

Applied Cryptography and Network Security

Adam J. Lee

adamlee@cs.pitt.edu

6111 Sennott Square

Lecture #13: Kerberos

February 20, 2014




University of Pittsburgh



Kerberos Overview

Kerberos is a **mediated authentication** protocol

This is kind of like our term project, no?



This protocol is based on the following assumptions:

- Server(s) used by Kerberos are highly secured (**How?**)
- Application servers are moderately secure, though may be compromised
- Client machines are untrusted

Kerberos uses secret key cryptography to allow users to authenticate to networked services from any location



Kerberos Design Goals

Main goal: Breaking into one host should not help the attacker compromise the overall security of the system

Client authenticator goals:

- Users cannot remember cryptographic keys, so keys should be derived from the user's password
- Passwords should not be sent in cleartext
- Passwords should not be stored on the server
- The client's password should be exposed as little as possible

The use of Kerberos should require only minimal modifications to existing applications

So, how does this work?

Kerberos is based on the Needham-Schroeder secret key authentication protocol




Trent



Alice



Bob



After message 2, Alice

- Knows that this message is fresh
- Knows that the session key is to be shared with Bob


After message 3, Bob knows that he has a shared key with Alice

After message 5, Bob knows that this key is fresh



Kerberos v4: The Basics

The players:




All principals in the system share a secret key with the AS

- User keys derived from their password
- Service keys are random cryptographic keys

The cryptographic algorithm used by Kerberos is (Triple) DES



Step 1: Obtaining a ticket-granting ticket (TGT)



The above process is used to initiate a login session

- Password used to initiate the session
- S_A is used for subsequent exchanges

Note that the user password is not needed until **after** the TGT is obtained

- Why is this a **good** thing?
- Why is this a **bad** thing?



What is the purpose of this process?

In a single session, a user may want to access many different machines

For example...

- Download a file from a secured web server
- SSH into another machine to compare results with experimental data
- Email a colleague to inquire about an oddity in the file
- FTP an updated file to the secured server
- ...

By obtaining a single session key S_A , Alice only uses her password **once!**

- This minimizes the amount of time that the password is exposed
- If S_A is cracked, the password is still safe

Furthermore, the TGT frees the KDC from maintaining any state

- Recall that $TGT = \{ \text{"Alice"}, S_A \}_{K_{KDC}}$
- No need to track S_A at the server, just ask Alice for her TGT



Obtaining a TGT: Message Detail

AS_REQ

# Bytes	Content
1	Version of Kerberos (4)
1	Message Type (1)
≤ 40	Alice's name
≤ 40	Alice's instance
≤ 40	Alice's realm
4	Alice's timestamp
1	Desired ticket lifetime
≤ 40	Service name (krbtgt)
≤ 40	Service instance

In multiples of 5 minutes (up to about 21.5 hours)



Helps Alice match request/reply pairs



Note: This message is sent unencrypted



Obtaining a TGT: Message Detail

AS_REP

Bytes	Content
1	Version of Kerberos (4)
1	Message type (2)
≤ 40	Alice's name
≤ 40	Alice's instance
≤ 40	Alice's realm
4	Alice's timestamp
1	Number of tickets (1)
4	Ticket expiration time
1	Alice's key version number
2	Credentials length
var	Credentials

Bytes	Content
8	S_A
≤ 40	TGS name
≤ 40	TGS instance
≤ 40	TGS realm
1	Ticket lifetime
1	TGS key version number
1	Length of ticket
var	Ticket
4	Timestamp
var	Padding of 0s

Note: The “Credentials” field is encrypted with the Alice's master key



Obtaining a TGT: Message Detail

This is the credentials field...


Bytes	Content
8	S_A
≤ 40	TGS name
≤ 40	TGS instance
≤ 40	TGS realm
1	Ticket lifetime
1	TGS key version number
1	Length of ticket
var	Ticket
4	Timestamp
var	Padding of 0s

Bytes	Content
≤ 40	Alice's name
≤ 40	Alice's instance
≤ 40	Alice's realm
4	Alice's IP address
8	Session key S_A
1	Ticket lifetime
4	KDC timestamp
≤ 40	TGS name
≤ 40	TGS instance
var	Padding of 0s

Note: The "Ticket" field is encrypted with the TGS's master key



Step 2: Obtaining a service ticket



Interesting notes:

- Alice did not use her password to authenticate!
- The TGS did not need to maintain any state to verify Alice's identity

The authenticator attests to the freshness of the current exchange

- This means that Kerberos requires synchronized clocks (usually ~5 mins)
- Not actually needed in this exchange (**Why?**)



Obtaining a Service Ticket: Message Detail

TGS_REQ

*Copied from
credentials field of
AS_REP*


Bytes	Content
1	Version of Kerberos (4)
1	Message Type (3)
1	KDC key version number
≤ 40	KDC realm
1	Length of TGT
1	Length of authenticator
var	TGT
var	authenticator
4	Alice's timestamp
1	Desired ticket lifetime
≤ 40	Bob's name
≤ 40	Bob's instance

Bytes	Content
≤ 40	Alice's name
≤ 40	Alice's instance
≤ 40	Alice's realm
4	checksum
1	5ms timestamp
4	Timestamp
var	Padding

Note: The TGS_REQ message is the same format as AS_REP



Step 3: Using a service ticket



The AP_REQ message authenticates Alice to the server

- Only the KDC knows K_S , so the ticket for Alice is authentic
- If timestamp is recent, then this message is fresh and sent by Alice

The AP_REP message authenticates the server to Alice

- Only Alice, the server, and the KDC know K_{AS}
- If the timestamp is as expected, then this message is fresh

Question: How can we prevent replay attacks?





Using a Service Ticket: Message Detail

AP_REQ

Bytes	Content
1	Version of Kerberos (4)
1	Message Type (8)
1	Server's key version number
≤ 40	Server's realm
1	Length of ticket
1	Length of authenticator
var	ticket
var	authenticator



*Copied from
credentials field of
TGS_REQ*



*The ticket and authenticator follow the
same format as in the TGS_REQ
messages*



Putting it all together...





Is the assumption of a global KDC *really* realistic?




Problem: The KDC knows all keys!

- Probably not reasonable in mutually-distrustful organizations
- Scalability as number of users increases is poor
- Very valuable single point of attack

Problem: Reliability and fault tolerance

- A single KDC is a single point of failure
- If users cannot authenticate, they cannot work!
- Even if KDC is up all the time **and** everyone trusts it, it will probably not be able to serve all requests in a timely manner...




Kerberos is widely used, right? How does it address these problems?

Kerberos solves the untrusted KDC problem by allowing inter-realm authentication



Example: Alice from the realm “Wonderland” wants to talk to Dorothy in the realm “Oz”. Clearly, the Wonderland KDC knows nothing about principals managed by the Oz KDC. How do we proceed?




Note: For inter-realm authentication to work, the KDCs for each realm must agree to share keys a priori



Kerberos solves the failure and bottleneck problems by replicating the KDC's database

Goal: Any KDC should be able to service any client request



For this to work:

- The KDCs must all share the same master key (**Why?**)
- The databases managed by each replica must be consistent with the primary

How can the replicated databases maintain consistency with the primary?

- Primary DB contents are periodically downloaded by the backups
- Backups are used exclusively for **read only** operations (**Is this a problem?**)

How is the DB protected during transmission?

- **Confidentiality:** Provided “for free” since DB is stored encrypted
- **Integrity:** Keyed hash using shared master key



Despite being a widely-deployed authentication solution, Kerberos v4 is far from perfect



Security

- Kerberos v4 is based on DES
- Integrity provided using non-standard techniques
- Does not support the use of other algorithms



Clocks and timestamps

- Maximum ticket lifetime is ~21.5 hours
- Cannot renew tickets
- Cannot obtain tickets in advance



Networks and naming

- 4 bytes used for network address
- What about IPv6??
- Names constraint to 40 characters



Kerberos v5 fixes these problems!



Security

- Kerberos v4 is based on DES
- Integrity provided using non-standard techniques
- Does not support the use of other algorithms


*Supports extensible security suites.
New algorithms can be added to the
protocol as they are discovered.
Standard techniques used for integrity
protection.*



*Tickets have start and end
times, can be renewed, and
use a different timestamp
format.*

Clocks and timestamps


- Maximum ticket lifetime is ~21.5 hours
- Cannot renew tickets
- Cannot obtain tickets in advance



Networks and naming

- 4 bytes used for network address
- What about IPv6??
- Names constraint to 40 characters

*ASN.1 used to encode
names and addresses.
Much more flexible.*





Conclusions

Kerberos is a widely-used authentication paradigm based on the Needham-Schroeder authentication protocol

Authentication via Kerberos is a three-step process

1. Password-based authentication to the AS
2. TGT returned by the AS is used to request a service ticket from the TGS
3. Service ticket is used to mutually authenticate with a service

Inter-realm authentication allows users in different administrative domains to mutually authenticate

Many KDCs are replicated to prevent bottlenecks in the event of failure

Next time: Public key infrastructure (PKI) models