# Statistical Properties of Curtain Electron Precipitation Derived with AeroCube-6

**M. Shumko[1], A.T. Johnson[1], J.G. Sample[1], D.L. Turner[3], T.P. O'Brien[2], and J.B. Blake[2]**

[1]Department of Physics, Montana State University, Bozeman, Montana, USA
[2]Space Science Applications Laboratory, The Aerospace Corportation, El Segundo, California USA
[3]Johns Hopkins Applied Physics Laboratory, Laurel, Maryland, USA

**Key Points:**

- We used the dual AeroCube-6 CubeSats to identify stationary, narrow, and persistent $> 30$ keV precipitation in low Earth orbit
- A single low Earth-orbiting spacecraft can easily misidentify curtains as microburst precipitation
- A few curtains were persistently scattered into the atmosphere for at least six seconds

Corresponding author: M. Shumko, `msshumko@gmail.com`

## Abstract

# 1 Plain Language Summary

# 2 Introduction

Outline

1. Introduce various particle loss mechanisms
2. Introduce microbursts and their effect on atmospheric chemistry. Maybe mention how there is an unexplained source of HOX and NOX?
3. Introduce curtains and the prevailing hypothesis linking curtains to microbursts
4. If curtains are drifting then we have overestimated the atmospheric losses due to microbursts
5. Our goal is to study three statistical properties of curtains: location, spatial width, and preferred geomagnetic conditions. Lastly we will use the SAA to determine if some curtains were drifting around the Earth or locally and persistently precipitating
6. Explain DLC and BLC. DLC description from Comes 2003 paper and maybe something from Craig Rogers group.
7. Maybe cite the curtain paper from 2000 that relates them to lightning? Title: Trapped energetic electron curtains produced by thunderstorm driven relativistic runaway electrons

# 3 Instrumentation

Think about the flow, and avoid plagiarizing myself

The AC6 mission was a pair of 0.5U (10x10x5 cm) CubeSats built by The Aerospace Corporation designed to measure the electron and proton environment in low Earth orbit (O'Brien et al., 2016). AC6 was launched on 19 June 2014 into a 620x700 km, 98° inclination orbit. The AC6 orbit over the three year mission lifetime was roughly dawn-dusk, and precessed only a few hours in MLT; 8-12 MLT in dawn and 20-24 MLT in dusk. The two AC6 spacecraft, designated as AC6-A and AC6-B, separated after launch and were in proximity for the duration of the three year mission—maintained by an active attitude control system. The attitude control system allowed then to precisely control the amount of atmospheric drag experienced by each AC6 unit using the surface area of their solar panel "wings". By changing their orientation, AC6 was able to maintain a separation between 2-800 km, confirmed with the Global Positioning System. The two AC6 units were in a string of pearls configuration so one unit, typically unit A, was leading the other by an in-track lag—the time it would take the following spacecraft to catch up to the position of the leading spacecraft. To convert between the AC6 in-track separation and in-track lag, we assume a typical 7.5 km/s orbital velocity of LEO spacecraft. The in-track lag was readily available with the Global Positioning System which makes it easy to study precipitation phenomena observed at the same time, and at the same position by shifting one time series by the in-track lag.

Each AC6 unit contains three Aerospace microdosimeters (licensed to Teledyne Microelectronics, Inc) that measure the electron and proton dose in orbit (O'Brien et al., 2016). The dosimeter used for this study is dos1 with a 30 keV electron threshold. dos1 is used for this study because the other dosimeters were not identical between unit A and B. All dosimeters sample at 1 Hz in survey mode, and 10 Hz in burst mode. 10 Hz data was readily available from both AC6 units from June 2014 to May 2017 while their in-track lag was less than 65 seconds, and at times was a fraction of a second. Show a

distribution of the in-track lag when they had 10 Hz data? The variety of AC6 separations and data availability over the three-year mission makes it possible to study tranisent electron microburst precipitation (Shumko et al., 2019) and now stationary electron curtain precipitation.

## 4 Methodology

### 4.1 Curtain Identification

Outline

1. Shifted the AC6B time series by the in-track lag and looked for times when the following two conditions were met:
2. a one second running correlation was greater than 0.8 and
3. the correlated counts were bursty - defined as greater than two standard deviations (assuming Poission statistics) above a ten second-long running mean.
4. Events were automatically identified and checked by an author to catalog 1634 curtains. Examples shown in Fig. 1.
5. Detection method similar to Greeley 2019 and Blum 2015.
6. Various parameters were explored and we tuned it to have as many candidate events as possible while being feasible to inspect every detection.
7. Baseline sensitivity decreases with larger structures, depending on the curtain amplitude, background level, and baseline width. Sensitivity begins to rapidly diminish for widths close to half of the baseline width—around five seconds, correspondent to 38 km size, for this identification criteria.

The 10 Hz data was used to identify curtains with the following two criteria: a high spatial correlation, and bursty. The first criterion quantifies the similarity of the feature between both AC6 units, and the second criterion checks that highly correlated times were bursty. Before we we applied the identification criteria, the AC6-B time series was shifted by the in-track lag to spatially align it with the AC6-A time series.

The first identification criterion is a 1-second rolling Pearson correlation applied to both time series. Spatial features with a correlation greater than 0.8 were considered highly correlated and saved.

The second identification criterion checks for locations where both AC6 units observed bursty precipitation. Similar to how precipitation bands were identified in Blum et al. (2015) and microbursts in Greeley et al. (2019), we find bursty precipitation by estimating the number of Poisson standard deviations, $\sigma$, that a dos1 count rate, $c$, is above a centered 10-second running average, $B_{10}$. Locations where the count rates are at least two $\sigma$ above $B_{10}$ are bursty.

The locations where the two criteria are met are curtain candidates and the time of the peak count rates are saved. To check the quality of the data set, one author visually checked every candidate curtain. After the visual inspection, 1634 curtains were identified. Four examples of curtains are shown in Fig. 1. In these examples the unmodified time series is in the top row and the corresponding spatially-aligned time series is shown in the bottom row.

## 5 Results

Outline

**Figure 1.** Four examples showing the AC6 > 30 keV electron data taken by AC6 at the same time in the top row and at the same position in the bottom row. AC6-A, whose data is shown with red curves, was *s* kilometers ahead of AC6-B. To show the data at the same position the time series data from one spacecraft was shifted by the in-track lag and annotated by dt. These examples show curtain precipitation that was highly correlated for up to 26 seconds.

1. Show curtain width and comment how narrow they are. Whether they are drifting or locally precipitating, they must have a very filamentary structure that persists for multiple seconds
2. Figure out how the detection bias affects the width distribution
3. Show, and comment on the Auroral electroject strengths when each curtain was observed. Curtains are more likeliy to be observed during disturbed times.
4. Discuss the SAA, BLC, and show the curtains the the BLC plot. Mention how these electrons must have been precipitating for multiple seconds, over an order of magnitude longer than typical microbursts.

In the spirit of brevity, we limited the scope of these results to answer the following three questions:

1. how narrow are curtains,
2. when and where are curtains observed, and
3. are curtains drifting or locally precipitating?

### 5.1 Local Atmospheric Precipitation

## 6 Discussion

Outline

1. Curtains are spatially small and must be around a few hundred km at the equator
2. curtain phenomena originates in the outer radiation belt, and observed relatively more in the evening than morning regions. Limited AC6 coverage prevents a complete MLT distribution
3. preference to disturbed conditions
4. some curtains locally precipitate for an extended period of time so there must be a sustained parallel electric field. Show the derivation and estimated potential.

**Figure 2.** Size distributions of curtains (AC6 in-track separation mostly in latitude) in black and microbursts in red as a function of AC6 in-track width. Microburst distribution adopted from Shumko et al. (2019).

## Distribution of all AE and curtain AE



**Figure 3.** The distribution of the Auroral Electroject index from 2014 to 2017 shown by the thick black curve, and the Auroral Electroject index when curtains were observed by the red curve.



**Figure 4.** Distribution of curtains as a function of L and MLT. To avoid noisy normalization scaling, bins with less than 10,000 10 Hz samples were not normalized in panel b.

**Figure 5.** Distribution of curtains as a function of L and MLT. White bins in panels a and c have 0 curtain detections or 10 Hz samples. To avoid noisy normalization scaling, bins with less than 10,000 10 Hz samples were not normalized in panel b. Or show this version?



**Figure 6.** What about this figure?

**Figure 7.** Curtains observed inside the bounce loss cone.

<span style="color:blue">5. AC6 can't answer this question, but curtains could provide a substantial source of HOx and NOX molecules responsible for destroying ozone. We need AC6 with energy and pitch angle resolution.</span>

## 7  Conclusions

## 8  Homeless Words

Title: Statistical Properties of Curtains–Latitudinally-Narrow and Persistent Electron Precipitation Phenomena

This study leverages AC6, a multi-spacecraft mission, to interpret and understand particle precipitation in a way that is impossible with a single spacecraft.

This study leverages the asymmetry in Earth's magnetic field. The asymmetric magnetic field results in the SAA and the BLC, two very related and unique regions

Particles that impact the atmosphere are lost during that bounce motion. We found curtains in the bounce loss cone, a region in the North Atlantic near and above Iceland.

The bounce loss cone is magnetically connected to the SAA, where Earth's magnetic field is weakest near Earth's surface. A particle observed in the blc in the northern hemisphere will descend below 100 km altitude. At sub-100 km altitudes the particle has a high chance of encountering and scattering with the atmosphere and be lost.

We found curtain electrons that, when given the chance to execute their cyclical bounce motion, will descend below Earth's surface in the SAA. An electrons can not survive that trip.

Write the paper and ask the question: "What is this paper really about?" Not just curtains, but uncovering something unexpected that has been observed and overlooked for decades.

Are curtains related to aurora? This is a good question—one that is not pertinent here (idea from The Elements of Style p.68).

Here are two parting questions that are not considered here. Why were some curtains shifted slightly? Perhaps it was due to the movement of the magnetic field lines. Also do curtains have a corresponding visual signature on the ground? The answer to this question will show if curtains are related to the aurora.

## References

Blum, L., Li, X., & Denton, M. (2015). Rapid MeV electron precipitation as observed by SAMPEX/HILT during high-speed stream-driven storms. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, *120*(5), 3783–3794. Retrieved from `http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/2014JA020633` (2014JA020633) doi: 10.1002/2014JA020633

Greeley, A., Kanekal, S., Baker, D., Klecker, B., & Schiller, Q. (2019). Quantifying the contribution of microbursts to global electron loss in the radiation belts. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*.

O'Brien, T. P., Blake, J. B., & W., G. J. (2016, May). *Aerocube-6 dosimeter data readme* (Tech. Rep. No. TOR-2016-01155). The Aerospace Corporation.

Shumko, M., Johnson, A., Sample, J., Griffith, B. A., Turner, D. L., O'Brien, T. P., ... Claudepierre, S. G. (2019). Electron microburst size distribution derived with aerocube-6. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, e2019JA027651.