Implied Covariance Matrix Calculation

Michael Sekatchev

UBC Trading Group

November 17, 2023



Introduction

Suppose we have N_S stocks, N_F factors, with $N_S \gg N_F$.

The $N_S \times N_S$ implied variance covariance matrix Σ can be written as

$$\Sigma = B + \Psi$$
,

where Ψ is a $N_S \times N_S$ diagonal matrix of idiosyncratic returns and B is the factor matrix,

$$B = F^{-1}AF,$$

where F is an $N_F \times N_S$ matrix of factor-stock loadings and A is an $N_F \times N_F$ factor variance covariance matrix.



Example

```
STOCKS:
           GME
                  MSFT
                              NVDA
                                         SRIIX
                                                   TSI.A
Date
2021-01-04 4.3125
                  211.996597
                              130.851624 97.033089
                                                    243.256668
2021-01-05 4.3425
                  212 201141
                              133.757812 97.353081
                                                    245 036667
2021-01-06 4.5900
                  206.698914
                              125.872383 98.058937
                                                    251.993332
2021-01-07 4.5200
                  212.580917 133.151642 97.268364
                                                    272.013336
2021-01-08 4 4225
                  213 876144 132 480576 99 451843
                                                   293 339996
FACTORS:
           QQQ
                      SPY
Date
2021-01-04
           304.244324 354.197357
2021-01-05 306.752563 356.636871
2021-01-06 302.503387 358.769043
2021-01-07 309 821533 364 099487
2021-01-08 313.805145
                     366 173981
Calculated F with shape (2. 5)
Calculated A with shape (2, 2)
Calculated F^-1 with shape (5, 2)
Calculated B with shape (5, 5)
Calculated PSI with shape (5, 5)
Implied Variance-Covariance Matrix:
[[ 1.28411350e-01 2.21528468e-06 5.10564157e-06 8.84244400e-07 5.38855554e-06]
1.08987187e-04 2.05739261e-041
                                               7.67323950e-05 1.49727232e-041
[ 8.17390748e-05  9.73680229e-05  3.48392259e-02
                                               1.89742793e-02 3.29225943e-041
[ 1.80624187e-04 2.19347272e-04 3.88921784e-04
 [-3.77996246e-05 -4.81475066e-05 -8.29466915e-05 -4.05592148e-05
                                                               3.84230376e-0211
```



Inverse of Implied Covariance Matrix

$$\Sigma = B + \Psi$$
.

How do we compute Σ^{-1} ? If $N_S \gg 1$ this becomes very expensive. Use a lemma from Miller 1981:

Knowing Ψ^{-1} (cheap: $\Psi_{ii} \to 1/\Psi_{ii}$), and if B_i is **rank 1**, we have:

$$(B_i + \Psi)^{-1} = \Psi^{-1} - \frac{1}{1+g} \Psi^{-1} B_i \Psi^{-1}$$
 where $g = \text{Tr}(B\Psi^{-1})$

So, rewrite B as the sum of matrices B_i each of rank 1:

$$B = \sum_{i=1}^{N_S} B_i$$



Inverse of Implied Covariance Matrix

So, rewrite B as the sum of matrices B_i each of rank 1:

$$B = \sum_{i=1}^{N_S} B_i$$

And build up the inverse of Σ from these:

$$\Sigma^{-1} = (B + \Psi)^{-1} = C_{N_S}^{-1} \quad \text{ where } \quad C_i^{-1} = C_{i-1}^{-1} - \frac{1}{1 + g_i} C_{i-1}^{-1} B_i C_{i-1}^{-1},$$
 and
$$g_i = \operatorname{Tr} \left(C_{i-1}^{-1} B_i \right), \ C_0 = \Psi.$$

Note: B will have rank of **at most** N_F , so it is possible to write B as a sum of N_F rank 1 matrices, further speeding up computation.

Example

```
print(np.linalg.inv(SIGMA))
>> [[ 7.78747575e+00 -9.23359207e-04 -1.13026653e-03 -3.52683837e-04 -1.07733194e-03]
     [-4.69478343e-02 5.41501739e+01 -3.73768708e-01 -3.10132223e-01 -2.85830195e-01]
     [-1.80107789e-02 -1.50253936e-01 2.87053449e+01 -1.15460760e-01 -1.10066649e-01]
     [-7.33496003e-02.-6.24058299e-01.-5.85104837e-01.5.27079334e+01.-4.45991405e-01]
     [7.48576611e-03 6.68696503e-02 6.08800699e-02 5.49990215e-02 2.60249841e+01]]
C = PSI.copy()
C_inv = np.diag(1/np.diagonal(C))
for i in range(0.N S):
   B i = np.zeros like(B)
   B_i[i,:] = B[i,:]
    # They have a typo in the worksheet, the line below is false:
                      vvvvv this is not just the trace
    \# C_inv = C_inv - np.trace(B_i @ C_inv) * C_inv @ B_i @ C_inv
   C inv = C inv - 1/(1+np.trace(B i @ C inv)) * C inv @ B i @ C inv
print(C_inv)
>> [[ 7.78747575e+00 -9.23359207e-04 -1.13026653e-03 -3.52683837e-04 -1.07733194e-03]
     [-4.69478343e-02 5.41501739e+01 -3.73768708e-01 -3.10132223e-01 -2.85830195e-01]
     [-1.80107789e-02 -1.50253936e-01 2.87053449e+01 -1.15460760e-01 -1.10066649e-01]
     [-7.33496003e-02 -6.24058299e-01 -5.85104837e-01 5.27079334e+01 -4.45991405e-01]
     [7.48576611e-03 6.68696503e-02 6.08800699e-02 5.49990215e-02 2.60249841e+01]]
```

It's a match!

