



What corrections did you have to make?

Alternative text was missing from some of the images that I had on the website initially. Although the *alt* attribute was present, the attribute itself was not present.

After this, the *for* loop, specifically for stories and suggestions, was rendered in such a way that the alternative text was repeated over and over again (five times for suggestions, and five times for stories). This led to ten alerts that needed to be fixed. To fix these, and to make the alt text's distinct, I made it so the user's username is rendered as part of the alt text. This fixed the alerts.

There was another set of issues - I did not add a `<label></label>` tag for the comments. After adding them, they had the same issues as the repeating *alt* text attribute. I added a form label based on the poster's username, which was distinct enough to fix the issues.

Given that the photos and captions rely on user-generated content, do you think that the accessibility problems are all solvable? Why or why not?

The accessibility issues - more specifically, the ones that deal with labels and alt text, were solvable by putting the user-generated content into the attribute (with templating syntax). For those reasons, they are solvable.

Do you think your website is usable if you turn off CSS? Why or why not?

Absolutely not. CSS controls the size of the images, and if the images are all in their original size (which might be quite large), navigating the page is going to be very difficult. Although difficult, in theory, the page can still be navigated and interacted with, as all of the HTML elements are all present. It would just be a confusing mess if you had never used Instagram before (or this app).

Do you think your website is usable if you turn off the images? Why or why not?

The alternative text would replace images with rich descriptions that would put context to the mess of missing images. Again, although very hard to use, it would *still* be possible to use.