Systematic Studies for the π^0 Calibration of the Crystal-Ball Detector

Martin Sobotzik

Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz

xx.yy.2017

Table of Contents

The Process

$$\gamma + p \rightarrow \pi^0 + p \rightarrow p + \gamma_1\gamma_2 \tag{1}$$

$$m_{\pi^0} = \sqrt{2E_1E_2(1 - \cos(\alpha))} \tag{2}$$

The Process

$$\gamma + p \rightarrow \pi^0 + p \rightarrow p + \gamma_1\gamma_2 \tag{1}$$

$$m_{\pi^0} = \sqrt{2E_1E_2(1 - \cos(\alpha))} \tag{2}$$

- How can it be checked if there is a energy dependency in the CB?

The Process

$$\gamma + p \to \pi^0 + p \to p + \gamma_1\gamma_2 \tag{1}$$

$$m_{\pi^0} = \sqrt{2E_1E_2(1 - \cos(\alpha))} \tag{2}$$

- How can it be checked if there is a energy dependency in the CB?
  $\to |E_1 - E_2| < 25\,\text{MeV}$

The Process

$$\gamma + p \rightarrow \pi^0 + p \rightarrow p + \gamma_1\gamma_2 \tag{1}$$

$$m_{\pi^0} = \sqrt{2E_1E_2(1 - \cos(\alpha))} \tag{2}$$

- How can it be checked if there is a energy dependency in the CB?
  $\rightarrow |E_1 - E_2| < 25\,\text{MeV}$
- What are the reasons for the dependency?

Crystal-Ball-Function / Reduction of the Underground

- Gaussian Fit Function $\rightarrow$ Crystal-Ball Fit Function

Crystal-Ball-Function / Reduction of the Underground

- Gaussian Fit Function $\rightarrow$ Crystal-Ball Fit Function
- Check if the registered particles are charged
 $\rightarrow$ Reduction of the underground

Crystal-Ball-Function / Reduction of the Underground

- Gaussian Fit Function → Crystal-Ball Fit Function
- Check if the registered particles are charged
  → Reduction of the underground

Figure: Beamtime: Example for not reduced and reduced underground

Event Generator

Reasons for a new event generator:

Event Generator

Reasons for a new event generator:

- The condition $|E_1 - E_2| < 25\,\text{MeV}$ is a strong cut
  $\rightarrow$ There is no package with enough events

Event Generator

Reasons for a new event generator:

- The condition $|E_1 - E_2| < 25\,\text{MeV}$ is a strong cut
  $\rightarrow$ There is no package with enough events
- Creating a new package with enough events would take to much time (multiple days on blaster)

Event Generator

Reasons for a new event generator:

- The condition $|E_1 - E_2| < 25\,\text{MeV}$ is a strong cut
  $\rightarrow$ There is no package with enough events
- Creating a new package with enough events would take to much time (multiple days on blaster)
- It would be better if the same generator is used for all studies
  $\rightarrow$ The generator should be able to simulate MAMI-Beam and isotropic decay

Event Generator

```
auto cmd_Emin    = cmd.add<TCLAP::ValueArg<double>>    ("", "Emin",    "Minimal incident energy [MeV]", false, 0.0, "double [MeV]");
auto cmd_Emax    = cmd.add<TCLAP::ValueArg<double>>    ("", "Emax",    "Maximal incident energy [MeV]", false, 1.6*GeV, "double [MeV]");
auto cmd_events  = cmd.add<TCLAP::ValueArg<int>>       ("n", "",       "number of events", false, 10000, "n");
auto cmd_reqsym  = cmd.add<TCLAP::SwitchArg>           ("", "sym",     "Require symmetric photon energies");
auto cmd_zboost  = cmd.add<TCLAP::SwitchArg>           ("", "zboost",  "Boost the Pions in z-Direction; True or False");
auto cmd_Prod    = cmd.add<TCLAP::SwitchArg>           ("", "Prod",    "Get the Product of the Pion; Change Beam Energy with E_min and E_max" );
```

Figure: π^0-Event Generator: Commands

- Emin: Minimal energy of the beam or the π^0, if Prod is false
- Emax: Maximal energy of the beam or the π^0, if Prod is false
- Events: Number of events
- Reqsym: Require $|E_1 - E_2| < 25\,\mathrm{MeV}$
- ZBoost: Boost the π^0 in z-Direction
- Prod: Get the production of the π^0

# No Additional Cut

- Beamtime October 2014
- No additional cut

Figure: Beamtime: No additional cut

Detectors on the Edge

- Beamtime October 2014
- Neglect the detectors at the edge

Figure: Beamtime: With and without considerations of the detectors on the edge of the beam entrance and exit

Detectors on the Edge

- Simulation
- Red: No additional cut
  Black: Neglect the detectors on the edge

Figure: Simulation: Left: Example for the two dimensional histogram with simulated data. Right: Deviation with and without the detectors on the edge

Minimum Opening Angle

- Simulation
- Opening angle α has to be bigger than $30°$ degree
- $m_{\pi^0} = \sqrt{2E_1E_2(1 - \cos(\alpha))}$ with $E_1 \approx E_2$
  $\rightarrow E_{max} \approx 250\,\text{MeV}$

Figure: Left: Number of reconstructed candidates for different opening angles. Right: Deviation with $\alpha > 30\,\text{MeV}$

Isotropic Decay

- Simulation
- π^0 decay in the origin of the target
- π^0 are boosted isotropic with an energy of $1420\,\mathrm{MeV}$ to $1580\,\mathrm{MeV}$

Figure: Simulation: Isotropic decay in the origin of the target

z-Vertex Dependency

- Simulation
- Neglect the detectors on the edge
- Devide the target in smaller sections of $1\,\mathrm{cm}$

Figure: Simulation: Deviations for different z-Vertices

Angle between Generated and Reconstructed Candidates

- Simulation
- The angle between generated and reconstructed candidate is calculated

Figure: Simulation: Angle between gen. and rec. candidates. Left: Photon energy between $125\,\mathrm{MeV}$ and $150\,\mathrm{MeV}$. Right: Photon energy between $425\,\mathrm{MeV}$ and $450\,\mathrm{MeV}$

Difference between Generated and Reconstructed Opening Angle

- Simulation
- $\Delta\alpha = \alpha_{rec} - \alpha_{gen}$

Figure: Simulation: $\Delta\alpha$ for different photon energies. Left $125\,\mathrm{MeV}$ to $150\,\mathrm{MeV}$. Right from $425\,\mathrm{MeV}$ to $450\,\mathrm{MeV}$

$\Delta\alpha$ for Different z-Vertices

- Simulation
- $\Delta\alpha$ for different z-Vertices

Figure: Simulation: $\Delta\alpha$ for different photon energies. Decay at different z-Vertices

Hot Crystals

- Beamtime October 2014
- Photon energy between $0\,\mathrm{MeV}$ and $100\,\mathrm{MeV}$


Figure: Beamtime: Marked are Hot and known Dead Crystals

Table: Beamtime: Element No. and No. in figure

Number in the figures	1	2	3	4	5
Element Number	549	565	597	677	265

Hot Crystals and Clustersize > 3

- Beamtime October 2014
- Photon energy between $0\,\mathrm{MeV}$ and $100\,\mathrm{MeV}$
- Clustersize > 3

Figure: Beamtime: Marked are Dead and Hot Crystals. The Clustersize must be bigger than 3

Hot Crystals for Higher Energies

- Beamtime October 2014
- Photon energy between $300\,\mathrm{MeV}$ and $400\,\mathrm{MeV}$

Figure: Beamtime: Marked are Dead and Hot Crystals for high energies

Dead Crystals

- Beamtime October 2014
- Photon energy between $300\,\text{MeV}$ and $400\,\text{MeV}$

Figure: Beamtime: Marked are probably Dead Crystals

Table: Beamtime: No. of events for the Dead Crystals and their neighbors

No. in Fig.	Element Number	No. of Hits
6	678	48
	677	0
	676	11808
7	17	21
	16	3311
	18	7175
	19	3439
8	125	513
	122	6613
	128	5307
	126	4103
9	89	2500
	88	8591
	90	7975
	91	4652

ϕ-Distribution in the CB

- Beamtime October 2014
- Photon energy between $200\,\text{MeV}$ and $225\,\text{MeV}$

Figure: Beamtime: Distribution in the CB

Conclusion

- There is a energy dependency in the detector
- The reconstructed opening angle is too big for high energies
  $\rightarrow$ wrong reconstruction of the photon impact position is probably the reason for the dependency (Clustering Algorithm)
- The hardware of some PIDs has to be checked (too few or to many events)
- There is a strange ϕ-distribution in the detector
  $\rightarrow$ reason for this has also to be determined