

Pairwise Testing Example

Car Ordering Application:

- The car ordering application allows for Buying and Selling cars. It should support trading in Delhi and Mumbai.
- The application should have registration numbers, may be valid or invalid. It should allow the trade of following cars: BMW, Audi, and Mercedes.
- Two types of booking can be done: E-booking and In Store.
- Orders can be placed only during trading hours.

Step #1: Let's list down the variables involved.

1) Order category

- a. Buy
- b. Sell

2) Location

- a. Delhi
- b. Mumbai

3) Car brand

- a. BMW
- b. Audi
- c. Mercedes

4) Registration numbers

- a. Valid (5000)
- b. Invalid

5) Order type

- a. E-Booking
- b. In-store

6) Order time

- a. Working hours
- b. Non-working hours

If we want to test all possible valid combinations:

$$= 2 \times 2 \times 3 \times 5000 \times 2 \times 2$$

$$= 240000 \text{ Valid test cases combinations :}($$

There is also an infinite number of invalid combinations.

Step #2: Let's simplify

- Use a smart representative sample.
- Use groups and boundaries, even when data is non-discrete.
- Reduce Registration Number to Two

1. Valid registration number
2. Invalid registration number

Now let's calculate the number of possible combinations

$$= 2 \times 2 \times 3 \times 2 \times 2 \times 2$$

$$= 96$$

Step #3: Arranging variables and values involved.

When we arrange variables and values involved, it looks something like this.

| Order category | Location | Product | Registration number | Order type | Order time |
|----------------|----------|----------|---------------------|------------|-------------------|
| Buy | Delhi | BMW | Valid | e-Booking | Working hours |
| Sell | Mumbai | Audi | Invalid | In store | Non-working hours |
| | | Mercedes | | | |

Now order the variables so that the one with the most number of values is first and the least is last.

| Product | Order category | Location | Registration number | Order type | Order time |
|---------|----------------|----------|---------------------|------------|------------|
| 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |

Step #4: Arrange variables to create a test suite

Let's start filling in the table column by column. Initially, the table should look something like this. The three values of **Product** (variable having the highest number of values) should be written two times each (two is the number of values of next highest variable i.e. **Order category**).

| Product | Order category | Location | Registration number | Order type | Order time |
|----------|----------------|----------|---------------------|------------|------------|
| BMW | | | | | |
| BMW | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Audi | | | | | |
| Audi | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Mercedes | | | | | |
| Mercedes | | | | | |

The Order Category column has two values. That's how many times we need to insert the values of the first column, Product.

| Product | Order category | Location | Registration number | Order type | Order time |
|----------|----------------|----------|---------------------|------------|------------|
| BMW | Buy | | | | |
| BMW | Sell | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Audi | Buy | | | | |
| Audi | Sell | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Mercedes | Buy | | | | |
| Mercedes | Sell | | | | |

For each set of values in column 1, we put both values of column 2. Repeat the same for column 3.

| Product | Order category | Location | Registration number | Order type | Order time |
|----------|----------------|----------|---------------------|------------|------------|
| BMW | Buy | Delhi | | | |
| BMW | Sell | Mumbai | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Audi | Buy | Delhi | | | |
| Audi | Sell | Mumbai | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Mercedes | Buy | Delhi | | | |
| Mercedes | Sell | Mumbai | | | |

We have a Buy and Delhi, but wait – there’s no Buy and Mumbai. We have a Sell and Mumbai, but there’s no Sell and Delhi. Let’s swap around the values in the second set in the third column.

| Product | Order category | Location | Registration number | Order type | Order time |
|----------|----------------|----------|---------------------|------------|------------|
| BMW | Buy | Delhi | | | |
| BMW | Sell | Mumbai | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Audi | Buy | Mumbai | | | |
| Audi | Sell | Delhi | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Mercedes | Buy | Delhi | | | |
| Mercedes | Sell | Mumbai | | | |

This looks much better!

We will repeat the same steps for column 3 and 4.

| Product | Order category | Location | Registration number | Order type | Order time |
|----------|----------------|----------|---------------------|------------|------------|
| BMW | Buy | Delhi | Valid | | |
| BMW | Sell | Mumbai | Invalid | | |
| | | | | | |
| Audi | Buy | Mumbai | Valid | | |
| Audi | Sell | Delhi | Invalid | | |
| | | | | | |
| Mercedes | Buy | Delhi | Valid | | |
| Mercedes | Sell | Mumbai | Invalid | | |

When columns 3 and 4 are compared, each value in column 3 has both the values of column 4. But when you compare the 2nd and 4th column, we have Buy and Valid & Sell and Invalid .i.e. Buy does not have ‘Invalid’ and Sell does not have ‘Valid’. Hence we need to interchange the last set of values in the 4th column.

| Product | Order category | Location | Registration number | Order type | Order time |
|----------|----------------|----------|---------------------|------------|-------------------|
| BMW | Buy | Delhi | Valid | In store | Working hours |
| BMW | Sell | Mumbai | Invalid | e-Booking | Non-working hours |
| | | | | | |
| Audi | Buy | Mumbai | Valid | e-Booking | Working hours |
| Audi | Sell | Delhi | Invalid | In store | Non-working hours |
| | | | | | |
| Mercedes | Buy | Delhi | Invalid | e-Booking | Working hours |
| Mercedes | Sell | Mumbai | Valid | In store | Non-working hours |

Column 6 (Order time) is problematic. We are missing Buy/Non-working hours and Sell/Working hours. We can’t fit our missing pairs by swapping around values as we already swapped all the rows if we swap now we may miss other possible pairs which are already sorted. So, we add two more test cases that contain these pairs. Hence, the blank rows!

| Product | Order category | Location | Registration number | Order type | Order time |
|----------|----------------|----------|---------------------|------------|-------------------|
| BMW | Buy | Delhi | Valid | In store | Working hours |
| BMW | Sell | Mumbai | Invalid | e-Booking | Non-working hours |
| | Buy | | | | Non-working hours |
| Audi | Buy | Mumbai | Valid | e-Booking | Working hours |
| Audi | Sell | Delhi | Invalid | In store | Non-working hours |
| | Sell | | | | Working hours |
| Mercedes | Buy | Delhi | Invalid | e-Booking | Working hours |
| Mercedes | Sell | Mumbai | Valid | In store | Non-working hours |

Now we will fill in the empty cells as we desire because the other variable values are purely arbitrary (or Don't Cares ~).

| Product | Order category | Location | Registration number | Order type | Order time |
|----------|----------------|----------|---------------------|------------|-------------------|
| BMW | Buy | Delhi | Valid | In store | Working hours |
| BMW | Sell | Mumbai | Invalid | e-Booking | Non-working hours |
| ~BMW | Buy | ~Delhi | ~Valid | ~In store | Non-working hours |
| Audi | Buy | Mumbai | Valid | e-Booking | Working hours |
| Audi | Sell | Delhi | Invalid | In store | Non-working hours |
| ~Audi | Sell | ~Mumbai | ~Invalid | ~e-Booking | Working hours |
| Mercedes | Buy | Delhi | Invalid | e-Booking | Working hours |
| Mercedes | Sell | Mumbai | Valid | In store | Non-working hours |

Hurray! All pairs in 8 cases, instead of all combinations in 96!

Hence, we saw how efficient All-pairs technique of test design is. There stands a good chance of finding bugs and it is fun and powerful.

The pairwise testing technique has some limitations as well.

- It fails when the values selected for testing are incorrect.
- It fails when highly probable combinations get too little attention.
- It fails when interactions between the variables are not understood well.

Pairwise Testing Tools:

Tools are available that applies the all-pairs testing technique that facilitates us to effectively automate the Test Case Design process by generating a compact set of parameter value choices as the desired Test Cases. Some well-known tools from the industry are:

- **PICT** – ‘Pairwise Independent Combinatorial Testing’, provided by Microsoft Corp.
- **IBM FoCuS** – ‘Functional Coverage Unified Solution’, provided by IBM.
- **ACTS** – ‘Advanced Combinatorial Testing System’, provided by NIST, an agency of the US Government.
- **Hexawise**
- **Jenny**
- **Pairwise** by Inductive AS
- **VPTag** free All-Pair Testing Tool