FLORIDA FRESH CITRUS SHIPMENTS 1998-99 Annual Report

August 20, 1999

Economic and Market Research Department

Florida Department of Citrus

2125 McCarty Hall University of Florida P.O. Box 110249 Gainesville, FL 32611-0249 USA

Phone: 352-392-1874 • FAX: 352-392-8634 • E-Mail: CBROWN@fred.ifas.ufl.edu
This report and other up-to-date citrus statistics can be viewed on Web Site:
http://www.fred.ifas.ufl.edu/citrus/

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table</u>	<u>P</u>	<u>age</u>
1	Total Shipments of Florida Fresh Citrus, 1989-90 Through 1998-99 Seasons	. 3
2	Total Domestic Shipments of Florida Fresh Citrus, 1989-90 Through 1998-99 Seasons	. 4
3	Total Canadian Shipments of Florida Fresh Citrus, 1989-90 Through 1998-99 Seasons	. 5
4	Total Offshore Shipments of Florida Fresh Citrus, 1989-90 Through 1998-99 Seasons	. 6
5	Total Shipments of Florida Fresh Citrus, 1998-99 Season	. 7
6	Total Domestic Shipments of Florida Fresh Citrus, 1998-99 Season	. 8
7	Total Canadian Shipments of Florida Fresh Citrus, 1998-99 Season	. 9
8	Total Offshore Shipments of Florida Fresh Citrus, 1998-99 Season	10
9	Market Ranking of Fresh Florida Grapefruit, Top 35 Domestic and Canadian Market Areas, 1998-99 Season	11
10	Market Ranking of Fresh Florida Oranges, Top 35 Domestic and Canadian Market Areas, 1998-99 Season	12
11	Market Ranking of Fresh Florida Temples, Top 35 Domestic and Canadian Market Areas, 1998-99 Season	13
12	Market Ranking of Fresh Florida Tangelos, Top 35 Domestic and Canadian Market Areas, 1998-99 Season	14
13	Market Ranking of Fresh Florida Other Tangerines, Top 35 Domestic and Canadian Market Areas, 1998-99 Season	15
14	Market Ranking of Fresh Florida Honey Tangerines, Top 35 Domestic and Canadian Market Areas, 1998-99 Season	16

LIST OF TABLES (Cont.)

<u>Table</u>	<u>P</u>	<u>age</u>
15	Offshore Shipments of Florida Fresh Grapefruit, 1989-90 Through 1998-99 Seasons	17
16	Offshore Shipments of Florida Fresh Oranges and Temples, 1989-90 Through 1998-99 Seasons	18

Florida Fresh Citrus Shipments 1998-99 Annual Report

Purpose

This report provides a summary of fresh citrus shipment data for the 1998-99 season. It contains information on shipments of oranges, grapefruit, Temples, tangelos and tangerines for the domestic and export markets. The report is based on data collected by the Division of Fruit and Vegetable Inspection of the Florida Department of Agriculture.

The information is tabulated in three ways:

- (1) annually with comparisons of shipments in previous seasons,
- (2) by time period during the shipping season, and
- (3) by major markets for each variety.

Highlights

Florida fresh citrus shipments totaled 63.6 million 4/5-bushel cartons in 1998-99, down 4% from the 66.0 million cartons shipped in 1997-98. Temple, tangerine and honey tangerine shipments were up, while all other varieties showed decreases. Florida citrus production was down 20% from the 1997-98 season.

Fresh grapefruit shipments accounted for 59% of the fresh volume in 1998-99. Offshore markets accounted for 52% of those shipments, and showed a 2.5% increase over last season. Domestic grapefruit shipments showed a 15.4% decrease and shipments to Canada were down 7.6%.

Fresh round-orange shipments represented 27.2% of the fresh volume, and Temple shipments represented an additional 1.5%. Orange shipments totaled 17.3 million 4/5-bushel cartons in 1998-99, which represents less than a 1% decline from the previous season. Tangelo shipments decreased 10.4% over last season. Honey tangerine shipments were up 1.6% over last season while other tangerine shipments (including Robinson, Sunburst, Fallglo, etc.) were up 6.9%.

TOTAL ^a	40,049.7	74,680.3	72,064.8	71,117.6	69,473.3	68,569.5	69,326.1	72,498.0	65,968.4	63,609.8
Other Tangerines	1,113.5	549.4	1,907.2	2,090.5	3,352.5	3,386.6	3,874.7	5,163.4	3,836.5	4,102.1
Honey Tangerines	367.1	1,272.5	1,795.3	1,823.0	2,384.8	1,595.3	2,114.2	1,958.1	2,610.0	2,650.8
Tangelos	1,950.0	1,961.7	2,235.8	2,241.2	1,838.5	1,803.6	1,614.2	1,583.6	1,338.8	1,198.9
Temples	212.6	1,353.2	1,539.7	1,671.3	1,391.2	1,377.5	1,204.0	879.0	850.6	955.6
Oranges	10,697.3	23,107.2	20,724.0	19,096.0	17,479.8	18,001.6	16,984.6	18,690.1	17,411.0	17,303.3
Grapefruit	25,709.5	46,436.4	43,862.8	44,195.6	43,026.6	42,404.8	43,534.5	44,223.7	39,921.7	37,399.0
				1,	,000 4/5-bu	shel carton	ıs			
Variety	1989-90	1990-91	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98	1998-99
Table 1. Total shipments of Florida fresh citrus, 1989-90 through 1998-99 seasons.										

^aColumns may not add up to totals due to rounding.

Table 2. Total domestic shipments of Florida fresh citrus, 1989-90 through 1998-99 seasons.

Variety	1989-90	1990-91	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98	1998-99
				1,	,000 4/5-bu	shel carton	s			
Grapefruit	14,276.0	23,467.4	22,243.6	22,908.8	19,472.3	19,560.8	18,229.9	18,638.4	18,061.6	15,273.0
Oranges	9,963.6	20,763.7	19,047.8	17,422.0	15,909.4	15,923.2	15,201.0	16,950.6	15,969.0	15,237.9
Temples	203.1	1,284.8	1,458.7	1,611.5	1,335.6	1,326.7	1,139.1	837.1	808.8	923.7
Tangelos	1,875.0	1,903.6	2,167.6	2,185.4	1,793.3	1,776.6	1,582.3	1,548.4	1,316.1	1,173.4
Honey Tangerines	315.5	1,143.5	1,620.6	1,649.0	2,152.8	1,422.6	1,891.6	1,785.3	2,386.3	2,386.9
Other Tangerines	1,058.8	518.4	1,792.5	1,986.6	3,194.1	3,188.7	3,661.9	4,890.6	3,631.9	3,897.9
TOTAL ^a	27,692.0	49,081.4	48,330.7	47,763.3	43,857.5	43,198.6	41,705.9	44,650.4	42,173.7	38,892.8

^aColumns may not add up to totals due to rounding.

Table 3. Total Canadian shipments of Florida fresh citrus, 1989-90 through 1998-99 seasons.

Variety	1989-90	1990-91	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98	1998-99
				1,	,000 4/5-bu	shel carton	ıs			
Grapefruit	2,106.0	3,616.0	2,982.5	3,808.8	3,206.3	3,132.7	2,960.1	3,041.7	2,832.5	2,616.7
Oranges	720.6	1,670.7	1,278.7	1,489.6	1,224.5	1,324.8	1,141.1	1,292.7	1,106.7	1,019.5
Temples	8.7	68.3	79.4	59.8	55.6	50.8	60.1	40.8	41.8	31.9
Tangelos	72.8	58.1	68.1	55.8	44.2	26.7	31.9	35.3	22.6	25.1
Honey Tangerines	47.7	121.6	160.9	152.4	193.2	154.9	188.1	135.1	181.8	191.2
Other Tangerines	54.7	24.9	98.9	85.9	138.2	160.2	194.0	241.3	182.7	187.9
TOTAL ^a	3,010.5	5,559.6	4,668.5	5,652.3	4,862.0	4,850.2	4,575.3	4,786.9	4,368.0	4,072.2

^aColumns may not add up to totals due to rounding.

Total offshore shipments of Florida fresh citrus, 1989-90 through 1998-99 seasons. Table 4. 1991-92 1992-93 1989-90 1990-91 1993-94 1994-95 Variety 1995-96 1996-97 1997-98 1998-99 ----- 1,000 4/5-bushel cartons ----Grapefruit 9,327.5 19,353.0 18,636.7 17,478.1 20,348.0 19,711.3 22,344.5 22,543.6 19,027.6 19,509.3 13.0 397.5 184.4 345.9 753.6 446.8 335.2 Oranges 672.8 642.5 1,046.0 .7 Temples 1.6 0. 0. 0. 4.8 1.1 0.0 0.1 0. **Tangelos** 1.9 0. .1 .9 .2 0. 0.0 0.1 0.5 **Honey Tangerines** 4.0 7.4 13.8 21.6 38.9 17.9 34.4 37.7 41.9 72.6 a Other Tangerines 6.0 15.8 18.0 20.2 37.6 18.8 31.6 21.9 16.3 TOTAL^b 9,347.2 20,039.3 19,065.5 17,702.0 20,753.8 20,520.7 23,045.0 23,060.8 19,426.7 20,644.8

^aLess than 50,000 cartons.

^bColumns may not add up to totals due to rounding.

Table 5. Total shipments of Florida fresh citrus, 1998-99 season.

	Period Number											%			
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	_	of
Variety						Re	eport Da	ite						Season Total	1 otai
	thru	08/31/98 thru 09/27/98	09/28/98 thru 10/25/98	thru	11/23/98 thru 12/20/98	12/21/98 thru 01/17/99	01/18/99 thru 02/14/99	thru	thru	04/12/99 thru 05/09/99	thru	06/07/99 thru 07/04/99	07/05/99 thru 07/31/99		Ship- ments
						1,00	00 4/5-bu	ishel cart	ons						
Grapefruit	8	264	3,054	4,237	4,268	4,250	6,345	6,889	5,423	2,280	353	25	4	37,399	59%
% of Total	0%	1%	8%	11%	11%	11%	17%	18%	15%	6%	1%	0%	0%	100%	
Cumulative %	0%	1%	9%	20%	32%	43%	60%	78%	93%	99%	100%	100%	100%		
Oranges	17	30	936	2,203	3,777	1,231	1,181	1,549	1,898	2,401	1,575	445	62	17,303	27%
% of Total	0%	0%	5%	13%	22%	7%	7%	9%	11%	14%	9%	3%	0%	100%	
Cumulative %	0%	0%	6%	18%	40%	47%	54%	63%	74%	88%	97%	100%	100%		
Temples	0	0	0	0	1	205	467	248	34	1	0	0	0	956	2%
% of Total	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	21%	49%	26%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
Cumulative %	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	22%	70%	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		
Tangelos	0	0	2	116	720	232	116	12	0	0	1	0	0	1,199	2%
% of Total	0%	0%	0%	10%	60%	19%	10%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
Cumulative %	0%	0%	0%	10%	70%	89%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		
Honey Tangerines	0	0	0	0	0	122	761	739	769	235	24	1	0	2,651	4%
% of Total	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	29%	28%	29%	9%	1%	0%	0%	100%	
Cumulative %	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	33%	61%	90%	99%	100%	100%	100%		
Other Tangerines	0	5	609	1,174	1,595	665	46	2	0	1	2	0	3	4,102	6%
% of Total	0%	0%	15%	29%	39%	16%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
Cumulative %	0%	0%	15%	44%	82%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		
Total All Citrus	25	298	4,600	7,731	10,361	6,704	8,916	9,439	8,124	4,917	1,954	471	70	63,610	100%
% of Total	0%	0%	7%	12%	16%	11%	14%	15%	13%	8%	3%	1%	0%	100%	
Cumulative %	0%	1%	8%	20%	36%	47%	61%	76%	88%	96%	99%	100%	100%		

<u>Table 6.</u> Total domestic shipments of Florida fresh citrus, 1998-99 season.

						Per	iod Num	ber							%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	_	of
Variety						Re	eport Da	te						Season Total	Total
	thru	08/31/98 thru 09/27/98	09/28/98 thru 10/25/98	thru	11/23/98 thru 12/20/98	thru	01/18/99 thru 02/14/99	thru	03/15/99 thru 04/11/99	04/12/99 thru 05/09/99	thru	thru	07/05/99 thru 07/31/99		Ship- ments
						1,00	00 4/5-bu	ishel cart	tons						
Grapefruit	8	167	1,335	1,878	2,721	1,678	1,937	2,077	1,870	1,281	298	19	3	15,273	39%
% of Total	0%	1%	9%	12%	18%	11%	13%	14%	12%	8%	2%	0%	0%	100%	
Cumulative %	0%	1%	10%	22%	40%	51%	64%	77%	90%	98%	100%	100%	100%		
Oranges	17	29	891	2,030	3,565	1,130	1,048	1,192	1,534	1,900	1,410	429	62	15,238	39%
% of Total	0%	0%	6%	13%	23%	7%	7%	8%	10%	12%	9%	3%	0%	100%	
Cumulative %	0%	0%	6%	19%	43%	50%	57%	65%	75%	88%	97%	100%	100%		
Temples	0	0	0	0	1	199	452	239	33	0	0	0	0	924	2%
% of Total	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	22%	49%	26%	4%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
Cumulative %	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	22%	71%	96%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		
Tangelos	0	0	2	114	711	221	112	11	0	0	1	0	0	1,173	3%
% of Total	0%	0%	0%	10%	61%	19%	10%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
Cumulative %	0%	0%	0%	10%	71%	89%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		
Honey Tangerines	0	0	0	0	0	115	675	648	706	220	23	1	0	2,387	6%
% of Total	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	28%	27%	30%	9%	1%	0%	0%	100%	
Cumulative %	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5%	33%	60%	90%	99%	100%	100%	100%		
Other Tangerines	0	5	585	1,082	1,542	632	45	2	0	0	2	0	3	3,898	10%
% of Total	0%	0%	15%	28%	40%	16%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
Cumulative %	0%	0%	15%	43%	82%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		
Total Domestic Citrus	25	201	2,813	5,105	8,540	3,975	4,270	4,169	4,144	3,402	1,733	449	68	38,893	100%
% of Total	0%	1%	7%	13%	22%	10%	11%	11%	11%	9%	4%	1%	0%	100%	
Cumulative %	0%	1%	8%	21%	43%	53%	64%	75%	85%	94%	99%	100%	100%		

Table 7. Total Canadian shipments of Florida fresh citrus, 1998-99 season.

						Per	iod Num	ber							%
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	~	of
Variety						R	eport Da	ite						Season Total	Total
	thru	08/31/98 thru 09/27/98	09/28/98 thru 10/25/98	10/26/98 thru 11/22/98	11/23/98 thru 12/20/98	thru	01/18/99 thru 02/14/99	thru	03/15/99 thru 04/11/99	thru	thru	thru	07/05/99 thru 07/31/99		Ship- ments
						1,0	00 4/5-bu	ishel cart	ons						
Grapefruit	0	62	315	292	316	319	365	380	345	199	22	0	0	2,617	64%
% of Total	0%	2%	12%	11%	12%	12%	14%	15%	13%	8%	1%	0%	0%	100%	
Cumulative %	0%	2%	14%	26%	38%	50%	64%	78%	92%	99%	100%	100%	100%		
Oranges	0	0	42	164	204	97	86	99	99	104	107	16	0	1,019	25%
% of Total	0%	0%	4%	16%	20%	10%	8%	10%	10%	10%	11%	2%	0%	100%	
Cumulative %	0%	0%	4%	20%	40%	50%	58%	68%	78%	88%	98%	100%	100%		
Temples	0	0	0	0	0	6	15	9	1	1	0	0	0	32	1%
% of Total	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	19%	47%	30%	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
Cumulative %	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	19%	66%	96%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%		
Tangelos	0	0	0	2	9	11	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	25	1%
% of Total	0%	0%	0%	8%	34%	43%	12%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
Cumulative %	0%	0%	0%	8%	42%	86%	98%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		
Honey Tangerines	0	0	0	0	0	5	58	64	51	14	1	0	0	191	5%
% of Total	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	30%	33%	27%	7%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
Cumulative %	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	2%	32%	66%	92%	100%	100%	100%	100%		
Other Tangerines	0	0	23	83	50	30	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	188	5%
% of Total	0%	0%	12%	44%	27%	16%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	
Cumulative %	0%	0%	12%	57%	83%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		
Total Canadian Citrus	0	63	381	540	579	468	528	552	496	318	130	16	1	4,072	100%
% of Total	0%	2%	9%	13%	14%	11%	13%	14%	12%	8%	3%	0%	0%	100%	
Cumulative %	0%	2%	11%	24%	38%	50%	63%	76%	89%	96%	100%	100%	100%		

Total offshore shipments of Florida fresh citrus, 1998-99season. Table 8. Period Number % 5 6 7 9 10 11 12 13 of Season Report Date Variety Total Total Ship-12/21/98 01/18/99 08/01/98 08/31/98 09/28/98 10/26/98 11/23/98 02/15/99 03/15/99 04/12/99 05/10/99 06/07/99 07/05/99 ments thru 08/30/98 09/27/98 10/25/98 11/22/98 | 12/20/98 | 01/17/99 | 02/14/99 | 03/14/99 | 04/11/99 | 05/09/99 | 06/06/99 | 07/04/99 | 07/31/99 - - 1,000 4/5-bushel cartons - - - -Grapefruit 0 1,403 2,252 4,042 4,432 32 35 2,067 1,231 3,208 800 6 1 19,509 94% 21% 0% 0% % of Total 0% 0% 7% 11% 6% 12% 23% 16% 4% 0% 100% 18% Cumulative % 0% 0% 7% 24% 36% 57% 79% 96% 100% 100% 100% 100% 0 0 3 9 8 4 264 58 0 0 1,046 5% **Oranges** 47 258 396 % of Total 0% 0% 0% 1% 1% 0% 4% 25% 25% 38% 6% 0% 0% 100% 0% Cumulative % 0% 0% 1% 2% 2% 7% 31% 57% 94% 100% 100% 100% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0% **Temples** 0 0 0 0 0 0 % of Total 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 100% 100% 100% Cumulative % 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 100% 100% 100% 100% 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0% **Tangelos** 0 % of Total 0% 0% 0% 22% 78% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 100% Cumulative % 0% 100% 100% 0% 0% 0% 0% 22% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% **Honey Tangerines** 12 73 0 0 0 0 0 3 29 28 1 0 0 0 0% % of Total 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 40% 38% 17% 1% 0% 0% 0% 100% 4% Cumulative % 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 4% 44% 82% 99% 100% 100% 100% 100% **Other Tangerines** 0 0 1 9 3 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 16 0% % of Total 16% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 6% 57% 21% 0% 100% Cumulative % 63% 100% 0% 0% 6% 84% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% **Total Offshore Citrus** 0 35 1,407 2,086 1,242 2,261 4,118 4,718 3,484 90 6 1 20,645 100% 1,197 % of Total 0% 0% 7% 10% 6% 11% 20% 23% 17% 6% 0% 0% 0% 100% Cumulative % 0% 0% 7% 17% 23% 34% 54% 77% 94% 100% 100% 100% 100%

Table 9. Market ranking of fresh Florida grapefruit, top 35 domestic and Canadian market areas, 1998-99 season.

	areas, 1998-99 seaso	on.			
Market Ranking	Market Area	1,000 4/5-Bushel Cartons	% Total Domestic & Canadian Shipments	Cumulative Shipment %	1997-98 Ranking by Market Area
1	New York City	2,080	11.62	11.62	New York City
	Ontario	1,287	7.19	18.81	Ontario
	Boston	901	5.03	23.84	Boston
4	Quebec	879	4.91	28.75	Chicago
5	Chicago	817	4.57	33.32	Quebec
6	Philadelphia	692	3.87	37.18	Philadelphia
	West Palm Beach	593	3.32	40.50	West Palm Beach
8	Baltimore	438	2.45	42.95	Atlanta
9	Atlanta	434	2.43	45.37	Miami
10	Miami	411	2.29	47.67	Tampa-St. Petersburg
11	Tampa-St. Petersburg	394	2.20	49.87	Washington, DC
12	Minneapolis	385	2.15	52.02	Seattle-Tacoma
13	Detroit	384	2.15	54.17	Detroit
14	Seattle-Tacoma	343	1.92	56.08	Cleveland
15	St. Louis	341	1.90	57.99	St. Louis
16	Cleveland	324	1.81	59.79	Los Angeles
17	Springfield	304	1.70	61.49	Baltimore
18	Milwaukee	287	1.61	63.10	Minneapolis
19	Washington, DC	285	1.59	64.69	Springfield
20	Pittsburgh	267	1.49	66.18	Charlotte
21	Indianapolis	253	1.41	67.59	Milwaukee
22	Charlotte	243	1.36	68.95	Harrisburg-York
23	Los Angeles	239	1.33	70.28	Pittsburgh
24	British Columbia	185	1.03	71.32	Indianapolis
25	Harrisburg-York	183	1.02	72.34	Jacksonville
26	Orlando-Daytona	171	.96	73.30	Spartanburg
27	Scranton	170	.95	74.25	Scranton
28	Jacksonville	162	.90	75.15	Cincinnati
29	Lansing	159	.89	76.04	Birmingham
30	Spartanburg	149	.83	76.87	Lansing
31	Dayton	143	.80	77.67	Orlando-Daytona
32	Denver	133	.74	78.41	British Columbia
33	Birmingham	132	.74	79.15	Dayton
34	Salt Lake City	132	.73	79.89	Denver
35	Albany-Troy	130	.73	80.61	Rochester
35-Mark	et Total	14,429	80.61		
Total Do	mestic & Canadian	17,890	100.00		

Table 10. Market ranking of fresh Florida oranges, top 35 domestic and Canadian market areas, 1998-99 season.

	1998-99 season.				
Market Ranking	Market Area	1,000 4/5-Bushel Cartons	% Total Domestic & Canadian Shipments	Cumulative Shipment %	1997-98 Ranking by Market Area
1	New York City	2,404	14.79	14.79	New York City
	Atlanta	881	5.42	20.21	Atlanta
	Philadelphia	664	4.08	24.29	Miami
	Miami	656	4.04	28.32	Chicago
	Chicago	624	3.84	32.16	Philadelphia
	West Palm Beach	570	3.51	35.67	Ontario
	Orlando-Daytona	539	3.31	38.98	Boston
	Ontario	534	3.28	42.27	West Palm Beach
9	Boston	498	3.07	45.33	Tampa-St. Petersburg
	Tampa-St. Petersburg	457	2.81	48.14	Charlotte
	Baltimore	405	2.49	50.63	Washington, DC
12	Birmingham	357	2.20	52.83	Quebec
	Los Angeles	350	2.15	54.98	Birmingham
	Charlotte	337	2.07	57.05	Baltimore
15	Quebec	299	1.84	58.89	Orlando-Daytona
	Jacksonville	297	1.83	60.72	Jacksonville
17	Washington, DC	254	1.56	62.28	Spartanburg
	San Francisco	228	1.40	63.68	Detroit
19	Detroit	225	1.39	65.07	Raleigh-Durham
20	Spartanburg	205	1.26	66.32	Columbia
21	Raleigh-Durham	204	1.25	67.58	Nashville
22	Indianapolis	198	1.22	68.80	New Orleans
23	Nashville	168	1.03	69.83	Richmond
24	Memphis	165	1.01	70.84	Indianapolis
	Columbia	164	1.01	71.85	Louisville
26	Louisville	163	1.00	72.86	Memphis
27	Richmond	158	.97	73.83	Cleveland
28	New Orleans	149	.92	74.75	Montgomery
29	Scranton	147	.90	75.65	Cincinnati
30	Roanoke-Lynchburg	143	.88	76.53	Springfield
31	Montgomery	135	.83	77.36	Oklahoma City
32	Cleveland	131	.80	78.16	Harrisburg-York
33	Ardmore-Ada	129	.80	78.96	Scranton
34	Pittsburgh	125	.77	79.73	Ardmore-Ada
35	Milwaukee	108	.66	80.39	Knoxville
35-Mark	et Total	13,069	80.39		
Total Do	mestic & Canadian	16,257	100.00		

Table 11. Market ranking of fresh Florida Temples, top 35 domestic and Canadian market areas, 1998-99 season.

	areas, 1998-99 season				
Market Ranking	Market Area	1,000 4/5-Bushel Cartons	% Total Domestic & Canadian Shipments	Cumulative Shipment %	1997-98 Ranking by Market Area
1	New York City	124	12.96	12.96	New York City
	Chicago	63	6.61	19.57	Atlanta
	Philadelphia	57	5.94	25.50	Philadelphia
	Atlanta	49	5.14	30.65	Baltimore
	Baltimore	39	4.12	34.77	Boston
	Detroit	39	4.05	38.82	Detroit
	Miami	37	3.90	42.72	Washington, DC
	Washington, DC	30	3.17	45.89	Tampa-St. Petersburg
	Boston	30	3.16	49.05	West Palm Beach
	Tampa-St. Petersburg	24	2.49	51.54	Chicago
	West Palm Beach	23	2.45	53.99	Miami
12	Indianapolis	23	2.39	56.38	Ontario
	Cleveland	18	1.92	58.30	Charlotte
14	Charlotte	17	1.76	60.07	Cleveland
15	Pittsburgh	15	1.54	61.60	Springfield
16	Ontario	14	1.48	63.08	Richmond
17	Dayton	13	1.40	64.48	Milwaukee
18	Jacksonville	12	1.31	65.79	Raleigh-Durham
19	Raleigh-Durham	12	1.26	67.05	Spartanburg
20	Milwaukee	12	1.22	68.27	Harrisburg-York
21	Springfield	12	1.21	69.48	Memphis
22	Richmond	11	1.15	70.64	Indianapolis
23	Grand Rapids	11	1.11	71.74	Pittsburgh
24	Spartanburg	10	1.09	72.83	Nashville
25	Lansing	10	1.05	73.88	Birmingham
26	Birmingham	10	1.04	74.92	Montgomery
27	Harrisburg-York	9	.90	75.82	Quebec
28	Cincinnati	8	.88	76.70	Cincinnati
29	Rochester	8	.87	77.57	Scranton
30	Phoenix	8	.80	78.36	Jacksonville
31	Orlando-Daytona	7	.77	79.13	Dayton
32	Nashville	7	.76	79.89	Louisville
33	Memphis	7	.68	80.58	Rochester
	Buffalo	6	.65	81.23	Columbia
35	Louisville	6	.61	81.85	Orlando-Daytona
35-Mark		782	81.85		
Total Do	mestic & Canadian	956	100.00		

Table 12. Market ranking of fresh Florida tangelos, top 35 domestic and Canadian market areas, 1998-99 season.

Market Ranking Market Area 1,000 4/5-Bushel Cartons % Total Domestic & Canadian Shipment Sh		areas, 1998-99 season.										
2 Atlanta 82 6.85 20.45 Atlanta 3 Philadelphia 61 5.05 25.50 New York City 4 New York City 43 3.62 29.12 Philadelphia 5 Tampa-St. Petersburg 39 3.28 32.40 Charlotte 6 Charlotte 36 2.99 35.39 Raleigh-Durham 7 Raleigh-Durham 33 2.79 38.18 Birmingham 8 Birmingham 33 2.74 40.92 Washington, DC 9 Miami 28 2.30 43.23 Columbia 10 Baltimore 27 2.25 45.48 Tampa-St. Petersburg 11 Indianapolis 25 2.10 47.58 Richmond 12 Nashville 25 2.05 49.63 Baltimore 13 Detroit 23 1.93 51.56 Indianapolis 14 Washington, DC 22 1.83		Market Area	4/5-Bushel	Domestic & Canadian	Shipment	Ranking by						
2 Atlanta 82 6.85 20.45 Atlanta 3 Philadelphia 61 5.05 25.50 New York City 4 New York City 43 3.62 29.12 Philadelphia 5 Tampa-St. Petersburg 39 3.28 32.40 Charlotte 6 Charlotte 36 2.99 35.39 Raleigh-Durham 7 Raleigh-Durham 33 2.79 38.18 Birmingham 8 Birmingham 33 2.74 40.92 Washington, DC 9 Miami 28 2.30 43.23 Columbia 10 Baltimore 27 2.25 45.48 Tampa-St. Petersburg 11 Indianapolis 25 2.10 47.58 Richmond 12 Nashville 25 2.05 49.63 Baltimore 13 Detroit 23 1.93 51.56 Indianapolis 14 Washington, DC 22 1.83	1	West Palm Beach	163	13.60	13.60	West Palm Beach						
3 Philadelphia 61 5.05 25.50 New York City 4 New York City 43 3.62 29.12 Philadelphia 5 Tampa-St. Petersburg 39 3.28 32.40 Charlotte 6 Charlotte 36 2.99 35.39 Raleigh-Durham 7 Raleigh-Durham 33 2.79 38.18 Birmingham 8 Birmingham 33 2.74 40.92 Washington, DC 9 Miami 28 2.30 43.23 Columbia 10 Baltimore 27 2.25 45.48 Tampa-St. Petersburg 11 Indianapolis 25 2.10 47.58 Richmond 12 Nashville 25 2.05 49.63 Baltimore 13 Detroit 23 1.93 51.56 Indianapolis 14 Washington, DC 22 1.83 53.39 Miami 15 Cincinnati 20 1.67												
4 New York City 43 3.62 29.12 Philadelphia 5 Tampa-St. Petersburg 39 3.28 32.40 Charlotte 6 Charlotte 36 2.99 35.39 Raleigh-Durham 7 Raleigh-Durham 33 2.79 38.18 Birmingham 8 Birmingham 33 2.74 40.92 Washington, DC 9 Miami 28 2.30 43.23 Columbia 10 Baltimore 27 2.25 45.48 Tampa-St. Petersburg 11 Indianapolis 25 2.10 47.58 Richmond 12 Nashville 25 2.05 49.63 Baltimore 13 Detroit 23 1.93 51.56 Indianapolis 14 Washington, DC 22 1.83 53.39 Miami 15 Cincinnati 20 1.67 56.78 Cleveland 16 Columbia 20 1.67 <td< td=""><td></td><td>Philadelphia</td><td>61</td><td>5.05</td><td>25.50</td><td>New York City</td></td<>		Philadelphia	61	5.05	25.50	New York City						
6 Charlotte 36 2.99 35.39 Raleigh-Durham 7 Raleigh-Durham 33 2.79 38.18 Birmingham 8 Birmingham 33 2.74 40.92 Washington, DC 9 Miami 28 2.30 43.23 Columbia 10 Baltimore 27 2.25 45.48 Tampa-St. Petersburg 11 Indianapolis 25 2.10 47.58 Richmond 12 Nashville 25 2.05 49.63 Baltimore 13 Detroit 23 1.93 51.56 Indianapolis 14 Washington, DC 22 1.83 53.39 Miami 15 Cincinnati 20 1.67 56.78 Cleveland 16 Columbia 20 1.66 58.44 Cincinnati 17 Cleveland 20 1.66 58.44 Cincinnati 18 Louisville 19 1.59 61.63		-	43	3.62	29.12	•						
6 Charlotte 36 2.99 35.39 Raleigh-Durham 7 Raleigh-Durham 33 2.79 38.18 Birmingham 8 Birmingham 33 2.74 40.92 Washington, DC 9 Miami 28 2.30 43.23 Columbia 10 Baltimore 27 2.25 45.48 Tampa-St. Petersburg 11 Indianapolis 25 2.10 47.58 Richmond 12 Nashville 25 2.05 49.63 Baltimore 13 Detroit 23 1.93 51.56 Indianapolis 14 Washington, DC 22 1.83 53.39 Miami 15 Cincinnati 20 1.67 56.78 Cleveland 16 Columbia 20 1.66 58.44 Cincinnati 17 Cleveland 20 1.66 58.44 Cincinnati 18 Louisville 19 1.59 61.63	5	Tampa-St. Petersburg	39	3.28	32.40	Charlotte						
8 Birmingham 33 2.74 40.92 Washington, DC 9 Miami 28 2.30 43.23 Columbia 10 Baltimore 27 2.25 45.48 Tampa-St. Petersburg 11 Indianapolis 25 2.10 47.58 Richmond 12 Nashville 25 2.05 49.63 Baltimore 13 Detroit 23 1.93 51.56 Indianapolis 14 Washington, DC 22 1.83 53.39 Miami 15 Cincinnati 20 1.67 56.78 Cleveland 16 Columbia 20 1.67 56.78 Cleveland 17 Cleveland 20 1.66 58.44 Cincinnati 18 Louisville 19 1.61 60.04 Detroit 19 Jacksonville 19 1.59 61.63 Boston 20 Spartanburg 18 1.52 63.15 <td< td=""><td></td><td>_</td><td>36</td><td>2.99</td><td>35.39</td><td>Raleigh-Durham</td></td<>		_	36	2.99	35.39	Raleigh-Durham						
8 Birmingham 33 2.74 40.92 Washington, DC 9 Miami 28 2.30 43.23 Columbia 10 Baltimore 27 2.25 45.48 Tampa-St. Petersburg 11 Indianapolis 25 2.10 47.58 Richmond 12 Nashville 25 2.05 49.63 Baltimore 13 Detroit 23 1.93 51.56 Indianapolis 14 Washington, DC 22 1.83 53.39 Miami 15 Cincinnati 20 1.67 56.78 Cleveland 16 Columbia 20 1.67 56.78 Cleveland 17 Cleveland 20 1.66 58.44 Cincinnati 18 Louisville 19 1.61 60.04 Detroit 19 Jacksonville 19 1.59 61.63 Boston 20 Spartanburg 18 1.51 64.67 <td< td=""><td>7</td><td>Raleigh-Durham</td><td>33</td><td>2.79</td><td>38.18</td><td>•</td></td<>	7	Raleigh-Durham	33	2.79	38.18	•						
9 Miami 28 2.30 43.23 Columbia 10 Baltimore 27 2.25 45.48 Tampa-St. Petersburg 11 Indianapolis 25 2.10 47.58 Richmond 12 Nashville 25 2.05 49.63 Baltimore 13 Detroit 23 1.93 51.56 Indianapolis 14 Washington, DC 22 1.83 53.39 Miami 15 Cincinnati 20 1.67 56.78 Cleveland 16 Columbia 20 1.66 58.44 Cincinnati 17 Cleveland 20 1.66 58.44 Cincinnati 18 Louisville 19 1.59 61.63 Boston 20 Spartanburg 18 1.52 63.15 Spartanburg 21 Richmond 18 1.51 64.67 Jacksonville 22 Milwaukee 17 1.45 66.11		_	33	2.74	40.92	Washington, DC						
11 Indianapolis 25 2.10 47.58 Richmond 12 Nashville 25 2.05 49.63 Baltimore 13 Detroit 23 1.93 51.56 Indianapolis 14 Washington, DC 22 1.83 53.39 Miami 15 Cincinnati 20 1.67 56.78 Cleveland 16 Columbia 20 1.67 56.78 Cleveland 17 Cleveland 20 1.66 58.44 Cincinnati 18 Louisville 19 1.61 60.04 Detroit 18 Louisville 19 1.59 61.63 Boston 20 Spartanburg 18 1.52 63.15 Spartanburg 21 Richmond 18 1.51 64.67 Jacksonville 22 Milwaukee 17 1.45 66.11 Knoxville 23 Columbus 17 1.38 67.50 Louisvil	9	Miami	28	2.30	43.23							
11 Indianapolis 25 2.10 47.58 Richmond 12 Nashville 25 2.05 49.63 Baltimore 13 Detroit 23 1.93 51.56 Indianapolis 14 Washington, DC 22 1.83 53.39 Miami 15 Cincinnati 20 1.71 55.10 Nashville 16 Columbia 20 1.67 56.78 Cleveland 17 Cleveland 20 1.66 58.44 Cincinnati 18 Louisville 19 1.59 61.63 Boston 20 Spartanburg 18 1.52 63.15 Spartanburg 21 Richmond 18 1.51 64.67 Jacksonville 22 Milwaukee 17 1.45 66.11 Knoxville 23 Columbus 17 1.38 67.50 Louisville 24 Boston 16 1.37 68.86 Chicago </td <td>10</td> <td>Baltimore</td> <td>27</td> <td>2.25</td> <td>45.48</td> <td>Tampa-St. Petersburg</td>	10	Baltimore	27	2.25	45.48	Tampa-St. Petersburg						
13 Detroit 23 1.93 51.56 Indianapolis 14 Washington, DC 22 1.83 53.39 Miami 15 Cincinnati 20 1.71 55.10 Nashville 16 Columbia 20 1.67 56.78 Cleveland 17 Cleveland 20 1.66 58.44 Cincinnati 18 Louisville 19 1.61 60.04 Detroit 19 Jacksonville 19 1.59 61.63 Boston 20 Spartanburg 18 1.52 63.15 Spartanburg 21 Richmond 18 1.51 64.67 Jacksonville 22 Milwaukee 17 1.45 66.11 Knoxville 23 Columbus 17 1.38 67.50 Louisville 24 Boston 16 1.37 68.86 Chicago 25 Grand Rapids 16 1.36 70.22 Winston-S	11	Indianapolis	25	2.10	47.58							
14 Washington, DC 22 1.83 53.39 Miami 15 Cincinnati 20 1.71 55.10 Nashville 16 Columbia 20 1.67 56.78 Cleveland 17 Cleveland 20 1.66 58.44 Cincinnati 18 Louisville 19 1.61 60.04 Detroit 19 Jacksonville 19 1.59 61.63 Boston 20 Spartanburg 18 1.52 63.15 Spartanburg 21 Richmond 18 1.51 64.67 Jacksonville 21 Richmond 18 1.51 64.67 Jacksonville 22 Milwaukee 17 1.45 66.11 Knoxville 23 Columbus 17 1.38 67.50 Louisville 24 Boston 16 1.37 68.86 Chicago 25 Grand Rapids 16 1.36 70.22 Winston-Salem 26 Knoxville 14 1.16 71.38 Roan	12	Nashville	25	2.05	49.63	Baltimore						
15 Cincinnati 20 1.71 55.10 Nashville 16 Columbia 20 1.67 56.78 Cleveland 17 Cleveland 20 1.66 58.44 Cincinnati 18 Louisville 19 1.61 60.04 Detroit 19 Jacksonville 19 1.59 61.63 Boston 20 Spartanburg 18 1.52 63.15 Spartanburg 21 Richmond 18 1.51 64.67 Jacksonville 21 Richmond 18 1.51 64.67 Jacksonville 21 Richmond 18 1.51 64.67 Jacksonville 22 Milwaukee 17 1.45 66.11 Knoxville 23 Columbus 17 1.38 67.50 Louisville 24 Boston 16 1.37 68.86 Chicago 25 Grand Rapids 16 1.36 70.22 Winston	13	Detroit	23	1.93	51.56	Indianapolis						
16 Columbia 20 1.67 56.78 Cleveland 17 Cleveland 20 1.66 58.44 Cincinnati 18 Louisville 19 1.61 60.04 Detroit 19 Jacksonville 19 1.59 61.63 Boston 20 Spartanburg 18 1.52 63.15 Spartanburg 21 Richmond 18 1.51 64.67 Jacksonville 21 Richmond 18 1.51 64.67 Jacksonville 22 Milwaukee 17 1.45 66.11 Knoxville 23 Columbus 17 1.38 67.50 Louisville 24 Boston 16 1.37 68.86 Chicago 25 Grand Rapids 16 1.36 70.22 Winston-Salem 26 Knoxville 14 1.16 71.38 Roanoke-Lynchburg 27 Roanoke-Lynchburg 13 1.06 73.55	14	Washington, DC	22	1.83	53.39	Miami						
17 Cleveland 20 1.66 58.44 Cincinnati 18 Louisville 19 1.61 60.04 Detroit 19 Jacksonville 19 1.59 61.63 Boston 20 Spartanburg 18 1.52 63.15 Spartanburg 21 Richmond 18 1.51 64.67 Jacksonville 21 Richmond 18 1.51 64.67 Jacksonville 22 Milwaukee 17 1.45 66.11 Knoxville 23 Columbus 17 1.38 67.50 Louisville 24 Boston 16 1.37 68.86 Chicago 25 Grand Rapids 16 1.36 70.22 Winston-Salem 26 Knoxville 14 1.16 71.38 Roanoke-Lynchburg 27 Roanoke-Lynchburg 13 1.11 72.49 Dayton 28 Winston-Salem 13 1.06 73.55	15	Cincinnati	20	1.71	55.10	Nashville						
18 Louisville 19 1.61 60.04 Detroit 19 Jacksonville 19 1.59 61.63 Boston 20 Spartanburg 18 1.52 63.15 Spartanburg 21 Richmond 18 1.51 64.67 Jacksonville 21 Richmond 18 1.51 64.67 Jacksonville 22 Milwaukee 17 1.45 66.11 Knoxville 23 Columbus 17 1.38 67.50 Louisville 24 Boston 16 1.37 68.86 Chicago 25 Grand Rapids 16 1.36 70.22 Winston-Salem 26 Knoxville 14 1.16 71.38 Roanoke-Lynchburg 27 Roanoke-Lynchburg 13 1.11 72.49 Dayton 28 Winston-Salem 13 1.06 73.55 Pittsburgh 29 Dayton 13 1.05 74.60	16	Columbia	20	1.67	56.78	Cleveland						
19 Jacksonville 19 1.59 61.63 Boston 20 Spartanburg 18 1.52 63.15 Spartanburg 21 Richmond 18 1.51 64.67 Jacksonville 22 Milwaukee 17 1.45 66.11 Knoxville 23 Columbus 17 1.38 67.50 Louisville 24 Boston 16 1.37 68.86 Chicago 25 Grand Rapids 16 1.36 70.22 Winston-Salem 26 Knoxville 14 1.16 71.38 Roanoke-Lynchburg 27 Roanoke-Lynchburg 13 1.11 72.49 Dayton 28 Winston-Salem 13 1.06 73.55 Pittsburgh 29 Dayton 13 1.05 74.60 Harrisburg-York 30 Pittsburgh 12 .98 75.59 Columbus 31 Chicago 9 .79 76.37	17	Cleveland	20	1.66	58.44	Cincinnati						
20 Spartanburg 18 1.52 63.15 Spartanburg 21 Richmond 18 1.51 64.67 Jacksonville 22 Milwaukee 17 1.45 66.11 Knoxville 23 Columbus 17 1.38 67.50 Louisville 24 Boston 16 1.37 68.86 Chicago 25 Grand Rapids 16 1.36 70.22 Winston-Salem 26 Knoxville 14 1.16 71.38 Roanoke-Lynchburg 27 Roanoke-Lynchburg 13 1.11 72.49 Dayton 28 Winston-Salem 13 1.06 73.55 Pittsburgh 29 Dayton 13 1.05 74.60 Harrisburg-York 30 Pittsburgh 12 .98 75.59 Columbus 31 Chicago 9 .79 76.37 Milwaukee 32 Chattanooga 9 .78 77.16	18	Louisville	19	1.61	60.04	Detroit						
21 Richmond 18 1.51 64.67 Jacksonville 22 Milwaukee 17 1.45 66.11 Knoxville 23 Columbus 17 1.38 67.50 Louisville 24 Boston 16 1.37 68.86 Chicago 25 Grand Rapids 16 1.36 70.22 Winston-Salem 26 Knoxville 14 1.16 71.38 Roanoke-Lynchburg 27 Roanoke-Lynchburg 13 1.11 72.49 Dayton 28 Winston-Salem 13 1.06 73.55 Pittsburgh 29 Dayton 13 1.05 74.60 Harrisburg-York 30 Pittsburgh 12 .98 75.59 Columbus 31 Chicago 9 .79 76.37 Milwaukee 32 Chattanooga 9 .78 77.16 Orlando-Daytona 33 Norfolk 9 .77 77.93 Grand Rapids 34 Quebec 9 .76 78.68	19	Jacksonville	19	1.59	61.63	Boston						
22 Milwaukee 17 1.45 66.11 Knoxville 23 Columbus 17 1.38 67.50 Louisville 24 Boston 16 1.37 68.86 Chicago 25 Grand Rapids 16 1.36 70.22 Winston-Salem 26 Knoxville 14 1.16 71.38 Roanoke-Lynchburg 27 Roanoke-Lynchburg 13 1.11 72.49 Dayton 28 Winston-Salem 13 1.06 73.55 Pittsburgh 29 Dayton 13 1.05 74.60 Harrisburg-York 30 Pittsburgh 12 .98 75.59 Columbus 31 Chicago 9 .79 76.37 Milwaukee 32 Chattanooga 9 .78 77.16 Orlando-Daytona 33 Norfolk 9 .77 77.93 Grand Rapids 34 Quebec 9 .76 78.68 Memphis 35 Memphis 9 .74 79.42 Ont	20	Spartanburg	18	1.52	63.15	Spartanburg						
23 Columbus 17 1.38 67.50 Louisville 24 Boston 16 1.37 68.86 Chicago 25 Grand Rapids 16 1.36 70.22 Winston-Salem 26 Knoxville 14 1.16 71.38 Roanoke-Lynchburg 27 Roanoke-Lynchburg 13 1.11 72.49 Dayton 28 Winston-Salem 13 1.06 73.55 Pittsburgh 29 Dayton 13 1.05 74.60 Harrisburg-York 30 Pittsburgh 12 .98 75.59 Columbus 31 Chicago 9 .79 76.37 Milwaukee 32 Chattanooga 9 .78 77.16 Orlando-Daytona 33 Norfolk 9 .77 77.93 Grand Rapids 34 Quebec 9 .76 78.68 Memphis 35 Memphis 9 .74 79.42 Ont	21	Richmond	18	1.51	64.67	Jacksonville						
24 Boston 16 1.37 68.86 Chicago 25 Grand Rapids 16 1.36 70.22 Winston-Salem 26 Knoxville 14 1.16 71.38 Roanoke-Lynchburg 27 Roanoke-Lynchburg 13 1.11 72.49 Dayton 28 Winston-Salem 13 1.06 73.55 Pittsburgh 29 Dayton 13 1.05 74.60 Harrisburg-York 30 Pittsburgh 12 .98 75.59 Columbus 31 Chicago 9 .79 76.37 Milwaukee 32 Chattanooga 9 .78 77.16 Orlando-Daytona 33 Norfolk 9 .77 77.93 Grand Rapids 34 Quebec 9 .76 78.68 Memphis 35 Memphis 9 .74 79.42 Ontario 35-Market Total 952 79.42 Ontario	22	Milwaukee	17	1.45	66.11	Knoxville						
25 Grand Rapids 16 1.36 70.22 Winston-Salem 26 Knoxville 14 1.16 71.38 Roanoke-Lynchburg 27 Roanoke-Lynchburg 13 1.11 72.49 Dayton 28 Winston-Salem 13 1.06 73.55 Pittsburgh 29 Dayton 13 1.05 74.60 Harrisburg-York 30 Pittsburgh 12 .98 75.59 Columbus 31 Chicago 9 .79 76.37 Milwaukee 32 Chattanooga 9 .78 77.16 Orlando-Daytona 33 Norfolk 9 .77 77.93 Grand Rapids 34 Quebec 9 .76 78.68 Memphis 35 Memphis 9 .74 79.42 Ontario 35-Market Total 952 79.42	23	Columbus	17	1.38	67.50	Louisville						
26 Knoxville 14 1.16 71.38 Roanoke-Lynchburg 27 Roanoke-Lynchburg 13 1.11 72.49 Dayton 28 Winston-Salem 13 1.06 73.55 Pittsburgh 29 Dayton 13 1.05 74.60 Harrisburg-York 30 Pittsburgh 12 .98 75.59 Columbus 31 Chicago 9 .79 76.37 Milwaukee 32 Chattanooga 9 .78 77.16 Orlando-Daytona 33 Norfolk 9 .77 77.93 Grand Rapids 34 Quebec 9 .76 78.68 Memphis 35 Memphis 9 .74 79.42 Ontario	24	Boston	16	1.37	68.86	Chicago						
27 Roanoke-Lynchburg 13 1.11 72.49 Dayton 28 Winston-Salem 13 1.06 73.55 Pittsburgh 29 Dayton 13 1.05 74.60 Harrisburg-York 30 Pittsburgh 12 .98 75.59 Columbus 31 Chicago 9 .79 76.37 Milwaukee 32 Chattanooga 9 .78 77.16 Orlando-Daytona 33 Norfolk 9 .77 77.93 Grand Rapids 34 Quebec 9 .76 78.68 Memphis 35 Memphis 9 .74 79.42 Ontario	25	Grand Rapids	16	1.36	70.22	Winston-Salem						
28 Winston-Salem 13 1.06 73.55 Pittsburgh 29 Dayton 13 1.05 74.60 Harrisburg-York 30 Pittsburgh 12 .98 75.59 Columbus 31 Chicago 9 .79 76.37 Milwaukee 32 Chattanooga 9 .78 77.16 Orlando-Daytona 33 Norfolk 9 .77 77.93 Grand Rapids 34 Quebec 9 .76 78.68 Memphis 35 Memphis 9 .74 79.42 Ontario 35-Market Total 952 79.42	26	Knoxville	14	1.16	71.38	Roanoke-Lynchburg						
29 Dayton 13 1.05 74.60 Harrisburg-York 30 Pittsburgh 12 .98 75.59 Columbus 31 Chicago 9 .79 76.37 Milwaukee 32 Chattanooga 9 .78 77.16 Orlando-Daytona 33 Norfolk 9 .77 77.93 Grand Rapids 34 Quebec 9 .76 78.68 Memphis 35 Memphis 9 .74 79.42 Ontario 35-Market Total 952 79.42	27	Roanoke-Lynchburg	13	1.11	72.49	Dayton						
30 Pittsburgh 12 .98 75.59 Columbus 31 Chicago 9 .79 76.37 Milwaukee 32 Chattanooga 9 .78 77.16 Orlando-Daytona 33 Norfolk 9 .77 77.93 Grand Rapids 34 Quebec 9 .76 78.68 Memphis 35 Memphis 9 .74 79.42 Ontario 35-Market Total 952 79.42	28	Winston-Salem	13	1.06	73.55	Pittsburgh						
31 Chicago 9 .79 76.37 Milwaukee 32 Chattanooga 9 .78 77.16 Orlando-Daytona 33 Norfolk 9 .77 77.93 Grand Rapids 34 Quebec 9 .76 78.68 Memphis 35 Memphis 9 .74 79.42 Ontario 35-Market Total 952 79.42	29	Dayton	13	1.05	74.60	Harrisburg-York						
32 Chattanooga 9 .78 77.16 Orlando-Daytona 33 Norfolk 9 .77 77.93 Grand Rapids 34 Quebec 9 .76 78.68 Memphis 35 Memphis 9 .74 79.42 Ontario 35-Market Total 952 79.42	30	Pittsburgh	12	.98	75.59	Columbus						
33 Norfolk 9 .77 77.93 Grand Rapids 34 Quebec 9 .76 78.68 Memphis 35 Memphis 9 .74 79.42 Ontario 35-Market Total 952 79.42	31	Chicago	9	.79	76.37	Milwaukee						
34 Quebec 9 .76 78.68 Memphis 35 Memphis 9 .74 79.42 Ontario 35-Market Total 952 79.42	32	Chattanooga	9	.78	77.16	Orlando-Daytona						
35 Memphis 9 .74 79.42 Ontario 35-Market Total 952 79.42	33	Norfolk	9	.77	77.93	Grand Rapids						
35-Market Total 952 79.42	34	Quebec	9	.76	78.68	Memphis						
	35	Memphis	9	.74	79.42	Ontario						
Total Domestic & Canadian 1 198 100 00			952	79.42								
NOTE: Numbers man act calculate the to any diag			1,198	100.00								

Table 13. Market ranking of fresh Florida other tangerines, top 35 domestic and Canadian market areas, 1998-99 season.

market areas, 1998-99 season.									
Market Ranking	Market Area	1,000 4/5-Bushel Cartons	% Total Domestic & Canadian Shipments	Cumulative Shipment %	1997-98 Ranking by Market Area				
1	New York City	531	12.99	12.99	New York City				
	Atlanta	174	4.26	17.24	Chicago				
	Chicago	168	4.12	21.36	Atlanta				
	Boston	160	3.91	25.27	Boston				
	Ontario	158	3.86	29.13	Philadelphia				
	Los Angeles	156	3.83	32.95	Los Angeles				
	Philadelphia	143	3.51	36.46	Ontario Ontario				
	Tampa-St. Petersburg	137	3.35	39.81	Baltimore				
	Baltimore	127	3.12	42.93	Tampa-St. Petersburg				
	Miami	118	2.89	45.81	Detroit				
	Indianapolis	104	2.55	48.36	Miami				
	Charlotte	97	2.38	50.74	Washington, DC				
	Detroit	97 94	2.36	53.05	Charlotte				
	Jacksonville	94 71	1.73						
				54.77	Spartanburg				
	Birmingham	70	1.72	56.50	Jacksonville				
	Raleigh-Durham	62 57	1.51	58.01	Indianapolis				
	San Francisco	57	1.39	59.40	San Francisco				
	Memphis	56	1.36	60.76	Raleigh-Durham				
	Washington, DC	55	1.35	62.11	Cincinnati				
	Pittsburgh	54	1.32	63.43	Birmingham				
	Oklahoma City	51	1.25	64.68	Ardmore-Ada				
	Springfield	50	1.24	65.92	Cleveland				
	Ardmore-Ada	50	1.23	67.15	Springfield				
	Cincinnati	48	1.18	68.33	Mobile-Pensacola				
	Spartanburg	48	1.18	69.51	Scranton				
	Minneapolis	48	1.17	70.68	Memphis				
	Richmond	45	1.09	71.77	Milwaukee				
	Cleveland	41	1.00	72.78	Minneapolis				
	Orlando-Daytona	41	1.00	73.77	Orlando-Daytona				
	Nashville	41	1.00	74.77	Nashville				
31	Columbia	39	.96	75.73	Louisville				
	Grand Rapids	38	.92	76.66	Oklahoma City				
	Scranton	37	.90	77.55	St. Louis				
34	Lexington	36	.89	78.44	New Orleans				
35	Buffalo	35	.86	79.31	Columbia				
35-Mark	et Total	3,240	79.31						
Total Do	mestic & Canadian	4,086	100.00						

Table 14. Market ranking of fresh Florida honey tangerines, top 35 domestic and Canadian market areas, 1998-99 season.

Market Ranking Market Area 1,000 4/5-Bushel Cartons Cumulative Canadian Shipments Cumulative Canadian Shipments 1997-98 Ranking by Market Area 1 Los Angeles 403 15.64 15.64 New York City 2 New York City 347 13.46 29.10 Los Angeles 3 San Francisco 141 5.46 34.56 San Francisco 4 Ontario 103 4.00 38.56 Orlando-Daytona 5 Chicago 101 3.91 42.47 Chicago 6 Orlando-Daytona 93 3.59 46.06 Ontario 7 Miami 90 3.51 49.57 Miami 8 Boston 78 3.02 52.60 Boston 9 Tampa-St. Petersburg 64 2.47 55.07 Atlanta 12 Baltimore 54 2.10 61.99 Ardmore-Ada 12 Baltimore 54 2.10 61.99 Ardmore-Ada		market areas, 1998-9	9 season.			
2 New York City 347 13.46 29.10 Los Angeles 3 San Francisco 141 5.46 34.56 San Francisco 4 Ontario 103 4.00 38.56 Orlando-Daytona 5 Chicago 101 3.91 42.47 Chicago 6 Orlando-Daytona 93 3.59 46.06 Ontario 7 Miami 90 3.51 49.57 Miami 8 Boston 78 3.02 52.60 Boston 9 Tampa-St. Petersburg 64 2.47 55.07 Atlanta 10 Philadelphia 63 2.43 57.50 Tampa-St. Petersburg 11 Atlanta 62 2.40 59.89 Philadelphia 12 Baltimore 54 2.10 61.99 Ardmore-Ada 12 Baltimore 54 2.10 61.99 Ardmore-Ada 13 Ardmore-Ada 52 2.01 64.00 </td <td></td> <td>Market Area</td> <td>4/5-Bushel</td> <td>Domestic & Canadian</td> <td>Shipment</td> <td>Ranking by</td>		Market Area	4/5-Bushel	Domestic & Canadian	Shipment	Ranking by
2 New York City 347 13.46 29.10 Los Angeles 3 San Francisco 141 5.46 34.56 San Francisco 4 Ontario 103 4.00 38.56 Orlando-Daytona 5 Chicago 101 3.91 42.47 Chicago 6 Orlando-Daytona 93 3.59 46.06 Ontario 7 Miami 90 3.51 49.57 Miami 8 Boston 78 3.02 52.60 Boston 9 Tampa-St. Petersburg 64 2.47 55.07 Atlanta 10 Philadelphia 63 2.43 57.50 Tampa-St. Petersburg 11 Atlanta 62 2.40 59.89 Philadelphia 12 Baltimore 54 2.10 61.99 Ardmore-Ada 12 Baltimore 54 2.01 64.00 Baltimore 13 Ardmore-Ada 52 2.01 64.00 <td>1</td> <td>Los Angeles</td> <td>403</td> <td>15.64</td> <td>15.64</td> <td>New York City</td>	1	Los Angeles	403	15.64	15.64	New York City
3 San Francisco 141 5.46 34.56 San Francisco 4 Ontario 103 4.00 38.56 Orlando-Daytona 5 Chicago 101 3.91 42.47 Chicago 6 Orlando-Daytona 93 3.59 46.06 Ontario 7 Miami 90 3.51 49.57 Miami 8 Boston 78 3.02 52.60 Boston 9 Tampa-St. Petersburg 64 2.47 55.07 Atlanta 10 Philadelphia 63 2.43 57.50 Tampa-St. Petersburg 11 Atlanta 62 2.40 59.89 Philadelphia 12 Baltimore 54 2.10 61.99 Ardmore-Ada 12 Baltimore 54 2.10 61.99 Ardmore-Ada 13 Ardmore-Ada 52 2.01 64.00 Baltimore 14 Jacksonville 51 1.97 65.97		_	347	13.46	29.10	
5 Chicago 101 3.91 42.47 Chicago 6 Orlando-Daytona 93 3.59 46.06 Ontario 7 Miami 90 3.51 49.57 Miami 8 Boston 78 3.02 52.60 Boston 9 Tampa-St. Petersburg 64 2.47 55.07 Atlanta 10 Philadelphia 63 2.43 57.50 Tampa-St. Petersburg 11 Atlanta 62 2.40 59.89 Philadelphia 12 Baltimore 54 2.10 61.99 Ardmore-Ada 13 Ardmore-Ada 52 2.01 64.00 Baltimore 14 Jacksonville 51 1.97 65.97 Seattle-Tacoma 15 Seattle-Tacoma 40 1.54 67.51 Washington, DC 16 Washington, DC 36 1.39 68.90 Jacksonville 17 Detroit 32 1.25 70.1		•	141	5.46	34.56	<u> </u>
5 Chicago 101 3.91 42.47 Chicago 6 Orlando-Daytona 93 3.59 46.06 Ontario 7 Miami 90 3.51 49.57 Miami 8 Boston 78 3.02 52.60 Boston 9 Tampa-St. Petersburg 64 2.47 55.07 Atlanta 10 Philadelphia 63 2.43 57.50 Tampa-St. Petersburg 11 Atlanta 62 2.40 59.89 Philadelphia 12 Baltimore 54 2.10 61.99 Ardmore-Ada 13 Ardmore-Ada 52 2.01 64.00 Baltimore 14 Jacksonville 51 1.97 65.97 Seattle-Tacoma 15 Seattle-Tacoma 40 1.54 67.51 Washington, DC 16 Washington, DC 36 1.39 68.90 Jacksonville 17 Detroit 32 1.25 70.1	4	Ontario	103	4.00	38.56	Orlando-Daytona
7 Miami 90 3.51 49.57 Miami 8 Boston 78 3.02 52.60 Boston 9 Tampa-St. Petersburg 64 2.47 55.07 Atlanta 10 Philadelphia 63 2.43 57.50 Tampa-St. Petersburg 11 Atlanta 62 2.40 59.89 Philadelphia 12 Baltimore 54 2.10 61.99 Ardmore-Ada 13 Ardmore-Ada 52 2.01 64.00 Baltimore 14 Jacksonville 51 1.97 65.97 Seattle-Tacoma 15 Seattle-Tacoma 40 1.54 67.51 Washington, DC 16 Washington, DC 36 1.39 68.90 Jacksonville 17 Detroit 32 1.25 70.15 New Orleans 18 British Columbia 32 1.24 71.39 Detroit 19 Oklahoma City 28 1.08	5	Chicago	101	3.91		=
7 Miami 90 3.51 49.57 Miami 8 Boston 78 3.02 52.60 Boston 9 Tampa-St. Petersburg 64 2.47 55.07 Atlanta 10 Philadelphia 63 2.43 57.50 Tampa-St. Petersburg 11 Atlanta 62 2.40 59.89 Philadelphia 12 Baltimore 54 2.10 61.99 Ardmore-Ada 13 Ardmore-Ada 52 2.01 64.00 Baltimore 14 Jacksonville 51 1.97 65.97 Seattle-Tacoma 15 Seattle-Tacoma 40 1.54 67.51 Washington, DC 16 Washington, DC 36 1.39 68.90 Jacksonville 17 Detroit 32 1.25 70.15 New Orleans 18 British Columbia 32 1.24 71.39 Detroit 19 Oklahoma City 28 1.08	6	Orlando-Daytona	93	3.59	46.06	<u> </u>
9 Tampa-St. Petersburg 64 2.47 55.07 Atlanta 10 Philadelphia 63 2.43 57.50 Tampa-St. Petersburg 11 Atlanta 62 2.40 59.89 Philadelphia 12 Baltimore 54 2.10 61.99 Ardmore-Ada 13 Ardmore-Ada 52 2.01 64.00 Baltimore 14 Jacksonville 51 1.97 65.97 Seattle-Tacoma 15 Seattle-Tacoma 40 1.54 67.51 Washington, DC 16 Washington, DC 36 1.39 68.90 Jacksonville 17 Detroit 32 1.25 70.15 New Orleans 18 British Columbia 32 1.24 71.39 Detroit 19 Oklahoma City 28 1.08 72.48 British Columbia 20 Portland 27 1.05 73.53 Charlotte 21 San Antonio 27		<u> </u>	90	3.51	49.57	Miami
10 Philadelphia 63 2.43 57.50 Tampa-St. Petersburg 11 Atlanta 62 2.40 59.89 Philadelphia 12 Baltimore 54 2.10 61.99 Ardmore-Ada 13 Ardmore-Ada 52 2.01 64.00 Baltimore 14 Jacksonville 51 1.97 65.97 Seattle-Tacoma 15 Seattle-Tacoma 40 1.54 67.51 Washington, DC 16 Washington, DC 36 1.39 68.90 Jacksonville 17 Detroit 32 1.25 70.15 New Orleans 18 British Columbia 32 1.24 71.39 Detroit 19 Oklahoma City 28 1.08 72.48 British Columbia 20 Portland 27 1.05 73.53 Charlotte 21 San Antonio 27 1.03 74.56 Quebec 22 New Orleans 26 <td< td=""><td>8</td><td>Boston</td><td>78</td><td>3.02</td><td>52.60</td><td>Boston</td></td<>	8	Boston	78	3.02	52.60	Boston
10 Philadelphia 63 2.43 57.50 Tampa-St. Petersburg 11 Atlanta 62 2.40 59.89 Philadelphia 12 Baltimore 54 2.10 61.99 Ardmore-Ada 13 Ardmore-Ada 52 2.01 64.00 Baltimore 14 Jacksonville 51 1.97 65.97 Seattle-Tacoma 15 Seattle-Tacoma 40 1.54 67.51 Washington, DC 16 Washington, DC 36 1.39 68.90 Jacksonville 17 Detroit 32 1.25 70.15 New Orleans 18 British Columbia 32 1.24 71.39 Detroit 19 Oklahoma City 28 1.08 72.48 British Columbia 20 Portland 27 1.03 74.56 Quebec 21 San Antonio 27 1.03 74.56 Quebec 22 New Orleans 26 1.	9	Tampa-St. Petersburg	64	2.47	55.07	Atlanta
12 Baltimore 54 2.10 61.99 Ardmore-Ada 13 Ardmore-Ada 52 2.01 64.00 Baltimore 14 Jacksonville 51 1.97 65.97 Seattle-Tacoma 15 Seattle-Tacoma 40 1.54 67.51 Washington, DC 16 Washington, DC 36 1.39 68.90 Jacksonville 17 Detroit 32 1.25 70.15 New Orleans 18 British Columbia 32 1.24 71.39 Detroit 19 Oklahoma City 28 1.08 72.48 British Columbia 20 Portland 27 1.05 73.53 Charlotte 21 San Antonio 27 1.03 74.56 Quebec 22 New Orleans 26 1.00 75.56 San Antonio 23 Minneapolis 25 .98 76.54 Raleigh-Durham 24 Denver 24 .91		-	63	2.43	57.50	Tampa-St. Petersburg
12 Baltimore 54 2.10 61.99 Ardmore-Ada 13 Ardmore-Ada 52 2.01 64.00 Baltimore 14 Jacksonville 51 1.97 65.97 Seattle-Tacoma 15 Seattle-Tacoma 40 1.54 67.51 Washington, DC 16 Washington, DC 36 1.39 68.90 Jacksonville 17 Detroit 32 1.25 70.15 New Orleans 18 British Columbia 32 1.24 71.39 Detroit 19 Oklahoma City 28 1.08 72.48 British Columbia 20 Portland 27 1.05 73.53 Charlotte 21 San Antonio 27 1.03 74.56 Quebec 22 New Orleans 26 1.00 75.56 San Antonio 23 Minneapolis 25 .98 76.54 Raleigh-Durham 24 Denver 24 .91		-	62	2.40	59.89	
14 Jacksonville 51 1.97 65.97 Seattle-Tacoma 15 Seattle-Tacoma 40 1.54 67.51 Washington, DC 16 Washington, DC 36 1.39 68.90 Jacksonville 17 Detroit 32 1.25 70.15 New Orleans 18 British Columbia 32 1.24 71.39 Detroit 19 Oklahoma City 28 1.08 72.48 British Columbia 20 Portland 27 1.05 73.53 Charlotte 21 San Antonio 27 1.03 74.56 Quebec 22 New Orleans 26 1.00 75.56 San Antonio 23 Minneapolis 25 .98 76.54 Raleigh-Durham 24 Denver 24 .91 77.45 Portland 25 Memphis 23 .91 78.36 Minneapolis 26 Quebec 22 .87 <t< td=""><td>12</td><td>Baltimore</td><td>54</td><td>2.10</td><td>61.99</td><td>-</td></t<>	12	Baltimore	54	2.10	61.99	-
15 Seattle-Tacoma 40 1.54 67.51 Washington, DC 16 Washington, DC 36 1.39 68.90 Jacksonville 17 Detroit 32 1.25 70.15 New Orleans 18 British Columbia 32 1.24 71.39 Detroit 19 Oklahoma City 28 1.08 72.48 British Columbia 20 Portland 27 1.05 73.53 Charlotte 21 San Antonio 27 1.03 74.56 Quebec 22 New Orleans 26 1.00 75.56 San Antonio 23 Minneapolis 25 .98 76.54 Raleigh-Durham 24 Denver 24 .91 77.45 Portland 25 Memphis 23 .91 78.36 Minneapolis 26 Quebec 22 .87 79.23 Birmingham 27 Charlotte 22 .84 80.07<	13	Ardmore-Ada	52	2.01	64.00	Baltimore
16 Washington, DC 36 1.39 68.90 Jacksonville 17 Detroit 32 1.25 70.15 New Orleans 18 British Columbia 32 1.24 71.39 Detroit 19 Oklahoma City 28 1.08 72.48 British Columbia 20 Portland 27 1.05 73.53 Charlotte 21 San Antonio 27 1.03 74.56 Quebec 22 New Orleans 26 1.00 75.56 San Antonio 23 Minneapolis 25 .98 76.54 Raleigh-Durham 24 Denver 24 .91 77.45 Portland 25 Memphis 23 .91 78.36 Minneapolis 26 Quebec 22 .87 79.23 Birmingham 27 Charlotte 22 .84 80.07 Denver 28 Birmingham 21 .80 80.87	14	Jacksonville	51	1.97	65.97	Seattle-Tacoma
17 Detroit 32 1.25 70.15 New Orleans 18 British Columbia 32 1.24 71.39 Detroit 19 Oklahoma City 28 1.08 72.48 British Columbia 20 Portland 27 1.05 73.53 Charlotte 21 San Antonio 27 1.03 74.56 Quebec 22 New Orleans 26 1.00 75.56 San Antonio 23 Minneapolis 25 .98 76.54 Raleigh-Durham 24 Denver 24 .91 77.45 Portland 25 Memphis 23 .91 78.36 Minneapolis 26 Quebec 22 .87 79.23 Birmingham 27 Charlotte 22 .84 80.07 Denver 28 Birmingham 21 .80 80.87 West Palm Beach 29 Raleigh-Durham 19 .74 82.36	15	Seattle-Tacoma	40	1.54	67.51	Washington, DC
17 Detroit 32 1.25 70.15 New Orleans 18 British Columbia 32 1.24 71.39 Detroit 19 Oklahoma City 28 1.08 72.48 British Columbia 20 Portland 27 1.05 73.53 Charlotte 21 San Antonio 27 1.03 74.56 Quebec 22 New Orleans 26 1.00 75.56 San Antonio 23 Minneapolis 25 .98 76.54 Raleigh-Durham 24 Denver 24 .91 77.45 Portland 25 Memphis 23 .91 78.36 Minneapolis 26 Quebec 22 .87 79.23 Birmingham 27 Charlotte 22 .84 80.07 Denver 28 Birmingham 21 .80 80.87 West Palm Beach 29 Raleigh-Durham 19 .74 82.36	16	Washington, DC	36	1.39	68.90	Jacksonville
19 Oklahoma City 28 1.08 72.48 British Columbia 20 Portland 27 1.05 73.53 Charlotte 21 San Antonio 27 1.03 74.56 Quebec 22 New Orleans 26 1.00 75.56 San Antonio 23 Minneapolis 25 .98 76.54 Raleigh-Durham 24 Denver 24 .91 .77.45 Portland 25 Memphis 23 .91 .78.36 Minneapolis 26 Quebec 22 .87 .79.23 Birmingham 27 Charlotte 22 .84 80.07 Denver 28 Birmingham 21 .80 80.87 West Palm Beach 29 Raleigh-Durham 19 .75 81.61 Memphis 30 Sacramento 19 .74 82.36 Milwaukee 31 Saskatchewan 17 .66 83.02			32	1.25	70.15	New Orleans
20 Portland 27 1.05 73.53 Charlotte 21 San Antonio 27 1.03 74.56 Quebec 22 New Orleans 26 1.00 75.56 San Antonio 23 Minneapolis 25 .98 76.54 Raleigh-Durham 24 Denver 24 .91 77.45 Portland 25 Memphis 23 .91 78.36 Minneapolis 26 Quebec 22 .87 79.23 Birmingham 27 Charlotte 22 .84 80.07 Denver 28 Birmingham 21 .80 80.87 West Palm Beach 29 Raleigh-Durham 19 .75 81.61 Memphis 30 Sacramento 19 .74 82.36 Milwaukee 31 Saskatchewan 17 .66 83.02 Cleveland 32 West Palm Beach 17 .65 83.67 Dalla	18	British Columbia	32	1.24	71.39	Detroit
21 San Antonio 27 1.03 74.56 Quebec 22 New Orleans 26 1.00 75.56 San Antonio 23 Minneapolis 25 .98 76.54 Raleigh-Durham 24 Denver 24 .91 77.45 Portland 25 Memphis 23 .91 78.36 Minneapolis 26 Quebec 22 .87 79.23 Birmingham 27 Charlotte 22 .84 80.07 Denver 28 Birmingham 21 .80 80.87 West Palm Beach 29 Raleigh-Durham 19 .75 81.61 Memphis 30 Sacramento 19 .74 82.36 Milwaukee 31 Saskatchewan 17 .66 83.02 Cleveland 32 West Palm Beach 17 .65 83.67 Dallas-Fort Worth 33 St. Louis 16 .63 84.30 Columbia 34 Richmond 16 .60 84.90	19	Oklahoma City	28	1.08	72.48	British Columbia
22 New Orleans 26 1.00 75.56 San Antonio 23 Minneapolis 25 .98 76.54 Raleigh-Durham 24 Denver 24 .91 77.45 Portland 25 Memphis 23 .91 78.36 Minneapolis 26 Quebec 22 .87 79.23 Birmingham 27 Charlotte 22 .84 80.07 Denver 28 Birmingham 21 .80 80.87 West Palm Beach 29 Raleigh-Durham 19 .75 81.61 Memphis 30 Sacramento 19 .74 82.36 Milwaukee 31 Saskatchewan 17 .66 83.02 Cleveland 32 West Palm Beach 17 .65 83.67 Dallas-Fort Worth 33 St. Louis 16 .63 84.30 Columbia 34 Richmond 16 .60 84.90 <td< td=""><td>20</td><td>Portland</td><td>27</td><td>1.05</td><td>73.53</td><td>Charlotte</td></td<>	20	Portland	27	1.05	73.53	Charlotte
23 Minneapolis 25 .98 76.54 Raleigh-Durham 24 Denver 24 .91 77.45 Portland 25 Memphis 23 .91 78.36 Minneapolis 26 Quebec 22 .87 79.23 Birmingham 27 Charlotte 22 .84 80.07 Denver 28 Birmingham 21 .80 80.87 West Palm Beach 29 Raleigh-Durham 19 .75 81.61 Memphis 30 Sacramento 19 .74 82.36 Milwaukee 31 Saskatchewan 17 .66 83.02 Cleveland 32 West Palm Beach 17 .65 83.67 Dallas-Fort Worth 33 St. Louis 16 .63 84.30 Columbia 34 Richmond 16 .60 84.90 Bangor 35 Grand Rapids 15 .60 85.50 Okla	21	San Antonio	27	1.03	74.56	Quebec
24 Denver 24 .91 77.45 Portland 25 Memphis 23 .91 78.36 Minneapolis 26 Quebec 22 .87 79.23 Birmingham 27 Charlotte 22 .84 80.07 Denver 28 Birmingham 21 .80 80.87 West Palm Beach 29 Raleigh-Durham 19 .75 81.61 Memphis 30 Sacramento 19 .74 82.36 Milwaukee 31 Saskatchewan 17 .66 83.02 Cleveland 32 West Palm Beach 17 .65 83.67 Dallas-Fort Worth 33 St. Louis 16 .63 84.30 Columbia 34 Richmond 16 .60 84.90 Bangor 35 Grand Rapids 15 .60 85.50 Oklahoma City	22	New Orleans	26	1.00	75.56	San Antonio
25 Memphis 23 .91 78.36 Minneapolis 26 Quebec 22 .87 79.23 Birmingham 27 Charlotte 22 .84 80.07 Denver 28 Birmingham 21 .80 80.87 West Palm Beach 29 Raleigh-Durham 19 .75 81.61 Memphis 30 Sacramento 19 .74 82.36 Milwaukee 31 Saskatchewan 17 .66 83.02 Cleveland 32 West Palm Beach 17 .65 83.67 Dallas-Fort Worth 33 St. Louis 16 .63 84.30 Columbia 34 Richmond 16 .60 84.90 Bangor 35 Grand Rapids 15 .60 85.50 Oklahoma City	23	Minneapolis	25	.98	76.54	Raleigh-Durham
26 Quebec 22 .87 79.23 Birmingham 27 Charlotte 22 .84 80.07 Denver 28 Birmingham 21 .80 80.87 West Palm Beach 29 Raleigh-Durham 19 .75 81.61 Memphis 30 Sacramento 19 .74 82.36 Milwaukee 31 Saskatchewan 17 .66 83.02 Cleveland 32 West Palm Beach 17 .65 83.67 Dallas-Fort Worth 33 St. Louis 16 .63 84.30 Columbia 34 Richmond 16 .60 84.90 Bangor 35 Grand Rapids 15 .60 85.50 Oklahoma City 35-Market Total 2,204 85.50	24	Denver	24	.91	77.45	Portland
27 Charlotte 22 .84 80.07 Denver 28 Birmingham 21 .80 80.87 West Palm Beach 29 Raleigh-Durham 19 .75 81.61 Memphis 30 Sacramento 19 .74 82.36 Milwaukee 31 Saskatchewan 17 .66 83.02 Cleveland 32 West Palm Beach 17 .65 83.67 Dallas-Fort Worth 33 St. Louis 16 .63 84.30 Columbia 34 Richmond 16 .60 84.90 Bangor 35 Grand Rapids 15 .60 85.50 Oklahoma City	25	Memphis	23	.91	78.36	Minneapolis
27 Charlotte 22 .84 80.07 Denver 28 Birmingham 21 .80 80.87 West Palm Beach 29 Raleigh-Durham 19 .75 81.61 Memphis 30 Sacramento 19 .74 82.36 Milwaukee 31 Saskatchewan 17 .66 83.02 Cleveland 32 West Palm Beach 17 .65 83.67 Dallas-Fort Worth 33 St. Louis 16 .63 84.30 Columbia 34 Richmond 16 .60 84.90 Bangor 35 Grand Rapids 15 .60 85.50 Oklahoma City	26	Quebec	22	.87	79.23	Birmingham
29 Raleigh-Durham 19 .75 81.61 Memphis 30 Sacramento 19 .74 82.36 Milwaukee 31 Saskatchewan 17 .66 83.02 Cleveland 32 West Palm Beach 17 .65 83.67 Dallas-Fort Worth 33 St. Louis 16 .63 84.30 Columbia 34 Richmond 16 .60 84.90 Bangor 35 Grand Rapids 15 .60 85.50 Oklahoma City	27	Charlotte	22	.84	80.07	
30 Sacramento 19 .74 82.36 Milwaukee 31 Saskatchewan 17 .66 83.02 Cleveland 32 West Palm Beach 17 .65 83.67 Dallas-Fort Worth 33 St. Louis 16 .63 84.30 Columbia 34 Richmond 16 .60 84.90 Bangor 35 Grand Rapids 15 .60 85.50 Oklahoma City	28	Birmingham	21	.80	80.87	West Palm Beach
31 Saskatchewan 17 .66 83.02 Cleveland 32 West Palm Beach 17 .65 83.67 Dallas-Fort Worth 33 St. Louis 16 .63 84.30 Columbia 34 Richmond 16 .60 84.90 Bangor 35 Grand Rapids 15 .60 85.50 Oklahoma City 35-Market Total 2,204 85.50	29	Raleigh-Durham	19	.75	81.61	Memphis
32 West Palm Beach 17 .65 83.67 Dallas-Fort Worth 33 St. Louis 16 .63 84.30 Columbia 34 Richmond 16 .60 84.90 Bangor 35 Grand Rapids 15 .60 85.50 Oklahoma City 35-Market Total 2,204 85.50	30	Sacramento	19	.74	82.36	Milwaukee
33 St. Louis 16 .63 84.30 Columbia 34 Richmond 16 .60 84.90 Bangor 35 Grand Rapids 15 .60 85.50 Oklahoma City 35-Market Total 2,204 85.50	31	Saskatchewan	17	.66	83.02	Cleveland
34 Richmond 16 .60 84.90 Bangor 35 Grand Rapids 15 .60 85.50 Oklahoma City 35-Market Total 2,204 85.50	32	West Palm Beach	17	.65	83.67	Dallas-Fort Worth
35 Grand Rapids 15 .60 85.50 Oklahoma City 35-Market Total 2,204 85.50	33	St. Louis	16	.63	84.30	Columbia
35-Market Total 2,204 85.50	34	Richmond	16	.60	84.90	Bangor
,	35	Grand Rapids	15	.60	85.50	Oklahoma City
Total Domestic & Canadian 2,578 100.00	35-Mark	et Total	2,204	85.50		
	Total Do	mestic & Canadian	2,578	100.00		

Table 15. Offshore shipments of Florida fresh grapefruit, 1989-90 through 1998-99 seasons.^a

Country	1989-90	1990-91	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98	1998-99
	1,000 4/5-bushel cartons									
Belgium	571	1,811	953	1,339	1,845	1,094	1,137	1,383	796	924
England	386	744	608	605	669	869	718	808	953	821
France	1,796	2,783	3,046	2,486	2,248	2,064	2,551	2,679	2,508	2,010
Germany	187	334	401	449	354	233	325	82	112	233
Holland	736	1,561	1,479	2,077	2,293	3,761	4,605	4,385	4,287	4,084
Italy	105	99	65	49	2	6	3	0	1	0
Japan	5,200	11,184	11,011	9,184	11,541	10,428	11,324	11,045	9,309	9,967
Norway	b	1	2	6	7	0	2	10	7	11
Puerto Rico	8	8	4	7	7	12	4	6	6	7
Sweden	34	60	57	63	48	47	80	86	68	81
Switzerland	78	14	3	0	1	0	1	0	0	b
Denmark	b	1	10	7	16	23	38	45	42	42
Taiwan	123	488	661	872	849	619	1,120	1,482	752	1,062
South Korea	72	201	238	238	375	461	270	348	25	79
Hong Kong	22	41	40	42	35	30	59	42	35	58
Singapore	7	16	34	31	28	28	33	50	31	25
Finland	0	0	6	13	16	21	52	58	72	92
Other	2	9	26	19	30	15	24	39	24	13
TOTAL ^c	9,328	19,353	18,637	17,478	20,348	19,711	22,344	22,544	19,028	19,509

^aAugust 1 through July 31.

^bLess than 500 cartons.

^cColumns may not add up to total due to rounding.

Table 16. Offshore shipments of Florida fresh oranges and Temples, 1989-90 through 1998-99 seasons.^a

Country	1989-90	1990-91	1991-92	1992-93	1993-94	1994-95	1995-96	1996-97	1997-98	1998-99
					1,000 4/5-bu	shel cartons	S			
Belgium	2	1	4	8	2	2	1	6	5	0
England	0	10	16	9	6	6	1	11	8	6
France	2	0	5	0	b	2	b	0	b	0
Germany	0	0	b	1	b	0	0	0	b	0
Holland	1	50	23	22	3	113	93	39	14	3
Italy	b	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
Japan	4	328	37	11	55	b	27	22	22	149
Norway	0	0	0	b	0	0	0	0	0	0
Puerto Rico	4	18	9	12	2	9	2	8	9	17
Sweden	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0
Taiwan	0	95	181	95	256	600	467	334	264	495
South Korea	0	12	9	0	b	0	0	b	0	353
Hong Kong	0	154	90	17	0	0	4	b	0	13
Singapore	0	4	4	1	1	2	8	11	7	2
Finland	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
Other	0	0	18	6	19	12	32	14	7	9
TOTAL	13	673	397	184	346	754	642	447	335	1,046

^aAugust 1 through July 31.

^bLess than 500 cartons.

^cColumns may not add up to total due to rounding.