

# A REVIEW OF FREE PRODUCTS AND THE ISOMORPHISM BETWEEN STANDARD AND DUAL ARTIN GROUPS – RESTEGHINI

SEAN O'BRIEN

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [Res24] *Resteghini* explores the dual Artin group  $A^\vee = A^\vee(W, S, h)$  for some Coxeter system  $(W, S)$  and Coxeter element  $h \in W$ . He shows that certain conditions on the Coxeter group  $W$  and Artin group  $A$  imply the natural surjective homomorphism  $A \rightarrow A^\vee$  is an isomorphism. In this review we will abstract a construction that gives (as a specific case) the dual Artin group  $A^\vee$ . We will then prove a general result about this construction and show that [Res24, Proposition 3.9] can be re-stated (and re-proved) in this general framework.

**1.1. Some facts about the objects involved.** Here we will state some facts and try to point to the relevant place to read up on them.

Throughout, let  $W = (W, S)$  be some Coxeter system. Let  $\{\{s_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}\}$  be some ordering on  $S$  and let  $h = s_1 s_2 \cdots s_n$  be a choice of Coxeter element resulting from the ordering on  $S$ . From here forward, fix  $W = (W, S)$  and  $h$ . Let  $T = S^W$  be the set of reflections in  $W$ . This is all conjugations of  $S$  in  $W$ . We define the dual Artin group  $A^\vee = A^\vee(W, S, h)$ , as in [Res24] or [PS21].

Let  $B_n$  denote the braid group on  $n$  strands generated by  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}$  in the usual way. Let  $x^y = yxy^{-1}$  denote conjugation in groups.

**Definition 1.1** (The Hurwitz action). *Let  $X$  be some set on which we can define conjugates which lie within  $X$ . Define a group action of  $B_n$  on  $X^n$  in the following way.*

$$\sigma_i \cdot (t_1, \dots, t_n) = (t_1, \dots, t_{i-1}, t_{i+1}^{t_i}, t_i, t_{i+2} \dots t_n).$$

Any group  $G$  is a fine candidate for  $X$ . A subset that is closed under conjugation will also work, for example the set of reflections  $T \subseteq W$  as defined above.

**Theorem 1.2.** *We can map  $T^n$  to  $W$  by multiplying all the elements in the tuple in order. Considering this map, the action of  $B_n$  is transitive on minimal  $T$ -factorisations of  $h$ .*

We will use the symbol  $h$  as to refer to both a Coxeter element  $h \in W$ , and a specific factorisation of  $h$ , realised as a tuple,  $h \in T^n \subseteq W^n$ . Let  $T_0 := T \cap (B_n \cdot h)$  denote all reflections that occur in any position in a minimal  $T$ -factorisation of  $h$ .

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary .  
*Key words and phrases.* Group theory.

**Theorem 1.3.** *For each  $r \in T_0$ , and for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  there exists a minimal  $T$ -factorisation  $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in (B_n \cdot h)$  such that  $t_i = r$ . So every reflection that appears, appears in every possible position.*

**Theorem 1.4.** *The above theorem also applies to subwords. For each  $(r_1, \dots, r_n) \in (B_n \cdot h)$ , each  $i \leq n$  and each  $j \leq n - i$ , there exists a  $(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in (B_n \cdot h)$  such that  $(t_j, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_{j+i}) = (r_1, \dots, r_i)$ .*

**Theorem 1.5** ([MS17, Proposition 10.1]). *The generating  $W$  generating set  $S$  is contained in  $T_0$  and this is also a generating set for  $A^\vee$ . The natural inclusion of  $\bar{S} \subseteq A$  into  $A^\vee$  induces a surjective homomorphism.*

**Theorem 1.6** ([Bes04, Lemma 7.11]). *Let  $[t]$  denote an abstract generator coming from some  $t \in T \cap (B_n \cdot h)$ . The dual Artin group has the following presentation.*

$$A^\vee(W, S, h) \cong \langle T_0 \mid \{[tt't][t][t']^{-1}[t]^{-1} \mid (t, t', x_3, \dots, x_n) \in B_n \cdot h\} \rangle.$$

We call such  $[tt't][t][t']^{-1}[t]^{-1}$  *dual braid relations*.

The following is a basic group theoretic fact, but it will be useful to explicitly state and prove it. For some set  $S$ , let  $F_S$  be the free group generated by  $S$ .

**Lemma 1.7.** *Suppose we have the groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  such that  $G_1$  has a presentation  $G_1 \cong \langle S \mid R \rangle$  where  $R$  is a set of words in  $S \cup S^{-1}$  that are the identity in  $G_1$ . Further, suppose we are given some map  $f: S \rightarrow G_2$ . For all  $s \in S$ , define  $f(s^{-1})$  to be  $f(s)^{-1}$ . If for each word  $s_1 s_2 \dots s_n \in R$  we have that  $f(s_1)f(s_2) \dots f(s_n) = 1$  in  $G_2$  then we can extend  $f$  to a homomorphism  $h: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  where  $h|_S = f$  considering  $S$  as a subset of  $G_1$ .*

*Proof.* We can define a homomorphism  $f': F_S \rightarrow G_2$  recursively by setting  $f'(1) = 1$ ,  $f'(s^{\pm 1}) = f(s)^{\pm 1}$  for all  $s \in S$  and then setting  $f'(s_1 s_2 \dots s_n) = f'(s_1)f'(s_2) \dots f'(s_n)$  for all words  $s_1 s_2 \dots s_n \in \{S \cup S^{-1}\}^*$ . Let  $N$  be the minimal normal subgroup in  $F_S$  which contains the elements of  $R$  such that  $G_1 \cong F_S/N$ . By assumption, for each  $r \in R$  we have  $f'(r) = 1$ . So  $R \subseteq \ker(f')$ . So  $N \subseteq \ker(f')$ . By the universal property of the quotient we have the following commutative diagram where the unique map  $h$  is the required homomorphism.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_S & \xrightarrow{f'} & G_2 \\ q \downarrow & \nearrow \exists! h & \\ F_S/N \cong G_1 & & \end{array}$$

□

Note that if  $\langle \text{Im}(f) \rangle = G_2$  then  $h$  is a surjection.

## 2. A PROOF IN [RES24]

We will overview a proof in [Res24] while trying to avoid unnecessary detail. The generators  $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$  have a natural bijection with generators of  $A$ . Let  $\bar{s}_i$  denote the generator in  $A$  corresponding to  $s_i \in S \subseteq W$ . We have an action of  $B_n$  on  $A^n$  defined in the exact same way as in Definition 1.1. We will consider the orbit of  $\bar{h} = (\bar{s}_1, \dots, \bar{s}_n)$  under this action.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $G$  be a group. Let  $\rho_n: G^n \rightarrow G$  be projection on to coordinate  $n$ . We define the map  $- \circ -: B_n \times G^n \rightarrow G$  as follows

$$\tau \circ (g_1, \dots, g_n) = \rho_n(\tau \cdot (g_1, \dots, g_n)).$$

*Remark 2.2.*  $G^n \neq G$  so this is not a group action!

**Definition 2.3.** Define the equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $B_n$  by

$$\tau \sim \tau' \iff \tau \circ h = \tau' \circ h.$$

**Definition 2.4.** Define the equivalence relation  $\dot{\sim}$  on  $B_n$  by

$$\tau \dot{\sim} \tau' \iff \tau \circ \bar{h} = \tau' \circ \bar{h}.$$

**Theorem 2.5** ([Res24, Propsition 3.9]). *The  $B_n$  relations  $\sim$  and  $\dot{\sim}$  are the same iff  $A^\vee$  is isomorphic to  $A$ .*

*Proof.* We will only concentrate on the  $\implies$  direction. Furthermore, it is easy enough to see that  $\tau \dot{\sim} \tau' \implies \tau \sim \tau'$ . As such, we will only prove that if  $\tau \sim \tau' \implies \tau \dot{\sim} \tau'$  for all  $\tau, \tau' \in B_n$ , then  $A \cong A^\vee$ .

Define  $\psi: T_0 \rightarrow A$  in the following way. For  $t \in T_0$ , there exists a  $\tau \in B_n$  such that  $\tau \circ h = t$ . Define  $\psi(t) = \tau \circ \bar{h}$ . We will show this does not depend on our choice  $\tau$ . Suppose that  $\tau' \circ h$  is also  $t$ . Then  $\tau \sim \tau'$ . Then, by assumption  $\tau \dot{\sim} \tau'$ , so  $\tau' \circ \bar{h} = \tau \circ \bar{h}$ .

So we have a map from the generating set of  $A^\vee$  to  $A$ . Recall the presentation of  $A^\vee$  from Theorem 1.6. Let  $R$  be the set of relations (words equal to the identity) from that presentation. As in Lemma 1.7, if we can show that the natural extension of  $\psi$  to  $R$ , maps all of  $R$  to the identity in  $A$ , then we can extend  $\psi$  to a homomorphism  $\psi: A^\vee \rightarrow A$ .

Let  $t, t'$  and  $tt't$  be as in Theorem 1.6. Let  $\tau B_n$  be such that

$$\tau \cdot h = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-2}, t, t').$$

Let  $\tau \cdot \bar{h} = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ . Thus, we have the following.

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(t') &= \tau \cdot \bar{h} = z_n \\ \psi(t) &= (\sigma_{n-1}\tau) \cdot \bar{h} = z_{n-1} \\ \psi(tt't) &= (\sigma_{n-1}^2\tau) \cdot \bar{h} = z_{n-1}z_nz_{n-1}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\psi([tt't][t][t']^{-1}[t]^{-1}) = 1$  and  $\psi$  extends to a homomorphism  $\psi: A^\vee \rightarrow A$ .

Let  $\varphi$  denote the homomorphism in Theorem 1.5. Note that  $\psi \circ \varphi|_{\bar{S}} = \text{Id}_{\bar{S}}$ , thus  $\psi \circ \varphi$  is the identity  $\psi$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

This proof gives the distinct impression of “what just happened”. Most striking, is how in showing  $\psi$  was a homomorphism, at no point did anything to do with  $A$  play a part. All we needed was that  $A$  was a group, and it all popped out. In fact, the key step was the existence of a map  $\psi$ , we got homomorphism for free. This is due to the structure of the relations in Theorem 1.6. We wish to now abstract the construction of the dual braid group emerging in Theorem 1.6 and develop that in to a reconstruction of the above proof.

## 3. MASKING GROUP RELATIONS USING LANGUAGES

Let  $F: \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbf{Grp}$  be the free functor and denote its action on objects as  $F_S := F(S)$  and its action on morphisms as  $f^* := F(f: S \rightarrow T)$ . To each quotient  $G$  of  $F_S$  we associate the surjective homomorphism  $\pi_{(S,G)}: F_S \rightarrow G$  which is projection. In this context, we can frame a basic group theoretic fact. We write  $G \cong \langle S | R \rangle$  if  $G \cong F_S/N(R)$  where  $N(R)$  is the minimal normal subgroup in  $F_S$  that contains  $R$ . We write  $G \cong \langle S \rangle$  if  $G$  is isomorphic to some quotient of  $F_S$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Suppose we have two groups  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ . Suppose that  $G_1 \cong \langle S | R \rangle$  where  $R$  is a subset of  $F_S$  for which  $\pi_{(S,G_1)}(R) = \{1\}$ . Given a map  $f: S \rightarrow G_2$ , if  $\pi_{(G_2,G_2)} \circ f^*(R) = \{1\} \subseteq G_2$ , then  $f$  defines a homomorphism  $h: G_2 \rightarrow G_2$ .*

*Proof.* We have  $G_1 \cong F_S/N(R)$ . By assumption,  $R \subseteq \ker(\pi_{(G_2,G_2)} \circ f^*)$ , which is normal, thus  $N(R) \subseteq \ker(\pi_{(G_2,G_2)} \circ f^*)$ . By the universal property of the quotient we have the following commutative diagram where the unique map  $h$  is the required homomorphism.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_S & \xrightarrow{\pi_{(G_2,G_2)} \circ f^*} & G_2 \\ \pi_{(S,G_1)} \downarrow & \nearrow \exists! h & \\ F_S/N(R) \cong G_1 & & \end{array}$$

□

The above theorem defines a homomorphism  $h: \langle S | R \rangle \cong G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  when a map  $f: S \rightarrow G_2$  is compatible with the relations in  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ . If we also had knowledge of a generating set for  $G_2$ , we could construct homomorphisms in a different way.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Suppose  $G_1 \cong \langle S_1 | R_1 \rangle$  and  $G_2 \cong \langle S_2 \rangle$ . Given a map  $f: S_1 \rightarrow S_2$ , if there exists a map  $h$  such that the following diagram commutes, then  $h$  is a homomorphism.*

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} S_1 & \xrightarrow{i_{S_1}} & F_{S_1} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{(S_1,G_1)}} & G_1 \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow f^* & & \downarrow h \\ S_2 & \xrightarrow{i_{S_2}} & F_{S_2} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{(S_2,G_2)}} & G_2 \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Consider some  $a, b \in G_1$ . Since  $\pi_{(S_1,G_1)}$  is surjective, we can choose some  $\bar{a} \in \pi_{(S_1,G_1)}^{-1}(a)$  and  $\bar{b} \in \pi_{(S_1,G_1)}^{-1}(b)$ . Since  $\pi_{(S_1,G_1)}$  is a homomorphism, we have  $\overline{ab} \in \pi_{(S_1,G_1)}^{-1}(ab)$ . So  $h(ab) = h \circ \pi_{(S_1,G_1)}(\overline{ab})$ . By the commutative diagram, this is  $\pi_{(S_2,G_2)} \circ f^*(\overline{ab})$ . Since  $\pi_{(S_2,G_2)} \circ f^*$  is a homomorphism, this is

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{(S_2,G_2)} \circ f^*(\bar{a}) \pi_{(S_2,G_2)} \circ f^*(\bar{b}) &= \\ h \circ \pi_{(S_1,G_1)}(\bar{a}) h \circ \pi_{(S_1,G_1)}(\bar{b}) &= \\ h(a)h(b) & \end{aligned}$$

□

**Corrolary 3.3.** *A map  $h: G_1 \rightarrow G_2$  is a homomorphism if and only if we can construct the relevant commuting diagram (1).*

*Proof.* Theorem 3.2 gives us the  $\Leftarrow$  direction. If we consider  $G \cong \langle G_2 \rangle$  we can construct the  $\Rightarrow$  direction.  $\square$

*Remark 3.4.* If we construct a homomorphism using Theorem 3.1, then we get the middle commuting square of (1) automatically.

Now consider (1), but replace  $F_{S_1}$  with some subset  $Q \subseteq F_{S_1}$ . We will now construct a group, which we will denote  $G^Q$ , where such a diagram defines a homomorphism from  $G^Q$  to  $G_2$ .

**Definition 3.5** (Group with relations visible in  $Q$ ). *Suppose we have a group  $G \cong \langle S \rangle$ . Fix some  $Q \subseteq F_S$  such that  $S \subseteq Q$ . Let  $\pi := \pi_{(S,G)}$ . We have the following maps.*

$$S \xrightarrow{i} Q \xrightarrow{\pi} \pi(Q) .$$

Define the group  $G$  with relations visible in  $Q$ , to be

$$G^Q := \langle \pi(Q) \mid \{ \pi(q) = (\pi \circ i)^*(q) \mid q \in Q \} \rangle .$$

Do not think of the generators  $\pi(Q)$  as elements of  $G$ . They are abstract generators. Specifically,  $G^Q$  is a quotient of  $F_{\pi(Q)}$ . Thus, our relations should be equations in  $F_{\pi(Q)}$ , which they are.  $G^Q$  is generated by  $\pi(S) \subseteq \pi(Q)$ .  $G$  is a quotient of  $G^Q$ , which we will explore later.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $G \cong \langle S \rangle$  be a group and consider the following setup.*

$$S \xrightarrow{i_S} F_S \xrightarrow{\pi_{(S,G)}} G \xrightarrow{i_G} F_G \xrightarrow{\pi_{(G,G)}} G$$

The following maps are equal.

$$\pi_{(G,G)} \circ i_G \circ \pi_{(S,G)} = \pi_{(G,G)} \circ (\pi_{(S,G)} \circ i_S)^* .$$

*Proof.* Both maps are homomorphism which agree with  $\pi_{(S,G)}$  on the generating set  $S$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.7.** *Suppose we have two groups  $G_1 \cong \langle S_1 \rangle$  and  $G_2 \cong \langle S_2 \rangle$ . Fix some  $Q \subseteq F_{S_1}$  such that  $S \subseteq Q$ . Let  $\bar{Q} := \pi_{(S_1,G_1)}(Q)$ . If in the following diagram (2), if there exists a map  $f$  that makes the diagram commute, then there is a homomorphism  $h: (G_1)^Q \rightarrow G_2$ .*

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} S_1 & \xrightarrow{i_{S_1}} & Q & \xrightarrow{\pi_{(S_1,G_1)}} & \bar{Q} \\ \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \theta^* & & \downarrow \textcolor{red}{\exists f?} \\ S_2 & \xrightarrow{i_{S_2}} & F_{S_2} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{(S_2,G_2)}} & G_2 \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Suppose we have such a map  $f$ . Note that  $\bar{Q}$  is a generating set for  $G^Q$ , thus we have the setup of Theorem 3.1. We use the following commutative diagram to define some inclusion maps and as a reference for the setup.

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} S_1 & \xrightarrow{i_{S_1}} & Q & \xrightarrow{\pi_{(S_1,G_1)}} & \bar{Q} & \xrightarrow{i_{\bar{Q}}} & F_{\bar{Q}} \\ \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \theta^* & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow f^* \\ S_2 & \xrightarrow{i_{S_2}} & F_{S_2} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{(S_2,G_2)}} & G_2 & \xrightarrow{i_{G_2}} & F_{G_2} \xrightarrow{\pi_{(G_2,G_2)}} G_2 \end{array}$$

We can construct a homomorphism if  $\pi_{(G_2, G_2)} \circ f^*(R) = \{1\}$ , where  $R$  are the relations for  $G^Q$ , defined in Definition 3.5. For some  $q \in Q$ , the corresponding element in  $R$  equalling the identity is  $i_{\overline{Q}} \circ \pi_{(S_1, G_1)}(q)(\pi_{(S_1, G_1)} \circ i_1)^*(q)^{-1}$ . Using that  $\pi_{(G_2, G_2)} \circ f^*$  is a homomorphism, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{(G_2, G_2)} \circ f^* \left( i_{\overline{Q}} \circ \pi_{(S_1, G_1)}(q)(\pi_{(S_1, G_1)} \circ i_1)^*(q)^{-1} \right) = \\ \left( \pi_{(G_2, G_2)} \circ f^* \circ i_{\overline{Q}} \circ \pi_{(S_1, G_1)}(q) \right) \left( \pi_{(G_2, G_2)} \circ f^* \circ (\pi_{(S_1, G_1)} \circ i_1)^*(q)^{-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We will concentrate on each factor separately.

First we consider the first factor. Since  $\pi_{(S_1, G_1)}(q) \in \overline{Q}$ , by the rightmost commuting square of (3), we have  $f^* \circ i_{\overline{Q}} \circ \pi_{(S_1, G_1)}(q) = i_{G_2} \circ f \circ \pi_{(S_1, G_1)}(q)$ . This gives us

$$\pi_{(G_2, G_2)} \circ f^* \circ i_{\overline{Q}} \circ \pi_{(S_1, G_1)}(q) = \pi_{(G_2, G_2)} \circ i_{G_2} \circ f \circ \pi_{(S_1, G_1)}(q).$$

We then use the middle commuting square of (3) to give us

$$\pi_{(G_2, G_2)} \circ i_{G_2} \circ f \circ \pi_{(S_1, G_1)}(q) = \pi_{(G_2, G_2)} \circ i_{G_2} \circ \pi_{(S_2, G_2)} \circ \theta^*(q).$$

We then use Lemma 3.6 and functoriality, giving us

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{(G_2, G_2)} \circ i_{G_2} \circ \pi_{(S_2, G_2)} \circ \theta^*(q) &= \pi_{(G_2, G_2)} \circ (\pi_{(S_2, G_2)} \circ i_{S_2})^* \circ \theta^*(q) \\ &= \pi_{(G_2, G_2)} \circ (\pi_{(S_2, G_2)} \circ i_{S_2} \circ \theta)^*(q) \end{aligned}$$

We now concentrate on the second factor. Using functoriality, then the middle commuting square of (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{(G_2, G_2)} \circ f^* \circ (\pi_{(S_1, G_1)} \circ i_{S_1})^*(q)^{-1} &= \pi_{(G_2, G_2)} \circ (f \circ \pi_{(S_1, G_1)} \circ i_{S_1})^*(q)^{-1} \\ &= \pi_{(G_2, G_2)} \circ (\pi_{(S_2, G_2)} \circ \theta^* \circ i_{S_1})^*(q)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we use the leftmost commuting square of (3) to get

$$\pi_{(G_2, G_2)} \circ (\pi_{(S_2, G_2)} \circ \theta^* \circ i_{S_1})^*(q)^{-1} = \pi_{(G_2, G_2)} \circ (\pi_{(S_2, G_2)} \circ i_{S_2} \circ \theta)^*(q)^{-1}.$$

This is the inverse of the left factor.  $\square$

*Remark 3.8.* If we set  $Q = S_1 \subseteq F_{S_1}$  (the minimum subset allowed), then  $G^Q$  is  $F_{S_1}$ . In this case,  $f$  always exists (and is  $\theta$ ) and Theorem 3.7 tells us the standard theorem about homomorphisms from the free group.

*Remark 3.9.* If we set  $Q = F_{S_1}$ , then  $G^Q$  is  $G$ ,  $f$  is  $g$  and Theorem 3.7 tells us nothing more than Theorem 3.2.

**Corrolary 3.10.** *The homomorphism  $h$  resulting from Theorem 3.7 makes the following diagram (4) commute. Thus, considering  $S_1$  as a generating set for  $(G_1)^Q$ ,  $h$  is an extension of  $\theta$ , as in Theorem 3.2.*

$$(4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} S_1 & \xrightarrow{i_{S_1}} & Q & \xrightarrow{\pi_{(S_1, G_1)}} & \overline{Q} & \xleftarrow{i_{\overline{Q}}} & F_{\overline{Q}} \xrightarrow{\pi_{(\overline{Q}, (G_1)^Q)}} (G_1)^Q \\ \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \theta^* & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow f^* \\ S_2 & \xrightarrow{i_{S_2}} & F_{S_2} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{(S_2, G_2)}} & G_2 & \xrightarrow{i_{G_2}} & F_{G_2} \xrightarrow{\pi_{(G_2, G_2)}} G_2 \end{array}$$

*Proof.* By Remark 3.4, we get the rightmost square in (4).  $\square$

We see that if  $\theta: S_1 \rightarrow S_2$  is surjective, then the homomorphism  $h: (G_1)^Q \rightarrow G_2$  resulting from Theorem 3.7 is surjective.

Observing the form of Definition 3.5, it is clear that  $\pi_{(S,G)}(S)$  is a generating set for  $G^Q$ , that is to say that  $\pi_{(\overline{Q}, G^Q)} \circ (\pi_{(S,G)} \circ i_S)^*$  is surjective. If we set  $Q = F_S$ , then  $G^Q \cong G$ , so  $G$  can be realised as a quotient of  $G^Q$  via a surjection  $p_Q: G^Q \rightarrow G$  in a way such that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & F_S & \\ \pi_{(S,G^Q)} \swarrow & & \searrow \pi_{(S,G)} \\ G^Q & \xrightarrow{p_Q} & G \end{array}$$

Furthermore, the following diagram commutes.

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} Q & \xrightarrow{\pi_{(S,G)}} & \overline{Q} & \xrightarrow{i_{\overline{Q}}} & F_{\overline{Q}} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{(\overline{Q}, G^Q)}} & G^Q \xrightarrow{p_Q} G \\ & & & & & & \uparrow \pi_{(S,G)} \end{array}$$

TODO fix this

This is because  $i_{\overline{Q}}$  is a restriction of  $i_G: G \rightarrow F_G$ , which is a homomorphism. So both the bottom and top maps in (5) are restrictions of homomorphisms. And the top map agrees with the bottom map on the generating set  $S \subseteq Q$ .

Thus, if  $\pi_{(S,G)}(q_1) = \pi_{(S,G)}(q_2)$ , then necessarily  $\pi_{(S,G^Q)}(q_1) = \pi_{(S,G^Q)}(q_2)$ , thus  $p_Q$  is injective on  $\pi_{(\overline{Q}, G^Q)} \circ i_{\overline{Q}} \circ \pi_{(S,G)}(Q) = \pi_{(S,G)}(Q)$ . This can be expressed in the following diagram, where the bottom map is injective.

$$(6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & Q & \\ \pi_{(S,G^Q)} \swarrow & & \searrow \pi_{(S,G)} \\ \pi_{(S,G^Q)}(Q) & \xrightarrow{p_Q} & \pi_{(S,G)}(Q) \end{array}$$

**Theorem 3.11.** *Given some group  $G \cong \langle S \rangle$  and  $Q$  such that  $S \subseteq Q \subseteq F_S$ , we have that  $G^Q$  is isomorphic to the following group by extending the natural identification of generators.*

$$(7) \quad X := \langle S \mid \{q_1 = q_2 \mid q_1, q_2 \in Q, \pi_{(S,G)}(q_1) = \pi_{(S,G)}(q_2)\} \rangle$$

*Proof.* We begin by showing that  $\text{Id}_S$  extends to a homomorphism  $a: X \rightarrow G^Q$ . Using Theorem 3.1, it suffices to show  $\pi_{(S,G^Q)}(q_1 q_2^{-1}) = 1$  for all  $q_1, q_2 \in Q$  such that  $\pi_{(S,G)}(q_1) = \pi_{(S,G)}(q_2)$ . Using (6), if  $\pi_{(S,G)}(q_1) = \pi_{(S,G)}(q_2)$  then  $\pi_{(S,G^Q)}(q_1) = \pi_{(S,G^Q)}(q_2)$ . Then, since  $\pi_{(S,G^Q)}$  is a homomorphism, we have

$$\pi_{(S,G^Q)}(q_1 q_2^{-1}) = \pi_{(S,G^Q)}(q_1) \pi_{(S,G^Q)}(q_2)^{-1} = 1.$$

Now we work to show that  $\text{Id}_S$  also extends to a homomorphism  $b: G^Q \rightarrow X$ . Using Theorem 3.7, it is sufficient to show that there exists a map  $f$  to make the following diagram commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Q & \\ \pi_{(S,G)} \swarrow & & \searrow \pi_{(S,X)} \\ G & \xrightarrow{f} & X \end{array}$$

Such an  $f$  exists if for all  $q_1, q_2 \in Q$  such that  $\pi_{(S,G)}(q_1) = \pi_{(S,G)}(q_2)$ , we also have  $\pi_{(S,X)}(q_1) = \pi_{(S,X)}(q_2)$ . This is immediately true on inspection of the defining presentation for  $X$ .

Thus, we have two homomorphisms,  $a: X \rightarrow G^Q$ , and  $b: G^Q \rightarrow X$ . Furthermore, by construction  $(a \circ b)|_S = \text{Id}_S$ , thus  $a \circ b$  is the identity and  $a$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

We now consider altering  $Q$ . If we increase  $Q$  to some  $Q'$  where  $Q \subseteq Q'$ , we see that both  $G^Q$  and  $G^{Q'}$  are generated by the image of  $S$ , but the relations for  $G^{Q'}$  are a superset of the relations for  $G^Q$ . Thus,  $G^{Q'}$  can be realised as a quotient of  $G^Q$  via a surjective homomorphism  $p$ , for which the following diagram commutes.

$$(8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & F_S & \\ \pi_{(S,G^Q)} \swarrow & & \searrow \pi_{(S,G^{Q'})} \\ G^Q & \xrightarrow{p} & G^{Q'} \end{array}$$

Conversely, if  $\text{Id}_S$  can extend to a homomorphism in the opposite direction  $G^{Q'} \rightarrow G^Q$ , then  $G^Q$  and  $G^{Q'}$  are isomorphic. We can use Theorem 3.7 to find when this is possible.

**Theorem 3.12.** *Given some group  $G \cong \langle S \rangle$ , and  $Q, Q' \subseteq F_S$  such that  $S \subseteq Q \subseteq Q'$ , if there exists a map  $f$  that makes the following diagram commute, then  $G^Q \cong G^{Q'}$ .*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Q' & \\ \pi_{(S,G)} \swarrow & & \searrow \pi_{(S,G^Q)} \\ \pi_{(S,G)}(Q') & \xrightarrow{f} & \pi_{(S,G^Q)}(Q') \end{array}$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.7, this we know that  $\text{Id}_S$  extends to a homomorphism  $a: G^{Q'} \rightarrow G^Q$ . Using  $p: G^Q \rightarrow G^{Q'}$  in (8) gives us a homomorphism in the opposite direction such that  $(p \circ a)|_S = \text{Id}_S$ , thus  $a$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

A pleasant feature of Theorem 3.12 is that we only need to understand the behaviour of  $\pi_{(S,G)}$  and  $\pi_{(S,G^Q)}$  on  $Q'$  in order to know if we can increase  $Q$  to  $Q'$ . We do not need to understand how  $\pi_{(S,G^{Q'})}$  behaves. This suggests the possibility of extending  $Q$  inductively.

We have a well-defined notion of  $Q$  being maximal. If  $Q \subseteq F_S$  is such that any  $Q' \supset Q$  results in a  $G^{Q'} \not\cong G^Q$ , then we say  $Q$  is maximal. We also have an equivalent notion of  $Q$  being minimal.

It is unclear whether a minimal or maximal  $Q$  is unique.

## REFERENCES

- [Bes04] David Bessis. Topology of complex reflection arrangements, November 2004.
- [MS17] Jon McCammond and Robert Sulway. Artin groups of Euclidean type. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 210(1):231–282, October 2017.
- [PS21] Giovanni Paolini and Mario Salvetti. Proof of the  $K(\pi,1)$  conjecture for affine Artin groups. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 224(2):487–572, May 2021.
- [Res24] Sirio Resteghini. Free Products and the Isomorphism between Standard and Dual Artin Groups, October 2024.



*Email address:* 28129200@student.gla.ac.uk