Hi Mike,

Can you please add the following line to the acknowledgements:

"DLT is thankful for support from the Van Allen Probes mission and a NASA grant (Prime award number: 80NSSC19K0280)."

Thanks and again, great job with this.  I showed your MC results for the distribution sizes at the IUGG meeting in Montreal and it got some very, very positive feedback.  That is a very powerful and impactful result and a really sound approach.

For your points:

4) No, I think you have enough for the preliminary round of reviews.  See if they ask for more, but this should be set to go for now.

5) I suggest you stick to the DIAMETER in the text... that seems to make the most physical sense for ease of intuition and mental images for me.

6) If I see anything in the red notes, I'll get back to you ASAP. To be very honest, I'm just going to take a quick look at the changes you've made so don't wait for me for submitting this.

The main references that I can think of for microburst scale sizes from recent results are from the Blake and O'Brien (AC6) and Crew et al. (FIREBIRD-II), which both came in at the ~60 to 100 km size, I think.  Right in line with your work

Again, great job and thanks so much for including me on this. It's a great paper.

Drew