```
In [1]: # Initialize Otter
import otter
grader = otter.Notebook("hw2-seda.ipynb")
```

PSTAT 100 Homework 2

```
In [2]: import numpy as np
import pandas as pd
import altair as alt
```

Background

Gender achievement gaps in education have been well-documented over the years -studies consistently find boys outperforming girls on math tests and girls outperforming
boys on reading and language tests. A particularly controversial article was published in
Science in 1980 arguing that this pattern was due to an 'innate' difference in ability
(focusing, of course, on mathematics rather than on reading and language). Such views
persisted in part because studying systematic patterns in achievement nationwide was a
challenge due to differential testing standards across school districts and the general
lack of availability of large-scale data.

It is only recently that data-driven research has begun to reveal socioeconomic drivers of achievement gaps. The Standford Educational Data Archive (SEDA), a publicly available database on academic achievement and educational opportunity in U.S. schools, has supported this effort. The database is part of a broader initiave aiming to improve educational opportunity by enabling researchers and policymakers to identify systemic drivers of disparity.

SEDA includes a range of detailed data on educational conditions, contexts, and outcomes in school districts and counties across the United States. It includes measures of academic achievement and achievement gaps for school districts and counties, as well as district-level measures of racial and socioeconomic composition, racial and socioeconomic segregation patterns, and other features of the schooling system.

The database standardizes average test scores for schools 10,000 U.S. school districts relative to national standards to allow comparability between school districts and across grade levels and years. The test score data come from the U.S. Department of Education. In addition, multiple data sources (American Community Survey and Common Core of Data) are integrated to provide district-level socioeconomic and demographic information.

A study of the SEDA data published in 2018 identified the following persistent patterns across grade levels 3 - 8 and school ears from 2008 through 2015:

- a consistent reading and language achievement gap favoring girls;
- no national math achievement gap on average; and
- local math achievement gaps that depend on the socioeconomic conditions of school districts. You can read about the main findings of the study in this brief NY Times article.

Below, we'll work with selected portions of the database. The full datasets can be downloaded here.

Assignment objectives

In this assignment, you'll explore achievement gaps in California school districts in 2018, reproducing the findings described in the article above on a more local scale and with the most recent SEDA data. This will afford you an opportunity to practice the first several stages of the data science lifecycle: collect, acquaint, tidy, and explore.

Collect/acquiant

- review data documentation
- identify population, sampling frame, sample
- assess scope of inference

Tidy

- data import
- slicing and filtering
- merging multiple data frames
- pivoting tables
- renaming and reordering variables

Explore

- scatterplots
- basic plotting aesthetics
- faceted plots
- visualizing trends
- aggregation and tabulation

Communicate

narrative summary of exploratory analysis

Collaboration

You are encouraged to collaborate with other students on the labs, but are expected to write up your own work for submission. Copying and pasting others' solutions is considered plaigarism and may result in penalties, depending on severity and extent.

If you choose to work with others, please list their names here.

Your name: Marissa Santiago

Collaborators:

O. Getting acquainted with the SEDA data

The cell below imports the district-level SEDA data from California in 2018. The test score data is stored in a separate file (ca-main.csv) from the socioeconomic and demographic covariate data (ca-cov.csv).

```
In [3]: # import seda data
    ca_main = pd.read_csv('data/ca-main.csv')
    ca_cov = pd.read_csv('data/ca-cov.csv')
```

Test score data

The first few rows of the test data are shown below. The columns are:

Column name	Meaning
sedalea	District ID
grade	Grade level
stateabb	State abbreviation
sedaleaname	District name
subject	Test subject
cs_mn	Estimated mean test score
cs_mnse	Standard error for estimated mean test score
totgyb	Number of individual tests used to estimate the mean score

```
In [4]: ca_main.head(3)
```

Out[4]:		sedalea	grade	stateabb	sedaleaname	subject	cs_mn_all	cs_mnse_all	totgyb_all	cs_n
	0	600001	4	CA	ACTON- AGUA DULCE UNIFIED	mth	-0.367007	0.108543	86.0	
	1	600001	4	CA	ACTON- AGUA DULCE UNIFIED	rla	0.005685	0.117471	85.0	
	2	600001	6	CA	ACTON- AGUA DULCE UNIFIED	rla	-0.000040	0.092172	114.0	

3 rows × 59 columns

The test score means for each district are named <code>cs_mn_...</code> with an abbreviation indicating subgroup (such as mean score for all <code>cs_mean_all</code>, for boys <code>cs_mean_mal</code>, for white students <code>cs_mn_wht</code>, and so on). Notice that these are generally small-ish: decimal numbers between -0.5 and 0.5.

These means are *estimated* from a number of individual student tests and *standardized* relative to national averages. They represent the number of standard deviations by which a district mean differs from the national average. So, for instance, the value cs_mn_all = 0.1 indicates that the district average is estimated to be 0.1 standard deviations greater than the national average on the corresponding test and at the corresponding grade level.

Q0 (a). Interpreting test score values

Interpret the average math test score for all 4th grade students in Acton-Agua Dulce Unified School District (the first row of the dataset shown above).

The average math test score for all 4th grade students is 0.367 standard deviations below than the national average on the math test at the 4th grade level.

Covariate data

The first few rows of the covariate data are shown below. The column information is as follows:

Column name	Meaning
sedalea	District ID
grade	Grade level
sedaleanm	District name
urban	Indicator: is the district in an urban locale?
suburb	Indicator: is the district in a suburban locale?
town	Indicator: is the district in a town locale?
rural	Indicator: is the district in a rural locale?
locale	Description of district locale
Remaining variables	Demographic and socioeconomic measures

In [5]:	ca	_cov.he	ad(3)									
<pre>In [5]: Out[5]:</pre>		sedalea	grade	sedaleanm	urban	suburb	town	rural	locale	perind	perasn	•••
Out[5]:	0	600001	4.0	ACTON- AGUA DULCE UNIFIED	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	Rural, Distant	0.003893	0.045901	
	1	600001	5.0	ACTON- AGUA DULCE UNIFIED	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	Rural, Distant	0.003788	0.046652	
	2	600001	6.0	ACTON- AGUA DULCE UNIFIED	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	Rural, Distant	0.003218	0.043657	

3 rows × 60 columns

You will only be working with a handful of the demographic and socioeconomic measures, so you can put off getting acquainted with those until selecting a subset of variables.

Q0 (b). Data semantics

In the non-public data, observational units are students -- test scores are measured for each student. However, in the SEDA data you've imported, scores are aggregated to the district level by grade. Let's regard estimated test score means for each grade as distinct variables, so that an observation consists in a set of estimated means for different grade levels and groups. In this view, what are the observational units in the test score dataset? Are they the same or different for the covariate dataset?

Type your answer here, replacing this text.

Q0 (c). Sample sizes

How many observational units are in each dataset? Count the number of units in the test dataset and the number of units in the covariate dataset separately. Store the numbers as ca_cov_units and ca_main_units, respectively.

```
(Hint: use _nunique().)
```

```
In [6]: ca_cov_units = ca_cov['sedalea'].nunique()
    ca_main_units = ca_main['sedalea'].nunique()

In [7]: grader.check("q0_c")

Out[7]:
q0_c passed!
```

Q0 (d). Sample characteristics

Answer the questions below about the sampling design. You do not need to dig through any data documentation in order to resolve these questions.

(i) What is the relevant population for the datasets you've imported?

The population is California school districts in 2018.

(ii) About what proportion (to within 0.1) of the population is captured in the sample?

(Hint: have a look at this website.)

```
In [8]: #ca_main.groupby('sedalea').count()
#872
```

872 school districts were used in the dataset out of the 1,029 school districts in california last school year.

(iii) Considering that the sampling frame is not identified clearly, what kind of dataset do you suspect this is (e.g., administrative, data from a 'typical sample', census, etc.)?

I suspect this dataset to be an administrative data sample. In addition to the sample being 'convieniently' collected from the school district data they already had, it also covers part of the population of CA school districts targeting the 2018 year.

Q0 (e). Scope of inference

In light of your description of the sample characteristics, what is the scope of inference for this dataset?

I'd say the scope of inference is none and is not representative of the total population because the sampling frame is unclear being an administrative sample.

1. Tidy

Your goal will be to examine the relationship between gender achievement gaps and socioeconomic measures for school districts in California in 2018. In order to do this, the following manipulations of the imported data are needed:

- selecting columns of interest;
- filtering out non-urban districts;
- merging the covariate data with the test data; and
- putting the result in tidy format.

Since you've already had some guided practice doing this in previous assignments, you'll be left to fill in a little bit more of the details on your own in this assignment.

You'll work with the following variables from each dataset:

Test score data

- District ID
- District name
- Grade
- Test subject
- Estimated male-female gap

Covariate data

- District ID
- Locale
- Grade
- Socioeconomic status (all demographic groups)
- Log median income (all demographic groups)
- Poverty rate (all demographic groups)
- Unemployment rate (all demographic groups)
- SNAP benefit receipt rate (all demographic groups)

Q1 (a). Variable names of interest

Download the codebooks by opening the 'data' directory from your Jupyter Lab file navigator (pstat100-s21-content > hw > hw2 > data), right-click the codebook .xlsx files, and select 'Download'. Identify the variables listed above, and store the column names in lists main_vars and cov_vars.

Out [10]: **q1_a** passed!

Q1 (b). Slice columns

Use your result from Q1 (a) to slice the columns of interest from the covariate and test score data. Store the results as main_sub and cov_sub.

```
In [11]: # slice columns to select variables of interest
    cov_sub = ca_cov.loc[:,cov_vars]
    main_sub = ca_main.loc[:,main_vars]
In [12]: grader.check("q1_b")
Out[12]:
```

q1 b passed!

In the next step you'll merge the covariate data with the test score data. In order to do this, you can use the $pd.merge(A, B, how = ..., on = SHARED_COLS)$ function, which will match the rows of A and B based on the shared columns $SHARED_COLS$. If how = 'left', then only rows in A will be retained in the output (so B will be merged to A); conversely, if how = 'right', then only rows in B will be retained in the output (so A will be merged to B).

A simple example of the use of pd.merge is illustrated below:

```
In [14]: A
```

```
      Out[14]:
      shared_col x1 x2

      0
      a 1 4

      1
      b 2 5

      2
      c 3 6
```

```
In [15]: B
```

Below, if A and B are merged retaining the rows in A, notice that a missing value is input because B has no row where the shared column (on which the merging is done) has value c. In other words, the third row of A has no match in B.

```
In [16]: # left join
   pd.merge(A, B, how = 'left', on = 'shared_col')
```

If the direction of merging is reversed, and the row structure of B is dominant, then the third row of A is dropped altogether because it has no match in B.

```
In [17]: # right join
  pd.merge(A, B, how = 'right', on = 'shared_col')
```

Q1 (c). Merge

Follow the example above and merge the covariate and test score data on both the *district ID* and *grade level*, retaining only the columns from the test score data (meaning, treat the test score data as primary and merge the covariate data *to* the test score data). Store the result as rawdata and print the first four rows.

Hint: When merging on multiple columns, you can utilize a list to hold both column names.

```
In [18]: # merge covariates with gap data
  rawdata = pd.merge(main_sub, cov_sub, how = 'left', on = ['sedalea','grade']
  # print first four rows
  rawdata.head(4)
```

Out[18]:		sedalea	sedaleaname	grade	subject	cs_mn_mfg	locale	sesall	Ininc50all	povertya
	0	600001	ACTON- AGUA DULCE UNIFIED	4	mth	NaN	Rural, Distant	1.237209	11.392048	0.09189
	1	600001	ACTON- AGUA DULCE UNIFIED	4	rla	NaN	Rural, Distant	1.237209	11.392048	0.09189
	2	600001	ACTON- AGUA DULCE UNIFIED	6	rla	NaN	Rural, Distant	1.237209	11.392048	0.09189
	3	600001	ACTON- AGUA DULCE UNIFIED	8	mth	-0.562855	Rural, Distant	1.237209	11.392048	0.09189

```
In [19]: grader.check("q1_c")
```

Out [19]: **q1_c** passed!

Q1 (d). Rename and reorder columns

Now rename and rearrange the columns of rawdata so that they appear in the following order and with the following names:

District ID, District, Locale, log(Median income), Poverty rate, Unemployment rate,
 SNAP rate, Socioeconomic index, Grade, Gender gap, Subject

Store the result as rawdata_mod1 and print the first four rows.

(*Hint*: first define a dictionary to map the old names to the new ones; then create a list of the new names specified in the desired order; then use rename() and loc[]. You can follow the renaming steps in HW1 as an example if needed.)

Out[20]:		District ID	District	Locale	log(Median income)	Poverty rate	Unemployment rate	SNAP rate	Socioeconomic index
	0	600001	ACTON- AGUA DULCE UNIFIED	Rural, Distant	11.392048	0.091894	0.048886	0.035165	1.237209
	1	600001	ACTON- AGUA DULCE UNIFIED	Rural, Distant	11.392048	0.091894	0.048886	0.035165	1.237209
	2	600001	ACTON- AGUA DULCE UNIFIED	Rural, Distant	11.392048	0.091894	0.048886	0.035165	1.23720\$
	3	600001	ACTON- AGUA DULCE UNIFIED 	Rural, Distant	11.392048	0.091894	0.048886	0.035165	1.23720§

```
In [21]: grader.check("q1_d")
```

Out[21]:

q1_d passed!

Q1 (e). Pivot

Notice that the Gender gap column contains the values of two variables: the gap in estimated mean test scores for math tests, and the gap in estimated mean test scores for reading and language tests. To put the data in tidier format, use pivot to pivot the table so that the gender gap column is spread into two columns corresponding to the entries of Subject. Name the resulting columns Math gap and Reading gap, and store the result as rawdata_mod2 and print the first four rows.

Comment: an alternative solution is to manipulate the indices and use <code>.unstack()</code>. Either method will produce a dataframe with hierarchical column indexing; this will need to be collapsed in order to rename the columns as instructed. You may find <code>MultiIndex.droplevel()</code> to be of use.

```
In [22]: # pivot to unstack gender gap (fixing tidy issue: multiple variables in one
    rawdata_mod2 = rawdata_mod1.set_index(name_order[0:10]).unstack(-1).reset_in

# resolve the multi index
    idx = rawdata_mod2.columns.droplevel(1)
    idx.values[9:11] = ['Math gap','Reading gap']
    rawdata_mod2.columns = idx

# print first four rows
    rawdata_mod2.head(4)
```

Out[22]:

	District ID	District	Locale	log(Median income)	Poverty rate	Unemployment rate	SNAP rate	Socioeco
0	600001	ACTON- AGUA DULCE UNIFIED	Rural, Distant	11.392048	0.091894	0.048886	0.035165	1.2
1	600001	ACTON- AGUA DULCE UNIFIED	Rural, Distant	11.392048	0.091894	0.048886	0.035165	1.2
2	600001	ACTON- AGUA DULCE UNIFIED	Rural, Distant	11.392048	0.091894	0.048886	0.035165	1.2
3	600006	ROSS VALLEY ELEMENTARY 	Suburb, Large	11.607236	0.041418	0.048269	0.028006	1.9

```
In [23]: grader.check("q1_e")
```

```
Out[23]:
```

q1_e passed!

Q1 (f). Indexing

If necessary, remove the name of the column index ('Subject') that was induced by the pivot step using .rename_axis(), and store the result as data; otherwise, simply store a copy of the previous dataframe as data. Print the first four rows.

```
In [24]: # drop the name of column index induced by pivoting
data = rawdata_mod2.rename_axis(None)

# print first four rows
data.head(4)
```

Out[24]:

	District ID	District	Locale	log(Median income)	Poverty rate	Unemployment rate	SNAP rate	Socioeco
0	600001	ACTON- AGUA DULCE UNIFIED	Rural, Distant	11.392048	0.091894	0.048886	0.035165	1.2
1	600001	ACTON- AGUA DULCE UNIFIED	Rural, Distant	11.392048	0.091894	0.048886	0.035165	1.2
2	600001	ACTON- AGUA DULCE UNIFIED	Rural, Distant	11.392048	0.091894	0.048886	0.035165	1.2
3	600006	ROSS VALLEY ELEMENTARY 	Suburb, Large	11.607236	0.041418	0.048269	0.028006	1.

Your final dataset should match the dataframe below. You can use this to check your answer and revise any portions above that lead to different results.

```
In [25]: # intended result
    data_reference = pd.read_csv('data/tidy-seda.csv')
    data_reference.head(4)
```

	District ID	District	Locale	log(Median income)	Poverty rate	Unemployment rate	SNAP rate	Socioeco
0	600001	ACTON- AGUA DULCE UNIFIED	Rural, Distant	11.392048	0.091894	0.048886	0.035165	1.2
1	600001	ACTON- AGUA DULCE UNIFIED	Rural, Distant	11.392048	0.091894	0.048886	0.035165	1.2
2	600001	ACTON- AGUA DULCE UNIFIED	Rural, Distant	11.392048	0.091894	0.048886	0.035165	1.2
3	600006	ROSS VALLEY ELEMENTARY 	Suburb, Large	11.607236	0.041418	0.048269	0.028006	1.9

Q1 (g). Sanity check

Ensure that your tidying did not inadvertently drop any observations: count the number of units in data. Does this match the number of units represented in the original test score data ca_main? Store these as data_units and ca_main_units, respectively.

```
(Hint: use _nunique() .)
```

```
In [26]: # number of districts in tidied data compared with raw
    data_units = data.District.nunique()
    ca_main_units = ca_main.sedaleaname.nunique()
In [27]: grader.check("q1_g")
```

Out [27]: **q1_g** passed!

Out[25]:

Q1 (h). Missing values

Gap estimates were not calculated for certain grades in certain districts due to small sample sizes (not enough individual tests recorded).

(i) What proportion of rows are missing for each of the reading and math gap variables?

Store these as math_missing and reading_missing, respectively.

Hint: Can utilize the fact that both columns have the ending of "gap" to subset the dataframe.

```
In [28]: # proportion of missing values
    math_missing = data.iloc[:,9].isna().sum()/data.shape[0]
    reading_missing = data.iloc[:,10].isna().sum()/data.shape[0]

In [29]: grader.check("q1_h_i")

Out[29]: q1_h_i passed!
```

(ii) What proportion of *districts* have missing gap estimates for one or both test subjects for at least one grade level?

Save the value as district_missing.

```
In [30]: # proportion of districts with missing values
         district_missing = data[data.iloc[:,[9,10]].isna().sum(axis=1)>0].shape[0]/d
In [31]: grader.check("q1_h_ii")
Out [31]:
        q1_h_ii results:
        q1_h_ii - 1 result:
            Trying:
                0.5 < district_missing < 0.6</pre>
            Expecting:
                True
            *************************
        *****
            Line 2, in q1_h_ii 0
            Failed example:
                0.5 < district_missing < 0.6
            Expected:
                True
            Got:
                False
```

(iii) Do you expect that this missingness is related to any particular district attribute(s)?

Type your answer here, replacing this text.

2. Explore

For the purpose of visualizing the relationship between estimated gender gaps and socioeconomic variables, you'll find it more helpful to store a non-tidy version of the data. The cell below rearranges the dataset so that one column contains an estimated gap, one column contains the value of a socioeconomic variable, and the remaining columns record the gap type and variable identity.

Ensure that your results from part 1 match the reference dataset before running this cell.

```
In [32]: # format data for plotting
plot_df = data.melt(
    id_vars = name_order[0:9],
    value_vars = ['Math gap', 'Reading gap'],
    var_name = 'Gap type',
    value_name = 'Gap'
).melt(
    id_vars = ['District ID', 'District', 'Locale', 'Gap type', 'Gap', 'Grad value_vars = name_order[3:8],
    var_name = 'Socioeconomic variable',
    value_name = 'Measure'
)

# preview
plot_df.head()
```

Out[32]:

	District ID	District	Locale	Gap type	Gap	Grade	Socioeconomic variable	Measure
0	600001	ACTON-AGUA DULCE UNIFIED 	Rural, Distant	Math gap	NaN	4	log(Median income)	11.392048
1	600001	ACTON-AGUA DULCE UNIFIED 	Rural, Distant	Math gap	NaN	6	log(Median income)	11.392048
2	600001	ACTON-AGUA DULCE UNIFIED 	Rural, Distant	Math gap	-0.562855	8	log(Median income)	11.392048
3	600006	ROSS VALLEY ELEMENTARY 	Suburb, Large	Math gap	-0.025131	4	log(Median income)	11.607236
4	600006	ROSS VALLEY ELEMENTARY 	Suburb, Large	Math gap	0.143163	5	log(Median income)	11.607236

Altair, by default, limits the number of rows for input dataframes. We will need to disable this behavior in order to generate plots of this dataset.

```
In [33]: # disable row limit for plotting
    alt.data_transformers.disable_max_rows()

Out[33]: DataTransformerRegistry.enable('default')
```

Relationship between gender gaps and socioeconomic factors

The cell below generates a panel of scatterplots showing the relationship between estimated gender gap and socioeconomic factors for all grade levels by test subject. The plot suggests that the reading gap favors girls consistently across the socioeconomic spectrum -- in a typical district girls seem to outperform boys by 0.25 standard deviations of the national average. By contrast, the math gap appears to depend on socioeconomic factors -- boys only seem to outperform girls under *better* socioeconomic conditions.

```
In [34]: # plot gap against socioeconomic variables by subject for all grades
fig1 = alt.Chart(plot_df).mark_circle(opacity = 0.1).encode(
    y = 'Gap',
    x = alt.X('Measure', scale = alt.Scale(zero = False), title = ''),
    color = 'Gap type'
).properties(
    width = 200,
    height = 200
).facet(
    column = alt.Column('Socioeconomic variable')
).resolve_scale(x = 'independent')
fig1
```

Out [34]:

Q2 (a). Relationships by grade level

Does the pattern shown in the plot above persist within each grade level?

(i)

Modify the plot above to show these relationships by grade level: generate a panel of scatterplots of gap against socioeconomic measures by subject, where each column of the panel corresponds to one socioeconomic variable and each row corresponds to one grade level; the result should by a 5x5 panel. Resize the width and height of each facet so that the panel is of reasonable size.

(*Hint*: you may find it useful to have a look at the altair documentation on compound charts, and lab 2, for examples to follow.)

```
In [35]: # plotting codes here
fig2a = alt.Chart(plot_df).mark_circle(opacity = 0.1).encode(
    y = 'Gap',
    x = alt.X('Measure', scale = alt.Scale(zero = False), title = ''),
    color = 'Gap type'
).properties(
    width = 125,
    height = 125
).facet(
    column = alt.Column('Socioeconomic variable'),
    row = alt.Row('Grade')
).resolve_scale(x = 'independent')

# display
fig2a
```

Out[35]:

(ii) is the pattern consistent across grade level?

From the scaterplots above, the pattern does seem to be consistent across all grade levels.

Q2 (b). Do gaps shift across grade levels?

(i)

Construct a 2x5 panel of scatterplots showing estimated achievement gap against each of the 5 socioeconomic variables, with one row per test subject. Display grade level using a color gradient.

(*Hint:* plot gap against measure, facet by gap type (rows) and socioeconomic variable (columns), and color by grade.)

```
In [36]: # plotting codes here
fig2b = alt.Chart(plot_df).mark_circle(opacity = 0.3).encode(
    y = 'Gap',
    x = alt.X('Measure', scale = alt.Scale(zero = False), title = ''),
    color = 'Grade'
).properties(
    width = 125,
    height = 125
).facet(
    column = alt.Column('Socioeconomic variable'),
    row = alt.Row('Gap type')
).resolve_scale(x = 'independent')

# display
fig2b
```

(ii) Do the gaps seem to shift with grade level?

Yes, visibly the scatter of plots shifts from dark to light as the estimated 'Gap' decreases for both subjects, indicating an increase in grade level.

Aggregating by grade

While the magnitude of the achievement gaps seems to depend very slightly on grade level (figure 2b), the *relationship* between achievement gap and socioeconomic factors does not differ from grade to grade (figure 2a). In what follows, you'll look at the average relationship between estimated achievement gap and median income after aggregating across grade. The cell below computes the mean of each variable across grade levels for each district.

```
In [37]: # aggregate across grades
  data_agg = data.groupby(['District ID', 'District', 'Locale']).mean().reset_
  data_agg.head()
```

-		$\Gamma \sim -1$
\cap	111	13/1:
U	uL	12/1:

	District ID	District	Locale	log(Median income)	Poverty rate	Unemployment rate	SNAP rate	Socioec
0	600001	ACTON- AGUA DULCE UNIFIED	Rural, Distant	11.392048	0.091894	0.048886	0.035165	1.:
1	600006	ROSS VALLEY ELEMENTARY 	Suburb, Large	11.607236	0.041418	0.048269	0.028006	1.
2	600011	FORT SAGE UNIFIED	Rural, Distant	10.704570	0.159981	0.066333	0.102054	-0.
3	600012	TWIN RIDGES ELEMENTARY 	Rural, Distant	10.589787	0.179102	0.059158	0.074903	-0.0
4	600013	ROCKLIN UNIFIED	Suburb, Large	11.399662	0.060338	0.045533	0.035016	1.3

Similar to working with the disaggregated data, it will be helpful for plotting to melt the two gap variables into a single column.

```
In [38]: # format for plotting
    agg_plot_df = data_agg.melt(
        id_vars = name_order[0:7],
        value_vars = ['Math gap', 'Reading gap'],
        var_name = 'Subject',
        value_name = 'Average estimated gap'
)

agg_plot_df.head()
```

Out[38]:

	District ID	District	Locale	log(Median income)	Poverty rate	Unemployment rate	SNAP rate	Subject
0	600001	ACTON- AGUA DULCE UNIFIED	Rural, Distant	11.392048	0.091894	0.048886	0.035165	Math gap
1	600006	ROSS VALLEY ELEMENTARY 	Suburb, Large	11.607236	0.041418	0.048269	0.028006	Math gap
2	600011	FORT SAGE UNIFIED	Rural, Distant	10.704570	0.159981	0.066333	0.102054	Math gap
3	600012	TWIN RIDGES ELEMENTARY 	Rural, Distant	10.589787	0.179102	0.059158	0.074903	Math gap
4	600013	ROCKLIN UNIFIED	Suburb, Large	11.399662	0.060338	0.045533	0.035016	Math gap

Q2 (c). District average gaps

Construct a scatterplot of the average estimated gap against log(Median income) by subject for each district and add trend lines.

```
In [39]: # scatterplot
         # plotting codes here
         base = alt.Chart(agg plot df).mark circle(opacity = 0.3).encode(
             y = 'Average estimated gap',
             x = alt.X('log(Median income)', scale = alt.Scale(zero = False), title =
             color = 'Subject'
         ).properties(
             width = 525,
             height = 325
         )
         # trend line
         trend = base.transform_regression(
             groupby = ['Subject'],
             on = 'log(Median income)',
             regression = 'Average estimated gap'
         ).mark line(color = 'black')
          # combine layers
         fig2c = base + trend
         # display
         fig2c
```

Out[39]:

Now let's try to capture this pattern in *tabular* form. The cell below adds an Income bracket variable by cutting the median income into 8 contiguous intervals using pd.cut(), and tabulates the average socioeconomic measures and estimated gaps across districts by income bracket. Notice that with respect to the gaps, this displays the pattern that is shown visually in the figures above.

```
In [40]: data_agg['Income bracket'] = pd.cut(np.e**data_agg['log(Median income)'], 8)
    data_agg.groupby('Income bracket').mean().drop(columns = ['District ID', 'lc
```

	Poverty rate	Unemployment rate	SNAP rate	Socioeconomic index	Math gap	Reading gap
Income bracket						
(21980.176, 46455.372]	0.194870	0.072689	0.155061	-0.651999	-0.070284	-0.309743
(46455.372, 70736.321]	0.134078	0.063788	0.095303	0.291085	-0.034061	-0.315545
(70736.321, 95017.269]	0.088713	0.052785	0.048242	1.110433	0.004239	-0.302114
(95017.269, 119298.218]	0.064131	0.046848	0.030548	1.640159	0.050006	-0.287117
(119298.218, 143579.167]	0.050315	0.044343	0.011023	2.167272	0.090138	-0.289529
(143579.167, 167860.115]	0.043896	0.042379	0.008451	2.382258	0.084683	-0.335975
(167860.115, 192141.064]	0.040552	0.040120	0.010159	2.652906	0.175793	-0.232306
(192141.064, 216422.013]	0.047097	0.054055	0.002555	2.588499	0.267301	-0.299798

Q2 (d). Proportion of districts with a math gap.

Out[40]:

What proportion of districts in each income bracket have an average estimated math achievement gap favoring boys? Answer this question by performing the following steps:

- Append an indicator variable Math gap favoring boys to data_agg that records whether the average estimated math gap favors boys by more than 0.1 standard deviations relative to the national average.
- Compute the proportion of districts in each income bracket for which the indicator is true: group by bracket and take the mean. Store this as income_bracket_boys_favored

```
In [41]: # define indicator
  data_agg['Math gap favoring boys'] = data_agg['Math gap'] > 0.1

# proportion of districts with gap favoring boys, by income bracket
  income_bracket_boys_favored = data_agg.groupby('Income bracket')['Math gap f
  income_bracket_boys_favored
```

```
Out[41]: Income bracket
         (21980.176, 46455.372]
                                   0.036585
         (46455.372, 70736.321]
                                   0.061224
         (70736.321, 95017.269]
                                   0.084337
         (95017.269, 119298.218]
                                   0.232143
         (119298.218, 143579.167]
                                   0.388889
                                   0.44444
         (143579.167, 167860.115)
         (167860.115, 192141.064]
                                   0.500000
         (192141.064, 216422.013]
                                   1.000000
         Name: Math gap favoring boys, dtype: float64
In [42]: grader.check("q2 d")
Out[42]:
        q2 d results:
        q2_d - 1 result:
            Test case passed!
        q2_d - 2 result:
            Test case passed!
        q2_d - 3 \text{ result:}
            Trying:
                income bracket boys favored.shape == (8,2)
            Expecting:
                True
            *************************
        *****
            Line 2, in q2_d 2
            Failed example:
                income_bracket_boys_favored.shape == (8,2)
            Expected:
                True
            Got:
                False
```

Q2 (e). Statewide averages

To wrap up the exploration, calculate a few statewide averages to get a sense of how some of the patterns above compare with the state as a whole.

(i) Compute the statewide average estimated achievement gaps.

Store the result as state_avg.

```
In [43]: # statewide average
state_avg = data_agg[['Math gap', 'Reading gap']].mean()
```

```
In [44]: grader.check("q2_e_i")
Out[44]: q2_e_i passed!
```

(ii) Compute the proportion of districts in the state with a math gap favoring boys.

Store this result as math_boys_proportion

```
In [45]: # proportion of districts in the state with a math gap favoring boys
    math_boys_proportion = data_agg['Math gap favoring boys'].mean()

In [46]: grader.check("q2_e_ii")

Out[46]: q2 e ii passed!
```

(iii) Compute the proportion of districts in the state with a math gap favoring girls.

You will need to define a new indicator within data_agg to perform this calculation.

```
In [47]: # new indicator
    data_agg['Math gap favoring girls'] = data_agg['Math gap'] < -0.1
    # proportion of districts in the state with a math gap favoring girls
    math_girls_proportion = data_agg['Math gap favoring girls'].mean()

In [48]: grader.check("q2_e_iii")

Out[48]:
q2_e_iii passed!</pre>
```

3. Communicating results

Take a moment to review and reflect on your findings, and then answer the questions below.

Q3 (a). Summary

Write a brief summary of your exploratory analysis. What have you discovered about educational achievement gaps in California school districts? Aim to answer in 3-5 sentences or less.

I have discovered that many different covariates such as income, poverty, unemployment, grade level, etc. have an effect on the educational achievement gaps in school districts across California. I found that as grade level increased, the achievement gap for both math and reading increasingly favored girls. I also saw that the reading gap favored girls across all socioeconomic factors and only favored boys in math when under better socioeconomic conditions.

Q3 (b). Hypothesize!

It's a cliche in statistics that 'correlation is not causation'. In your exploratory analysis, you identified a correlation between socioeconomic factors and achievement gaps. But clearly, affluence does not directly cause a math achievement gap favoring boys. What factors do you think might explain this association?

Clearly, affluence does not directly cause a math achievement gap favoring boys, however, we did find that affluence leads to better access to educational resources or even better learning environments, simply because they can afford it. I believe these factors made possible due to affluence may explain the association between affluence and the math gap achievement that favors boys because they have access to paid tutors, supplmental material and a better study environment overall are all advantages for childen with affluent parents compared to children who do not have access to these same amentites.

Submission

- 1. Save file to confirm all changes are on disk
- 2. Run Kernel > Restart & Run All to execute all code from top to bottom
- 3. Save file again to write any new output to disk
- 4. Generate PDF copy
- 5. Submit both notebook and PDF to Gradescope

To double-check your work, the cell below will rerun all of the autograder tests.

```
In [49]: grader.check_all()
Out[49]: q0_c results: All test cases passed!
    q1_a results: All test cases passed!
    q1_b results: All test cases passed!
```

```
q1 c results: All test cases passed!
q1_d results: All test cases passed!
q1_e results: All test cases passed!
q1_g results: All test cases passed!
q1_h_i results: All test cases passed!
q1_h_ii results:
   q1_h_ii - 1 result:
       Trying:
           0.5 < district missing < 0.6
       Expecting:
           True
       ***********************
**
       Line 2, in q1_h_ii 0
       Failed example:
           0.5 < district missing < 0.6
       Expected:
           True
       Got:
           False
q2 d results:
   q2 d - 1 result:
       Test case passed!
   q2 d - 2 result:
       Test case passed!
   q2 d - 3 result:
       Trying:
           income_bracket_boys_favored.shape == (8,2)
       Expecting:
       *******************
**
       Line 2, in q2 d 2
       Failed example:
           income bracket boys favored.shape == (8,2)
       Expected:
           True
       Got:
           False
q2_e_i results: All test cases passed!
q2_e_ii results: All test cases passed!
q2_e_iii results: All test cases passed!
```