

Title

Author

March 30, 2023

Abstract

Hello blah bldsjlfdsjk sjdklfs

1 Introduction

More text

2 Main Results

We start with a definition:

Definition 1. Define a (k, d, n) -generative net with layer widths k_0, k_1, \dots, k_d with $k = k_0$ and $k_d = n$ and coefficients $\{l_i\}_{i \in [d]} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^d$ to be a function $G : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ of the form where for each $i \in [d]$, $\sigma_i : \mathbb{R}^{k_i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k_i}$ is a piecewise affine function with pieces generated by no more than $l_i k_i$ hyperplanes.

Our main theorem is the following:

Theorem 1. The self-difference of the range of a ?? G is contained in the range of a positive-homogeneous piecewise linear function $\tilde{G} : \mathbb{R}^{2k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ with a number of linear conical pieces M such that

$$\log M \leq 2kd \log \left(\frac{es}{k} \right), \text{ where } s = \left(\prod \right)$$

3 Proofs

We now prove the main result:

Proof. Notice that G is a piecewise affine function with N pieces and ?? lemma::

Lemma 2. A ?? will have at most

$$\log N \leq kd \log \left(\frac{es}{k} \right) \text{ if finepieces where } s := \left(\prod \right)$$

It follows that $(x, y) \rightarrow G(x) - G(y)$ is also piecewise affine and has no more than $2N$ pieces. Then from ?? lemma::

Lemma 3. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be piecewise affine with N pieces. Then there exists a function $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ which is piecewise linear with conical pieces such that $(\tilde{f}) \supseteq (f)$. Furthermore, $\tilde{f}((x, 1)) \equiv f(x)$ and in the case that f has polyhedral pieces, \tilde{f} will have pieces that are polyhedral cones.

there exists a function $\tilde{G} : \mathbb{R}^{2k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, and one can check that it has all the properties in the statement. □

We used two lemmas in the previous proof; ??, ??. These are based on [vershyninHighDimensionalProbabilityIntroduction2018]. We now show ??.

Proof. From the definition of a ??, the i th layer has an activation that is piecewise affine with no more than $l_i k_i$ affine pieces. This i th layer will have a in its domain contained in a finite number of subspaces that are of dimension of no more than $\min(k, k_i)$. Therefore consider the i th activation acting on a $\min(k, k_i)$ -dimensional subspace. By ?? lemma::

Lemma 4. Let U be a k -dimensional hyperplane in general position in \mathbb{R}^n . Let there be P hyperplanes $\{H_i\}_{i \in [P]}$ in \mathbb{R}^n . These hyperplanes induce connected components between the hyperplanes that we call "cells". The subspace U may intersect at most

$$\sum_{i=0}^k \binom{P}{i} \leq \left(\frac{eP}{k}\right)^k \text{ cells.}$$

, the layer will generate no more than $\left(\frac{el_i k_i}{\min(k, k_i)}\right)^{\min(k, k_i)}$ pieces for each subspace in its domain. Notice that this expression is monotonically increasing in k_i . Therefore, by increase k_i to $\max(k, k_i)$ we get the upper bound

$$\left(\frac{el}{k}\right)^k. \text{ It follows that the number of affine pieces of the full network is such that } N \leq \prod \text{ And we now give the proof of lemma ??;}$$

Proof. Letting S be the finite partition of $\text{dom}(f)$ corresponding to the affine pieces of f , we have that $f(x) = \sum_{s \in S} W_s x + b_s$ for some $\mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ matrices $\{W_s\}$ and some vectors $\{b_s\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. Let $s_0 \in S$. For any vector $\tilde{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ with $\tilde{x} = (\tilde{x}_{[k]}, \tilde{x}_{k+1})$, let

$$() := k \leq 0(W s \ 0\tilde{x}/k + b s 0\tilde{x}k) + k \not\leq 0 \sum \text{ Created: ??}$$