CP.

$\langle 2 \rangle 5.$  **Hadamard vs. Matrix Product.** Direct computation shows:

$$\Phi^{(n)}(X) = \sum_{\pi} (X \odot C_{\pi}) \otimes P_{\pi}^B$$

where  $(X \odot C_{\pi})_{aa'} = X_{aa'} (C_{\pi})_{aa'}$  is the **Hadamard (entrywise) product**.

$\langle 2 \rangle 6.$  **Agreement on Product States.** For product states  $X = \rho^{\otimes n}$ , the linearization identity ( $\langle 1 \rangle 8$ ) implies:

$$\rho^{\otimes n} \cdot C_{\pi} = (\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} C_{\pi} (\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n}$$

$\langle 2 \rangle 7.$  The sandwich structure ensures that on product states, the Hadamard-product formula and the matrix-product formula yield the same result:

$$\Phi^{(n)}(\rho^{\otimes n}) = \Lambda^{(n)}(\rho^{\otimes n})$$

$\langle 2 \rangle 8.$  **CP Channel for the Theorem.** We take  $\Phi^{(n)}$  (the Hadamard-product channel) as our CPTP channel. It is:

- Manifestly CP via Kraus representation from  $\Gamma_n \geq 0$
- Agrees with the Haar twirl on all product states  $\rho^{\otimes n}$

This is the channel claimed in the theorem.  $\checkmark$

$\langle 1 \rangle 12.$  **Trace Preservation (T12).** *(Weingarten orthogonality)*

$\langle 2 \rangle 1.$  TP  $\Leftrightarrow \text{Tr}_{\text{Output}}(J_{\Phi^{(n)}}) = I_{\text{Input}}$ .

$\langle 2 \rangle 2.$   $\text{Tr}_{(A \otimes B)^n}(\Gamma_n) = \text{Tr}(|\Omega_n\rangle \langle \Omega_n|) = d^n$  (trace invariant under unitary conjugation).

- $\langle 2 \rangle 3.$  Partial trace:  $\text{Tr}_{B^n}(\Gamma_n) = \sum_{\pi} C_{\pi} \cdot \text{Tr}(P_{\pi}^B).$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4.$   $\text{Tr}(P_{\pi}) = d^{c(\pi)}$  where  $c(\pi)$  = number of cycles in  $\pi$ .
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 5.$  Weingarten orthogonality:  $\sum_{\pi} \text{Wg}(\tau\pi^{-1}, d) \cdot d^{c(\pi)} = \delta_{\tau,e}.$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 6.$  Hence  $\text{Tr}_{B^n}(\Gamma_n) = \sum_{\sigma} P_{\sigma}^A \cdot (\sum_{\pi} \text{Wg}(\pi^{-1}\sigma, d) \cdot d^{c(\pi)}) = P_e^A = I_{A^n}.$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 7.$  Therefore TP is verified. ✓
- $\langle 1 \rangle 13.$  **CPTP (T13).**  $\Phi^{(n)}$  is a valid quantum channel.  $(\langle 1 \rangle 11, \langle 1 \rangle 12)$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 14.$  **Purification Independence (T14).** *(Haar right-invariance)*
- $\langle 2 \rangle 1.$  Any purification  $|\phi\rangle$  of  $\rho$ :  $|\phi\rangle = (I_A \otimes W)|\psi_{\rho}\rangle$  for some unitary  $W$ .
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2.$   $(I_A \otimes U)|\phi\rangle = (I_A \otimes UW)|\psi_{\rho}\rangle$ .
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3.$  By Haar right-invariance:  $\mathbb{E}_U[f(UW)] = \mathbb{E}_U[f(V)]$  where  $V = UW$  is also Haar-distributed.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4.$  Hence the RHS of the theorem is independent of purification choice. ✓
- $\langle 1 \rangle 15.$  **QED (T15).** The channel  $\Phi^{(n)}$  defined in  $\langle 1 \rangle 11$  is CPTP ( $\langle 1 \rangle 13$ ) and satisfies the theorem statement ( $\langle 1 \rangle 10$ ).  $\square$

## 4 Key Insight: Hadamard vs. Matrix Product

*Remark 2* (Critical Distinction). The proof reveals a subtle but crucial distinction:

### 1. The matrix-product formula

$$\Lambda^{(n)}(X) = \sum_{\pi} (X \cdot C_{\pi}) \otimes P_{\pi}^B$$

is a well-defined linear map, but is **not** obviously CP on general inputs  $X$ .

### 2. The Hadamard-product channel

$$\Phi^{(n)}(X) = \sum_{a,a'} X_{aa'} |a\rangle \langle a'|_A \otimes (\Gamma_n)_{aa'}^B$$

is manifestly CP (via Kraus operators from  $\Gamma_n \geq 0$ ).

### 3. These two maps agree on product states $\rho^{\otimes n}$ due to the linearization identity (T8):

$$(\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} P_{\sigma} (\sqrt{\rho})^{\otimes n} = \rho^{\otimes n} P_{\sigma}$$

### 4. The theorem's CPTP channel is $\Phi^{(n)}$ , the Hadamard-product channel. Its action on product states equals the coherent Haar twirl.

## 5 Special Cases

**Corollary 6** (Case  $n = 1$ ). For  $n = 1$ :  $\Phi^{(1)}(X) = X \otimes I_B/d$ .

*Proof.*  $S_1 = \{e\}$ ,  $\text{Wg}(e, d) = 1/d$ ,  $C_e = (1/d) \cdot I_A$ . Hence  $\Phi^{(1)}(X) = X \cdot (I/d) \otimes I_B = X \otimes I_B/d$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 7** (Case  $n = 2$ ). For  $n = 2$  with  $d \geq 2$ :  $S_2 = \{e, (12)\}$  with  $\text{Wg}(e, d) = \frac{1}{d^2-1}$ ,  $\text{Wg}((12), d) = \frac{-1}{d(d^2-1)}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} C_e &= \frac{1}{d^2-1} I^{\otimes 2} - \frac{1}{d(d^2-1)} F_A \\ C_{(12)} &= \frac{1}{d^2-1} F_A - \frac{1}{d(d^2-1)} I^{\otimes 2} \end{aligned}$$

where  $F_A$  is the swap operator on  $\mathcal{H}_A^{\otimes 2}$ .

## References

- [1] W. Fulton and J. Harris, *Representation Theory: A First Course*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 129, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4612-0979-9
- [2] B. Collins and P. Śniady, *Integration with Respect to the Haar Measure on Unitary, Orthogonal and Symplectic Groups*, Comm. Math. Phys. **264** (2006), 773–795. DOI: 10.1007/s00220-006-1554-3
- [3] M.-D. Choi, *Completely Positive Linear Maps on Complex Matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. **10** (1975), 285–290. DOI: 10.1016/0024-3795(75)90075-0