1. (5 points) In class, we outlined a predictor-corrector framework for implementing an implicit Adams–Moulton method of a given order, as follows: At the nth step, "predict" a next solution value  $y_{n+1}^{AB}$  using an explicit Adams–Bashforth method of the same order, then "correct" to obtain  $y_{n+1}^{AM}$  specified by the implicit Adams–Moulton method. As noted in class, this framework allows estimating local error using the difference between the "predicted" and "corrected" values  $y_{n+1}^{AB}$  and  $y_{n+1}^{AM}$ , specifically

local error 
$$\approx \frac{C_{\mathrm{AM}}}{C_{\mathrm{AM}} - C_{\mathrm{AB}}} \left( y_{n+1}^{\mathrm{AM}} - y_{n+1}^{\mathrm{AB}} \right),$$

where  $C_{AB}$  and  $C_{AM}$  are the constants in the leading local error terms for the Adams–Bashforth and Adams–Moulton methods, respectively. Suppose you use fourth-order Adams–Bashforth "predictor" and Adams–Moulton "corrector" methods. What is  $C_{AM}/(C_{AM}-C_{AB})$  in this case? You can find expressions for the local errors on the PDF file "Adams Methods Formulas" posted on the "Handouts" page.<sup>2</sup>

2. (10 points) Recall from Homeworks 1 and 3 the IVP for the damped mechanical oscillator:

$$x'' + Dx' + x = 0$$
,  $x(0) = x'(0) = 1$ .

As before, this can be recast as a first-order system  $y' = f(t, y), y(0) = y_0$ , by setting

$$y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} x \\ x' \end{pmatrix}, \quad f(t,y) = \begin{pmatrix} y_2 \\ -y_1 - Dy_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad y_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Use MATLAB's ode113, which implements an Adams–Bashforth–Moulton predictor-corrector algorithm, to solve this IVP from t=0 to t=20 with D=.1, 1, 10, 100. Use odeset to set the RelTol and AbsTol error tolerances to  $10^{-8}$  and also to set the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>If the ODE is linear, then the equation determining  $y_{n+1}^{AM}$  is a linear system. If this system can be solved using a direct (i.e., non-iterative) linear-algebra method, then the "prediction" step isn't necessary. In the general nonlinear case, as well as in the linear case when iterative linear-algebra methods are appropriate, the "predicted" value  $y_{n+1}^{AB}$  is used as an initial approximate solution in an iterative procedure, which is applied to determine an acceptable approximation of  $y_{n+1}^{AM}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>These expressions involve derivatives  $y^{(k)}(\xi_n)$ . The point  $\xi_n$  differs in different formulas. However, the difference is usually very small in practice and, for error estimation, can be regarded as negligible.

Jacobian option to the system Jacobian  $\partial f/\partial y$ . Print out (or write up) and hand in a table showing the values of D in the first column and the corresponding numbers of steps in the second column. Compare your results with those obtained in Homework 3.<sup>3</sup>

 $<sup>^3</sup>$ In Homework 3, I asked you to include numbers of f-evaluations, based on ode45 using six f-evaluations per step. This isn't strictly true, since adjustments in the step-size may require additional f-evaluations. Things are even murkier for ode113, since the corrector iterations as well as possible step-size adjustments may require an uncertain number of f-evaluations. The only sure way to count f-evaluations is to put a counter in the routine for evaluating f. In MATLAB, this can be done conveniently using global variables, which allow passing evaluation counts back to the main program.