Task 3 Deliverable — Contrastive Training Results

Overview

This report documents Task 3 training of the MRI embedding model using a triplet-margin contrastive objective. Two transform lists were used as specified (4×/8% and 8×/4% equispaced undersampling), with augmentation during training only. Validation reused the same transforms without augmentation, with offset=len(train) and deterministic=True.

Run Configuration

Command used:

PYTHONPATH=. python train.py --epochs 20 --train-length 800 --val-length 200 --batch-size 16 --num-workers 0 --image-size 128 --n-coils 8 --rot 10 --shift 2 --Ir 3e-4

--weight-decay 1e-4 --margin 0.2 --seed 123 --device cpu --out-dir report/figs

Epoch Metrics (excerpt)

Epoch	Train Loss	Val Loss	d_pos (val)	d_neg (val)	Viol.(val)	R@1 (val)
001	0.0155	0.0005	0.118	0.654	2.50%	100%
002	0.0015	0.0000	0.139	0.921	0.00%	100%
003	0.0022	0.0000	0.135	0.939	0.00%	100%
004	0.0012	0.0000	0.125	0.897	0.00%	100%
005	0.0007	0.0000	0.121	0.861	0.00%	100%
006	0.0008	0.0001	0.121	0.850	0.50%	100%
007	0.0007	0.0000	0.133	0.988	0.00%	100%

Evaluation Against Criteria

- Val triplet loss ≤ 0.01 and stable: ✓ (≈ 0.0000 after epoch 2)
- Violation rate ≤ 1% on val: ✓■ (0.00–0.50% after warm-up)
- Recall@1 ≥ 99% on val retrieval: ✓ (100% across epochs)
- Clear separation: d_pos small (~0.12–0.14) and d_neg large (~0.85–0.99 by epoch 7)
- Training controls: fixed seed, ReduceLROnPlateau, early stopping triggered

Notes

The best checkpoint was saved to report/figs/task3_best.pt on the training machine. Curves were written to report/figs/task3 curves.png and report/figs/task3 curves.csv.

Optional Next Steps

- Stress test with higher margin (e.g., 0.5) and confirm metrics remain strong.
- Slightly stronger augmentation for training; keep validation unchanged.
- Try InfoNCE (in-batch contrastive) for richer negatives and compare Recall@1.

End of Document