Risk of Bias Domains

	Contour	din ^o Selecti	of reflection	ing spirit	Missing Missing	Oucone	to of the state of	Overall
Auger, et al., 2012 ¹⁶⁷		\bigcirc			\bigcirc			
Backlund, et al., 2007 ¹⁶⁸	\bigcirc	\bigcirc			\bigcirc			\bigcirc
Blakely, et al., 2003 ¹⁶⁹					\bigcirc			
Fiscella and Franks, 2000 ¹⁴¹	\bigcirc				\bigcirc			\bigcirc
Gerdtham and Johannesson, 2004 170		\bigcirc						
Henriksson, et al., 2007 ¹⁷¹	\bigcirc							\bigcirc
Kimmel, et al., 2013 ¹⁷²					\bigcirc	\bigcirc		
Kravdal, 2008 ¹⁷³	\bigcirc							\bigcirc
Modrek, et al., 2012 ¹⁷⁴						\bigcirc		
Ng, et al., 2020 ¹⁷⁵	\bigcirc							\bigcirc
Osler, et al., 2002 ¹⁷⁶	\bigcirc							
Pabayo, et al., 2013 ¹⁷⁷						\bigcirc		
Zhao, et al., 2021 ¹⁷⁸	\bigcirc		\bigcirc					\bigcirc
Zheng, 2012 ¹⁷⁹	\bigcirc	\bigcirc			\bigcirc			\bigcirc

Response options for risk of bias:

Low = The study is comparable to a well-performed randomized trial.

Moderate = The study provides sound evidence for a nonrandomized study but cannot be considered comparable to a well–performed randomized trial.

Serious = The study has important problem(s).

Critical = The study is too problematic to provide any useful evidence.

No information: The study does not provide sufficient information to a make judgement about risk of bias. Confounding = Bias due to confounding; Selection = Bias in selection of participants into the study; Intervention classification = Bias in classification of interventions; Intervention deviations = Bias due to deviations from intended interventions; Missing data = Bias due to missing data; Outcome measurement = Bias in measurement of the outcome; Reporting results = Bias in selection of the reported result; Overall = Overall Risk of Bias.