

BehavioralDeePC.jl

Mohammad Ramadan

Argonne National Laboratory

March 19, 2024

Behavioral data-driven control

- ▶ On the equivalence of subspaces

$$V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n, \text{ a subspace} \iff V = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \exists A, b, Ax = b\}$$

- ▶ In an analogous way, we can equivalently describe dynamic systems

\mathcal{B} is an LTI dynamic system \iff

\mathcal{B} is described by a state-space model:

$$x_{k+1} = Ax_k + Bu_k, y_k = Cx_k, \iff$$

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\{ (\{u_k\}_{k \geq 0}, \{y_k\}_{k \geq 0}) \mid \exists A, B, C, x_0 \text{ such that} \right. \\ \left. x_{k+1} = Ax_k + Bu_k, y_k = Cx_k \right\}$$

Truncation requires Persistence of Excitation

- ▶ \mathcal{B} is infinite dimensional
- ▶ Can we instead describe \mathcal{B} (equivalently) by a single finite trajectory $(\{u_k\}_{k=0}^T, \{y_k\}_{k=0}^T)$, $T < \infty$?
- ▶ The answer is yes, given Persistence of Excitation (PE)
- ▶ PE (roughly) means that $\{u_k\}_{k=0}^T$ is **rich** enough and **long** enough to excite all the possible modes of the underlying dynamics
- ▶ Therefore, towards a finite description of \mathcal{B} , $(\{u_k\}_{k=0}^T, \{y_k\}_{k=0}^T)$ has to be of specific nature and of a minimum length¹.

¹For more detailed discussion visit [2].

Two key ideas

1. **(Initial Conditions)** For minimum (controllable and observable) state-space representation

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_N \end{pmatrix} = \mathcal{O}_N(A, C)x_0 + \mathcal{C}(A, B, C, D) \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ \vdots \\ u_N \end{pmatrix},$$

$\mathcal{O}_N(A, C)$ observability matrix (left-invertible for minimal systems).

2. **(Dynamic Constraints)** For persistently excited data ($\{u_k\}_{k=0}^T, \{y_k\}_{k=0}^T$)
range(\mathcal{H}_t) = \mathcal{B}_t = dynamically feasible input/output sequences of length t ,
where \mathcal{H}_t is the Hankel matrix of input/output sequences. That is,

$$\mathcal{H}_t g = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 & \dots & y_{T-t+1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ y_t & \dots & y_T \\ u_1 & \dots & u_{T-t+1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ u_t & \dots & u_T \end{bmatrix} g = \begin{pmatrix} y_1^* \\ \vdots \\ y_N^* \\ u_1^* \\ \vdots \\ u_N^* \end{pmatrix}, \text{ } \star \text{ denotes new data}$$

DeePC: Data-Enabled Predictive Control²

- Solve

$$\min_{g, u, y} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} y_k^\top Q y_k + u_k^\top R u_k, \quad (1)$$

- subject to

$$\begin{pmatrix} U_p \\ Y_p \\ U_f \\ Y_f \end{pmatrix} g = \begin{pmatrix} u_{ini} \\ y_{ini} \\ u \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

- and $u_k \in \mathcal{U}$, $y_k \in \mathcal{Y}$, $k = 0, \dots, N-1$.
- In red: U_p, Y_p are past data (persistently excited), u_{ini}, y_{ini} the previous to current-time input/output data. The rows in red are responsible of deciding g that initiates this trajectory.
- In blue: U_f, Y_f are past data (persistently excited), and u, y are the decision variables over the N future time-steps. These rows are responsible of u, y consistent with the dynamics, and that are continuations of u_{ini}, y_{ini} .

²A slightly modified version from that in the paper [1]

DeePC for stochastic/nonlinear

- ▶ With the slightest noise/nonlinearity, the condition

$$\begin{pmatrix} U_p \\ Y_p \\ U_f \\ Y_f \end{pmatrix} g = \begin{pmatrix} u_{ini} \\ y_{ini} \\ u \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

may no longer be satisfied by any g .

- ▶ The solution is using a slack variable

- ▶ Solve

$$\min_{g, u, y, \sigma} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} y_k^\top Q y_k + u_k^\top R u_k + \lambda_g \|g\|_1 + \lambda_\sigma \|\sigma\|_1, \quad (2)$$

- ▶ subject to

$$\begin{pmatrix} U_p \\ Y_p \\ U_f \\ Y_f \end{pmatrix} g = \begin{pmatrix} u_{ini} \\ y_{ini} + \sigma \\ u \\ y \end{pmatrix}$$

BehavioralDeePC.jl

- ▶ BehavioralDeePC.jl is a Julia package that implements the DeePC algorithm, as in the paper [1].
- ▶ The repository of this package:
<https://github.com/msramada/BehavioralDeePC.jl>.
- ▶ docs/example.ipynb is a notebook with a step-by-step example implementation.



Jeremy Coulson, John Lygeros, and Florian Dörfler.

Data-enabled predictive control: In the shallows of the deepc.

In *2019 18th European Control Conference (ECC)*, pages 307–312. IEEE, 2019.



Jan C Willems, Paolo Rapisarda, Ivan Markovsky, and Bart LM De Moor.

A note on persistency of excitation.

Systems & Control Letters, 54(4):325–329, 2005.