Computational Theories of Collaboration

Ph.D. Comprehensive Exam

Mohammad Shayganfar - mshayganfar@wpi.edu May, 26 2015

1 Introduction to Collaboration Theories

Collaboration is a special type of coordinated activity in which the participants work jointly, together performing a task or carrying out the activities needed to satisfy a shared goal [11].

Existing collaboration theories (including SharedPlans) consider the nature of a collaboration to be more than a set of individual acts. These theories argue for an essential distinction between a collaboration and a simple interaction or even a coordination in terms of commitments [9, 16].

2 Computational Theories of Collaboration

There are prominent collaboration theories that are mostly based on plans and often analysis of the discourse between collaborators revolving around these plans [13, 15]. In these theories the discourse analysis is based on search over these tree plans [23].

2.1 SharedPlans Theory

In [13], Grosz and Sidner argue that the components of the discourse structure are a trichotomy of linguistic structure, intentions structure and the attention state. In their work, the linguistic structure of a discourse is a sequence of utterances aggregating into discourse segments just as the words in a single sentence form constituent phrases. They also discuss the idea of the discourse purpose as the intention that underlies engagement in the particular discourse. They believe this intention is the reason behind performing a discourse rather than some other actions, and also the reason behind conveying a particular content of the discourse rather than some other contents. They describe mechanisms for plan analysis looking at Discourse Segment Purposes (DSPs). In fact, the DSPs specify how the discourse segments contribute to achieving the overall discourse purpose. Finally, the third component in their theory, the attentional state, provides an abstraction of the agent's focus of attention as the discourse unfolds.

The focusing structure contains DSPs and the stacking of focus spaces reflects the relative salience of the entities in each space during the discourse. In short, the focusing structure is the central repository for the contextual content required for processing utterances during the discourse [13].

Shared plan is another essential concept in the collaboration context. The definition of the shared plan is derived from the definition of plans Pollack introduced in [20, 21] since it rests on a detailed treatment of the relations among actions and it distinguishes the intentions and beliefs of an agent about those actions. However, since Pollack's plan model is just a simple plan of a single agent, Grosz and Sidner extended that to plans of two or more collaborative agents. The concept of the shared plan provides a framework in which to further evaluate and explore the roles that particular beliefs and intentions play in collaborative activity [17]. However, this formulation of shared plans (a) could only deal with activities that directly decomposed into single-agent actions, (b) did not address the requirement for the commitment of the agents to their joint activities, and (c) did not adequately deal with agents having partial recipes [11]. Grosz and Kraus in [11], reformulate Pollack's definition of the individual plans [21], and also revise and expand the shared plan to address these shortcomings.

2.2 Theory of Joint Intentions

There are also some other theories with similarities and contrasts conveying collaboration concepts including Cohen and Levesque's work describing the concept of *joint intentions* in [5, 14].

2.3 "Hybrid" Collaboration Theories

Tambe's work on STEAM teamwork model [27].

3 Relation to Psychology and Sociology

4 Similarities and Differences

5 Application in Human-Computer Collaboration

There are many research focusing on different aspects of collaboration each of which are different than my own work. In my thesis, I focus on emotion functions and how they impact collaboration's structure and processes,

and how the dynamics of the collaboration structure influences emotionregulated processes. Some of the other works focus on the concepts of robot assistants [4], or teamwork and its challenges in cognitive and behavioral levels [5, 19, 24, 27]. Some researchers have an overall look at a collaboration concept at the architectural level. In [7] authors present a collaborative architecture, COCHI, to support the concept of emotional awareness. In [6] authors present the integration of emotional competence into a cognitive architecture which runs on a robot, MEXI. In [26] authors discuss the challenges of integrating natural language, gesture understanding and spatial reasoning of a collaborative humanoid robot situated in the space. The importance of communication during collaboration has been considered by some researchers from human-computer interaction and human-robot collaboration [3, 18, 23] to theories describing collaborative negotiation, and discourse planning and structures [1, 12, 25]. There are other concepts such as joint actions and commitments [10], dynamics of intentions during collaboration [14], and task-based planning providing more depth in the context of collaboration [2, 22].

The concept of collaboration has also received attention in the industry and in research in robotic laboratories [8].

6 Conclusion

References

- [1] Jerry Andriessen, Koenraad de Smedt, and Michael Zock. Discourse planning: Empirical research and computer models. In Anton Dijkstra and Koenraad de Smedt, editors, Computational psycholinguistics: AI and connectionist models of human language processing, pages 247–278. Taylor & Francis, 1996.
- [2] Catherina Burghart, RalfMikut, Rainer Stiefelhagen, Tamim Asfour, Hartwig Holzapfel, Peter Steinhaus, and Ruediger Dillmann. A cognitive architecture for a humanoid robot: A first approach. In 5th IEEE-RAS International Conference on Humanoid Robots, pages 357– 362, 2005.
- [3] Aaron B. St. Clair and Maja J. Matarić. Modeling action and intention for the production of coordinating communication in human-robot task collaborations. In 21st IEEE International Symposium on Robot and

- Human Interactive Communication: Workshop on Robot Feedback in HRI, Paris, France, 2012.
- [4] William J. Clancey. Roles for agent assistants in field science: Understanding personal projects and collaboration. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics, special issue on Human-Robot Interaction*, 34(2):125–137, 2004.
- [5] Philip Cohen and Hector J. Levesque. *Teamwork*. SRI International, 1991.
- [6] Natascha Esau, Lisa Kleinjohann, and Bernd Kleinjohann. Integrating emotional competence into man-machine collaboration. In *Biologically-Inspired Collaborative Computing*, September 8-9, Milano, Italy, pages 187–198, 2008.
- [7] Octavio García, Jessús Favela, Guillermo Licea, and Roberto Machorro. Extending a collaborative architecture to support emotional awareness. In *Emotion Based Agent Architectures (ebaa99*, pages 46–52, 1999.
- [8] Scott A. Green, Mark Billinghurst, XiaoQi Chen, and J. Geoffrey Chase. Human-robot collaboration: A literature review and augmented reality approach in design. *International Journal of Advanced Robotic Systems*, 5(1):1–18, 2008.
- [9] Barbara Grosz and Sarit Kraus. The evolution of shared plans. In Foundations and Theories of Rational Agency, pages 227–262, 1998.
- [10] Barbara J. Grosz and Luke Hunsberger. The dynamics of intention in collaborative activity. Cognitive Systems Research, 7(2-3):259–272, 2007.
- [11] Barbara J. Grosz and Sarit Kraus. Collaborative plans for complex group action. *Artificial Intelligence*, 86(2):269–357, 1996.
- [12] Barbara J. Grosz and Candace L. Sidner. Attention, intentions, and the structure of discourse. *Computational Linguistics*, 12(3):175–204, July 1986.
- [13] Barbara J. Grosz and Candace L. Sidner. Plans for discourse. In P. R. Cohen, J. Morgan, and M. E. Pollack, editors, *Intentions in Communication*, pages 417–444. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1990.

- [14] Hector J. Levesque, Philip R. Cohen, and Jos H. T. Nunes. On acting together. In AAAI, pages 94–99. AAAI Press / The MIT Press, 1990.
- [15] D. J. Litman and J. F. Allen. Discourse processing and commonsense plans. In P. R. Cohen, J. Morgan, and M. E. Pollack, editors, *Intentions in Communication*, pages 365–388. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 1990.
- [16] Karen E Lochbaum. A collaborative planning model of intentional structure. *Computational Linguistics*, 24(4):525–572, 1998.
- [17] Karen E. Lochbaum, Barbara J. Grosz, and Candice L. Sidner. Models of plans to support communication: An initial report. In *Proceedings* of the Eighth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence, pages 485–490. AAAI Press, 1990.
- [18] Laetitia Matignon, Abir Beatrice Karami, and Abdel-Illah Mouaddib. A model for verbal and non-verbal human-robot collaboration. In AAAI Fall Symposium Series, pages 62–67, 2010.
- [19] Stefanos Nikolaidis, Przemyslaw A. Lasota, Gregory F. Rossano, Carlos Martinez, Thomas A. Fuhlbrigge, and Julie A. Shah. Human-robot collaboration in manufacturing: Quantitative evaluation of predictable, convergent joint action. In ISR, pages 1–6, 2013.
- [20] Martha E. Pollack. A model of plan inference that distinguishes between the beliefs of actors and observers. In *Proceedings of the 24th Annual Meeting on Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 207–214. Association for Computational Linguistics, 1986.
- [21] Martha E. Pollack. Plans as complex mental attitudes. In *Intentions* in *Communication*, pages 77–103. MIT Press, 1990.
- [22] Charles Rich. Building task-based user interfaces with ANSI/CEA-2018. *IEEE Computer*, 42(8):20–27, July 2009.
- [23] Charles Rich, Candace L. Sidner, and Neal Lesh. COLLAGEN: Applying collaborative discourse theory to human-computer interaction. AI Magazine, 22(4):15–26, 2001.
- [24] Paul Scerri, David Pynadath, Lewis Johnson, Paul Rosenbloom, Mei Si, Nathan Schurr, and Milind Tambe. A prototype infrastructure for distributed robot-agent-person teams. In Proceedings of the Second International Joint Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, AAMAS '03, pages 433–440, New York, NY, USA, 2003. ACM.

- [25] Candace Sidner. An artificial discourse language for collaborative negotiation. In *In Proceedings of the Twelfth National Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, pages 814–819. MIT Press, 1994.
- [26] Donald Sofge, Magdalena D. Bugajska, J. Gregory Trafton, Dennis Perzanowski, Scott Thomas, Marjorie Skubic, Samuel Blisard, Nicholas Cassimatis, Derek P. Brock, William Adams, and Alan C. Schultz. Collaborating with humanoid robots in space. *International Journal of Humanoid Robotics*, 2(2):181–201, 2005.
- [27] Milind Tambe. Towards flexible teamwork. *Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research*, 7:83–124, 1997.