

# Business Forecasting Assignment 4

➤ Understand and explain your model output

## Mean\_forecast

##	Point Forecast	Lo 80	Hi 80	Lo 95	Hi 95
## Feb 2022	14.64189	1.728834	27.55495	-5.418327	34.70211
## Mar 2022	14.64189	1.728834	27.55495	-5.418327	34.70211
## Apr 2022	14.64189	1.728834	27.55495	-5.418327	34.70211
## May 2022	14.64189	1.728834	27.55495	-5.418327	34.70211
## Jun 2022	14.64189	1.728834	27.55495	-5.418327	34.70211

The point forecast is consistently 14.64189 , suggesting that while the expected value is 14.64189, there's an 80% chance that the actual value will be between 1.728834 and 27.55495 and a 95% chance that it will be between -5.418327 and 34.70211.

## Naïve forecast model

##	Point Forecast	Lo 80	Hi 80	Lo 95	Hi 95
## Feb 2022	12.53	-8.377669	33.43767	-19.44552	44.50552
## Mar 2022	12.53	-17.037910	42.09791	-32.69022	57.75022
## Apr 2022	12.53	-23.683146	48.74315	-42.85323	67.91323
## May 2022	12.53	-29.285339	54.34534	-51.42104	76.48104
## Jun 2022	12.53	-34.220970	59.28097	-58.96944	84.02944

The naive forecast for February to June 2022 predicts a constant value of `12.53`, reflecting stability without expected changes. The 80% prediction intervals range from approximately `-8.377669` to `59.28097`, indicating confidence in this range for actual values. Wider 95% intervals span from `-19.4452` to `84.02944`, highlighting greater uncertainty. While this simple model is useful for quick assessments, it may miss underlying trends and variability. Therefore, it should be complemented with more advanced models to enhance forecasting accuracy.

## Rwf forecast

##	Point Forecast	Lo 80	Hi 80	Lo 95	Hi 95
## Feb 2022	12.27306	-9.221011	33.76712	-20.59928	45.14539
## Mar 2022	12.01611	-18.789124	42.82135	-35.09643	59.12865
## Apr 2022	11.75917	-26.462592	49.98092	-46.69597	70.21430
## May 2022	11.50222	-33.194711	56.19916	-56.85584	79.86028
## Jun 2022	11.24528	-39.348215	61.83877	-66.13079	88.62135

The random walk forecast from February to June 2022 shows a slight downward trend, with point forecasts declining from `12.27306` in February to `11.24528` in

June. The 80% prediction intervals for February range from ``-9.221011`` to ``61.83877``, indicating confidence in actual values falling within this range. The wider 95% intervals, spanning from ``-20.59928`` to ``88.62135``, reflect greater uncertainty. This output highlights the importance of considering both expected values and their variability in decision-making. Overall, it provides a framework for understanding potential risks based on historical trends.

## snaive forecast model

##	Point Forecast	Lo 80	Hi 80	Lo 95	Hi 95
## Feb 2022	4.67	-9.775039	19.11504	-17.421781	26.76178
## Mar 2022	18.95	4.504961	33.39504	-3.141781	41.04178
## Apr 2022	24.80	10.354961	39.24504	2.708219	46.89178
## May 2022	3.61	-10.835039	18.05504	-18.481781	25.70178
## Jun 2022	29.99	15.544961	44.43504	7.898219	52.08178

The snaive forecast for February to June 2022 predicts point values that suggest while the expected gross income for February 2022 is 4.67, there is an 80% chance that the actual value will fall between -9.775039 and 19.11504, and a 95% chance that it will fall between -17.421781 and 26.76178.

## MA5\_forecast

##	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct
## 2019	NA	NA	17.608	14.510	16.618	13.218	16.942	17.432	17.864	20.412
## 2020	15.996	17.960	10.816	12.892	13.430	12.686	12.532	13.284	11.206	12.026
## 2021	9.258	13.796	11.164	16.404	16.862	16.626	14.414	14.740	13.970	14.220
## 2022	NA									
##	Nov	Dec								
## 2019	19.754	14.328								
## 2020	10.106	7.540								
## 2021	13.172	NA								
## 2022										

## MA9 Forecast

##	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug
## 2019	NA	NA	NA	NA	18.49222	17.04667	15.87000	18.08222
## 2020	16.74778	14.01333	14.44667	14.99556	12.23778	13.15333	12.27889	12.24111
## 2021	11.39556	12.78333	12.40556	14.14556	13.80889	13.97000	16.35556	15.16222
## 2022	NA							
##	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec				
## 2019	16.26333	16.67000	17.87222	16.61556				
## 2020	10.63222	10.41778	11.13444	12.48222				
## 2021	13.79889	NA	NA	NA				
## 2022								

The Holt-Winters exponential smoothing model applies an additive seasonal component to account for both trends and seasonality in the time series data. The smoothing parameters show that the level (alpha) is moderately influential, while the trend (beta) is not being adjusted (set to zero), indicating no significant trend component is detected. The seasonal smoothing parameter (gamma) is also

moderately high, suggesting seasonal effects are captured effectively. The coefficients indicate the model's starting level (a), growth rate (b), and seasonal adjustments for each month, reflecting how seasonal variations influence the forecast. Overall, this model is suited for data with consistent seasonal patterns and no significant underlying trend.

```
## Holt-Winters exponential smoothing with trend and additive seasonal component.
##
## Call:
## HoltWinters(x = sales_data)
##
## Smoothing parameters:
##   alpha: 0.07310714
##   beta : 0
##   gamma: 1
##
## Coefficients:
##           [,1]
## a   11.5084952
## b   -0.3679094
## s1  -5.9564331
## s2   8.0892014
## s3  13.4118539
## s4  -7.5395410
## s5  17.6006105
## s6  -4.7870544
## s7   5.8425153
## s8   2.3556591
## s9  -5.5466939
## s10 13.8137239
## s11 -3.0247246
## s12  1.0215048
```

## For exponentially smoothing

```
## ETS(A,N,N)
##
## Call:
## ets(y = sales_data)
##
## Smoothing parameters:
##   alpha = 1e-04
##
## Initial states:
##   l = 14.639
##
##   sigma:  9.8991
##
##           AIC      AICc      BIC
## 307.1887 307.9160 312.0215
```

The ETS(A,N,N) model indicates that the time series data is modeled with an error term that follows an additive trend and multiplicative seasonality. The smoothing parameters reveal that the level (alpha) has a moderate influence, while the trend (beta) is very small, suggesting a nearly constant trend. The seasonal component (gamma) is low, indicating less variability in seasonal adjustments. The initial state

show the starting level (I). The AIC and BIC values suggest a good fit, with lower values indicating a better model performance.

## Holt Forecast

##	Point Forecast	Lo 80	Hi 80	Lo 95	Hi 95
## Feb 2022	11.58947	-1.305220	24.48417	-8.131258	31.31020
## Mar 2022	11.42084	-1.473854	24.31553	-8.299892	31.14157
## Apr 2022	11.25221	-1.642488	24.14690	-8.468526	30.97294
## May 2022	11.08357	-1.811122	23.97827	-8.637161	30.80431
## Jun 2022	10.91494	-1.979757	23.80964	-8.805797	30.63568
## Jul 2022	10.74631	-2.148393	23.64100	-8.974434	30.46705
## Aug 2022	10.57767	-2.317029	23.47237	-9.143072	30.29842
## Sep 2022	10.40904	-2.485667	23.30374	-9.311712	30.12979
## Oct 2022	10.24041	-2.654306	23.13512	-9.480353	29.96116
## Nov 2022	10.07177	-2.822945	22.96649	-9.648997	29.79254

The Holt forecast provides projected values for the time series from February to November 2022, indicating a steady downward trend. The 80% confidence intervals (Lo 80, Hi 80) and 95% confidence intervals (Lo 95, Hi 95) provide ranges within which the actual values are likely to fall, reflecting uncertainty in the predictions. The intervals widen slightly over time, indicating increasing uncertainty as the forecast horizon extends. Overall, the model predicts stability with slight growth in the observed data

## Simple Smoothing

```
## Holt-Winters exponential smoothing without trend and without seasonal component.
##
## Call:
## HoltWinters(x = sales_data, beta = FALSE, gamma = FALSE)
##
## Smoothing parameters:
## alpha: 0.09658904
## beta : FALSE
## gamma: FALSE
##
## Coefficients:
##      [,1]
## a 13.9164
```

The Holt-Winters model without trend and seasonal components indicates a moderate level of smoothing with an alpha of approximately 0.09658904. This suggests that the model has not placed significant emphasis on the most recent observations. The coefficient  $\alpha$  is approximately 13.9164, representing the level of the time series after accounting for smoothing. Since both trend (beta) and seasonal (gamma) components are turned off, this model is best suited for data that does not exhibit clear trends or seasonal patterns. Overall, this approach yields a simple level forecast that closely mirrors the latest data points.

## Winters forecast

##	Point Forecast	Lo 80	Hi 80	Lo 95	Hi 95
## Feb 2022	13.9164	0.81739451	27.01540	-6.116798	33.94959
## Mar 2022	13.9164	0.75643316	27.07636	-6.210031	34.04282
## Apr 2022	13.9164	0.69575291	27.13704	-6.302833	34.13563
## May 2022	13.9164	0.63534990	27.19744	-6.395211	34.22800
## Jun 2022	13.9164	0.57522037	27.25757	-6.487172	34.31996
## Jul 2022	13.9164	0.51536063	27.31743	-6.578719	34.41151
## Aug 2022	13.9164	0.45576709	27.37703	-6.669860	34.50265
## Sep 2022	13.9164	0.39643622	27.43636	-6.760598	34.59339
## Oct 2022	13.9164	0.33736458	27.49543	-6.850941	34.68373
## Nov 2022	13.9164	0.27854881	27.55424	-6.940892	34.77368
## Dec 2022	13.9164	0.21998560	27.61281	-7.030456	34.86325
## Jan 2023	13.9164	0.16167174	27.67112	-7.119640	34.95243
## Feb 2023	13.9164	0.10360406	27.72919	-7.208447	35.04124
## Mar 2023	13.9164	0.04577947	27.78701	-7.296882	35.12967
## Apr 2023	13.9164	-0.01180506	27.84460	-7.384950	35.21774
## May 2023	13.9164	-0.06915249	27.90195	-7.472655	35.30545
## Jun 2023	13.9164	-0.12626572	27.95906	-7.560002	35.39279
## Jul 2023	13.9164	-0.18314761	28.01594	-7.646995	35.47979
## Aug 2023	13.9164	-0.23980094	28.07259	-7.733639	35.56643
## Sep 2023	13.9164	-0.29622844	28.12902	-7.819938	35.65273
## Oct 2023	13.9164	-0.35243280	28.18523	-7.905895	35.73869
## Nov 2023	13.9164	-0.40841664	28.24121	-7.991515	35.82431
## Dec 2023	13.9164	-0.46418253	28.29698	-8.076801	35.90959
## Jan 2024	13.9164	-0.51973300	28.35253	-8.161758	35.99455

The forecast from the Holt-Winters model indicates a variety of projected values from February 2022 to January 2024, reflecting the underlying patterns in the data. The 80% and 95% confidence intervals provide ranges for these forecasts, indicating the level of uncertainty; for example, the 95% interval for January 2020 spans from about -8.161758 to 35.99455. Overall, the model captures both the level and variability of the time series, helping to inform decision making.

- **Pick an accuracy measure, compare your models, and state the best model based on the accuracy comparison**

```
# Accuracy
accuracy(naive_forecast)
```

```
##
## Training set -0.2569444 16.31434 12.3325 -118.7148 170.484 1.43508 -0.654985
```

```
accuracy(rwf_forecast)
```

```
##
## Training set -6.910343e-16 16.31232 12.35938 -115.6369 169.3305 1.438208
## ACF1
## Training set -0.654985
```

```
accuracy(mean_forecast)
```

```
##
## Training set 4.559568e-16 9.627356 7.770796 -72.46765 100.9845 0.9042539
## ACF1
## Training set -0.4050771
```

```
accuracy(snaive_forecast)
```

```
##
## Training set -2.1344 11.27152 8.5936 -72.11846 108.408 1 -0.2575277
```

```
accuracy(es_forecast)
```

```
##
## Training set 0.001161507 9.627838 7.771161 -72.45883 100.9824 0.9042963
## ACF1
## Training set -0.4050795
```

```
accuracy(winters_forecast)
```

```
##
## Training set -2.261472 10.32886 8.470735 -100.6993 122.1926 0.9857027
## ACF1
## Training set -0.4439094
```

The Exponential Smoothing (ETS) model emerges as the top performer across all accuracy metrics, indicating it is the most dependable for forecasting in this context. The Holt-Winters model also demonstrates strong performance, though it is marginally less accurate than ETS. In contrast, the Mean model displays the poorest performance overall.