

# CLI Refactoring Plan: YAML-Only Configuration

---

## Overview

This document outlines the complete refactoring plan to transform the security scanner CLI from a complex command-line argument system to a simple, YAML-only configuration approach. This refactoring will make the tool more maintainable, standardized, and suitable for CI/CD pipelines.

## Current State Analysis

### Existing Architecture

Based on the codebase analysis, the current system has:

#### Core Components:

- **CLI System** (`cli.py`): 462 lines with extensive argument parsing
- **Configuration System** (`core/config.py`): Complex dataclass-based config with legacy compatibility
- **Scanner Service** (`scanner_service.py`): Main orchestration service
- **Scanner Framework** (`scanners/`): 11 different scanners with base class architecture
- **Output System** (`output/`): Multiple formatters (JSON, HTML, SARIF)
- **Models** (`core/models.py`): Rich data models for scan targets, results, and findings

#### Current Scanner Support:

- **Vulnerability Scanners:** Trivy, Gype
- **SBOM Generation:** Syft
- **Container Security:** Dockle, Hadolint
- **Infrastructure as Code:** Checkov, Conftest
- **Secrets Detection:** TruffleHog, GitLeaks
- **SAST:** Semgrep (recently added)

#### Current Configuration Format:

```
# Current config.example.yaml format
docker_images: [...]
git_repositories: [...]
kubernetes_manifests: [...]
terraform_code: [...]

trivy:
  enabled: true
  timeout: 600
  severity_threshold: "HIGH"
  additional_args: [...]
```

```
output:
  base_dir: "security-reports"
  formats: ["json", "html", "sarif"]

parallel_scans: true
max_workers: 4
```

### Current CLI Complexity:

- 50+ command-line arguments across 8 argument groups
- Mixed configuration (CLI args + config files)
- Complex validation and override logic
- Legacy compatibility layers

### Problems with Current Approach:

1. **CLI Complexity:** Too many command-line options making it hard to use
2. **Configuration Drift:** Multiple ways to configure the same thing
3. **Maintenance Burden:** Complex argument parsing and validation
4. **CI/CD Unfriendly:** Long command lines, not configuration-as-code
5. **Documentation Overhead:** Need to document both CLI and config options

## Proposed Solution: YAML-Only Configuration

### New Directory Structure

```
devsecops-security-scanner/
├── templates/
│   ├── scan-requests/
│   │   ├── basic-scan.yaml
│   │   ├── full-security-audit.yaml
│   │   ├── container-scan.yaml
│   │   ├── source-code-scan.yaml
│   │   ├── infrastructure-scan.yaml
│   │   └── secrets-scan.yaml
│   └── ci-cd/
│       ├── github-actions.yaml
│       ├── gitlab-ci.yaml
│       └── jenkins.yaml
├── examples/
│   ├── multi-target-scan.yaml
│   ├── development-workflow.yaml
│   └── production-audit.yaml
└── security_scanner/
    ├── core/
    │   ├── scan_request.py (new)
    │   └── config.py (enhanced)
```

```
| └─ template_generator.py (new)
└─ cli.py (simplified)
```

## New YAML Scan Request Format

```
# Standard scan request format
scan_request:
  id: "optional-custom-id"
  description: "Human readable description"
  created_by: "user@example.com"

targets:
  docker_images:
    - "nginx:latest"
    - "myapp:v1.2.3"
  git_repositories:
    - "/path/to/source/code"
  kubernetes_manifests:
    - "/path/to/k8s/"
  terraform_code:
    - "/path/to/terraform/"
  filesystem_paths:
    - "/path/to/additional/files"

scanners:
  trivy:
    enabled: true
    timeout: 600
    severity_threshold: "HIGH"
    additional_args:
      - "--dependency-tree"
      - "--list-all-pkgs"
  semgrep:
    enabled: true
    timeout: 900
    severity_threshold: "MEDIUM"
    additional_args:
      - "--config=p/security-audit"
      - "--config=p/owasp-top-10"
  # Other scanners...

output:
  base_dir: "security-reports"
  formats:
    - "json"
    - "html"
    - "sarif"
  include_raw: true
  generate_executive_summary: true
```

```

execution:
  parallel_scans: true
  max_workers: 4
  fail_on_high_severity: false

logging:
  level: "INFO"
  file: "scan.log"

# Optional metadata
metadata:
  environment: "development"
  project: "my-web-app"
  version: "v1.2.3"

```

## Simplified CLI Interface

```

# New simplified CLI
security-scanner scan-request.yaml

# Utility commands only
security-scanner --list-scanners
security-scanner --check-dependencies
security-scanner --validate-config scan-request.yaml
security-scanner --generate-template basic-scan > basic-scan.yaml
security-scanner --version

```

## Implementation Plan

### Phase 1: Core Infrastructure (Week 1)

#### 1.1 Create ScanRequest Model

PROF

**File:** `security_scanner/core/scan_request.py`

```

@dataclass
class ScanRequestMeta:
    """Metadata for scan request"""
    id: Optional[str] = None
    description: str = ""
    created_by: Optional[str] = None
    created_at: Optional[datetime] = None

@dataclass
class ScanRequest:
    """YAML-based scan request configuration"""
    scan_request: ScanRequestMeta
    targets: ScanTargets

```

```

scanners: Dict[str, ScannerConfig]
output: OutputConfig
execution: ExecutionConfig
logging: LoggingConfig
metadata: Dict[str, Any] = field(default_factory=dict)

@classmethod
def from_yaml(cls, yaml_path: str) -> 'ScanRequest':
    """Load scan request from YAML file"""

def validate(self) -> List[str]:
    """Validate scan request and return validation errors"""

def to_security_scan_config(self) -> SecurityScanConfig:
    """Convert to internal SecurityScanConfig format"""

```

## 1.2 Enhance YAML Processing

File: `security_scanner/core/config.py`

- Remove JSON support (YAML only)
- Add comprehensive YAML validation
- Enhance error messages for YAML parsing issues
- Add schema validation using `pydantic` or custom validation

## 1.3 Update Models

File: `security_scanner/core/models.py`

- Add metadata support to all models
- Enhance ScanSummary with request metadata
- Add template identification fields

---

PROF

## Phase 2: CLI Simplification (Week 2)

### 2.1 Refactor CLI Parser

File: `security_scanner/cli.py`

```

def create_parser() -> argparse.ArgumentParser:
    parser = argparse.ArgumentParser(
        description="Security Scanner - YAML Configuration Driven",
        formatter_class=argparse.RawDescriptionHelpFormatter,
        epilog="""
Examples:
    # Run scan with YAML configuration
    security-scanner scan-request.yaml

    # Generate templates

```

```

security-scanner --generate-template basic-scan > basic-scan.yaml
security-scanner --generate-template full-audit > full-audit.yaml

# Validate configuration
security-scanner --validate-config my-scan.yaml

# Check system
security-scanner --list-scanners
security-scanner --check-dependencies
"""
)

# Main command - YAML file
parser.add_argument(
    "scan_request",
    nargs="?",
    help="Path to YAML scan request file"
)

# Utility commands
parser.add_argument("--list-scanners", action="store_true")
parser.add_argument("--check-dependencies", action="store_true")
parser.add_argument("--validate-config", metavar="FILE")
parser.add_argument("--generate-template",
                    choices=["basic-scan", "full-audit", "container-
scan",
                            "source-code-scan", "infrastructure-
scan", "secrets-scan"])
parser.add_argument("--version", action="version", version="Security
Scanner 2.0.0")

    return parser

def main() -> int:
    """Simplified main entry point"""
    parser = create_parser()
    args = parser.parse_args()

    # Handle utility commands
    if args.list_scanners:
        return list_scanners()
    elif args.check_dependencies:
        return check_dependencies()
    elif args.validate_config:
        return validate_config(args.validate_config)
    elif args.generate_template:
        return generate_template(args.generate_template)

    # Main scan command
    if not args.scan_request:
        parser.print_help()
        return 1

```

```
return run_scan(args.scan_request)
```

## 2.2 Implement Template Generator

File: `security_scanner/core/template_generator.py`

```
class TemplateGenerator:
    """Generate YAML templates for different use cases"""

    def generate_basic_template(self) -> str:
        """Basic scan template with common scanners"""

    def generate_full_audit_template(self) -> str:
        """Comprehensive security audit template"""

    def generate_container_template(self) -> str:
        """Container-focused scanning template"""

    def generate_source_code_template(self) -> str:
        """Source code scanning template with SAST focus"""

    def generate_infrastructure_template(self) -> str:
        """Infrastructure as code scanning template"""

    def generate_secrets_template(self) -> str:
        """Secrets detection focused template"""
```

## Phase 3: Template Creation (Week 3)

### 3.1 Basic Templates

PROF

File: `templates/scan-requests/basic-scan.yaml`

```
scan_request:
  description: "Basic security scan with essential scanners"

targets:
  docker_images: []
  git_repositories: []
  kubernetes_manifests: []
  terraform_code: []
  filesystem_paths: []

scanners:
  trivy:
    enabled: true
    timeout: 600
```

```

    severity_threshold: "MEDIUM"
  gype:
    enabled: true
    timeout: 300
    severity_threshold: "MEDIUM"
  semgrep:
    enabled: true
    timeout: 600
    severity_threshold: "MEDIUM"

output:
  base_dir: "reports"
  formats: ["json", "html"]

execution:
  parallel_scans: true
  max_workers: 2

```

## 3.2 Specialized Templates

Create templates for:

- **Full Security Audit:** All scanners enabled
- **Container Scan:** Trivy, Gype, Dockle, Hadolint focus
- **Source Code Scan:** Semgrep, TruffleHog, GitLeaks focus
- **Infrastructure Scan:** Checkov, Conftest focus
- **Secrets Scan:** TruffleHog, GitLeaks only

## 3.3 CI/CD Integration Templates

File: `templates/ci-cd/github-actions.yaml`

```

scan_request:
  description: "GitHub Actions CI/CD security scan"

targets:
  git_repositories: ["."]

scanners:
  trivy:
    enabled: true
    severity_threshold: "HIGH"
  semgrep:
    enabled: true
    severity_threshold: "HIGH"

output:
  formats: ["sarif", "json"]

```



```
execution:
  fail_on_high_severity: true
  max_workers: 2
```

## Phase 4: Enhanced Features (Week 4)

### 4.1 Configuration Validation

- Schema validation with detailed error messages
- Target path validation
- Scanner compatibility checks
- Resource availability validation

### 4.2 Migration Support

```
# Migration helper (optional)
security-scanner --migrate-from-cli \
  "--docker-image nginx:latest --enable-scanner trivy gype --severity-
threshold HIGH" \
  > migrated-config.yaml
```

### 4.3 Enhanced Logging and Reporting

- Request metadata in all reports
- Template identification in reports
- Better progress reporting with request context

## Breaking Changes and Migration

### What's Being Removed:

1. **All CLI target arguments:** `--docker-image`, `--git-repo`, etc.
2. **All CLI scanner arguments:** `--enable-scanner`, `--disable-scanner`, etc.
3. **All CLI output arguments:** `--output-dir`, `--format`, etc.
4. **All CLI execution arguments:** `--parallel`, `--max-workers`, etc.
5. **JSON configuration support:** YAML only

### What's Being Kept:

1. **Utility commands:** `--list-scanners`, `--check-dependencies`
2. **All scanner functionality:** No changes to scanner implementations
3. **All output formats:** JSON, HTML, SARIF support maintained
4. **All configuration options:** Just moved to YAML

### Migration Strategy:

1. **Template-based migration:** Provide templates for all common use cases
2. **Documentation:** Comprehensive before/after examples
3. **Gradual rollout:** Can be done as v2.0 with clear migration guide

## Template Examples

### Basic Web Application Scan

```
scan_request:
  description: "Web application security scan"

targets:
  git_repositories: ["/workspace/webapp"]
  docker_images: ["webapp:latest"]
  kubernetes_manifests: ["/workspace/k8s/"]

scanners:
  trivy:
    enabled: true
    severity_threshold: "HIGH"
  semgrep:
    enabled: true
    severity_threshold: "MEDIUM"
    additional_args:
      - "--config=p/owasp-top-10"
  trufflehog:
    enabled: true
    severity_threshold: "HIGH"
  checkov:
    enabled: true
    severity_threshold: "MEDIUM"

output:
  base_dir: "security-scan-results"
  formats: ["json", "html", "sarif"]
  generate_executive_summary: true

execution:
  parallel_scans: true
  max_workers: 4
  fail_on_high_severity: true
```

PROF

### Development Workflow Scan

```
scan_request:
  description: "Fast development security check"

targets:
  git_repositories: ["."]
```

```
scanners:
  semgrep:
    enabled: true
    timeout: 300
    severity_threshold: "HIGH"
  trufflehog:
    enabled: true
    timeout: 180
    severity_threshold: "HIGH"

output:
  base_dir: "dev-scan"
  formats: ["json"]

execution:
  parallel_scans: true
  max_workers: 2
  fail_on_high_severity: false
```

## Implementation Benefits

### 1. Simplicity

- Single way to configure scans
- Clear, readable YAML format
- Template-driven quick start
- Self-documenting configurations

### 2. Maintainability

- Reduced CLI code complexity (462 → ~100 lines)
- Single configuration path
- Easier testing and validation
- Clear separation of concerns

### 3. CI/CD Integration

- Configuration as code
- Version controllable scan configs
- Reusable templates
- Environment-specific configurations

### 4. User Experience

- Template-based quick start
- Clear validation messages
- Rich metadata support
- Better error reporting

## 5. Standardization

- Consistent YAML format
- Standardized field names
- Clear documentation
- Industry best practices

## Technical Implementation Details

Configuration Processing Flow:

```
YAML File → ScanRequest → Validation → SecurityScanConfig → ScannerService
```

Validation Pipeline:

1. **YAML Syntax:** Valid YAML format
2. **Schema Validation:** Required fields present
3. **Target Validation:** Paths exist and accessible
4. **Scanner Validation:** Enabled scanners available
5. **Resource Validation:** Sufficient resources for configuration

Error Handling:

- Clear, actionable error messages
- Field-level validation errors
- Suggestions for common mistakes
- Template recommendations

## Testing Strategy

### 1. Unit Tests

- ScanRequest model validation
- Template generation
- YAML parsing edge cases
- Configuration conversion

### 2. Integration Tests

- End-to-end with templates
- CLI command validation
- Scanner integration
- Output generation

### 3. Migration Tests

- All current functionality preserved
- Template coverage for common use cases
- Performance equivalence

## Timeline and Milestones

### Week 1: Foundation

- ☐ Create ScanRequest model
- ☐ Enhance YAML processing
- ☐ Update validation system
- ☐ Unit tests for core models

### Week 2: CLI Refactoring

- ☐ Simplify CLI parser
- ☐ Implement template generator
- ☐ Update main entry point
- ☐ Integration tests

### Week 3: Templates

- ☐ Create all scan request templates
- ☐ Create CI/CD integration templates
- ☐ Create example configurations
- ☐ Template validation tests

### Week 4: Documentation & Polish

- ☐ Update all documentation
- ☐ Migration guide
- ☐ Performance testing
- ☐ User acceptance testing

---

PROF

## Success Metrics

### Code Quality:

- CLI code reduction: 462 → ~100 lines (78% reduction)
- Configuration paths: Multiple → Single YAML path
- Test coverage: >90% for new components

### User Experience:

- Setup time: Reduced by 60% with templates
- Configuration errors: Reduced by 80% with validation
- Documentation clarity: Single format to document

### Maintainability:

- Argument parsing complexity: Eliminated
- Configuration drift: Eliminated
- Legacy compatibility burden: Removed

## Risk Mitigation

### Breaking Changes:

- **Risk:** Users dependent on CLI arguments
- **Mitigation:** Comprehensive templates + migration guide

### Migration Effort:

- **Risk:** Complex migration for existing users
- **Mitigation:** Template generator + automated migration tools

### Feature Parity:

- **Risk:** Missing functionality in YAML format
- **Mitigation:** Complete feature mapping + extensive testing

This refactoring transforms the security scanner into a modern, configuration-driven tool that's more suitable for DevSecOps workflows while maintaining all existing functionality and improving the user experience significantly.