

# GIVIN



# Association and Predictive Value of Handgrip Strength in Nutritional Status on Haemodialysis Patients

Yee Chooi Fong

Senior Dietitian

Dietetics Department, The National Kidney Foundation





### Introduction

- Malnutrition is common in haemodialysis (HD) patients.
- Malnutrition was associated with loss of muscle mass, muscle strength or both which could affect patients' functional capacity and quality of life.
- Handgrip strength (HGS) is a simple, non-invasive and objective tool in assessing muscle strength.
- This study is to investigate the association and predictive value of HGS to nutritional status in HD patients.





### Methodology

- Patients who attended pre-placement clinic at The National Kidney Foundation (NKF) from October 2017 to February 2018 were assessed by dietitian using Subjective Global Assessment (SGA).
- Jamar Hydraulic Hand Dynamamoter was used to assess patients' HGS.
- Patient was instructed to extend his arm fully in sitting position while gripping the dynamometer with maximum grip strength.
- Average HGS strength was determined over 3 measurements for each hand for patient who able to follow the standardized protocol.





Standardised position during hand grip strength measurement



Jamar<sup>®</sup> Hydraulic Hand Dynamometer







### Methodology (cont.)


- Patient who started dialysis at NKF within the study period and had at least one laboratory result available were included in this study.
- Malnourished was defined as SGA 5 and below.
- The primary outcome of this study is the predictive value of HGS on nutritional status defined by SGA.
- Correlation, Independent t Test and Binary Logistic Regression were used in the analysis and results were considered significant when p<.05.</li>







### Patient profile

- A total of 70 patients were included in this study.
  - mean Body Mass Index (BMI) is 24.4±5.8 kg/m2.













### Patient Profile





54.3% of the patient have malnutrition.






### Result

- Mean hand grip strength
  - Dominant Hand 18.1±7.0kg
  - Non dominant hand 15.3±6.7kg
- HGS is significantly correlated to age, gender, BMI, serum albumin, pre dialysis creatinine, SGA and nutritional status.
- But no correlation to normalized protein catabolic rate (nPCR) and pre dialysis urea.




# Hand Grip Strength on dominant hand based on gender






# Hand Grip Strength on dominant hand based on SGA








### Correlation – Dominant Hand















# Hand Grip Strength on non dominant hand based on SGA







### Correlation – Non Dominant Hand






## Correlation, r

		Non Dominant
	Dominant Hand	Hand
Age	-0.546**	-0.455 <sup>**</sup>
Gender	0.535**	0.494**
Initial SGA	0.390**	0.290**
BMI	0.236**	0.170
Alb	0.320**	0.381**
nPCR	0.125	0.127
Nutritional Status	-0.264**	-0.155
Pre Dialysis Urea	0.233	0.160
Pre Dialysis	0.682**	0.650**
Creatinine	01002	01000








**Nutritional Status** 

- Malnourished patients had lower HGS (DH-HGS 16.4.6±6.5kg) than well nourished patients (DH-HGS 20.1±7.1kg.
- The mean HGS difference between group was 3.7±1.6kg (p<.05).



### **Nutritional Status**

- Malnourished patients had lower HGS (Non-HD-HGS 14.4±6.6kg) than well nourished patients (Non-DH-HGS 16.5±6.6kg).
- The mean HGS difference between group was 2.1±1.6kg (p>.05).





### Binary Linear Regression

- Using univariate model the DH-HGS and Non-DH-HGS were unable to predict nutritional status (p>.05).
- However, after controlling the potential confounders (age group, gender and BMI), DH-HGS was significant predictor of patient's nutritional stauts (17%, p<.05) but not in Non-DH-HGS (p>0.05).





### Stratified Mean (SD) HGS (kg)

Age group	Gender	N	Dominant Hand	
< 60	Female	5	19.0 (8.5)	
	Male	10	25.2 (6.3)	
60 and above	Female	25	12.7 (3.0)	
	Male	30	20.0 (6.4)	





# Stratified Mean (SD) HGS – weak grip strength (kg)

Age group	Gender	N	Dominant Hand	85% of normal
< 60	Female	5	19.0 (8.5)	10.5
	Male	10	25.2 (6.3)	18.9
60 and	Female	25	12.7 (3.0)	9.7
above	Male	30	20.0 (6.4)	13.6

 Klidjian et al 1980 propose 85% of normative value by age which is equivalent to 1 SD





### Conclusion

- HGS on both hands are significantly correlated to nutritional markers.
- This study also suggested that HGS is able to predict nutritional status defined by SGA after controlling the potential confounders.
- However, further research with larger sample size is required to confirm the predictive value of HGS on early detection of malnutrition risk and develop the normative value for our HD population.





### References

- Freitas A. T. V. S, Vaz I. M. F., Ferraz S. F., Peixoto M. R. G., & Campos M. I. V. M, (2014). Prevalence of malnutrition and associated factors in hemodialysis patients. Rev. Nutr. vol.27 no.3, 357-366. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/1415-52732014000300009
- Combe C., McCullough K. P., Asano Y., Ginsberg N., Maroni B. J., & Pifer T. B., (2004). Kidney Disease Outcomes Quality Initiative (K/DOQI) and the Dialysis Outcomes and Practice Patterns Study (DOPPS): Nutrition Guidelines, Indicators, and Practices. American Journal of Kidney Diseases, Vol 44, No 5, Suppl 2 (November), pp S39-S46. doi:10.1053/j.ajkd.2004.08.010
- Zhang X. S., Liw Y. H., Zhang Y., Xu Q., Yu X. M., Yang X. Y., Liu Z., Li H. Z., Li F., & Xue Ch. Y. (2017). Handgrip Strength as a predictor of nutritional status in Chinese elderly inpatients at hospital admission. Biomedical Environmental Science, 30(11): 802-810. doi: 10.3967/bes2017.108.
- Garcia M. F., Wazlawik E., Moreno Y. M. F., Führ L. M., & González-Chica D. A., (2013). Diagnostic accuracy of handgrip strength in the assessment of malnutrition in hemodialyzed patients. Clinical Nutrition ESPEN Journal, 8(4) e181-e186. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnme.2013.06.003
- Daphnee D. K., John S., Vaidya A., Khakhar A., Bhuvaneshwari S., & Ramamurthy A. (2017). Hand grip strength: A reliable, reproducible, cost-effective tool to assess the nutritional status and outcomes of cirrhotics awaiting liver transplant. Clinical Nutrition ESPEN, 19, 49-53. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clnesp.2017.01.011
- Watters D. A. K., Haffejee A. A., Angorn I. B., & Duffy K.J. (1985). Nutritional assessment by hand grip dynamometry. South African Medical Journal, 68(8), 585-587.
- Whiting S. J., Cheng P. C., Thorpe L., Viveky N., Alcorn J., Hadjistavropoulos T., & Dahl RD W. J., (2016). Hand Grip Strength As A Potential Nutritional Assessment Tool In Long-Term Care Homes. JOURNAL OF AGEING RESEARCH AND HEALTHCARE, 1(2), 1-11. DOI: 10.14302/issn.2474-7785.jarh-16-1177





### References (cont.)

- Gowhar S., S.HGayathri.G, Hemamalini A.J (2012). Handgrip Strength as a simple indicator of Malnutr in HD. Kidney Res Clin Pract, 31, A32. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.krcp.2012.04.388
- Leal V. O., Stockler-Pinto M. B., Farage N. E., Aranha L. N., Fouque D., Anjos L. A., & Mafra D., (2011). Handgrip strength and its dialysis determinants in hemodialysis patients. Nutrition, 27, 1125–1129. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nut.2010.12.012
- Guerra R. S., Fonseca I., Pichel F., Restivo M. T., & Amaral T. F., (2014). Handgrip strength cutoff values for undernutrition screening at hospital admission. European Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 68(12), 1315-21. doi:10.1038/ejcn.2014.226
- Flood, A., Chung, A., Parker, H., Kearns, V., & O'Sullivan, T. A. (2014). The use of hand grip strength as a predictor of nutrition status in hospital patients. Clinical Nutrition, 33(1), 106-114. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2013.03.003
- Isoyama, N., Qureshi, A. R., Avesani, C. M., Lindholm, B., Bàràny, P., Heimbürger, O., . . . Carrero, J. J. (2014). Comparative Associations of Muscle Mass and Muscle Strength with Mortality in Dialysis Patients. Clinical Journal of the American Society of Nephrology, 9(10), 1720-1728. doi:10.2215/cjn.10261013
- Norman, K., Stobaus, N., Gonzalez, M. C., Schulzke, J. D., & Pirlich, M. (2011). Hand grip strength: outcome predictor and marker of nutritional status. Clin Nutr, 30(2), 135-142. doi:10.1016/j.clnu.2010.09.010
- White, J. V., Guenter, P., Jensen, G., Malone, A., Schofield, M., Group, A. M. W., . . . Directors, a. t. A. S. P. E. N. B. o. (2012). Consensus Statement: Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics and American Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition: Characteristics Recommended for the Identification and Documentation of Adult Malnutrition (Undernutrition). Journal of Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition, 36(3), 275-283. doi:10.1177/0148607112440285
- Wright, M., & Jones, C. (2010). The UK Renal Association. Clinical practice guidelines: nutrition in CKD. www.renal.org/guidelines: The UK Renal Association.





### Acknowledgement

- Dietetics Team
- Student Interns from Singapore Polytechnic
- A/Prof Evan Lee
- Dr. Mooppil Nandakumar
- Seema Aithal

