

## CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

1. Microburst scattering mechanism and relation to prior work
2. Microburst sizes and the elliptical shape, mention the curtain-microburst ambiguity.

In this dissertation we have explored the microburst scattering mechanism directly in Chapter ?? and indirectly in Chapters ?? and ??. In Chapter ?? we used numerous particle and wave instruments on the Van Allen Probes and found signatures of microbursts with the Magnetic Electron Ion Spectrometer. To these observations we applied the relativistic theory of wave-particle resonant diffusion and found that the motion of the microburst electrons was not along single-wave particle characteristics in momentum phase space, given the spacecraft position and orientation and most probable wave and plasma parameters. This result at first appears to contradict the belief that many members of the community hold, that microburst precipitation is due to a diffusive process. In reality both are probably valid, just on different time scales. Individual microbursts are probably not scattered diffusively, but the combined contribution of an ensemble of microbursts will have properties that are well modeled as a diffusion process.

The microburst sizes estimated in prior literature as well as Chapters ?? and ?? show that there is a large variability in microburst sizes. The AC6 study in Chapter ?? showed that in LEO, most microbursts were observed while the AC6 separation was less than a few tens of km while a minority of microbursts were observed up to  $\approx 100$  km separation. These conclusions agree with prior literature from high altitude balloons and LEO spacecraft. One unanswered question is what shape is a

microburst? A circular microburst is easy to interpret and model due to its symmetry, but nature is not likely to be so perfect. A circular microburst near the scattering region will be deformed into an ellipse by the changing topology of Earth's magnetic field lines. One feasible solutions exists: a X-ray imager on a high altitude balloon which will be discussed in the next section.

### Future Work

31

- In future work mention how curtains should be studied and outline that project. Mention the SAA and amplitude idea.
- Bouncing packet idea
- Mention inverse microburst analysis and compare to Saito.
- Mention REAL and how it plans to look at the microburst flux in PA space.
- Mention BOOMS and how it plans to image microbursts to determine their shape without ambiguity.

An extension of the case study in Chapter ?? will be a statistical study using the Van Allen Probes. Other microburst-like events have already been identified by eye. These other events are also simultaneously observed with enhanced wave activity, hence they may be related and a further investigation is warranted. A microburst detection scheme similar to the one used in Chapter ?? can be easily implemented to automatically identify other microbursts for further study. A few compelling questions that can be addressed with this study are: what is the typical pitch angle extent of microbursts? Do these microbursts have a similar MLT extent

47 to microbursts observed in LEO? What fraction of microbursts were observed during  
 48 enhanced wave activity? What wave modes and properties are observed during these  
 49 events? And lastly, what fraction of microbursts can be modeled with a diffusive  
 50 process?

51 Another approach to determine if microburst scattering is a diffusive or a non-  
 52 linear process can be done in LEO. In contrast to particle measurements made near  
 53 the magnetic equator where the local loss cone is only a few degrees, the loss cone in  
 54 LEO is  $\approx 60^\circ$  which is much easier to resolve with an instrument with multiple  
 55 look directions. With this measurement, different scattering mechanisms can be  
 56 discriminated. If the scattering process is diffusive, then the microburst flux will be  
 57 monotonically decreasing (or flat) deeper into the loss cone. A non-linear scattering  
 58 process, on the other hand, will have a more complex pitch angle vs flux profile  
 59 e.g. a relative maximum at  $0^\circ$ , followed by decreasing flux towards the loss cone  
 60 boundary. One mission that plans to make this measurement is The Relativistic  
 61 Electron Atmospheric Loss (REAL) CubeSat. This CubeSat, planned to launch in  
 62 2021, will sample the inside the outside the loss cone with a solid state detector with  
 63 a five look direction collimator.

64 With these results, it is always important to keep our methods and their biases  
 65 in mind. Werner Heisenberg once wrote “What we observe is not nature itself, but  
 66 nature exposed to our method of questioning.” ?

- 68 Abel, B. and Thorne, R. M. (1998). Electron scattering loss in earth's inner  
69 magnetosphere: 1. dominant physical processes. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*  
70 *Space Physics*, 103(A2):2385–2396.
- 71 Agapitov, O., Blum, L. W., Mozer, F. S., Bonnell, J. W., and Wygant, J. (2017).  
72 Chorus whistler wave source scales as determined from multipoint van allen probe  
73 measurements. *Geophysical Research Letters*, pages n/a–n/a. 2017GL072701.
- 74 Agapitov, O., Krasnoselskikh, V., Dudok de Wit, T., Khotyaintsev, Y., Pickett,  
75 J. S., Santolik, O., and Rolland, G. (2011). Multispacecraft observations of chorus  
76 emissions as a tool for the plasma density fluctuations' remote sensing. *Journal of*  
77 *Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 116(A9):n/a–n/a. A09222.
- 78 Agapitov, O., Krasnoselskikh, V., Zaliznyak, Y., Angelopoulos, V., Le Contel, O.,  
79 and Rolland, G. (2010). Chorus source region localization in the earth's outer  
80 magnetosphere using themis measurements. *Annales Geophysicae*, 28(6):1377–  
81 1386.
- 82 Anderson, B., Shekhar, S., Millan, R., Crew, A., Spence, H., Klumpar, D., Blake, J.,  
83 O'Brien, T., and Turner, D. (2017). Spatial scale and duration of one microburst  
84 region on 13 August 2015. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*.
- 85 Anderson, K. A. and Milton, D. W. (1964). Balloon observations of X rays in the  
86 auroral zone: 3. High time resolution studies. *Journal of Geophysical Research*,  
87 69(21):4457–4479.
- 88 Blake, J., Looper, M., Baker, D., Nakamura, R., Klecker, B., and Hovestadt, D.  
89 (1996). New high temporal and spatial resolution measurements by sampex of the  
90 precipitation of relativistic electrons. *Advances in Space Research*, 18(8):171 – 186.
- 91 Blum, L., Li, X., and Denton, M. (2015). Rapid MeV electron precipitation as  
92 observed by SAMPEX/HILT during high-speed stream-driven storms. *Journal of*  
93 *Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 120(5):3783–3794. 2014JA020633.
- 94 Boscher, D., Bourdarie, S., O'Brien, P., Guild, T., and Shumko, M. (2012). Irbem-lib  
95 library.
- 96 Breneman, A., Crew, A., Sample, J., Klumpar, D., Johnson, A., Agapitov, O.,  
97 Shumko, M., Turner, D., Santolik, O., Wygant, J., et al. (2017). Observations  
98 directly linking relativistic electron microbursts to whistler mode chorus: Van allen  
99 probes and FIREBIRD II. *Geophysical Research Letters*.
- 100 Comess, M., Smith, D., Selesnick, R., Millan, R., and Sample, J. (2013). Duskside  
101 relativistic electron precipitation as measured by sampex: A statistical survey.  
102 *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 118(8):5050–5058.

- 103 Crew, A. B., Spence, H. E., Blake, J. B., Klumpar, D. M., Larsen, B. A., O'Brien,  
104 T. P., Driscoll, S., Handley, M., Legere, J., Longworth, S., Mashburn, K.,  
105 Mosleh, E., Ryhajlo, N., Smith, S., Springer, L., and Widholm, M. (2016). First  
106 multipoint in situ observations of electron microbursts: Initial results from the  
107 NSF FIREBIRD II mission. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*,  
108 121(6):5272–5283. 2016JA022485.
- 109 Datta, S., Skoug, R., McCarthy, M., and Parks, G. (1997). Modeling of microburst  
110 electron precipitation using pitch angle diffusion theory. *Journal of Geophysical  
111 Research: Space Physics*, 102(A8):17325–17333.
- 112 Dietrich, S., Rodger, C. J., Clilverd, M. A., Bortnik, J., and Raita, T. (2010).  
113 Relativistic microburst storm characteristics: Combined satellite and ground-based  
114 observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 115(A12).
- 115 Fang, X., Randall, C. E., Lummerzheim, D., Wang, W., Lu, G., Solomon, S. C., and  
116 Frahm, R. A. (2010). Parameterization of monoenergetic electron impact ionization.  
117 *Geophysical Research Letters*, 37(22).
- 118 Gurnett, D., Anderson, R., Scarf, F., Fredricks, R., and Smith, E. (1979). Initial  
119 results from the isee-1 and-2 plasma wave investigation. *Space Science Reviews*,  
120 23(1):103–122.
- 121 Horne, R. B. and Thorne, R. M. (2003). Relativistic electron acceleration and  
122 precipitation during resonant interactions with whistler-mode chorus. *Geophysical  
123 Research Letters*, 30(10). 1527.
- 124 Kletzing, C., Kurth, W., Acuna, M., MacDowall, R., Torbert, R., Averkamp, T.,  
125 Bodet, D., Bounds, S., Chutter, M., Connerney, J., et al. (2013). The electric and  
126 magnetic field instrument suite and integrated science (EMFISIS) on RBSP. *Space  
127 Science Reviews*, 179(1-4):127–181.
- 128 Klumpar, D., Springer, L., Mosleh, E., Mashburn, K., Berardinelli, S., Gunderson,  
129 A., Handly, M., Ryhajlo, N., Spence, H., Smith, S., Legere, J., Widholm, M.,  
130 Longworth, S., Crew, A., Larsen, B., Blake, J., and Walmsley, N. (2015). Flight  
131 system technologies enabling the twin-cubesat firebird-ii scientific mission.
- 132 Lee, J. J., Parks, G. K., Lee, E., Tsurutani, B. T., Hwang, J., Cho, K. S., Kim, K.-H.,  
133 Park, Y. D., Min, K. W., and McCarthy, M. P. (2012). Anisotropic pitch angle  
134 distribution of 100 keV microburst electrons in the loss cone: measurements from  
135 STSAT-1. *Annales Geophysicae*, 30(11):1567–1573.
- 136 Lee, J.-J., Parks, G. K., Min, K. W., Kim, H. J., Park, J., Hwang, J., McCarthy,  
137 M. P., Lee, E., Ryu, K. S., Lim, J. T., Sim, E. S., Lee, H. W., Kang, K. I., and  
138 Park, H. Y. (2005). Energy spectra of 170-360 keV electron microbursts measured  
139 by the korean STSAT-1. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 32(13). L13106.

- 140 Li, W., Thorne, R. M., Angelopoulos, V., Bortnik, J., Cully, C. M., Ni, B., LeContel,  
141 O., Roux, A., Auster, U., and Magnes, W. (2009). Global distribution of whistler-  
142 mode chorus waves observed on the THEMIS spacecraft. *Geophysical Research*  
143 *Letters*, 36(9). L09104.
- 144 Lorentzen, K. R., Blake, J. B., Inan, U. S., and Bortnik, J. (2001a). Observations  
145 of relativistic electron microbursts in association with VLF chorus. *Journal of*  
146 *Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 106(A4):6017–6027.
- 147 Lorentzen, K. R., Looper, M. D., and Blake, J. B. (2001b). Relativistic electron  
148 microbursts during the GEM storms. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 28(13):2573–  
149 2576.
- 150 Mauk, B., Fox, N. J., Kanekal, S., Kessel, R., Sibeck, D., and Ukhorskiy, A. (2013).  
151 Science objectives and rationale for the radiation belt storm probes mission. *Space*  
152 *Science Reviews*, 179(1-4):3–27.
- 153 Meredith, N., Horne, R., Summers, D., Thorne, R., Iles, R., Heynderickx, D., and  
154 Anderson, R. (2002). Evidence for acceleration of outer zone electrons to relativistic  
155 energies by whistler mode chorus. In *Annales Geophysicae*, volume 20, pages 967–  
156 979.
- 157 Millan, R. and Thorne, R. (2007). Review of radiation belt relativistic electron losses.  
158 *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics*, 69(3):362 – 377.
- 159 Millan, R. M., Lin, R., Smith, D., Lorentzen, K., and McCarthy, M. (2002). X-  
160 ray observations of mev electron precipitation with a balloon-borne germanium  
161 spectrometer. *Geophysical research letters*, 29(24).
- 162 Mozer, F. S., Agapitov, O. V., Blake, J. B., and Vasko, I. Y. (2018). Simultaneous  
163 observations of lower band chorus emissions at the equator and microburst  
164 precipitating electrons in the ionosphere. *Geophysical Research Letters*.
- 165 Nakamura, R., Baker, D. N., Blake, J. B., Kanekal, S., Klecker, B., and Hovestadt,  
166 D. (1995). Relativistic electron precipitation enhancements near the outer edge of  
167 the radiation belt. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 22(9):1129–1132.
- 168 Nakamura, R., Isowa, M., Kamide, Y., Baker, D., Blake, J., and Looper, M. (2000).  
169 Observations of relativistic electron microbursts in association with VLF chorus.  
170 *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105:15875–15885.
- 171 O’Brien, T. P., Looper, M. D., and Blake, J. B. (2004). Quantification of relativistic  
172 electron microburst losses during the GEM storms. *Geophysical Research Letters*,  
173 31(4). L04802.

- 174 O'Brien, T. P., Lorentzen, K. R., Mann, I. R., Meredith, N. P., Blake, J. B., Fennell,  
175 J. F., Looper, M. D., Milling, D. K., and Anderson, R. R. (2003). Energization of  
176 relativistic electrons in the presence of ULF power and MeV microbursts: Evidence  
177 for dual ULF and VLF acceleration. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space*  
178 *Physics*, 108(A8).
- 179 Olson, W. P. and Pfizter, K. A. (1982). A dynamic model of the magnetospheric  
180 magnetic and electric fields for july 29, 1977. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*  
181 *Space Physics*, 87(A8):5943–5948.
- 182 Parks, G. (2003). *Physics Of Space Plasmas: An Introduction, Second Edition*.  
183 Westview Press.
- 184 Parks, G. K. (1967). Spatial characteristics of auroral-zone X-ray microbursts. *Journal*  
185 *of Geophysical Research*, 72(1):215–226.
- 186 Santolik, O., Gurnett, D., Pickett, J., Parrot, M., and Cornilleau-Wehrlin, N. (2003).  
187 Spatio-temporal structure of storm-time chorus. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*  
188 *Space Physics*, 108(A7).
- 189 Schulz, M. and Lanzerotti, L. J. (1974). *Particle Diffusion in the Radiation Belts*.  
190 Springer.
- 191 Selesnick, R. S., Blake, J. B., and Mewaldt, R. A. (2003). Atmospheric losses of  
192 radiation belt electrons. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 108(A12).  
193 1468.
- 194 Shprits, Y. Y., Meredith, N. P., and Thorne, R. M. (2007). Parameterization  
195 of radiation belt electron loss timescales due to interactions with chorus waves.  
196 *Geophysical Research Letters*, 34(11):n/a–n/a. L11110.
- 197 Shprits, Y. Y. and Thorne, R. M. (2004). Time dependent radial diffusion modeling  
198 of relativistic electrons with realistic loss rates. *Geophysical Research Letters*,  
199 31(8):n/a–n/a. L08805.
- 200 Spence, H. E., Blake, J. B., Crew, A. B., Driscoll, S., Klumpar, D. M., Larsen,  
201 B. A., Legere, J., Longworth, S., Mosleh, E., O'Brien, T. P., Smith, S., Springer,  
202 L., and Widholm, M. (2012). Focusing on size and energy dependence of electron  
203 microbursts from the van allen radiation belts. *Space Weather*, 10(11).
- 204 Summers, D., Thorne, R. M., and Xiao, F. (1998). Relativistic theory of wave-particle  
205 resonant diffusion with application to electron acceleration in the magnetosphere.  
206 *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 103(A9):20487–20500.
- 207 Thorne, R. M. (2010). Radiation belt dynamics: The importance of wave-particle  
208 interactions. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 37(22). L22107.

- 209 Thorne, R. M., O'Brien, T. P., Shprits, Y. Y., Summers, D., and Horne, R. B. (2005).  
 210 Timescale for MeV electron microburst loss during geomagnetic storms. *Journal*  
 211 *of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 110(A9). A09202.
- 212 Tsyganenko, N. (1989). A solution of the chapman-ferraro problem for an ellipsoidal  
 213 magnetopause. *Planetary and Space Science*, 37(9):1037 – 1046.
- 214 Tsyganenko, N. A. and Sitnov, M. I. (2005). Modeling the dynamics of the inner  
 215 magnetosphere during strong geomagnetic storms. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*  
 216 *Space Physics*, 110(A3).
- 217 Ukhorskiy, A. Y., Anderson, B. J., Brandt, P. C., and Tsyganenko, N. A. (2006).  
 218 Storm time evolution of the outer radiation belt: Transport and losses. *Journal of*  
 219 *Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 111(A11):n/a–n/a. A11S03.
- 220 Woodger, L., Halford, A., Millan, R., McCarthy, M., Smith, D., Bowers, G., Sample,  
 221 J., Anderson, B., and Liang, X. (2015). A summary of the BARREL campaigns:  
 222 Technique for studying electron precipitation. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*  
 223 *Space Physics*, 120(6):4922–4935.