

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

In this dissertation we have explored the microburst scattering mechanism directly in Chapter ?? and indirectly in Chapters ?? and ?. In Chapter ?? we used numerous particle and wave instruments on the Van Allen Probes and found signatures of microbursts with the Magnetic Electron Ion Spectrometer. To these observations we applied the relativistic theory of wave-particle resonant diffusion and found that the motion of the microburst electrons was not along single-wave particle characteristics in momentum phase space, given the spacecraft position and orientation and most probable wave and plasma parameters. This result at first appears to contradict the belief that many members of the community hold, that microburst precipitation is due to a diffusive process. In reality both are probably valid on different time scales. Individual microbursts are probably not scattered diffusively, but the combined contribution of an ensemble of microbursts will have properties that are well modeled as a diffusion process.

The microburst sizes estimated in prior literature as well as Chapters ?? and ?? show that there is a large variability in microburst sizes although microbursts are relatively small. The study in Ch. ?? gave us a glimpse into the dynamics of a rarely observed bouncing packet microburst from a dual point measurement platform. This study has shed light on the lower bound latitudinal and longitudinal sizes of that microburst, and it was found to be larger than microburst sizes reported in recent literature, and somewhat smaller than the microburst sizes observed with high altitude balloons in the mid 1960s. Although this is probably an apples to oranges comparison because the microburst shape is still unknown and FIREBIRD was separated in latitude while balloons were separated mostly in longitude.

The AC6 microburst study in Ch. ?? showed that in LEO, 60% of the 662

microbursts were observed while the AC6 separation was less than a few tens of km while a minority of microbursts were observed up to ≈ 100 km separation. These conclusions agree with prior literature from high altitude balloons and LEO spacecraft, although as mentioned before the microburst shape makes comparisons somewhat ambiguous. What shape does a microburst have then? A circular microburst is easy to interpret and model due to its symmetry, but nature is not likely to be so perfect. For example, a circular microburst near the scattering region will be deformed into an ellipse when it gets to LEO by the changing topology of Earth's magnetic field lines. Microbursts may also have an exotic shape, but this can not be further investigated without direct observations of the microburst footprint. One feasible solutions exists: a X-ray imager on a high altitude balloon which will be discussed in the next section.

Future Work

An extension of the case study in Chapter ?? will be a statistical study using the Van Allen Probes. Other microburst-like events have already been identified by eye. These other events were also simultaneously observed with enhanced wave activity, hence they may be related and a further investigation is warranted. A microburst detection scheme similar to the one used in Chapter ?? can be easily implemented to automatically identify other microbursts for further study. A few compelling questions that can be addressed with this study are: what is the typical pitch angle extent of microbursts? Do these microbursts have a similar MLT distribution to microbursts observed in LEO? What fraction of microbursts were observed during enhanced wave activity? What wave modes and properties are observed during these events? And lastly, what fraction of microbursts can be modeled with a diffusive process?

Another study related to the electron bounce period analysis done in Ch. ?? can

51 be used to verify magnetic field models and in particular the length of magnetic field
 52 lines. Current magnetospheric magnetic field models assume that Earth's magnetic
 53 field is relatively static e.g. the International Geomagnetic Reference Field, and
 54 superpose that field with a highly dynamic field model whose dynamics are driven by
 55 the plasma environment in the magnetosphere and the solar wind. The difficulty lies
 56 in accurately modeling this dynamic field, and verifying these models is somewhat
 57 difficult. One verification technique involves identifying bouncing packet microbursts
 58 observed by SAMPEX and FIREBIRD, and then estimate the electron bounce period.
 59 Then a similar analysis to the one in Ch. ?? can be applied to quantify model accuracy
 60 for a family of magnetospheric models via the length of the magnetic field line between
 61 the bounce points. Identifying the bouncing packet microbursts is not easy, but may
 62 be possible with an auto-correlation or machine learning approaches e.g. a neural
 63 network.

64 The last project described here that can be done with existing data is to test
 65 the hypothesis that curtains, that were briefly described in Ch. ??, are the remnants
 66 of microbursts in the drift loss cone. One way to test this hypothesis is to look for
 67 the occurrence rates of curtains eastward and westward of the SAA. If curtains are
 68 electrons in the drift loss cone then the SAA will remove curtains as they drift to the
 69 east. Thus under the proposed hypothesis the number of curtains should be greater
 70 just to the west of the SAA than to the east of the SAA. An alternative approach
 71 to test this hypothesis is to estimate how the flux in each curtain changes between
 72 the two AC6 units. If curtains are drifting and have a falling energy spectra, then
 73 the larger number of slower-drifting electrons will appear as an enhancement in the
 74 curtain flux for the trailing spacecraft. If such a trend is apparent then curtains must
 75 be drifting, otherwise they may be actively scattered in the same location. Then one
 76 idea to entertain is the relation of curtains to precipitation bands reported in prior

77 literature.

78 Another approach to determine if microburst scattering is a diffusive or a non-
 79 linear process can be done in LEO where the transport of microburst electrons inside
 80 the loss cone can be more easily observed. In contrast to particle measurements
 81 made near the magnetic equator where the local loss cone is only a few degrees, the
 82 loss cone in LEO is $\approx 60^\circ$ which is much easier to resolve with an instrument with
 83 multiple look directions. With this measurement, different scattering mechanisms
 84 can be discriminated. If the scattering process is diffusive, then the microburst flux
 85 will be monotonically decreasing (or flat) deeper into the loss cone. A non-linear
 86 scattering process, on the other hand, will have a more complex pitch angle vs flux
 87 profile e.g. a relative maximum at 0° , followed by decreasing flux towards the loss
 88 cone boundary. One mission that plans to make this measurement is The Relativistic
 89 Electron Atmospheric Loss (REAL) CubeSat. This CubeSat, planned to launch in
 90 2021, will sample the inside and outside of the loss cone with a solid state detector
 91 with a five look direction collimator.

92 Lastly, as previously mentioned the microburst shape is an unknown parameter
 93 that adds ambiguity when comparing the results from the AC6 study in Ch. ?? and
 94 prior literature from balloons. Imaging microburst precipitation is one of the most
 95 feasible ways to see the microburst shape. This imaging is possible because when
 96 microburst electrons impact the atmosphere, they scatter with Earth's atmosphere
 97 and generate bremsstrahlung X-rays. These X-rays have a relatively long mean
 98 free path above the Pfofzer maximum above which a balloon-borne imager will
 99 predominately observe primary X-rays emitted directly from the microburst electrons.
 100 This idea is the basis for the upcoming Balloon Observations Of Microburst Scales
 101 (BOOMS) mission. The idea of BOOMS is to fly a set of X-ray pinhole imagers
 102 containing a scintillator crystal (to convert from X-rays to visible light) and a grid

103 of photomultiplier tubes (PMT) underneath to record the distribution of light. With
104 triangulation techniques, this distribution of light across the grid of PMTs together
105 with instrument modeling can be used to convert between the PMT signal and the
106 angular position for each observed X-ray. When exposed for a longer duration, a
107 probabilistic image can then be constructed of the microburst X-ray source. Then
108 the shape, and any spatial correlations e.g., a microburst train is moving north to
109 south, can also be observed.

110

- 111 Abel, B. and Thorne, R. M. (1998). Electron scattering loss in earth's inner
 112 magnetosphere: 1. dominant physical processes. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*
 113 *Space Physics*, 103(A2):2385–2396.
- 114 Agapitov, O., Blum, L. W., Mozer, F. S., Bonnell, J. W., and Wygant, J. (2017).
 115 Chorus whistler wave source scales as determined from multipoint van allen probe
 116 measurements. *Geophysical Research Letters*, pages n/a–n/a. 2017GL072701.
- 117 Agapitov, O., Krasnoselskikh, V., Dudok de Wit, T., Khotyaintsev, Y., Pickett,
 118 J. S., Santolik, O., and Rolland, G. (2011). Multispacecraft observations of chorus
 119 emissions as a tool for the plasma density fluctuations' remote sensing. *Journal of*
 120 *Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 116(A9):n/a–n/a. A09222.
- 121 Agapitov, O., Krasnoselskikh, V., Zaliznyak, Y., Angelopoulos, V., Le Contel, O.,
 122 and Rolland, G. (2010). Chorus source region localization in the earth's outer
 123 magnetosphere using themis measurements. *Annales Geophysicae*, 28(6):1377–
 124 1386.
- 125 Anderson, B., Shekhar, S., Millan, R., Crew, A., Spence, H., Klumpar, D., Blake, J.,
 126 O'Brien, T., and Turner, D. (2017). Spatial scale and duration of one microburst
 127 region on 13 August 2015. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*.
- 128 Anderson, K. A. and Milton, D. W. (1964). Balloon observations of X rays in the
 129 auroral zone: 3. High time resolution studies. *Journal of Geophysical Research*,
 130 69(21):4457–4479.
- 131 Blake, J., Looper, M., Baker, D., Nakamura, R., Klecker, B., and Hovestadt, D.
 132 (1996). New high temporal and spatial resolution measurements by sampex of the
 133 precipitation of relativistic electrons. *Advances in Space Research*, 18(8):171 – 186.
- 134 Blum, L., Li, X., and Denton, M. (2015). Rapid MeV electron precipitation as
 135 observed by SAMPEX/HILT during high-speed stream-driven storms. *Journal of*
 136 *Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 120(5):3783–3794. 2014JA020633.
- 137 Boscher, D., Bourdarie, S., O'Brien, P., Guild, T., and Shumko, M. (2012). Irbem-lib
 138 library.
- 139 Breneman, A., Crew, A., Sample, J., Klumpar, D., Johnson, A., Agapitov, O.,
 140 Shumko, M., Turner, D., Santolik, O., Wygant, J., et al. (2017). Observations
 141 directly linking relativistic electron microbursts to whistler mode chorus: Van allen
 142 probes and FIREBIRD II. *Geophysical Research Letters*.
- 143 Comess, M., Smith, D., Selesnick, R., Millan, R., and Sample, J. (2013). Duskside
 144 relativistic electron precipitation as measured by sampex: A statistical survey.
 145 *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 118(8):5050–5058.

- Crew, A. B., Spence, H. E., Blake, J. B., Klumpar, D. M., Larsen, B. A., O'Brien, T. P., Driscoll, S., Handley, M., Legere, J., Longworth, S., Mashburn, K., Mosleh, E., Ryhajlo, N., Smith, S., Springer, L., and Widholm, M. (2016). First multipoint in situ observations of electron microbursts: Initial results from the NSF FIREBIRD II mission. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 121(6):5272–5283. 2016JA022485.
- Datta, S., Skoug, R., McCarthy, M., and Parks, G. (1997). Modeling of microburst electron precipitation using pitch angle diffusion theory. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 102(A8):17325–17333.
- Dietrich, S., Rodger, C. J., Clilverd, M. A., Bortnik, J., and Raita, T. (2010). Relativistic microburst storm characteristics: Combined satellite and ground-based observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 115(A12).
- Fang, X., Randall, C. E., Lummerzheim, D., Wang, W., Lu, G., Solomon, S. C., and Frahm, R. A. (2010). Parameterization of monoenergetic electron impact ionization. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 37(22).
- Gurnett, D., Anderson, R., Scarf, F., Fredricks, R., and Smith, E. (1979). Initial results from the isee-1 and-2 plasma wave investigation. *Space Science Reviews*, 23(1):103–122.
- Horne, R. B. and Thorne, R. M. (2003). Relativistic electron acceleration and precipitation during resonant interactions with whistler-mode chorus. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 30(10). 1527.
- Kletzing, C., Kurth, W., Acuna, M., MacDowall, R., Torbert, R., Averkamp, T., Bodet, D., Bounds, S., Chutter, M., Connerney, J., et al. (2013). The electric and magnetic field instrument suite and integrated science (EMFISIS) on RBSP. *Space Science Reviews*, 179(1-4):127–181.
- Klumpar, D., Springer, L., Mosleh, E., Mashburn, K., Berardinelli, S., Gunderson, A., Handly, M., Ryhajlo, N., Spence, H., Smith, S., Legere, J., Widholm, M., Longworth, S., Crew, A., Larsen, B., Blake, J., and Walmsley, N. (2015). Flight system technologies enabling the twin-cubesat firebird-ii scientific mission.
- Lee, J. J., Parks, G. K., Lee, E., Tsurutani, B. T., Hwang, J., Cho, K. S., Kim, K.-H., Park, Y. D., Min, K. W., and McCarthy, M. P. (2012). Anisotropic pitch angle distribution of 100 keV microburst electrons in the loss cone: measurements from STSAT-1. *Annales Geophysicae*, 30(11):1567–1573.
- Lee, J.-J., Parks, G. K., Min, K. W., Kim, H. J., Park, J., Hwang, J., McCarthy, M. P., Lee, E., Ryu, K. S., Lim, J. T., Sim, E. S., Lee, H. W., Kang, K. I., and Park, H. Y. (2005). Energy spectra of 170-360 keV electron microbursts measured by the korean STSAT-1. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 32(13). L13106.

- Li, W., Thorne, R. M., Angelopoulos, V., Bortnik, J., Cully, C. M., Ni, B., LeContel, O., Roux, A., Auster, U., and Magnes, W. (2009). Global distribution of whistler-mode chorus waves observed on the THEMIS spacecraft. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 36(9). L09104.
- Lorentzen, K. R., Blake, J. B., Inan, U. S., and Bortnik, J. (2001a). Observations of relativistic electron microbursts in association with VLF chorus. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 106(A4):6017–6027.
- Lorentzen, K. R., Looper, M. D., and Blake, J. B. (2001b). Relativistic electron microbursts during the GEM storms. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 28(13):2573–2576.
- Mauk, B., Fox, N. J., Kanekal, S., Kessel, R., Sibeck, D., and Ukhorskiy, A. (2013). Science objectives and rationale for the radiation belt storm probes mission. *Space Science Reviews*, 179(1-4):3–27.
- Meredith, N., Horne, R., Summers, D., Thorne, R., Iles, R., Heynderickx, D., and Anderson, R. (2002). Evidence for acceleration of outer zone electrons to relativistic energies by whistler mode chorus. In *Annales Geophysicae*, volume 20, pages 967–979.
- Millan, R. and Thorne, R. (2007). Review of radiation belt relativistic electron losses. *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics*, 69(3):362 – 377.
- Millan, R. M., Lin, R., Smith, D., Lorentzen, K., and McCarthy, M. (2002). X-ray observations of mev electron precipitation with a balloon-borne germanium spectrometer. *Geophysical research letters*, 29(24).
- Mozer, F. S., Agapitov, O. V., Blake, J. B., and Vasko, I. Y. (2018). Simultaneous observations of lower band chorus emissions at the equator and microburst precipitating electrons in the ionosphere. *Geophysical Research Letters*.
- Nakamura, R., Baker, D. N., Blake, J. B., Kanekal, S., Klecker, B., and Hovestadt, D. (1995). Relativistic electron precipitation enhancements near the outer edge of the radiation belt. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 22(9):1129–1132.
- Nakamura, R., Isowa, M., Kamide, Y., Baker, D., Blake, J., and Looper, M. (2000). Observations of relativistic electron microbursts in association with VLF chorus. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105:15875–15885.
- O’Brien, T. P., Looper, M. D., and Blake, J. B. (2004). Quantification of relativistic electron microburst losses during the GEM storms. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 31(4). L04802.

- 217 O'Brien, T. P., Lorentzen, K. R., Mann, I. R., Meredith, N. P., Blake, J. B., Fennell,
218 J. F., Looper, M. D., Milling, D. K., and Anderson, R. R. (2003). Energization of
219 relativistic electrons in the presence of ULF power and MeV microbursts: Evidence
220 for dual ULF and VLF acceleration. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space*
221 *Physics*, 108(A8).
- 222 Olson, W. P. and Pfizter, K. A. (1982). A dynamic model of the magnetospheric
223 magnetic and electric fields for july 29, 1977. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*
224 *Space Physics*, 87(A8):5943–5948.
- 225 Parks, G. (2003). *Physics Of Space Plasmas: An Introduction, Second Edition*.
226 Westview Press.
- 227 Parks, G. K. (1967). Spatial characteristics of auroral-zone X-ray microbursts. *Journal*
228 *of Geophysical Research*, 72(1):215–226.
- 229 Santolik, O., Gurnett, D., Pickett, J., Parrot, M., and Cornilleau-Wehrlin, N. (2003).
230 Spatio-temporal structure of storm-time chorus. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*
231 *Space Physics*, 108(A7).
- 232 Schulz, M. and Lanzerotti, L. J. (1974). *Particle Diffusion in the Radiation Belts*.
233 Springer.
- 234 Selesnick, R. S., Blake, J. B., and Mewaldt, R. A. (2003). Atmospheric losses of
235 radiation belt electrons. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 108(A12).
236 1468.
- 237 Shprits, Y. Y., Meredith, N. P., and Thorne, R. M. (2007). Parameterization
238 of radiation belt electron loss timescales due to interactions with chorus waves.
239 *Geophysical Research Letters*, 34(11):n/a–n/a. L11110.
- 240 Shprits, Y. Y. and Thorne, R. M. (2004). Time dependent radial diffusion modeling
241 of relativistic electrons with realistic loss rates. *Geophysical Research Letters*,
242 31(8):n/a–n/a. L08805.
- 243 Spence, H. E., Blake, J. B., Crew, A. B., Driscoll, S., Klumpar, D. M., Larsen,
244 B. A., Legere, J., Longworth, S., Mosleh, E., O'Brien, T. P., Smith, S., Springer,
245 L., and Widholm, M. (2012). Focusing on size and energy dependence of electron
246 microbursts from the van allen radiation belts. *Space Weather*, 10(11).
- 247 Summers, D., Thorne, R. M., and Xiao, F. (1998). Relativistic theory of wave-particle
248 resonant diffusion with application to electron acceleration in the magnetosphere.
249 *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 103(A9):20487–20500.
- 250 Thorne, R. M. (2010). Radiation belt dynamics: The importance of wave-particle
251 interactions. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 37(22). L22107.

- 252 Thorne, R. M., O'Brien, T. P., Shprits, Y. Y., Summers, D., and Horne, R. B. (2005).
253 Timescale for MeV electron microburst loss during geomagnetic storms. *Journal*
254 *of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 110(A9). A09202.
- 255 Tsyganenko, N. (1989). A solution of the chapman-ferraro problem for an ellipsoidal
256 magnetopause. *Planetary and Space Science*, 37(9):1037 – 1046.
- 257 Tsyganenko, N. A. and Sitnov, M. I. (2005). Modeling the dynamics of the inner
258 magnetosphere during strong geomagnetic storms. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*
259 *Space Physics*, 110(A3).
- 260 Ukhorskiy, A. Y., Anderson, B. J., Brandt, P. C., and Tsyganenko, N. A. (2006).
261 Storm time evolution of the outer radiation belt: Transport and losses. *Journal of*
262 *Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 111(A11):n/a–n/a. A11S03.
- 263 Woodger, L., Halford, A., Millan, R., McCarthy, M., Smith, D., Bowers, G., Sample,
264 J., Anderson, B., and Liang, X. (2015). A summary of the BARREL campaigns:
265 Technique for studying electron precipitation. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*
266 *Space Physics*, 120(6):4922–4935.