Omega Cross-Section

Martin Sobotzik

Institute for Nuclear Physics
Johannes Gutenberg University of Mainz

Mainz, March 2019

Starting Point



Olis Cross Section; Dip at about $\cos(\theta) = -0.3$

Increasing the Number of Bins



Increased number of bins to 40; now there is still a dip at $\cos(\theta) = -0.3$ but also a peak at $\cos(\theta) = -0.5$

Comparing 10 Bin to 40 Bin Cross Section



Both Cross Sections are shown.

Efficiency





Taking a closer Look

$$\gamma p \rightarrow \quad \omega \quad p$$
$$\hookrightarrow \quad \gamma\pi^0$$
$$\hookrightarrow \gamma\gamma$$

There is a 1:1 correlation between the polar angle of p and ω for fixed $E(\gamma)$!



Taking a closer Look

$$\gamma p \rightarrow \quad \omega \quad p$$
$$\hookrightarrow \quad \gamma\pi^0$$
$$\hookrightarrow \gamma\gamma$$

There is a 1:1 correlation between the polar angle of p and ω for fixed $E(\gamma)$!



Energy of Proton for $\cos(\theta_\omega) = [-0.45, -0.35]$ (Peak)



Auch das ist wieder ein TEst. Und was passiert wenn dieser Text laenger wird

Oder gar ein Absatz





Energy of protons for $\cos(\theta_\omega) = [-0.45, -0.35]$. Red are MC and blue are beamtime data. Left side is just measured, right side is after KFit.

θ of Proton for $\cos(\theta_\omega) = [-0.45, -0.35]$ (Peak)







θ of protons for $\cos(\theta_\omega) = [-0.45, -0.35]$. Red are MC and blue are beamtime data. Left side is just measured, right side is after KFit.

Energy of Protons for $\cos(\theta_\omega) = [-0.35, -0.25]$ (Dip)







Energy of protons for $\cos(\theta_\omega) = [-0.35, -0.25]$. Red are MC and blue are beamtime data. Left side is just measured, right side is after KFit.

θ of Proton for $\cos(\theta_\omega) = [-0.35, -0.25]$ (Dip)







θ of protons for $\cos(\theta_\omega) = [-0.35, -0.25]$. Red are MC and blue are beamtime data. Left side is just measured, right side is after KFit.

Energy of Protons for $\cos(\theta_\omega) = [0.35, 0.45]$ (Good)







Energy of protons for $\cos(\theta_\omega) = [0.35, 0.45]$. Red are MC and blue are beamtime data. Left side is just measured, right side is after KFit.

θ of Proton for $\cos(\theta_\omega) = [0.35, 0.45]$ (Good)







θ of protons for $\cos(\theta_\omega) = [0.35, 0.45]$. Red are MC and blue are beamtime data. Left side is just measured, right side is after KFit.

Proton: θ_{fit} vs. θ_{gen}



$\cos(\theta_{fit})$ vs. $\cos(\theta_{gen})$ for all protons.



$\cos(\theta_{fit})$ vs. $\cos(\theta_{gen})$ for all ω.

Unfolding

Motivation

- μ is the *true* distribution given by nature
- detector effects are then described by the response function R. (inefficiencies, bias and smearing)
- This results in the distribution ν.

$$\nu_i = \sum_{j=1}^{M} R_{ij} \mu_j$$

- With infinite statistics, it would be possible to recover the original distribution by inverting the response matrix

$$\mu = R^{-1} \nu$$

Flat ω; Folded MC Data



Flat ω was used. MC fitted data were unfolded.

Conclusion

- Drop in the measured cross section at $\cos(\theta_\omega) \approx -0.35$ is caused by an inefficiency at that region
- Inefficiency is caused by the protons hitting the edge of the CB
- There are differences between MC and Data; Even after KFit
- The differences are too big to make the Unfolding work