#### VICTORIA UNIVERSITY OF WELLINGTON Te Whare Wānanga o te Ūpoko o te Ika a Māui



#### School of Engineering and Computer Science Te Kura Mātai Pūkaha, Pūrorohiko

PO Box 600 Wellington New Zealand

Tel: +64 4 463 5341 Fax: +64 4 463 5045 Internet: office@ecs.vuw.ac.nz

## PitchHub - A Collaboration Platform for Innovators

Michael Winton

Supervisor: Dr. Kris Bubendorfer

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for ENGR489 - Bachelor of Engineering.

#### **Abstract**

The ability to connect innovative ideas to people and resources is an essential component of the innovation process. This project is concerned with empowering the innovation community with an online collaboration system that is simultaneously useful to all actors in the innovation ecosystem while ensuring that all sensitive IP shared is stored in a secure manner. The goal of this report is to detail the steps taken in designing and implementing a distributed web application that facilitates collaboration and enforces data security with threshold cryptography.

## **Contents**

1	Intr	oduction	1
	1.1	Motivation	1
	1.2	Project Objective and Scope	1
	1.3	Contributions	1
	1.4	Outline	1
2	Bac	kground into Collaborative Platforms for Innovation	3
	2.1	Common Roles in Innovation	3
	2.2	An Investigation of Collaborative Platforms	4
	2.3	Practical Limitations of Online Collaboration for Innovation	4
3	Bac	kground into the Web Application	5
	3.1	Architecture	5
	3.2	Behaviour Driven Development	5
4	Imp	plementation of the Web Application	7
	4.1	Technology Choice	7
	4.2	Deployment	7
5	Bac	kground into the Threshold Security Scheme	9
	5.1	Security Considerations	9
	5.2	Shamir's Secret Sharing Scheme	9
	5.3	Limitations of Threshold Security Schemes	9
6	Imp	plementation of the Threshold Security Scheme	11
	6.1	Implementation of Shamir's Secret Sharing Scheme	11
	6.2	Implementation of Secret Keeper Redundancy	11
7	Exp	erimental Methodology	13
	7.1	Functional Testing Method	13
		7.1.1 Testing Environment	13
		7.1.2 Test Data	13
		7.1.3 Automated Testing	13
		7.1.4 Performance Considerations	13
	7.2		13
		7.2.1 Security Testing Scope	13
			13

8	Evaluation				
	8.1	Functionality	15		
		8.1.1 Comparison of Prototypes	15		
	8.2 Security				
		8.2.1 Threat Taxonomy	15		
9	nmary and Conclusions	17			
	9.1	.1 A Summary of The Developed Prototypes			
	9.2	9.2 A Discussion of Online Innovation Collaboration and The Prototypes			
	9.3	9.3 Future Work			
		9.3.1 Recommendation Engine	17		
		9.3.2 Usability Evaluation/Improvement	17		
	9.4	Final Comments	17		

## **Figures**

## Introduction

- 1.1 Motivation
- 1.2 Project Objective and Scope

roles and rights (scope of disclosure)

- 1.3 Contributions
- 1.4 Outline

# **Background into Collaborative Platforms for Innovation**

This chapter aims to explore the related works of collaborative platforms used in the innovation space and also contextualises where in this landscape PitchHub aims to occupy. First, this chapter presents a taxonomy of the primary roles used within the collaborative innovation process. Second, this chapter describes the current collaborative platforms being used in the innovation space and establishes where each stands within the role taxonomy. Third, this chapter concludes with a discussion on the practical limitations that are introduced by being innovation-orientated.

#### 2.1 Common Roles in Innovation

The process of driving an idea from its conceptualisation to its realisation commonly requires a variety of actors who bring together the knowledge, skills and resources required to action its fulfillment. For example, the Apple ][ came to being with Steve Wozniak providing the technical knowledge and skills, Steve Jobs providing the project goals and marketing drive, and Mike Markulla providing the resources to finance it's production [1]. Again and again we see similar stories, where innovation is driven in a collaborative configuration rather than solely by one person. To this point Callaghan Innovation has identified four distinct roles that are embodied by the team within the innovation process:

- Challenger
- Enabler
- Solver
- Facilitator

These four roles represent the different functions required in an innovative product or service's successful execution. Challengers provide the idea or problem to solved in order to realise a business opportunity. Enablers provide the resources required to action the innovation, this may be in terms of man-power, assets or financing. Solvers provide the answer to the idea or problem presented by the Challenger(s). Facilitators provide the connections to drive the innovation's exection, this may be in terms of connecting other people to the idea, or helping the idea gain reputation. Whether these roles are shared amongst a team or fulfilled by a single person in most cases of innovation these roles are too large for one person to embody them all. To continue with the Apple ][ example, we may catergorise Steve Jobs

as the challenger, asking why computers can't serve the consumer market, Steve Wozniak can be seen as an enabler and sover, as he both designed the Apple [[ and built them, and Mike Markulla, can be regarded as an enabler and facilitator, as he financed the production and also lent his reputation to the product.

#### 2.2 An Investigation of Collaborative Platforms

Naturally, a platform that aims to facilitate collaboration for purposes of innovation at it's empowering an idea in relation to these roles. In this section we explore the current solutions being used to facilitate collaboration and discuss how each works in relation to these roles.

IdeaForge[2] is

HunchCruncher can

Assembly is

AngelList

#### 2.3 Practical Limitations of Online Collaboration for Innovation

## **Background into the Web Application**

- 3.1 Architecture
- 3.2 Behaviour Driven Development

# Implementation of the Web Application

- 4.1 Technology Choice
- 4.2 Deployment

# **Background into the Threshold Security Scheme**

- 5.1 Security Considerations
- 5.2 Shamir's Secret Sharing Scheme
- **5.3** Limitations of Threshold Security Schemes

# Implementation of the Threshold Security Scheme

- 6.1 Implementation of Shamir's Secret Sharing Scheme
- 6.2 Implementation of Secret Keeper Redundancy

## **Experimental Methodology**

#### 7.1 Functional Testing Method

#### 7.1.1 Testing Environment

talk about reproducible environment

#### 7.1.2 Test Data

frequency analysis of data cleaned and given by CI's user trial seeded given frequency analysis results

#### 7.1.3 Automated Testing

talk about selenium and user stories

#### 7.1.4 Performance Considerations

talk about NN threshold

#### 7.2 Security Testing Method

#### 7.2.1 Security Testing Scope

Our threat model consists of resisting at least one shoulder surfing attack from an observer co-located at any position around the tabletop. Camera-based attacks are feasible with most knowledge-based authentication systems; but to defeat camera attacks was not our design goal. The pervasive na- ture of mobile devices instrumented with cameras is of par- ticular concern, but as with other manifestations of this same problem (e.g. at the ATM) we rely upon social conventions to deter active attempts to video record logins.

#### 7.2.2 Threat Taxonomy

## **Evaluation**

- 8.1 Functionality
- 8.1.1 Comparison of Prototypes
- 8.2 Security
- 8.2.1 Threat Taxonomy

## **Summary and Conclusions**

- 9.1 A Summary of The Developed Prototypes
- 9.2 A Discussion of Online Innovation Collaboration and The Prototypes
- 9.3 Future Work
- 9.3.1 Recommendation Engine
- 9.3.2 Usability Evaluation/Improvement
- 9.4 Final Comments

## **Bibliography**

- [1] J. Livingston, Founders at work: stories of startups' early days. Apress, 2007.
- [2] "ideaforge the new engine of creation," http://ideaforge.io/, (Visited on 08/24/2015).