

# **Discrete Structures: Homework #2**

Due on 30 June 2020

*Professor Jensen Section 201*

**Brian Ton**

## Problem 1

Is the statement “ $\forall$  real numbers  $x$  and  $y$ ,  $\sqrt{x+y} = \sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y}$ ” *True* or *False*? If it is false, provide a counterexample.

### Solution

#### False

Consider the case  $x = 4$ ,  $y = 5$ . In this case,  $\sqrt{x+y} = \sqrt{4+5} = \sqrt{9} = 3$ . However,  $\sqrt{4} + \sqrt{5} = 2 + \sqrt{5} \neq 3$ .

## Problem 2

Is the statement “ $\exists$  real numbers  $x$  and  $y$  such that  $\sqrt{x+y} = \sqrt{x} + \sqrt{y}$ ” *True* or *False*? If it is true, provide an example.

### Solution

#### True

Consider the case  $x = 1$ ,  $y = 0$ . In this case,  $\sqrt{x+y} = \sqrt{1+0} = \sqrt{1} = 1$  and  $\sqrt{1} + \sqrt{0} = \sqrt{1} = 1$ . More generally, this is true when at least one of  $x$  or  $y$  is 0 (which follows from the identity element axiom of addition for real numbers, as  $\sqrt{0} = 0$  and  $\sqrt{x+0} = \sqrt{x}$ , meaning that  $\sqrt{x+0} = \sqrt{x} + \sqrt{0}$ ).

## Problem 3

Write a negation for each statement.

- There exists a real number  $x$  such that  $x \leq -2$
- $\forall$  computer programs  $P$ , if  $P$  compiles without error messages, then  $P$  is correct.
- $\forall$  integers  $n$ ,  $\exists$  a prime number  $p$  such that  $n < p < 2n$ .

### Solution

- For all real numbers  $x$ ,  $x > -2$
- There exists a computer program  $P$  such that  $P$  compiles without error messages and  $P$  is not correct.
- There exists an integer  $n$  such that for all prime numbers  $p$ ,  $(p \leq x) \vee (p \geq 2x)$

#### Part A

Let  $P(x)$  be the statement  $x \leq -2$ .

The statement can then be written as  $\exists x P(x)$ . By De Morgan's Law of Quantifiers,  $\neg \exists x P(x) \equiv \forall x \neg P(x)$ . Additionally, note that  $\neg(x \leq -2) \equiv (x > -2)$ . Thus, the negation of the original statement is  $\forall x (x > -2)$ , which in English is “For all real numbers  $x$ ,  $x > -2$ ”.

#### Part B

Let  $E(x)$  be the statement “ $x$  compiles without error messages.” and  $C(x)$  be the statement “ $x$  is correct.” The original statement can then be written as  $\forall P (E(P) \rightarrow C(P))$ . By De Morgan's Law of Quantifiers,  $\neg \forall P (E(P) \rightarrow C(P)) \equiv \exists P \neg (E(P) \rightarrow C(P))$ . By conditional disjunction,  $\exists P \neg (E(P) \rightarrow C(P)) \equiv \exists P \neg (\neg E(P) \vee C(P))$ , and by De Morgan's law of propositional logic,  $\exists P \neg (\neg E(P) \vee C(P)) \equiv \exists P (E(P) \wedge \neg C(P))$ , which in English would be “There exists a computer program  $P$  such that  $P$  compiles without error messages and  $P$  is not correct.”

**Part C**

Let  $P(x, p)$  be the statement  $x < p < 2x$ . The original statement can then be written as  $\forall n \exists p (P(n, p))$ . Note that  $\neg(x < p < 2x) \equiv (p \leq x) \vee (p \geq 2x)$ . By De Morgan's Law of Quantifiers,  $\neg \forall n \exists p (P(n, p)) \equiv \exists n \neg \exists p (P(n, p)) \equiv \exists n \forall p (\neg P(n, p))$ . Then, taking note of the negation of  $P(x)$ ,  $\exists n \forall p (\neg P(n, p)) \equiv \exists n \forall p ((p \leq x) \vee (p \geq 2x))$ . Written in English, the statement would be "There exists an integer  $n$  such that for all prime numbers  $p$ ,  $(p \leq x) \vee (p \geq 2x)$ ."

**Problem 4**

Show that  $\exists x P(x) \wedge \exists x Q(x)$  and  $\exists (P(x) \wedge Q(x))$  are not logically equivalent.

**Solution**

Let  $P(x)$  be the statement  $x < 1$  and  $Q(x)$  be the statement  $x > 1$ , where  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

The first statement is then  $\exists x (x < 1) \wedge \exists x (x > 1)$ . This is a true statement, as there does exist an integer  $x$  that is less than 1 (e.g. 0) and an  $x$  that is greater than 1 (e.g. 2). However, the second statement is false, as there exists no integer  $x$  that is both greater than and less than 1.  $\therefore \exists x P(x) \wedge \exists x Q(x) \not\equiv \exists (P(x) \wedge Q(x))$

**Problem 5**

Show that  $\forall x P(x) \vee \forall x Q(x)$  and  $\forall x (P(x) \vee Q(x))$  are not logically equivalent.

**Solution**

Let  $P(x)$  be the statement  $x \geq 1$  and  $Q(x)$  be the statement  $x < 1$ , where  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

The first statement is false, since  $\forall x P(x)$  is false, as not every integer is greater than or equal to 1, and  $\forall x Q(x)$  is false, as not every integer is less than 1. However, the second statement is true, as every integer is greater than or equal to or less than 1.  $\therefore \forall x P(x) \vee \forall x Q(x) \not\equiv \forall x (P(x) \vee Q(x))$ .

**Problem 6**

Let  $P(x, y)$  be the statement " $xy = 1$ ". If the domain for both variables is the set of nonzero real numbers, what are the truth values?

- a)  $\exists y \forall x P(x, y)$
- b)  $\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$

**Solution**

- a) False
- b) True