## SENG 300 - Iteration 3 Report

Development of Paper Submission System for a Journal Group 20 – Ahmed Zahran, Lucas Del Villar, Matthew Cox, Renjie Xu, Shahmir Khan, Anas Alawa

## **Software Development Process**

For this iteration we have used the **Iterative Software Development Model.** We started with the planning and requirements stage, identifying which set of software requirements we would implement for iteration 3. Then we began analyzing what we needed to accomplish our goals, a conclusion from this process was that we needed to use a database. We decided to use MySQL and then started designing how our system would work with it. Finally, we began implementing functionality and iteratively improving the evolving versions until all our iteration 3 requirements were ready for submission. We essentially divided our workload for iteration 3 into smaller, more manageable mini iterations. We are at the stage of testing and evaluating our current implementation before we make changes for our final submission.

As for the functionalities we decided for this third iteration, this process allowed us to get some working functionality quickly and early in the cycle as we recently switched to the MySQL database as previously mentioned. This development process allowed us to better measure progress since we could measure how close to completing requirements we were. It also made it easier to test and debug during these small iterations. We divided our team members into developers and testers and those roles changed after every mini iteration allowing each team member to experience both roles in order to appreciate each side of the process. Compared to the agile development model that we had been using for the past two iterations, this iterative model made this iteration more structured, which was a good change for some of our team members who had a hard time choosing which tasks they wanted to work on previously.

## **User Stories**

Story # General

1	As a user of this software I should be able to login to the system with my credentials (email and password) and have access to the things relevant to me, so that I can easily accomplish what I need to do.	Iteration 1
2	As a new user, I should be able to sign up as a reviewer or author	Final Submission
Story #	Administrator	Diannod implementation
	Administrator	Planned Implementation

Planned Implementation

4	As an administrator I should be able to approve (or not approve) reviewer feedback once they have reviewed their assigned submissions. Unhelpful or incorrect feedback should not be passed along to the author	Iteration 3
5	As an administrator I should be able to verify and approve those who have signed up to be a reviewer, to ensure that they are professionals in a relevant field	Final Submission
6	As an administrator I should be able to adjust the priority of the feedback, so that critical feedback is addressed first by an author	Iteration 3
7	As an administrator I should be able to sort a list of reviewers (by self-nominated/nominated by author), so that I can more easily decide who to assign papers to	Final Submission
8	As an administrator I should be able to set deadlines for submissions and revisions, so papers are reviewed and are ready for publishing in a timely manner	Iteration 3
9	As an administrator I should be able to accept or reject papers which have gone through major and minor revisions, so that papers fit for the journal are included and those that are not remain unpublished	Final Submission

Story #	Author	Planned Implementation
10	As an author I should be able to submit a paper to the journal, so	Iteration 2
	that it can eventually be published to the journal	
11	As an author I should be able to view feedback on my	Iteration 3
	submissions, so that I can make any changes/revisions necessary	
	to my paper	
12	As an author I should be able to specify a preferred list of	Iteration 3
	reviewers for my paper, so that my submission is reviewed by	
	those knowledgeable in my subject	
13	As an author I should be able to receive email notifications once	Final Submission
	my paper has feedback or when a deadline is set, so I know what	
	and when I need to make any changes by	
14	As an author I should be able to resubmit my paper any number	Iteration 3
	of times before the deadline, so that I can make changes based	
	on feedback to help get my paper published	

Story #	Reviewer	Planned Implementation
15	As a reviewer I should be able to view a list of paper submissions	Iteration 3
	assigned to me to review, so that I know which paper I need to	
	review	
16	As a reviewer I should be able to search papers that I can	Iteration 3
	nominate myself to review, so that I can provide feedback to	
	those papers I am interested and/or have expertise in	
17	As a reviewer I should be able to submit feedback on papers I	Iteration 3
	have been assigned, so that the author can make changes if	
	necessary, to help their paper be included in the journal	

## **Software Testing**

We are in the early stages of writing automated tests for our software, so the following tests we have completed are all manual. They revolve mostly around testing the functionality of our software by interacting with various elements of the GUI such as menu buttons, lists, and some special file browser cases.

Test Type	Test	Author
Manual	Login to the system through main login screen. Valid and invalid	Ahmed
	username/password combinations used. Error message properly	
	displays for invalid usernames and/or passwords.	
Manual	Make sure the functionality of the GitHub button works and	Ahmed
	launches the default browser on the system.	
Manual	Ensure login, author, reviewer, and admin GUI elements appear and	Ahmed
	act normally on Windows, Linux, and MacOS systems	
Manual	Menu buttons in author view all change panels in the JFrame	Lucas
	correctly and the Logout button correctly brings you back to the	
	main login page	
Manual	Submitting a paper by filling out all fields properly adds the file to	Lucas
	the submissions folder and sets various fields in database	
Manual	After a new submission the author's submission list properly updates	Shahmir
	with the correct information	
Manual	Review Feedback for authors properly populates if a reviewer has	Anas
	submitted feedback and an admin has approved it	
Manual	Menu buttons in reviewer view all change panels in the JFrame	Anas
	correctly and the Logout button correctly brings you back to the	
	main login page	
Manual	Browse Journals properly populates with every paper's research	Matthew
	subject. Each subject correctly displays all papers associated with	
	that subject	
Manual	Provide feedback works correctly when a reviewer has papers	Matthew
	assigned to them by an Admin. Clicking open paper opens the	
	correct paper stored in the submissions folder. Feedback can be	
	given to the author and it shows up for the correct author	
Manual	Menu buttons in admin view all change panels in the JFrame	Shahmir
	correctly and the Logout button correctly brings you back to the	
	main login page	- ··
Manual	Review submissions has a list of all papers to approve or reject and	Renjie
	can be opened using the open paper button. The details of the	
	submission correctly populate, and the deadline can be set. The	
• • •	approval won't go through if the date is not set correctly.	
Manual	Review Feedback correctly populates with papers waiting to be	Renjie
	reviewed. Admin's can edit the text before it gets sent to an author	