

# Resolution and accuracy in Congreve & Lamsdell matrices

*Martin R. Smith martin.smith@durham.ac.uk*


2019-01-10

## Contents













0.1	Summary . . . . .	1
0.2	Trees 1–10 . . . . .	2
0.3	Trees 11–20 . . . . .	5
0.4	Trees 21–30 . . . . .	8
0.5	Trees 31–40 . . . . .	11
0.6	Trees 41–50 . . . . .	14
0.7	Trees 51–60 . . . . .	17
0.8	Trees 61–70 . . . . .	20
0.9	Trees 71–80 . . . . .	23
0.10	Trees 81–90 . . . . .	26
0.11	Trees 91–100 . . . . .	29
	References . . . . .	31


This page depicts the analytical results of all 100 matrices generated by Congreve & Lamsdell [1] using a ternary plotting approach [2], with quartets and partitions used as distance metrics.

## 0.1 Summary






## 0.2 Trees 1–10











Dataset 5: CI=0.26





Dataset 6: CI=0.26




Dataset 7: CI=0.27





Dataset 8: CI=0.25


















**Dataset 9: CI=0.24**




**Dataset 10: CI=0.29**






### 0.3 Trees 11–20











Dataset 15: CI=0.25





Dataset 16: CI=0.25




Dataset 17: CI=0.27






Dataset 18: CI=0.28





















**Dataset 19: CI=0.3**




**Dataset 20: CI=0.25**





## 0.4 Trees 21–30











Dataset 25: CI=0.28




Dataset 26: CI=0.27




Dataset 27: CI=0.26





Dataset 28: CI=0.27




















Dataset 29: CI=0.29




Dataset 30: CI=0.25






## 0.5 Trees 31–40











Dataset 35: CI=0.25





Dataset 36: CI=0.24




Dataset 37: CI=0.26






Dataset 38: CI=0.29



















Dataset 39: CI=0.25















Dataset 40: CI=0.24




## 0.6 Trees 41–50














**Dataset 49: CI=0.28**






**Dataset 50: CI=0.25**






## 0.7 Trees 51–60






**Dataset 51: CI=0.3**





**Dataset 52: CI=0.25**




**Dataset 53: CI=0.25**






**Dataset 54: CI=0.28**











Dataset 55: CI=0.28





Dataset 56: CI=0.31




Dataset 57: CI=0.25






Dataset 58: CI=0.25








Dataset 59: CI=0.26





Dataset 60: CI=0.24





## 0.8 Trees 61–70





**Dataset 61: CI=0.26**




**Dataset 62: CI=0.26**




**Dataset 63: CI=0.24**





**Dataset 64: CI=0.29**











Dataset 65: CI=0.25




Dataset 66: CI=0.27




Dataset 67: CI=0.26




Dataset 68: CI=0.31









Dataset 69: CI=0.27






Dataset 70: CI=0.27






## 0.9 Trees 71–80






**Dataset 71: CI=0.27**





**Dataset 72: CI=0.29**




**Dataset 73: CI=0.28**





**Dataset 74: CI=0.28**









Dataset 75: CI=0.24




Dataset 76: CI=0.26









Dataset 77: CI=0.28















Dataset 78: CI=0.24








## 0.10 Trees 81–90











Dataset 85: CI=0.27




Dataset 86: CI=0.27




Dataset 87: CI=0.23






Dataset 88: CI=0.25



















Dataset 89: CI=0.27




Dataset 90: CI=0.26





## 0.11 Trees 91–100









Dataset 95: CI=0.24




Dataset 96: CI=0.26




Dataset 97: CI=0.27



Dataset 98: CI=0.26





## References

1. Congreve CR, Lamsdell JC. 2016 Implied weighting and its utility in palaeontological datasets: a study using modelled phylogenetic matrices. *Palaeontology* **59**, 447–465. (doi:10.1111/pala.12236)
2. Smith MR. In press. Bayesian and parsimony approaches reconstruct informative trees from simulated morphological datasets. *Biology Letters; preprint at BioRxiv* (doi:10.1101/227942)