COM S 413/513 Project 2: Comparing afl and klee	1
Learning Objectives	1
Description	1
Deliverables (28 pt)	2

# COM S 413/513 Project 2: Comparing afl and klee

### Learning Objectives

- 1. Strengthen the understandings of fuzzing and symbolic execution
- 2. Get hands-on experience with the-state-of-the-art tools
- 3. Improve problem solving skills on testing
- 4. Work with real-world software and bugs

### Description

In this homework, we are going to run and further study the testing tools learned in our class. American fuzzy lop (afl) is a fuzzing tool that has found many bugs in real-world software. Klee is a symbolic execution tool that can automatically generate test inputs for covering as many branches as possible. We will use a few buggy programs to test and compare the performance of the two tools. In the following, please find a list of steps to follow:

- (1) Install american fuzzy lop (afl): <a href="http://lcamtuf.coredump.cx/afl/">http://lcamtuf.coredump.cx/afl/</a>
  - Download afl.
  - Read QuickStartGuide in the doc folder
  - Test an example "test-instr.c"
- (2) Install klee <a href="https://klee.github.io/">https://klee.github.io/</a>
  - Install "the docker version of klee" by following instructions: <a href="https://klee.github.io/docker/">https://klee.github.io/docker/</a> (also see the video: <a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=z6bsk-lsk1Q">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=z6bsk-lsk1Q</a>)
  - Go to klee\_src/examples and run get\_sign.c example following the tutorial in <a href="https://klee.github.io/tutorials/testing-function/">https://klee.github.io/tutorials/testing-function/</a> (small correction: under "Replaying test case" using clang instead of gcc) -- sudo access password is klee
- (3) Compare afl and klee on the get\_sign example
  - Modify get sign example to make it work for afl
  - Introduce a bug to get\_sign.c and test the buggy version of get\_sign.c with afl and klee
- (4) Compare afl and klee on *regexp.c* example provided by klee:

https://klee.github.io/tutorials/testing-regex/

- Modify regexp.c example and make it work for afl
- Introduce two buggy versions of regexp.c by implanting two bugs, test regexp.c with both afl and klee

- (5) Compare afl and klee on a real-world program found on the open source repositories (tip: since klee is hard to set up, consider starting with small programs like gzip-1.2.4, ncompress or programs that work with klee, e.g., *programs from coreutils.*)
- (6) Write-up your studies

## Deliverables (28 pt)

Please zip the following files and submit the zipped file to canvas under the "project 2: comparing afl and klee" column.

### From Step 3, you'll submit (7 pt):

- 1. (2 pt) a modified version of get\_sign.c for afl; screenshots to show that get\_sign.c ran successfully with klee and afl
- 2. (1 pt) a buggy version of get\_sign.c and a readme file that explains where is the bug and what is the bug
- 3. (2 pt) a folder that contains the test inputs generated from afl and klee
- 4. (2 pt) a folder that stores the output of running these test inputs on afl and klee

#### From Step 4, you'll submit (8 pt):

- 1. (2 pt) a modified version of regexp.c; screenshots to show that *regexp.c* ran successfully with klee and afl
- 2. (2 pt) two buggy versions of regexp.c and a readme file that explains where are the bugs and what are the bugs
- 3. (2 pt) a folder that contains the test inputs generated from afl and klee
- 4. (2 pt) a folder that stores the output of running these test inputs on afl and klee

#### From Step 5, you'll submit (8 pt):

- 1. (1 pt) source code of the open source program
- 2. (3 pt) screenshots to show that the program works with klee and afl, modifications of klee and afl if any (you can explain the modifications and attach the relevant files)
- 3. (2 pt) a folder that contains the test inputs generated from afl and klee
- 4. (2 pt) a folder that stores the output of running these test inputs on afl and klee

Submit a report that summarizes your studies (5 pt). You can use the following questions as a guidance.

- (1) How many test inputs are generated by klee and afl respectively?
- (2) How many crashes and hangs are reported by afl and klee for the 3 programs you experimented with?
- (3) Are these crashes and hangs related to the same bugs or different bugs?
- (4) Given a fixed amount of time (e.g., 30 min or 1 hour), which tools find more crashes and bugs?
- (5) Which tools find first crashes and bugs quickly?

(6) What are the advantages and disadvantages of afl and klee?

The homework is due Oct 19, Wed 11:59pm on Canvas. Start early!