

Winning Space Race with Data Science

Santiana Munezero October 29th, 2024



Outline

- Executive Summary
- Introduction
- Methodology
- Results
- Conclusion
- Appendix

Executive Summary

- Summary of methodologies
 - Data Collection
 - Data Wrangling
 - Exploratory Data Analysis
 - Data Visualization and Dashboards
 - Machine Learning Prediction

Introduction

Project background and context

In this project, I will predict if the Falcon 9 first stage will land successfully. SpaceX advertises Falcon 9 rocket launches on its website, with a cost of 62 million dollars; other providers cost upward of 165 million dollars each, much of the savings is because SpaceX can reuse the first stage. Therefore, if we can determine if the first stage will land, we can determine the cost of a launch. This information can be used if an alternate company wants to bid against SpaceX for a rocket launch.

Problems you want to find answers

- How accurately can I predict whether the Falcon 9 first stage will land successfully or not?
- How can I interpret and explain the factors that contribute to the success or failure of the first stage landing?
- How will the results and predictions be communicated to stakeholders



Methodology

Executive Summary


- Data collection methodology:
 - Data was gathered from the SpaceX REST API. The API gave us the data about launches, rocket used, payload delivered, landing specifications and landing outcomes
- Data wrangling:
 - This involved preparing the historical data on Falcon 9 launches for building a predictive model
- Exploratory data analysis:
 - Explored the distribution of the target variable, indicating the success or failure of the first stage landing, using SQL
- Data visualization and dashboards
 - Different tools were used like Plotly, seaborn, python, etc.

Data Collection

- The data was collected using various methods
 - Data collection was done using get request to the SpaceX API.
 - Next, we decoded the response content as a Json using .json() function call and turn it into a pandas dataframe using .json_normalize().
 - We then cleaned the data, checked for missing values and fill in missing values where necessary.
 - In addition, we performed web scraping from Wikipedia for Falcon 9 launch records with BeautifulSoup.
 - The objective was to extract the launch records as HTML table, parse the table and convert it to a pandas dataframe for future analysis.

Data Collection – SpaceX API

- We used the get request to the SpaceX API to collect data, clean the requested data and did some basic data wrangling and formatting.
- The link to the notebook is https://github.com/msantiana/Final -Project/blob/main/jupyter-labsspacex-data-collectionapi%20(1).ipynb



Data Collection - Scraping

- We applied web scrapping to webscrap Falcon 9 launch records with BeautifulSoup
- We parsed the table and converted it into a pandas dataframe.
- The link to the notebook is
- https://github.com/msantiana/Final -Project/blob/main/jupyter-labswebscraping%20(1).ipynb

TASK 1: Request the Falcon9 Launch Wiki page from its URL

First, let's perform an HTTP GET method to request the Falcon9 Launch HTML page, as an HTTP response.

```
In [5]: # use requests.get() method with the provided static_url
    # assign the response to a object
    response = requests.get(static_url).text
```

Create a BeautifulSoup object from the HTML response

```
In [6]:
# Use BeautifulSoup() to create a BeautifulSoup object from a response text content
soup = BeautifulSoup(response, 'html.parser')
```

Print the page title to verify if the BeautifulSoup object was created properly

```
In [7]: # Use soup.title attribute
print(soup.title)
```


<title>List of Falcon 9 and Falcon Heavy launches - Wikipedia</title>

TASK 2: Extract all column/variable names from the HTML table header

Next, we want to collect all relevant column names from the HTML table header

Let's try to find all tables on the wiki page first. If you need to refresh your memory about BeautifulSoup, please check the external reference link towards the end of this lab


Data Wrangling




- We performed exploratory data analysis and determined the training labels.
- We calculated the number of launches at each site, and the number and occurrence of each orbits
- We created landing outcome label from outcome column and exported the results to csv.
- The link to the notebook is https://github.com/msantiana/Final-Project/blob/main/labs-jupyter-spacexdata_wrangling_jupyterlite.jupyterlite.ipyn b

EDA with Data Visualization

 We explored the data by visualizing the relationship between flight number and launch Site, payload and launch site, success rate of each orbit type, flight number and orbit type, the launch success yearly trend.





Project/blob/main/edadataviz.ipynb

EDA with SQL

• We loaded the SpaceX dataset into a PostgreSQL database without leaving the jupyter notebook.

• We applied EDA with SQL to get insight from the data. We wrote queries to find out

Task 3

for instance:

• The link to the notebook is https://github.com/msantiana/Final-Project/blob/main/jupyter-labs-eda-sql-edx-sqllite%20(1).ipynb

Build an Interactive Map with Folium

- We marked all launch sites, and added map objects such as markers, circles, lines to mark the success or failure of launches for each site on the folium map.
- We assigned the feature launch outcomes (failure or success) to class 0 and 1.i.e., 0 for failure, and 1 for success.
- Using the color-labeled marker clusters, we identified which launch sites have relatively high success rate.
- We calculated the distances between a launch site to its proximities. We answered some question for instance: https://github.com/msantiana/Final-
 Project/blob/main/lab jupyter launch site location.ipynb
 - Are launch sites near railways, highways and coastlines.
 - Do launch sites keep certain distance away from cities.

Build a Dashboard with Plotly Dash

- We built an interactive dashboard with Plotly dash
- We plotted pie charts showing the total launches by a certain sites
- We plotted scatter graph showing the relationship with Outcome and Payload Mass (Kg) for the different booster version.
- The link to the notebook is https://github.com/msantiana/Final-Project/blob/main/launch.json

Predictive Analysis (Classification)

- We loaded the data using numpy and pandas, transformed the data, split our data into training and testing.
- We built different machine learning models and tune different hyperparameters using GridSearchCV.
- We used accuracy as the metric for our model, improved the model using feature engineering and algorithm tuning.
- We found the best performing classification model.
- The link to the notebook is https://github.com/msantiana/Final- Project/blob/main/SpaceX Machine%20Learning%20Prediction Part 5.ipynb


Results

- Exploratory data analysis results
- Interactive analytics demo in screenshots
- Predictive analysis results



Flight Number vs. Launch Site


• From the plot, we found that the larger the flight amount at a launch site, the greater the success rate at a launch site.



Payload vs. Launch Site




The greater the payload mass for launch site CCAFS SLC 40 the higher the success rate for the rocket.




Success Rate vs. Orbit Type

 From the plot, we can see that ES-L1, GEO, HEO, SSO, VLEO had the most success rate.




Flight Number vs. Orbit Type

• The plot below shows the Flight Number vs. Orbit type. We observe that in the LEO orbit, success is related to the number of flights whereas in the GTO orbit, there is no relationship between flight number and the orbit.




Payload vs. Orbit Type

 We can observe that with heavy payloads, the successful landing are more for PO, LEO and ISS orbits.



Launch Success Yearly Trend

• From the plot, we can observe that success rate since 2013 kept on increasing till 2020.



you can observe that the sucess rate since 2013 kept increasing till 2020

All Launch Site Names

We used the key word
 DISTINCT to show only unique launch sites from the SpaceX data.

Task 1

Display the names of the unique launch sites in the space mission

Launch Site Names Begin with 'CCA'

[8]: Date	Time (UTC)	Booster_Version	Launch_Site	Payload	PAYLOAD_MASSKG_	Orbit	Customer	Mission_Outcome	Landing_Outcome
2010- 06-04	18:45:00	F9 v1.0 B0003	CCAFS LC- 40	Dragon Spacecraft Qualification Unit	0	LEO	SpaceX	Success	Failure (parachute)
2010- 12-08	15:43:00	F9 v1.0 B0004	CCAFS LC- 40	Dragon demo flight C1, two CubeSats, barrel of Brouere cheese	0	LEO (ISS)	NASA (COTS) NRO	Success	Failure (parachute)
2012- 05-22	7:44:00	F9 v1.0 B0005	CCAFS LC- 40	Dragon demo flight C2	525	LEO (ISS)	NASA (COTS)	Success	No attempt
2012- 10-08	0:35:00	F9 v1.0 B0006	CCAFS LC- 40	SpaceX CRS-1	500	LEO (ISS)	NASA (CRS)	Success	No attempt
2013- 03-01	15:10:00	F9 v1.0 B0007	CCAFS LC- 40	SpaceX CRS-2	677	LEO (ISS)	NASA (CRS)	Success	No attempt
4									•


 We used the query above to display 5 records where launch sites begin with `CCA`

Total Payload Mass

 We calculated the total payload carried by boosters from NASA as 45596 using the query below

Average Payload Mass by F9 v1.1

 We calculated the average payload mass carried by booster version F9 v1.1 as 2928.4



First Successful Ground Landing Date

 We observed that the dates of the first successful landing outcome on ground pad was 22nd December 2015

Task 5

List the date where the succesful landing outcome in drone ship was acheived.

Hint:Use min function

Successful Drone Ship Landing with Payload between 4000 and 6000

 We used the WHERE clause to filter for boosters which have successfully landed on drone ship and applied the AND condition to determine successful landing with payload mass greater than 4000 but less than 6000

Out[15]:		boosterversion
	0	F9 FT B1022
	1	F9 FT B1026
	2	F9 FT B1021.2
	3	F9 FT B1031.2

Total Number of Successful and Failure Mission Outcomes

 We used wildcard like '%' to filter for WHERE MissionOutcome was a success or a failure.

Task 7
List the total number of successful and failure mission outcomes



Boosters Carried Maximum Payload

 We determined the booster that have carried the maximum payload using a subquery in the WHERE clause and the MAX() function.

Task 8

List the names of the booster_versions which have carried the maximum payload mass. Use a subquery


In [19]:

*sql SELECT "Booster_Version", Payload, "PAYLOAD_MASS__KG_" FROM SPACEXTBL WHERE "PAYLOAD_MASS__KG_" = (SELECT MAX("PAYLOAD_MASS__KG_" = (SELECT MAX("PAYLOAD_MASS__K

PAYLOAD_MASSKG_	Payload	Booster_Version	t[19]: _
15600	Starlink 1 v1.0, SpaceX CRS-19	F9 B5 B1048.4	
15600	Starlink 2 v1.0, Crew Dragon in-flight abort test	F9 B5 B1049.4	
15600	Starlink 3 v1.0, Starlink 4 v1.0	F9 B5 B1051.3	
15600	Starlink 4 v1.0, SpaceX CRS-20	F9 B5 B1056.4	
15600	Starlink 5 v1.0, Starlink 6 v1.0	F9 B5 B1048.5	
15600	Starlink 6 v1.0, Crew Dragon Demo-2	F9 B5 B1051.4	
15600	Starlink 7 v1.0, Starlink 8 v1.0	F9 B5 B1049.5	
15600	Starlink 11 v1.0, Starlink 12 v1.0	F9 B5 B1060.2	
15600	Starlink 12 v1.0, Starlink 13 v1.0	F9 B5 B1058.3	
15600	Starlink 13 v1.0, Starlink 14 v1.0	F9 B5 B1051.6	
15600	Starlink 14 v1.0, GPS III-04	F9 B5 B1060.3	
15600	Starlink 15 v1.0, SpaceX CRS-21	F9 B5 B1049.7	

2015 Launch Records

 We used a combinations of the WHERE clause, LIKE, AND, and BETWEEN conditions to filter for failed landing outcomes in drone ship, their booster versions, and launch site names for year 2015



Rank Landing Outcomes Between 2010-06-04 and 2017-03-20

Rank the count of landing outcomes (such as Failure (drone ship) or Success (ground pad))


```
In [19]:
    task_10 = '''
        SELECT LandingOutcome, COUNT(LandingOutcome)
        FROM SpaceX
        WHERE DATE BETWEEN '2010-06-04' AND '2017-03-20'
        GROUP BY LandingOutcome
        ORDER BY COUNT(LandingOutcome) DESC
        '''
    create_pandas_df(task_10, database=conn)
```

Out[19]:		landingoutcome	count
	0	No attempt	10
	1	Success (drone ship)	6
	2	Failure (drone ship)	5
	3	Success (ground pad)	5
	4	Controlled (ocean)	3
	5	Uncontrolled (ocean)	2
	6	Precluded (drone ship)	1
	7	Failure (parachute)	1
	•	ranure (paracriute)	'


- We selected Landing outcomes and the COUNT of landing outcomes from the data and used the WHERE clause to filter for landing outcomes BETWEEN 2010-06-04 to 2010-03-20.
- We applied the GROUP BY clause to group the landing outcomes and the ORDER BY clause to order the grouped landing outcome in descending order.



All launch sites global map markers




Markers showing launch sites with color labels






Launch Site distance to landmarks






Pie chart showing the success percentage achieved by each launch site




Pie chart showing the Launch site with the highest launch success ratio



KSC LC-39A achieved a 76.9% success rate while getting a 23.1% failure rate

Scatter plot of Payload vs Launch Outcome for all sites, with different payload selected in the range slider



We can see the success rates for low weighted payloads is higher than the heavy weighted payloads




Classification Accuracy

 The decision tree classifier is the model with the highest classification accuracy

```
models = {'KNeighbors':knn_cv.best_score_,
              'DecisionTree':tree cv.best score ,
               'LogisticRegression':logreg cv.best score,
               'SupportVector': svm_cv.best_score_}
bestalgorithm = max(models, key=models.get)
print('Best model is', bestalgorithm,'with a score of', models[bestalgorithm])
if bestalgorithm == 'DecisionTree':
    print('Best params is :', tree cv.best params )
if bestalgorithm == 'KNeighbors':
    print('Best params is :', knn_cv.best params )
if bestalgorithm == 'LogisticRegression':
    print('Best params is :', logreg_cv.best_params_)
if bestalgorithm == 'SupportVector':
    print('Best params is :', svm_cv.best_params_)
Best model is DecisionTree with a score of 0.8732142857142856
Best params is : {'criterion': 'gini', 'max depth': 6, 'max features': 'auto', 'min samples leaf': 2, 'min samples split': 5, 'splitter': 'random'}
```

Confusion Matrix

 The confusion matrix for the decision tree classifier shows that the classifier can distinguish between the different classes.
 The major problem is the false positives .i.e., unsuccessful landing marked as successful landing by the classifier.



Conclusions

We can conclude that:

- The larger the flight amount at a launch site, the greater the success rate at a launch site.
- Launch success rate started to increase in 2013 till 2020.
- Orbits ES-L1, GEO, HEO, SSO, VLEO had the most success rate.
- KSC LC-39A had the most successful launches of any sites.
- The Decision tree classifier is the best machine learning algorithm for this task.

