# Investigating False Positives in Covariate-Dependent Graphical Model

Isaac R, Renat S, Gözde S

#### Section 1

Background and Introduction

# Graphical Modeling

Investigating False Positives in Covariate-Dependent Graphical Model

Background and Introduction

└─Graphical Modeling

#### Gozde:

Undirected graphical models enables to model multivariate distributions. Suppose we observe a p-dimensional sample  $x=(x_1,\dots,x_p)$  from a multivariate Gaussian distribution with a non-singular covariance matrix. Then the conditional independence structure of the distribution can be represented with a graph G. The graph G=(V,E) is characterized by a node set  $V=(1,\dots,p)$  corresponding to the p variables, and an edge set E such that  $(i,j)\in E$  if and only if  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  are conditionally dependent given all other variable. The goal is to estimate the underlying graph G from given n idd observations  $x_1,\dots,x_p$ .

# Adding Covariates

Investigating False Positives in Covariate-Dependent Graphical Model

Background and Introduction

—Adding Covariates

#### Gozde

Several methods developed under this assumption however, in practice, the observations may not be identically distributed. In this paper they suppose the variability in the graph structure across observations depending on additional covariate information. Let  $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$  stand for the data matrix corresponding to n individuals on p variables. We denote the rows  $X_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$  corresponding the observation for individual i and the columns  $x_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

The main goal of this paper is to learn the graph structure G from a collection of p-variate independent samples  $X_i$ , \*as a function of some extraneous covariates\*  $z_i$  corresponding to the samples. The only assumption on the dependence structure is that the graph parameters vary smoothly with respect to the covariates, that is, if  $z_i$  and  $z_j$  are similar, then the graph structure corresponding to  $X_i$  and  $X_j$  will be similar.

# Existing Literature

Graphical Model

Background and Introduction

Literature Literature

#### Gozde

There are several approaches to model heterogeneous graphs

Investigating False Positives in Covariate-Dependent

- Without using covariate information: These methods depend on the criteria of first splitting the data into homogeneous groups and sharing information withing groups
- Adding the covariates into the mean structure of Gaussian graphical models as multiple linear regressions such that the mean is a continuous function of covariates. This approaches studied from a Bayesian perspective and a frequentist perspective. For this approach still uses the homogeneous graph structure for all observation which we do not want.
- Modeling the underlying covariance matrix as a function of the covariates. The main difficulty of this approach is to enforce sparsity in the precision matrix while being positive definite, as the sparsity in the covariance matrix does not normally carry to the precision matrix through matrix inversion.

## The W-PL Approach

Investigating False Positives in Covariate-Dependent Graphical Model

LThe W-PL Approach

Background and Introduction

#### Gozde

In this method, a weighted pseudo-likelihood (W-PL) function to obtain a posterior distribution for the graph structure for a fixed individual, with the weights defined as a function of the covariates. Suppose there are n individuals, indexed i=1,..,n. Let the i-th observation in the data set X be denoted as  $X_i=(x_{i,1},...,x_{i,p})$ , which corresponds to the i-th individual. Let  $x_{i,-j} \in \mathbb{R}^{p-1}$  denote the vector of the i-th observation including all variables except  $x_{i,j}$ . This approach tries to model the conditional distribution of each of the  $x_j$ 's given all other variables, denoted by  $X_{-j} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (p-1)}$ . Let the p-1-dimensional vector  $\beta_j$  indicate the regression effect on  $X_{-j}$  on  $x_j$ . Then the conditional likelihood of  $x_i$  denoted by L(j) can be written as

$$L(j) = p(x_j | X_{-j}, \beta_j) \sim \prod_{i=1}^n \exp\left\{-(x_{i,j} - x_{i,-j}^T \beta_j)^2 / 2\sigma^2\right\}, \quad (1)$$

with a possibly sparse coefficient vector  $\beta_i$ .

## The W-PL Approach

Background and Introduction

The W-PL Approach

Then for a fixed graph G the pseudo-likelihood can be calculated as

$$L(G) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} L(j) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} p(x_j | X_{-j}, \beta_j).$$
 (2)

In this paper different from the previous methods, they define a weighted version of this conditional likelihood for each individual. They assume that the underlying graph structure is a function of extraneous covariates z. Thus, we allow the coefficient vector  $\beta_i$ 's to be different for different individuals, depending on the extraneous covariates.  $\beta_i^l \in \mathbb{R}^{p-1}$  denotes the coefficient vector corresponding to the regression of the variable  $x_i$  on the remaining variables for individual l. Let  $z_i$  denote the covariate vector associated with the *i*-th individual and define  $\mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{z}_1, ..., \mathbf{z}_n)$ . Next, relative to the covariate z, we assign weights  $w(z, \mathbf{z}_i) = \phi_{\tau}(\|z - \mathbf{z}_i\|)$  to every individual where  $\phi_{\tau}$  is the Gaussian density with mean 0 and variance  $\tau^2$ . When  $z=z_l$  corresponds to the

#### The W-PL Approach

\note{

```
## Spike and Slab for Bayesian Approach
```

```
Sozde

Next, we put a prior distribution for the coefficient paramet

$$
p_0(\beta_{j,k}^1, \gamma_{j,k}^1) = \prod_{k = 1, k \neq j}^n
$$
```{=tex}
```