# Comments slides for Thursday, Oct 1: Recommender systems; engineering limits

Matthew J. Salganik

COS 597E/SOC 555 Limits to prediction Fall 2020, Princeton University

predicting the present vs inverse prediction vs predicting the future

- predicting the present vs inverse prediction vs predicting the future
- ► Learning latent factors destroys value from additional predictors

- predicting the present vs inverse prediction vs predicting the future
- Learning latent factors destroys value from additional predictors
- ▶ I liked the Netflix Prize. Falls on organizer of mass collaboration to pick a good problem. Also, Netflix Prize vs ImageNet.

- predicting the present vs inverse prediction vs predicting the future
- Learning latent factors destroys value from additional predictors
- ▶ I liked the Netflix Prize. Falls on organizer of mass collaboration to pick a good problem. Also, Netflix Prize vs ImageNet.
- ► Algorithm vs mechanism

▶ I loved the idea of a magic barrier at 0.73 in movie recommendation. I wondered

fundamental limit. (What data is available when they hit this barrier?)

if this was across datasets or within. If across, it could be strong evidence of a

- ▶ I loved the idea of a magic barrier at 0.73 in movie recommendation. I wondered if this was across datasets or within. If across, it could be strong evidence of a fundamental limit. (What data is available when they hit this barrier?)
- ▶ Very careful paper by Herlocket et al (2004) on rec sys doesn't talk about bias and fairness.

- ▶ I loved the idea of a magic barrier at 0.73 in movie recommendation. I wondered if this was across datasets or within. If across, it could be strong evidence of a fundamental limit. (What data is available when they hit this barrier?)
- fundamental limit. (What data is available when they hit this barrier?)
  Very careful paper by Herlocket et al (2004) on rec sys doesn't talk about bias and fairness.
  predictive accuracy metrics (discussed briefly subsets and normalized mean

absolute error), classification accuracy metrics (not as interesting to me), and rank accuracy metrics (interesting to me, but what about ties); I like the idea of

comparing them empirically but there are other ways to compare too

- ► Netflix Prize vs real Netflix, FDA:
  - ► Phase I: Discovery & Development.
  - ▶ Phase II: Preclinical Research. (paper focusing only on accuracy)
  - ▶ Phase III: Clinical Research. (deployed setting where accuracy is not the only objective and there are engineering constraints)
  - objective and there are engineering constraints
     Phase IV: FDA Review
  - Phase V: FDA Post-Market Safety Monitoring.

- ► Netflix Prize vs real Netflix, FDA:
  - Phase I: Discovery & Development.
  - ▶ Phase II: Preclinical Research. (paper focusing only on accuracy)
  - ▶ Phase III: Clinical Research. (deployed setting where accuracy is not the only objective and there are engineering constraints)
    - Phase IV: FDA Review.
    - Phase V: FDA Post-Market Safety Monitoring.
- ▶ Netflix Prize vs real Netflix: Lab experiments vs field experiments. How can we measure this difference empirically.

- ► Netflix Prize vs real Netflix, FDA:
  - Phase I: Discovery & Development.
  - ▶ Phase II: Preclinical Research. (paper focusing only on accuracy)
  - ▶ Phase III: Clinical Research. (deployed setting where accuracy is not the only objective and there are engineering constraints)
    - Phase IV: FDA Review.
  - Phase V: FDA Post-Market Safety Monitoring.
- Netflix Prize vs real Netflix: Lab experiments vs field experiments. How can we measure this difference empirically.
- How do the kind of measurement problems you worked on in class today combine? If there is an error term made up of the sum of two random variables A and B, then Var(A + B) = Var(A) + Var(B) + 2Cov(A, B).

# Comments slides for Thursday, Oct 1: Recommender systems; engineering limits

Matthew J. Salganik

COS 597E/SOC 555 Limits to prediction Fall 2020, Princeton University