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1. Peer review: Hand it the peer copy document review with answers to these questions. Note that I have read all the copy documents, and everyone has something to improve. Don’t say everything is great.
   1. Who’s copy document did you review?

Sam Mccain

* 1. What typos are in your partner’s copy document?

There were some mistakes in the spelling of the word receiver as well as statements that required a couple extra is and its to make it sound fluid.

* 1. What didn’t they communicate clearly? Give me details here?

I’m not just saying this to take an easy way out but I think this is a very hard subject to tackle. Since I am a pretty big fan of football I understood what was being communicated but I think people with little to no knowledge of the game would feel like they were trying to learn a foreign language when reading this. Obviously this page was made to explain concepts to people who are unfamiliar with the sport so I think maybe like an index or definitions for some of football terms used could be very helpful.

* 1. Did the copy seem like it was too much/not enough? Explain.

I think an extra page or an addition to the cover page could be very useful. Explaining terms like apex and banjo check would make it a lot easier to read through this website.

* 1. Where could your partner use headings, bullet points, etc. to make the copy stand out more to users?

I honestly think he did a good job with the bullets and headings the formatting of the page was really good and made it very easy to follow.

* 1. Any other comments?  
     Obviously the website is missing the video component so it could make a lot more sense once that is added.