

**HOME WORK #4**

---

Problem	Marks
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
Total	

**Problem 1.** (Security of RSA, 15 marks)

(a)

We have that:  $n = pq$ ,  $\phi(n) = \phi(p)\phi(q) = (p-1)(q-1)$

so,  $\phi(n) = pq + 1 - p - q$

$$= n + 1 - p - q \quad (\mathbf{b/c \text{ } pq = n})$$

$$p + q = n - \phi(n) + 1$$

$$q = n - \phi(n) - p + 1$$

since  $n = pq$

$$n = p(n - \phi(n) - p + 1)$$

$$= p^2 - p(\phi(n) + 1)$$

which means  $p^2 - p(\phi(n) + 1) - n = 0$

because we know  $n$  and  $\phi(n)$  we can solve this using the well known quadratic formula where,

$$a = 1$$

$$b = (n - \phi(n) + 1)$$

$$c = n$$

$$\text{making } p = \frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a}$$

(b)

We know that:  $GCD(e_1, e_2) = 1$  so,  $\exists x, y$  such that  $x * e_1 + y * e_2 = 1 \pmod{n}$

Using the Extended Euclidean Algorithm, we can compute  $x, y$

At which time we have  $C_1 * C_2 = (M^{e_1})^x * (M^{e_2})^y = M^{e_1 * x + e_2 * y} = M^1 = M$

(c)

Given that this message is encrypted for all of the key owners.

Also given that  $M < n_i$  for each  $i$

Also given that  $1 \leq i \leq k$

According to the Chinese Remainder Theorem there is a unique  $x < n_1 n_2 \dots n_k$  such that for each  $i$  we have  $x = C_i \pmod{n_i}$  The CTR describes how we can compute  $x$

$M^k < n_1 n_2 \dots n_k$ , and also satisfies these equations. So,  $x = M^k$

(d)

**Problem 2.** (Fast RSA decryption using Chinese remaindering, 6 marks)

**Given that:**

$$\begin{aligned}C &\equiv M^e \pmod{n} \\d_p &\equiv d \pmod{p-1} \\d_q &\equiv d \pmod{q-1} \\M_p &\equiv C^{d_p} \pmod{p} \\M_q &\equiv C^{d_q} \pmod{q}\end{aligned}$$

**We can say that:**

$$C \equiv M^e \pmod{p}$$

**because:**

$$\begin{aligned}C &\equiv M^e \pmod{n} \text{ so,} \\C &= M^e + n(k) \text{ for some } k \\&= M^e + p(qk) \text{ for some } k\end{aligned}$$

**we can use similar logic to say that:**

$$C \equiv M^e \pmod{q}$$

**so because,**

$$d_p \equiv d \pmod{p-1}$$

**we can say that:**  $d_p = d + (p-1)(t)$  **for some**  $t$

**so for,**  $M_p \pmod{p}$

$$M_p \equiv C^{d_p} \equiv C^{d+(p-1)(t)} \equiv C^d * (C^{(p-1)})^t \equiv C^d \equiv M^{ed} \equiv M \pmod{p}$$

**The same can be said for**  $M_q \pmod{q}$

**since**  $M_p \equiv M \pmod{p}$  **we can say that**

$$M_p = M + p(k) \text{ for some } k$$

**and since**  $M_q \equiv M \pmod{q}$  **we can say that**

$$M_q = M + q(t) \text{ for some } t$$

**so, setting**  $M' \equiv pxM_q + qyM_p \pmod{n}$

**and subbing for**  $M_q$  **and**  $M_p$

$$M' \equiv px(M + q(t)) + qy(M + p(k)) \pmod{pq}$$

$$M' \equiv M(px) + \cancel{pxq(t)} + M(qy) + \cancel{pqy(k)} \pmod{pq}$$

$$M' \equiv M(px + qy) \pmod{pq}$$

$$M' \equiv M \pmod{pq} \quad \square$$

**Problem 3.** (An IND-CPA, but not IND-CCA secure version of RSA, 8 marks)

**Given that:**

$$r < n$$

$$s = r^e \pmod{n}$$

$$t = H(r) \oplus M$$

**and given that:**

$$\textbf{Encryption} \rightarrow C = s || t = r^e \pmod{n} || H(r) \oplus M$$

$$\begin{aligned} \textbf{Decryption} \rightarrow M &= H(s^d \pmod{n}) \oplus t \\ &= H(r^{ed} \pmod{n}) \oplus H(r) \oplus M \\ &= H(r \pmod{n}) \oplus H(r) \oplus M \end{aligned}$$

**Choosing a ciphertext of:**

$$C = s || t \oplus M_1$$

**gives a decryption of:**

$$M_i = H(r \pmod{n}) \oplus H(r) \oplus M_i \oplus M_1$$

$$M_i = H(r) \oplus H(r) \oplus M_i \oplus M_1$$

$$M_i = M_i \oplus M_1$$

**Which means that we can tell with 100 % certainty if the message is  $M_1$  or  $M_2$ , i.e. If it decrypts to 0, then it is  $M_1$  otherwise it is  $M_2$**

**Problem 4.** (Attacks on the ElGamal signature scheme, 23 marks)

(a)

(i)

**We are given:**  $(r, s_1), (r, s_2)$

**assuming that intercepting  $(r, s_1), (r, s_2)$**

**means we also have also intercepted  $M_1, M_2$  then,**

$$s_1 - s_2 \equiv [H(M_1 || r) - xr]K^{-1} - [H(M_2 || r) - xr]K^{-1} \pmod{p-1}$$

$$\equiv [H(M_1 || r) - H(M_2 || r)]K^{-1} \pmod{p-1}$$

**so if we knew  $K$**

$$K(s_1 - s_2) \equiv [H(M_1 || r) - H(M_2 || r)] \pmod{p-1}$$

**but we know that  $\gcd((s_1 - s_2), p-1) = 1$**

**so we can find an inverse for  $(s_1 - s_2)$**

$$K(s_1 - s_2)(s_1 - s_2)^{-1} \equiv [H(M_1 || r) - H(M_2 || r)](s_1 - s_2)^{-1} \pmod{p-1}$$

$$K \equiv [H(M_1 || r) - H(M_2 || r)](s_1 - s_2)^{-1} \pmod{p-1}$$

**giving us  $K$  in terms of things we know, mainly,**

$$H(M_1 || r), H(M_2 || r), (s_1 - s_2)^{-1}$$

(ii)

Given that we know  $K$  and that we can re-arrange the equation

$$xr = H(M, r) - ks \pmod{p-1}$$

Since we know  $r, k, s$  and  $sH(M, r)$  and we know that  $\gcd(r, (p-1)) = 1$

We can find an inverse for  $r$  giving,

$$xrr^{-1} \equiv r^{-1}(H(M, r) - ks) \pmod{p-1}$$

$$x \equiv r^{-1}(H(M, r) - ks) \pmod{p-1}$$

(b)

(i)

We want to show that  $v_1 = v_2$  or

$$y^r r^s \equiv g^M \pmod{p}$$

$$y^r r^{-rv*} \equiv g^M \pmod{p}$$

$$y^r (g^u y^v)^{-rv*} \equiv g^M \pmod{p}$$

$$y^r y^{vv*-r} g^{u-rv*} \equiv g^M \pmod{p}$$

$$y^x y^{-r} g^{u-rv*} \equiv g^M \pmod{p}$$

$$g^{su} \equiv g^M \pmod{p}$$

$$g^M \equiv g^M \pmod{p}$$

(c)

(i)

Given

$$R \equiv rup - r(p-1) \pmod{p(p-1)} \text{ we can state that}$$

$$R = rup - r(p-1) + p(p-1)(k) \text{ for some } k$$

taking the entire statement above  $\pmod{p-1}$  we have

$$R \equiv rup - r(p-1) + p(p-1)(k) \pmod{p-1}$$

We can re-write the equation as:

$$R \equiv ru(p-1) + ru \pmod{p-1} \text{ giving us:}$$

$$R \equiv ru \pmod{p-1}$$

So, we can again re-write the equation as:

$$R = ru + (p-1)(t) \text{ for some } t, \text{ and thus we can conclude that:}$$

$$y^R \equiv y^{ru+t(p-1)} \equiv y^{ru} (y^t)^{p-1} \pmod{p}$$

(ii)

**Given**

$$R \equiv rup - r(p-1) \pmod{p(p-1)} \text{ we can state that}$$

$$R = rup - r(p-1) + p(p-1)(k) \text{ for some } k$$

**taking the entire statement above**  $\pmod{p-1}$  **we have**

$$R \equiv \cancel{rup} - r(p-1) + \cancel{p(p-1)(k)} \pmod{p}$$

$$R \equiv -rp + r \pmod{p}$$

$$R \equiv r \pmod{p}$$

**and given**

$$S \equiv su \pmod{p-1} \text{ we can state that}$$

$$S = su + (p-1)(t) \text{ for some } t$$

**giving us**

$$R^s \equiv R^{su+(k)(p-1)} \equiv R^{su} * \cancel{(R^k)^{(p-1)}} \pmod{p}$$

**and from the section above,**

$$R \equiv r \pmod{p} \text{ so } R^{su} \equiv r^{su} \pmod{p}$$

*Submitted by Mike Simister - 10095107 on December 9, 2016.*