Feedback

Name:
No.:
Title:
Short Recap:
It would be good if I can understand
Evaluation:
Pro's:
Great idea
• good structure
• great style
Applied course material
Con's:
• a lot of errors
 couldn't follow your arguments
Did not see the connection to the course
Your r file was infected with a virus that infected my dissertation
Hints for future work:
Do more and less.
Grading: 1.0 - 5.0

Your Grading will depend on the quality of your ideas and the following scheme. Your work will be compared to other students in the same course. More than the formal categories, I care about interesting research questions. If you come up with a good research question before the end of the semester and talk it through with me, this will be the anchor of the grading scheme, since it defines my expectation to which I relate the following categories:

Category	Detail	Weight	Comment	Points
Research Question		15		
	Was there a	3		
	question?			
	Did you formulate a	3		
	clear question?			
	Was the question	3		
	answerable?			
	Was the question	0	Removed	
	answered?			
	Did you identify a	3		
	new angle on a			
	problem?			
Theory		15		
	Was there a	5		
	theoretical			
	argument?	_		
	Did you derive your	5		
	expectations from theory?			
	Was the theoretical	5		
	approach	٦		
	approach appropriate?			
Literature	арргорпасе:	12		
Literature	Did you refer to	3		
	literature?			
	Was the literature	3		
	relevant?			
	Was the literature	3		
	sufficient?			
	Was the literature	3		
	comprehensive?			
Research Design		24		
	Did you find the	6		
	right data to			
	<mark>answer your</mark>			
	question?			
	Did you construct a	6		
	dataset to test it?			