

Tnum <AND, OR xor AND> Proof

2.24.21

- a) Let A be the set of concrete values represented by tnum x .
- b) Let a_{and} , a_{or} represent functions that perform bitwise AND and bitwise OR, respectively, on all members of set A :

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &= \{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n\} \\
 a_{and} &= a_1 \wedge a_2 \wedge a_3 \wedge \dots \wedge a_n \\
 a_{or} &= a_1 \vee a_2 \vee a_3 \vee \dots \vee a_n
 \end{aligned}$$

Observation 0.1 *If all members of set A contain 1 in the i th bit, then a_{and} will return 1 in the i th bit. This corresponds to the known 1's in the tnum.*

Observation 0.2 *If all members of set A contain 0 in the i th bit, then a_{or} will return 0 in the i th bit. This corresponds to the known 0's in the tnum.*

Observation 0.3 *Any 1 in the i th bit of the resulting bitvector $a_{or} \oplus a_{and}$ corresponds to uncertain bits in the tnum. Let $a_{uncertain} = a_{or} \oplus a_{and}$ (where \oplus represents bitwise xor)*

Observation 0.4 *Any 0 in the i th bit of the resulting bitvector $a_{or} \oplus a_{and}$ corresponds to certain bits in the tnum.*

Observation 0.5 *Any 1 in the i th bit of the resulting bitvector $\neg(a_{or} \oplus a_{and})$ corresponds to certain bits in the tnum. Let $a_{certain} = \neg(a_{or} \oplus a_{and})$*

Definition 1 (Well-formed tnum) $x.value \wedge x.mask = 0$.

Definition 2 (Tnum membership) *Let y be a concrete value, then $y \in x \iff y \wedge \neg x.mask = x.value$.*

Theorem 3 *Given a set of concrete values A , a correct and maximally precise tnum x can be derived with the following formulation: $\langle a_{and}, a_{or} \oplus a_{and} \rangle$ where $a_{and} = x.value$ and $a_{or} \oplus a_{and} = x.mask$.*

Proof: [Soundness]. First, we can show that our formulation produces a well formed tnum in the following way :

$$\begin{aligned}
& x.value \wedge x.mask = 0 \\
& = a_{and} \wedge (a_{or} \oplus a_{and}) = 0 \\
& = (a_{and} \wedge a_{or}) \oplus (a_{and} \wedge a_{and}) = 0 \\
& = (a_{and} \wedge a_{or}) \oplus a_{and} = 0 \\
& = a_{and} \oplus a_{and} = 0
\end{aligned}$$

*note that, by definition, $a_{and} \subseteq a_{or}$ which implies that $a_{and} \wedge a_{or} = a_{and}$.

Let A_k be an arbitrary member of A and $A_k[i]$ denote the i th bit of member A_k . Now, using case analysis, we show that all members of A are represented by the tnum $\langle a_{and}, a_{or} \oplus a_{and} \rangle$ by satisfying the definition of tnum membership:

$$\begin{aligned}
& A_i \wedge \neg x.mask = x.value \\
& = A_k \wedge \neg(a_{or} \oplus a_{and}) = a_{and} \\
& = A_k[i] \wedge a_{certain}[i] = a_{and}[i]
\end{aligned}$$

- 1) $A_k[i] = 0$. This implies that $a_{and}[i] = 0$ since a_{and} will capture any 0 in the i th of a member of A if such exists. Thus the bitwise operation $A_k[i] \wedge a_{certain}[i] = a_{and}[i]$ holds regardless of the value of $a_{certain}[i]$.
- 2) $A_k[i] = 1$ and $a_{and}[i] = 1$. In this case the i th bit must be a certain 1 since it is contained by a_{and} . This implies that $a_{certain}[i] = 1$, which means that $A_k[i] \wedge a_{certain}[i] = a_{and}[i]$ must also be true.
- 3) $A_k[i] = 1$ and $a_{and}[i] = 0$. In this case, the 1 in the i th bit is uncertain since it is not present in a_{and} which implies that $a_{certain} = 0$. Thus, $A_k[i] \wedge a_{certain}[i] = a_{and}[i]$ must hold in this case as well.

■

Proof: [Maximal precision]. Here we show that $\langle a_{and}, a_{or} \oplus a_{and} \rangle$ is also maximally precise.

Definition 4 *Given set A and tnum x which represents it, we call the i th bit of tnum x certain if it has the same value across all members of set A . Then tnum x is maximally precise if it captures all certain bits in set A :*

$$\forall a \in A, a_1[i] = a_2[i] = a_3[i] \dots = a_n[i] = P \implies x.mask[i] = 0, x.value[i] = P$$

Lemma 5 *Given an n -bit tnum x , let $|x|$ denote the size of the set of concrete values tnum x represents. Then $|x| = 2^{n-k}$ where k denotes the number of certain bits in tnum x .*

Proof: The number of possible values we can represent with an n -bit number is $2^n = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot \dots \cdot 2$ n times. Let k denote the number of certain bits in tnum x . Then $n - k$ must be the number of uncertain bits in tnum x . From Observation 0.4 above, we know that every 0 in the i th bit of the tnum mask ($a_{or} \oplus a_{and}$) represents a certain bit, meaning this bit can only take one value. Likewise, from Observation 0.3, we know that every 1 in the i th bit of the tnum mask ($a_{or} \oplus a_{and}$) represents an uncertain bit, meaning this bit can take two values. This gives us a piecewise function where the i th bit of tnum x can represent either one option or two options as follows:

$$x[i] = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if bit } i \text{ is uncertain} \\ 1 & \text{if bit } i \text{ is certain} \end{cases}$$

Then the number of values tnum x can represent is

$$|x| = x[0] \cdot x[1] \cdot x[2] \cdot \dots \cdot x[n] = 2^{n-k} 1^k = 2^{n-k}.$$

■

Lemma 6 $\langle a_{and}, a_{or} \oplus a_{and} \rangle$ will capture all certain bits q , if such exist, in set A .

Proof: There are only two ways in which the i th bit of tnum x can be deemed certain - the i th bit across all members of set A is set to 1, or the i th bit across all members of set A is set to 0. The following cases show how our formulation captures both instances:

- 1) $a_{or}[i] = 0$. This implies that $a_{and}[i] = 0$ which means that all members of set A contain 0 in the i th bit. Then $a_{or}[i] \oplus a_{and}[i] = 0$, meaning that the i th bit of tnum x must be certain.
- 2) $a_{and}[i] = 1$. This implies that $a_{or} = 1$ which means that all members of set A contain 1 in the i th bit. Then $a_{or}[i] \oplus a_{and}[i] = 0$, meaning that the i th bit of tnum x must be certain in this case as well.

Then we can say that all q certain bits, if such exist, in set A must be accounted for.

■

By Lemma 6, $\langle a_{and}, a_{or} \oplus a_{and} \rangle$ will capture all q certain bits in set A - this corresponds to the maximal amount of certain bits present in set A . This satisfies Definition 4 and implies that $\langle a_{and}, a_{or} \oplus a_{and} \rangle$ must produce a maximally precise tnum x . By Lemma 5, the maximally precise tnum x will represent 2^{n-q} values.

■