

SOME PROBLEMS IN  
QUANTUM MECHANICAL  
REPRESENTATION THEORY

S. R. KOMY

SOME PROBLEMS IN QUANTUM MECHANICAL REPRESENTATION THEORY:

- I. RIGGED HILBERT SPACES FOR SOME IDEAL SYSTEM;
- II. RAY AND CORAY REPRESENTATIONS OF SOME FINITE EXTENSIONS  
OF THE POINCARE GROUP

Thesis

Submitted by

S.R. KOMY, B.Sc. (Alexandria)

for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

University of Edinburgh,

December, 1966.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I wish to thank Professor W. Kenmer, F.R.S., for the hospitality of the Tait Institute, and Dr. P.W. Higgs for many useful discussions.

I also wish to extend my thanks to Professor A.O. Barut of the University of Colorado for suggesting the material in Section II, and to Dr. A. Böhm for suggesting the material in Section I of this thesis.

Thanks are also due to Mrs. R.W. Chester for her help in preparing and typing the thesis.

Finally I wish to acknowledge with thanks the receipt of a U.A.R. grant which made this work possible.

-----

All the material presented in this dissertation is asserted to be original except where explicit references were cited.

The work described in Section II, Chapter 2 of this thesis has already been submitted to J.Math. Phys., for publication.

-----

## C O N T E N T S

	page
<u>SECTION ONE</u>	
INTRODUCTION . . . . .	1
<u>CHAPTER 1</u>	
(A) Dynamical Groups . . . . .	5
I. The Hydrogen Atom (Bound States Problem) . . . . .	8
(a) The symmetry group . . . . .	8
(b) The dynamical group . . . . .	12
II. The Rigid Rotator . . . . .	17
III. The Isotropic Harmonic Oscillator . . . . .	22
(a) The symmetry group . . . . .	22
(b) The dynamical group . . . . .	23
IV. Remarks . . . . .	25
(B) The Rigged Hilbert Space . . . . .	29
<u>CHAPTER 2</u>	
I. Construction of the Rigged Hilbert Space of the Rigid Rotator . . . . .	38
(a) The representation space and the rigged Hilbert space . . . . .	38
(b) The algebra of bounded operators . . . . .	44
(c) Remarks . . . . .	48
II. Construction of the Rigged Hilbert Space of the Hydrogen Atom . . . . .	51
(a) The representation space . . . . .	51
(b) The Rigged Hilbert space $H$ . . . . .	56
(c) Remarks . . . . .	65
III. Construction of the Rigged Hilbert Space of the N-dimensional Oscillator . . . . .	68
Remarks . . . . .	76

## CONTENTS (Contd.)

	Page
<u>SECTION II</u>	
INTRODUCTION . . . . .	77
(a) The aspect of symmetry . . . . .	77
(b) The law of transformation of symmetry operators in Quantum Mechanics . . . . .	78
(c) Internal symmetry groups for elementary particles	80
<u>CHAPTER 1</u>	
I. Mathematical Introduction . . . . .	85
II. Ray Representations of Commutative Groups .	92
<u>CHAPTER 2</u>	
Introduction . . . . .	100
I. Unitary Ray Representations of Generalized Parity Operators . . . . .	101
II. Unitary Coray Representations of Generalized Operators . . . . .	108
Appendix . . . . .	114
References:	
Section 1. . . . .	120
Section 2. . . . .	122

## INTRODUCTION

Recently there has been much interest in the group theoretical investigation of inherent dynamical symmetries of exactly solvable systems such as the non-relativistic Kepler problem, rigid rotator and the isotropic harmonic oscillator. One reason for such a study is that the structure of closely related problems in hadron physics may be studied, namely the use of higher symmetry of interactions in order to classify the spectrum of the system by the corresponding non-invariance dynamical groups. The concept of the dynamical groups originates from an idea by Barut<sup>(5)</sup> for obtaining the energy spectrum of a quantum mechanical system by a suitably chosen group. The use of such groups should not be confused with the symmetry groups in the usual sense, compact (e.g. SU(3) or non-compact (Lorentz group)). The non-compact (dynamical) groups contain in a precise way the complete information about the physical system, including degeneracy, mass or energy levels and so on. in Chapter 1(a) some of their properties are listed.

Given a quantum mechanical system one finds the appropriate dynamical group  $G$  which describes the system completely, i.e. one finds a one-to-one correspondence between the states of the physical system and one irreducible unitary representation of the dynamical group  $G$ . The representation space is then identified with the physical space  $\mathcal{V}$  of the system, in which a positive definite hermitian function is defined by the ordinary scalar product. Completion with respect to the norm, defined by this

scalar product, gives the Hilbert space  $H$ . It is found that some of the operators, (generators of the dynamical group), which represent physical quantities, are not bounded operators in the Hilbert space  $H$ . Unbounded operators necessarily occur in unitary representation of non-compact Lie algebras<sup>(12)</sup>. Therefore it is not always permitted to carry out algebraic operations on these physical quantities. In order to be mathematically rigorous one needs always to be concerned with the domain of definition of an operator in the physical Hilbert space. The original formulation of quantum mechanics by von Neumann<sup>(12)</sup>, namely that there is a one-to-one correspondence between observables and self-adjoint operators on the Hilbert space, is too restrictive. On the one hand, there are self-adjoint operators which are not observables<sup>(13)</sup>, on the other hand not all unitary (or self-adjoint) operators have a complete set of eigenvectors lying in the physical Hilbert space, e.g., the translation operator in the Hilbert space  $L^2$  of square integrable functions of one variable, has only the zero eigenvectors, while it has a complete set of eigenvectors  $e^{-i\lambda x}$  lying outside it. A larger space is needed to give such functions an interpretation. Similarly in problems connected with analytic continuation into unphysical sheets, where one deals with functions which increase at infinity. In quantum field theory unbounded operators are the smeared field operators, they also occur in the canonical formulation, where one deals with representations of an infinite dimensional algebra. In the more recent development of current algebra similar problems occur, for example, non-conserved generalized charge operator  $Q$  (being constructed out of fields), is not defined in the Hilbert space (considered as a physical state).\*

In conclusion, the self-adjoint operators in the Hilbert space are

\* Gabri & Picasso.

not the simplest mathematical objects upon which the physical observables should be mapped. Therefore we look for another mathematical frame in which all algebraic operations on physical observables are permitted. It seems that the most suitable mathematical structure, upon which the structure of the physical objects of a physical system could be mapped appears to be an algebra of bounded operators of the physical space  $\Phi$  of the rigged Hilbert space  $\Phi \subset H \subset \Phi^*$  (10).

The choice of the rigged Hilbert space is preferred to the one used by Grossmann<sup>(17)</sup>, in which he used a nested Hilbert space as a mathematical model. Given a nested Hilbert space, it will presumably be necessary to require at least one of the nesting mappings to be of the Hilbert-Schmidt type to ensure the existence of sufficiently generalized eigenvectors. Rigged Hilbert spaces involve only three spaces where a nested Hilbert space involves a collection of Hilbert spaces indexed by a partially ordered set. It was shown recently<sup>(27)</sup> that nested Hilbert spaces and rigged Hilbert spaces are closely related to each other. However such a discussion lies outside the scope of our presentation. The choice of the rigged Hilbert space as a physical space retains the advantage of Dirac's formulation of quantum mechanics, i.e. the existence of a complete set of eigenvectors for a complete set of commuting operators; also it is close enough to the usual quantum mechanical formulation. In Chapter 1(b) we list some of the well known properties of the rigged Hilbert space.

Rigged Hilbert space is introduced as the physical space for physical systems by the following postulate:

"The structure of a physical system can be mapped onto the mathematical structure of an algebra  $A \subset L(\Phi)$ ."

$L(\mathcal{D})$  is the algebra of bounded operators,  $\mathcal{P}$  is the physical space of the rigged Hilbert space  $\mathcal{D} \subset H \subset \mathcal{D}^*$ .

It should be remarked that statements such as are made in the postulate must necessarily remain vague. Notions like "physical system" cannot be mathematically defined up to now by their mathematical image and this kind of map.

The task now is to find this algebra  $A$ . In Chapter 2 we give three examples to illustrate the above postulate and to indicate how one might proceed to find the algebra .

In practice, one starts with the ordinary Hilbert space  $H$ , and let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the algebra of observables consisting of hermitian operators, not necessarily bounded operators. The domain of the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is in general smaller than  $H$ . The rigged Hilbert space is constructed by making all the operators in  $\mathcal{A}$  continuous. Operators with no eigenvectors in  $H$  might find their generalized eigenvectors in the space  $\mathcal{D}^*$ .

## CHAPTER I

### (a) DYNAMICAL GROUPS

The dynamical groups for quantum mechanical systems are introduced by the following postulate<sup>(5-9, 20)</sup>:

"All states of a quantum mechanical system belong to a single irreducible unitary representation of a chosen non-compact group."

The energy is given as a simple function of the Casimir operators of the group of degeneracy of the energy  $G_0$  (for definition see below). In the dynamical group  $G$ , the energy is an operator in the enveloping algebra<sup>(5)</sup>, its spectrum is fixed once the Casimir operators are fixed.

Consider the set  $A_1$  of physical quantities (energy, and e.g. spin,...) which characterize completely a physical system. We define the group of degeneracy  $G_1$  with respect to the observable  $A_1$  as the group which transforms all the states of a given value of  $A_1$  into each other, its generators are those which commute with  $A_1$ . The dynamical group by definition is the smallest group which contains all  $G_1$ . Consider the group of degeneracy  $G_J$  of the spin  $J$ . For most quantum mechanical systems there are infinite numbers of states with the same spin  $J$ . Therefore  $G$  is non-compact for most quantum mechanical systems. Vice versa a physical system is identified by a complete set of its states defined as furnishing a faithful representation of a group. Since the most interesting systems (e.g. hydrogen

atom, rigid rotator and the isotropic oscillator) have infinitely many linearly independent states, to be able to organize them into a single irreducible representation, the non-invariant group must be non-compact. The dynamical system is now defined by the non-invariant group and the dynamical variables are identified with the elements of the generalized enveloping algebra (e.g. the energy operator is an element of the enveloping algebra of  $SO(3,1)$  for the rigid rotator). The non-compact non-invariant group thus becomes a dynamical model<sup>(31)</sup>. This is the essence of model making in atomic and nuclear physics<sup>(30)</sup>. The non-compact group  $G$  is semi-simple<sup>(9)</sup>; the reason is that the invariant (i.e. an element of the enveloping algebra) operator of an invariant subgroup of a group is also an invariant operator of the group itself. The dynamical group by definition cannot have  $E$  as an invariant operator; it contains operators which allow us to go from one state with energy  $E_1$ , say, to another state with energy  $E_2$ . In other words, the shift operators (i.e. non-compact generators) take us from one representation of the symmetry group  $G_0$  to another. Therefore in general the complete unitary irreducible representation of the dynamical group  $G$  gives information about which and how many times each representation of any  $G_1$  occurs.

Given a symmetry group  $G_0$ ,  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  are its generators. Let  $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_\ell$  be the Casimir operators ( $\ell$  is the rank of the group). The energy by definition is

given by the functional equations:

$$E = f(C_1, \dots, C_\ell) \quad (1)$$

Equation (1) is a consequence of the fact that in physics particular representations of the groups rather than the groups themselves are used, therefore the Casimir operators must have the physical consequences<sup>(5)</sup> as they characterize the particular representation of the group  $G$ . They must be associated with the fundamental physical parameters which describe the physical system.

The dynamical group  $G$  contains besides the generators  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  the generators  $B_1, \dots, B_n$ , say; these generators are chosen in such a way that the eigenstates of the energy operator  $E$  (e.g. Hamiltonian) constitute a basis for the unitary irreducible representation of  $G$ .

It may happen that the energy of the physical system (at hand) depends only on one quantum number; in such a case one expects that all Casimir operators  $C_j$  vanish except one,  $C_1$  say. The functional form of the energy (1) reduces to

$$E = f(C_1) \quad (2)$$

$G_0$  becomes a maximal compact subgroup<sup>(25)</sup>. The system is called a maximally degenerate or ideal system. Thus we define an ideal quantum mechanical system as a system in which the energy is given by the functional form (2); the group  $G_0$  is the maximal compact subgroup of  $G$ . The rigid rotator, hydrogen atom and the isotropic oscillator are ideal systems.

It is found that the representation of the dynamical group (see below) contains each and every representation of  $G_0$  only once. In the following paragraphs we adhere to the general method summarized above in order to derive the dynamical groups for the rigid rotator and the hydrogen atom. At the end of this section we make some general remarks.

### I. The Hydrogen Atom (bound states problem)

#### (a) The Symmetry group.

It is well known that the treatment of a quantum system of two particles with Coulomb interaction reduces to that of a particle in the central potential  $Z_1 Z_2 \frac{e^2}{r}$ ; for the hydrogen atom the two particles are subject to the attractive potential  $\frac{-e^2}{r}$ , if  $E$  is the energy of the electron proton system, then the problem is reduced to the solution of the Schrödinger equation,

$$(H - E) \psi(\vec{r}) = 0 \quad (1)$$

where

$$H = \frac{p^2}{2} - \frac{1}{r} \quad (2)$$

The units are chosen such that  $m=e=\hbar=1$ .

It is possible to separate equation (1) using polar coordinates; one easily derives the energy levels for the hydrogen atom, given by the Balmer formula

$$E_n = -\frac{1}{2n^2} \quad (3)$$

$n$  takes integer values from 1 to  $\infty$ , each level is  $n^2$ -fold degenerate.

It is clear that equation (1) is invariant under spatial rotations, from which the angular momentum vector

$$\bar{L} = \bar{r} \times \bar{p} \quad (4)$$

is a conserved quantity. Because the degeneracy exceeds the degeneracy due to rotational invariance, one looks for additional constants of motion to generate the higher symmetry<sup>(14, 4, 24)</sup>. For the Kepler problem the following vector

$$\bar{L} \times \bar{p} + \frac{\bar{p}}{r}$$

is also a conserved quantity. Pauli used the correspondence principle to investigate the commutation relations of the Hermitian part of it, i.e. the three vectors:

$$\bar{F} = \frac{i}{\hbar}(\bar{L} \times \bar{p} - \bar{p} \times \bar{L}) + \frac{\bar{p}}{r} \quad (5)$$

The operators  $\bar{L}$  and  $\bar{F}$  are not independent, but the following relation holds:

$$\bar{L} \cdot \bar{F} = \bar{F} \cdot \bar{L} = 0 \quad (6)$$

since  $[H, \bar{L}] = [H, \bar{F}] = 0$  then  $[H, [\bar{L}, \bar{F}]] = 0$ , i.e.  $H$  is invariant under all transformations generated by  $\bar{L}, \bar{F}$ , i.e. the algebra:

$$[\vec{L}, \vec{L}] = i\vec{L} \quad [\vec{L}, \vec{F}] = i\vec{F} \quad [\vec{F}, \vec{F}] = -2iH\vec{L}$$

which is not a closed algebra. It is convenient to introduce the so-called Runge Lenz vector:

$$\vec{A} = \frac{\vec{F}}{\sqrt{\pm 2H}} = \frac{\vec{F}}{\sqrt{\pm 2H}}$$

where  $\pm$  sign is introduced in such a way that the vector  $\vec{A}$  is well defined. For our case the minus sign is chosen since  $H$  is a negative number (for the plus sign see <sup>(2)</sup>). Then  $H$  is invariant under the algebra:

$$[\vec{L}, \vec{L}] = i\vec{L} \quad [\vec{L}, \vec{A}] = i\vec{A} \quad [\vec{A}, \vec{A}] = i\vec{L} \quad (7)$$

which is the algebra of the rotation group in 4-dimensional  $SO_4$ . The two vectors  $\vec{K} = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{L} + \vec{A})$  and  $\vec{K}' = \frac{1}{2}(\vec{L} - \vec{A})$  build up two commuting sets of operators, each one satisfying the commutation relations of the ordinary angular momentum. The two Casimir operators of the algebra (eq. (7)) are:

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \frac{1}{2}(L^2 + A^2) = K^2 + K'^2 \\ G &= \vec{L} \cdot \vec{A} = \vec{A} \cdot \vec{L} = K^2 - K'^2 = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

From equations (2) to (6) the Hamiltonian has the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{-2H} &= (\vec{L} + \vec{A})^2 + 1 = (\vec{L} - \vec{A})^2 + 1 = L^2 + A^2 + 1 \\ &= 2F + 1 \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$K^2, K'^2, K_3, K_{3'}$  forms a complete set of commuting operators, each state being represented by the ket:

$$| k \ k' \ m \ m' \rangle \quad (9)$$

where, for example,

$$k_1^2 | k \ k' \ m \ m' \rangle = k_1(k_1 + 1) | k \ k' \ m \ m' \rangle$$

$$k_1 = k \text{ or } k' \text{ as } k_1 = K \text{ or } K'.$$

From equation (6) it follows that  $k = k'$ . It is not clear whether  $k = k'$  has to be limited to integer values or can also take half integer values. From (8) and (9) it follows that

$$\frac{1}{-2E} = 4k(k+1) + 1 = (2k+1)^2 .$$

If we identify  $(2k+1)$  with the principal quantum number  $n$  we obtain equation (1), with  $n$  taking every integer value from 1 to  $\infty$ . We see that  $k$  is allowed to take the values  $0, \frac{1}{2}, \dots$ . Since  $\bar{L} = \bar{K} + \bar{K}'$ , the well known addition theorem<sup>(14)</sup> for angular momentum shows that for a given  $n = (2k+1)$  the possible values of  $\bar{L}$  are  $0, 1, \dots, 2k$ . The degeneracy of the levels is equal to

$$\sum_{l=0}^{2k} (2l+1) = (2k+1)^2 = n^2 .$$

Thus one says that the hydrogen atom spectrum corresponds to an irreducible representation of the rotation group in which one of the Casimir operators ( $G$ ) has zero eigenvalue, i.e. the states of the hydrogen atom fall into the  $n^2$ -dimensional

representation of  $SO_4$ . There is, however, the further regularity that for each non-negative integral value of  $n$  there is only one multiplet of states furnishing the  $n^2$ -dimensional representation of  $SO(4)^{(+)}$ . Can we incorporate this information into a group theoretic formulation? In other words what is the dynamical group  $G$  which describes the system completely?

(b) THE DYNAMICAL GROUP

It is a non-compact group,  $G$ , containing  $SO_4$  as a maximal compact subgroup. Its unitary irreducible representation must contain all and every irreducible representation of  $SO_4$  only once. It has been conjectured that (up to a choice<sup>(32)</sup>) the de Sitter group  $SO(4,1)$  is adequate. The other generators are a vector  $\bar{B}$  and a scalar  $S$ , with the following commutation relations:

$$\begin{aligned} [\bar{L}, \bar{L}] &= i\bar{L} & [\bar{L}, \bar{A}] &= i\bar{A} & [\bar{L}, \bar{B}] &= i\bar{B} & [\bar{L}, S] &= 0 \\ [\bar{A}, \bar{A}] &= i\bar{L} & [\bar{A}, \bar{B}] &= iS & [S, \bar{A}] &= i\bar{B} & [S, \bar{B}] &= i\bar{A} \\ [\bar{B}, \bar{B}] &= -i\bar{L} \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

The form of the operators  $\bar{B}$  and  $S$  is given in terms of the dynamical variables  $\bar{r}$ ,  $\bar{p}$  classically by Baury<sup>(3)</sup>. The expression of  $S$  and  $\bar{B}$  are

$$S = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-2H}} \left[ 2Hu\phi - (1 + 2Hr) \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial u} \right]$$

$$\bar{B} = (r \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial u} - u\phi) \bar{p} + \frac{1}{r} \bar{r}$$

where

$$u = \bar{F} \cdot \bar{p}$$

$$\phi(H, u) = \sqrt{a - \frac{1}{2H}} \sin [\sqrt{-2H} u + \epsilon(H)]$$

where  $a$  is an arbitrary constant.  $\epsilon(H)$  is an arbitrary function of classical energy. The quantum mechanical description is obtained by replacing the Poisson brackets by commutators, the  $a$  numbers  $\bar{r}, \bar{p}$  by the corresponding operators. It is clear that there exists an infinite number of Hermitian operators corresponding to the function  $\phi$ , therefore the solution is not unique. The non-uniqueness is due to the fact that  $\phi$  satisfies a second order equation; its solution is given above. Thus  $S$  and  $\bar{B}$  are essentially second-order differential operators in coordinate space. However, if one looks at the problem in the  $p$ -space, there we know that properly parametrized infinitesimal generators are linear differential operators. As a result of this, the solution is unique.

The  $p$ -space equation (1) reads

$$(p^2 + p_0^2) \psi(p) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d^2q \frac{\psi(q)}{|q - p|^2} \quad (1)$$

which shows no more than the ordinary rotational invariance in a three dimensional space. Let us project stereographically<sup>(2,4)</sup> the  $p$ -space on a four dimensional sphere of radius  $p_0^2$  and let  $\bar{p}$  be the point of this hypersphere corresponding to  $\bar{p}$ . The following relations hold

$$|\bar{p} - \bar{q}|^2 = \frac{(p^2 + p_0^2)(q^2 + p_0^2)}{2p_0^2} |\bar{\xi} - \bar{\eta}|^2 \quad (2)'$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\gamma}_k &= \frac{2p_0 p_k}{p_0^2 + p^2} & k &= 1, 2, 3 \\ \bar{\gamma}_0 &= \frac{p_0^2 - p^2}{p_0^2 + p^2} \end{aligned} \quad (3)'$$

We introduce the new wave-function

$$\Phi(\xi) = \frac{1}{4 p_0^{5/2}} (p_0^2 + p^2) \psi(p) \quad (4)'$$

With the aid of (2)' equation (1)' reads:

$$\tilde{\Phi}(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi p_0} \int \frac{\delta(\xi^2 - 1)\Phi(\eta)}{|\xi - \eta|^2} d^4\eta \quad (5)'$$

which shows that the problem is rotationally invariant in a four dimensional space. The two functions  $\psi(p)$  and  $\Phi(\xi)$  satisfy the same normalizability conditions.<sup>(2)(25)</sup> The generators of these rotations are given by

$$L_{\mu\nu} = -i(\xi_\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_\nu} - \xi_\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_\mu})$$

$$\mu, \nu = 1, 2, 3, 4 \quad (n = \infty)$$

which generate the same algebra  $SO_4$  with equations (7) to (8). To obtain the dynamical group we project the four-dimensional

sphere on a five dimensional hyperboloid. The dynamical group now is the group of motions on the hyperboloid, their generators define a de Sitter group whose Lie algebra is given by (10). For completeness the generators have the form <sup>(15)</sup>

$$L_{ijk} = -i(p_j \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} - p_k \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j}) ; \quad (ijk) = (123)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= \left( \frac{p_1 p_1}{p_0} + s_{1j} \frac{p_0^2 - p^2}{2p_0} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_j} - 2 \frac{p_1}{p_0} \\ s &= 1 \left[ \left( \frac{p_0^2 + 1}{2p_0} \right) p_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1} - \left( \frac{1 - p_0^2}{2p_0} \right) \left( \frac{p_0^2 - p^2}{p_0^2 + p^2} \right) p_0 \frac{\partial}{\partial p_0} \right] \\ B_1 &= -1 \left[ \left( \frac{1 + p_0^2}{2p_0^2} \right) \left( \frac{p_0^2 + p^2}{2} \right) \{ \delta_{ik} - p_1 p_k \} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left( \frac{1 - p_0^2}{p_0^2 + p^2} \right) p_0 p_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial p_0} \right] \end{aligned}$$

The summation convention is used for repeated indices.

Now we want to find the irreducible unitary representation of the de Sitter group  $SO_{4,1}$  which by definition describes the system completely.

The two Casimir operators of the algebra given by equations (10) are:

The quadratic operator:

$$Q = S^2 + B^2 - A^2 - L^2$$

and the quartic operator:

$$w = (s\bar{L} - \bar{A} \times \bar{B})^2 - \frac{1}{4} [L.(A + B) + (\bar{A} + \bar{B}).\bar{L}]^2$$

Since  $\bar{L}.\bar{A} = 0$  we can show in the following that  $\bar{L}.\bar{B} = 0$  for: multiply both sides (scalar multiplication) of the equation

$$[s, \bar{A}] = i \bar{B}$$

by  $\bar{L}$ ,

$$\therefore i \bar{L}.\bar{B} = [s, \bar{A}] . \bar{L} = [s, \bar{A}.\bar{L}] - \bar{A} . [s, \bar{L}]$$

using the equation  $[s, \bar{L}] = 0$ . Hence obtain the result.

The relation  $s\bar{L} = \bar{A} \times \bar{B}$  holds. We have  $w = 0$ .

The last equality means that the energy depends only on one quantum number, as it should do. Therefore we look for a unitary irreducible representation for  $SO(4,1)$  in which the quartic Casimir operator is zero.

set  $w, Q, K^2, K'^2, K_3, K_3'$  forms a complete set of commuting operators, every state being represented by the ket

$$|w = 0, Q = a; k k' k_3 k_3' \rangle \quad (11)$$

Such representations have been investigated by many people<sup>(1,2,23,33)</sup> from which one knows that there are two classes of unitary irreducible representations, one of which is chosen in such a way that it gives a complete description for the hydrogen atom system. (See Chapter 2).

(II) THE RIGID ROTATOR

The rigid rotator represents the motion of the nuclei in a diatomic molecule in the limit when the vibrational quanta are treated as infinitely large<sup>(19a)</sup>. The only degrees of freedom of the rotator are the angular momentum variables ( $\theta, \phi$ ) fixing its spatial orientation. If  $\vec{L}$  is the rotator's angular momentum vector,  $m$  the reduced mass, and  $r$  = mutual distance, the Hamiltonian of the system is given by:

$$H = \frac{\vec{L}^2}{2I} \quad (1)$$

where  $I = mr^2$ .

It is clear that the three vector  $\vec{L}$  is a constant of motion, therefore the Hamiltonian is invariant under the transformation generated by the three vector  $\vec{L}$ , i.e. the algebra of the rotation group  $SO(3)$ , i.e.

$$[\vec{L}, \vec{L}] = i\vec{L} \quad (2)$$

The energy is given as a function of the Casimir operator, i.e.  $\vec{L}^2$ .

Representations of the Lie algebra (2) are well known, all unitary irreducible representations are finite dimensional. Every state is represented by the ket:

$$| j; j_3 \rangle \quad \text{or simply} \quad | j_3 \rangle \quad (3)$$

where

$$L^2 |j_3\rangle = j(j+1) |j_3\rangle$$

$$L_3 |j_3\rangle = j_3 |j_3\rangle$$

and

$j_3 = -j, -j+1, \dots, +j$ ,  $j$  takes all integral values;  
if  $E$  is the energy of the rotator, then:

$$E = \frac{j(j+1)}{2I} \quad (4)$$

which is the well known formula for the rotator's energy.  
The states of the rotator fall into  $(2j+1)$  dimensional representation of  $SO(3)$ . For each integral value  $j$  (non negative), there is only one multiplet of states furnishing the  $(2j+1)$  dimensional representation of  $SO(3)$ .

We want to identify the entire spectrum with a single irreducible representation of a larger group  $G$ . In other words one unitary irreducible representation of  $G$  is the sum of all irreducible representations of its maximal compact subgroup  $SO(3)$ , each of them being contained only once.

It is well known that the entire spectrum is identified with a single irreducible representation of  $E(3)$  (in flat space) or the Lorentz group  $SO(3,1)$  (in curved space). In the case of  $E(3)$  the rotation generators are the dynamical variables of angular momentum, while the translation generators are the components of the radial vector on the unit sphere<sup>(32)</sup>.

In the following we write down the explicit form of the generator of the non-invariance (i.e. dynamical) group in the

classical case. The quantum version is obtained by replacing Poisson brackets by commutators and the c-numbers  $\bar{r}, \bar{p}$  by their corresponding quantum mechanical operators.

The generators of the Lorentz group are the two three vectors  $\bar{L}$  and  $\bar{B}$  with the following commutation relations:

$$\{\bar{L}, \bar{L}\} = \bar{L} \quad (5.1)$$

$$\{\bar{L}, \bar{B}\} = \bar{B} \quad (5.2)$$

$$\{\bar{B}, \bar{B}\} = -\bar{L} \quad (5.3)$$

where

$$\{f_1(r, p), f_2(r, p)\} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \left( \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial p_i} \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x_i} \right)$$

where  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are two scalar functions.

Equation (5.1) is realized by the angular momentum vector

$$\bar{L} = \bar{r} \times \bar{p} \quad (6)$$

From equation (5.2),  $\bar{B}$  is a vector under rotation, so it must have the form

$$\bar{B} = f \bar{p} + g \bar{r} \quad (7)$$

where  $f, g$  are scalar functions. In other words, they are functions of the three scalars  $r, p$  and  $(\bar{r} \cdot \bar{p})$ . One possible solution is

$$\bar{B} = r(\bar{p} - \frac{(\bar{r} \cdot \bar{p})}{r^2} \bar{r}) + a \frac{\bar{r}}{r} \quad (8)$$

where  $a$  is a constant, to be determined (see below).

Equation (8) is determined up to an arbitrary function of  $L^2$ , which we choose to be one.

Vectors (6) and (7) are subject to the following conditions

$$\bar{L} \cdot \bar{B} = \bar{B} \cdot \bar{L} = 0 \quad (9)$$

$$B^2 - L^2 = a^2 \quad (10)$$

Equations (9) and (10) are equivalent to saying that the rigid rotator admits the Lorentz group as a dynamical group in which one of the Casimir operators has zero eigenvalues. Equation (10) tells us more, namely that the Hamiltonian can have the form:

$$H = \frac{B^2 + a^2}{2I} = \frac{P^2}{2I} \quad (11)$$

This form is preferred to the form given by (1) because the model admits generalizations which are more directly related to physics<sup>(7)</sup>.

It is an easy matter to obtain the quantum version of the above formulation. Equations (5.1) - (5.3) become:

$$[L, \bar{L}] = i \bar{L} \quad (5'.1)$$

$$[\bar{L}, \bar{B}] = i \bar{B} \quad (5'.2)$$

$$[\bar{B}, \bar{B}] = i \bar{L} \quad (5'.3)$$

It should be noted that only the Hermitian part of  $\bar{B}$  is used in the above equations. Equation (9) remains unchanged. Equation (10) has the form

$$B^2 - L^2 = 1 + a^2 \quad (10)'$$

Unitary irreducible representations of the algebra (5'.1) — (5'.3) are labelled by two numbers  $(j_0, a)$  with  $j_0 = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \dots$  and  $-\infty < a < +\infty$  (the principal series) or  $j_0 = 0$  and  $0 \leq ia \leq 1$  (the supplementary series). It is shown (Chapter 2) that the entire spectrum of the rigid rotator is given by the supplementary series (with  $j_0 = 0$ ).

We remark that the Euclidean group  $E(3)$  can be used as a dynamical group for the rigid rotator (i.e. one identifies the spectrum of the rigid rotator) with one irreducible unitary representation of the group  $E(3)$ . Since  $E(3)$  is obtained from  $SO(3,1)$  by the method of group constructions,<sup>(18)</sup> therefore the constructed space is the desired representation space of  $E(3)$  (see Chapter 2).

(c) THE ISOTROPIC HARMONIC OSCILLATOR

(a) The Symmetry Group

It is well known<sup>(4)</sup> that the Hamiltonian of the n-dimensional oscillator is invariant under the SU(n) group.

To see this let us write the Hamiltonian  $H$  of the normalized (unit mass and coupling constant) harmonic oscillator in  $n$  dimensions as:

$$H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (p_i^2 + q_i^2) \quad (1)$$

As usual, we define

$$a_j = \frac{1}{2}(p_j - iq_j) \quad (2)$$

$$a_j^* = \frac{1}{2}(p_j + iq_j) \quad (3)$$

In quantum mechanics one knows the  $p_i$  and  $q_i$  satisfy the following commutation relations:

$$[q_i, p_j] = i \delta_{ij} \quad (4)$$

from which it follows:

$$[a_i, a_j^*] = \delta_{ij} \quad (5)$$

Using (2), (3) and (5), the Hamiltonian  $H$  has the form

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^n (a_i^* a_i + \frac{1}{2}) \quad (6)$$

It is clear that the Hamiltonian is invariant under the

algebra generated by the following operators

$$L_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(a_i^* a_j + a_j^* a_i) \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

The following commutation relations hold:

$$[L_{ij}, L_{lk}] = \delta_{ik} L_{lj} - \delta_{lj} L_{ik} \quad (7)$$

It is worth mentioning that the Hamiltonian (6) has the form

$$H = L_{11}.$$

Thus we are left with  $(n^2 - 1)$  generators. To show that the invariant algebra is the algebra of the compact  $SU(n)$ ; we know that  $p$  and  $q$  are hermitian, therefore the generators (7) satisfy the following hermiticity conditions.

$$(L_{ij})^+ = L_{ji}, \quad \text{hence the result.}$$

(b) The Dynamical Group.

Now we want to embed this algebra, into a larger algebra such that:

- (i) This algebra is of finite dimension, and build a non-compact group  $G$ .
- (ii)  $SU(n)$  is a maximal compact subgroup of the non-compact group.
- (iii) The eigenvalues of  $H$  constitute a basis for the unitary irreducible (infinite) representation of  $G$ .

The most immediate non-compact extension is obtained by

adding to  $SU(n)$  algebra, the Hamiltonian and the  $n(n+1)$  generators,

$$\text{i.e. } a_i^{\dagger} a_j^+ ; \quad a_i a_j \quad (i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

which give the algebra of the non-compact  $SP(2n)$ . However,<sup>(14)</sup>  
it is shown that minimal compact algebra satisfying the above  
conditions is the non-compact  $SU(n, 1)^{(c)}$ .

We remark that for  $n = 1$ , the problem is trivial; this  
is because  $SU(1, 1) \sim SP(2) \sim SO(2, 1)$ . For  $n = 3$  (see (8))  
for more details. Since our concern is the construction of the  
rigged Hilbert space of that finite system, it is irrelevant  
in this case to ~~which~~ which is the adequate dynamical group  
(see Chapter 2).

I. REMARKS

If the Hamiltonian for a given quantum-mechanical system is invariant under a group of operations (which we call the symmetry group); then the eigenfunctions which correspond to each energy (or any other quantum number) eigenvalue form the basis of a representation of this symmetry group (or the degeneracy group). The degeneracy of an eigenstate is directly related to the dimensionality of the irreducible representations. One might consider the inverse problem, namely how to extract dynamical information, given the symmetry. An initial step along this line was taken<sup>(16)</sup>, by Greenberg. It is shown, that given the symmetry group (e.g.  $SO_4$  for the hydrogen atom,  $SU(2)$  for the two-dimensional oscillator) one can predict the form of the Hamiltonian. One might try to extend these ideas, to determine the dynamics of hadrons from the empirically observed symmetries among the strong interacting particles. It can be used also to test the various symmetry groups that have been considered so far (e.g.  $SU(3)$ ,  $SU(6)$ ....); (see Section II).

II. REMARKS

It may happen that the states of a quantum system are correctly labelled by the irreducible representations of the group of degeneracy  $G_E$  ( $E$  is the energy), but the levels are not degenerate, then the function equation

$$E = f(C_1)$$

$$\text{or } E = f(C_1) \quad (\text{for ideal systems})$$

cannot hold where  $C_i \quad i = 1, 2, \dots$  are the Casimir operators of the symmetry group  $G_E$ .

In this case the actual group of degeneracy of  $E$  is a smaller group  $g_E$ . The group  $G_E$  can still be used either by saying that  $G_E$  is now the group of degeneracy of a new quantity  $E'$  given by:

$$E' = f(C_1)$$

or by using the representations of  $G_E$  with non-vanishing Casimir operators (for ideal systems all  $C_i = 0$  except one).  $E$  is a function of a tensor operator in  $g_E$ :

$$E = f(C_1, D_1)$$

where  $D_1$  are the Casimir operators of the group  $g_E$  for ideal systems.  $E$  has the form:  $E = f_1(C_1) + f_2(D_1)$ .

Then the second term in the above equation gives the splitting of levels of the multiplets of the ideal system.

This is actually what happens in particle physics where we have only approximate symmetry. For example, high energy interacting particles are grouped in multiplets with respect to  $SU(3)$  representations; although they <sup>are not</sup> ~~happen to be~~ quite degenerate in mass.

### III. REMARKS

Given a non-compact symmetry group (e.g. the dynamical group is a symmetry group in a general sense), one prefers to work with the corresponding Lie algebra and its representations by skew symmetric operators in a Hilbert space  $H$  (after completion with respect to a well defined norm). In this case the generators cannot be defined in the whole Hilbert space, but only on a dense domain  $D$  in the space  $H$ , therefore these operators (which are related to physical observables) must be specified by their domain of definition.

It may happen that some operators have a complete set of eigenvectors lying outside the Hilbert space.

In Section (2) we construct the rigged Hilbert space  $\bar{\Phi} \subset H \subset \bar{\Phi}^*$ . The determination of  $\bar{\Phi}$  solves the problem of the domain, the determination of  $\bar{\Phi}^*$  solves the problem of interpreting those eigenvectors which cannot be interpreted by postulating only the Hilbert space as the physical space.

IV. REMARKS

In quantum mechanical systems (which we are considering) we have the choice of starting with the dynamical system formulated in terms of dynamical variables (e.g. canonical variables  $p_i, q_i$  momenta and positions or related entities). Then we search for a non-invariant<sup>2</sup> (dynamical) group, such that the entire spectrum of the system constitutes an irreducible representation of such a group. The generalized enveloping algebra of the dynamical group contains all the dynamical variables. In contrast, the dynamical structure of elementary particles is not well known. Here a new hypothesis should be made and be tested with the available experimental data.

One method of predicting the non-compact group  $G$  is to look for groups which have  $SU(6)$  as a ~~compact~~ compact subgroup, for example,  $SU(6,6)$ ,  $U(12)$ ,  $SL(6,c)^{(11,26,31)}$ . The difficulty with such groups is how one can identify so many invariants of such groups with well known physical quantities.

The restriction of using compact/<sup>Lie</sup> groups as symmetry groups is not very clear. From Section 2 one learns that finite groups can be used as well. If this is so, then the dynamical group is the inhomogeneous Lorentz group extended by finite groups. It should be noted that it might be useful to use different finite groups to describe the internal structure of different physical systems, or different types of ray representations of a chosen finite group to describe various systems as well as their interactions. However, this is an open question needing to be answered.

## CHAPTER I

### SECTION B

### THE RIGGED HILBERT SPACE

A Hilbert space  $H$  is an infinite dimensional inner product space which is a complete metric space with respect to the metric generated by the inner product.

A function  $F$ , which relates to each element  $f \in D$  ( $D$  is a subset of  $H$ ) a definite complex number  $F(f)$ , is called a functional in the space  $H$  with domain  $D$ .

A function  $T$ , which relates to each element  $f \in D$  ( $D$  is a subset of  $H$ ) a particular element  $T_f = g \in H$ , is called an operator in the space  $H$  with domain  $D$ .

We are interested in linear operators and linear functionals. We introduce the following definitions:

Definition A functional  $F$  in  $H$  is said to be linear if

(i) Its domain of definition  $D$  is a linear manifold and

$$F(\alpha f + \beta g) = \alpha F(f) + \beta F(g) \text{ i.e. homogeneous and additive.}$$

(ii)  $\sup_{f \in D} |F(f)| < \infty$  i.e. bounded.

$$f \in D, \|f\| \leq 1$$

where  $f, g \in D$  and  $\alpha, \beta$  are complex numbers.

If the functional  $F$  is continuous at one point and satisfies (i), then it satisfies (ii), i.e. bounded.

Definition An operator  $T$  is linear if its domain  $D$  is a linear manifold and if

$$T(\alpha f + \beta g) = \alpha T(f) + \beta T(g) \text{ i.e. homogeneous and additive}$$

for all  $f, g \in D$  and  $\alpha, \beta$  are complex numbers.

In contrast to linear functionals linear operators need not be bounded. A linear operator is bounded if

$$\sup_{f \in D} \|Tf\| < +\infty$$
$$f \in D; \|f\| \leq 1.$$

Definition A linear operator is completely continuous which maps a Hilbert space,  $H_1$ , into a Hilbert space  $H_2$ , if it carries any bounded set to a set which is compact.

Theorem (1) Every completely continuous operator is bounded.

Proof:

If not, there would exist a sequence  $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  for which

$\|f_k\| = 1$  and  $\|Af_k\| \geq K$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) which is impossible.

The following propositions hold<sup>(1)</sup>

1. If  $A$  is a completely continuous operator, then its adjoint  $A^*$  (i.e.  $(Af, g)_2 = (f, A^*g)_1$ ) is a completely continuous operator.
2. If  $A$  is a continuous operator and  $B$  is a completely continuous operator then  $AB$  and  $BA$  are completely continuous operators.
3. Any finite linear combination of completely continuous operators is a completely continuous operator.

Theorem (2) A completely continuous operator  $A$  which maps  $H_1$  into  $H_2$  has the form  $A = UT^{(15)}$  where  $T$  is a positive semi-definite (i.e.  $(Tf, f) \geq 0$ ) completely continuous operator, and  $U$  is an isometric operator (i.e.  $(Uf, Ug)_2 = (f, g)_1$   $f, g \in H$ )

the suffix 1 (2) means the scalar product is defined in  $H_1$  ( $H_2$ ). Moreover a completely continuous operator has the form:

$$Af = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n (f, e_n) h_n \quad (1)$$

where  $f \in H_1$ ;  $\{e_n\}$ ,  $\{h_n\}$  are orthonormal basis in  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  respectively:

$$\lambda_n \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = 0.$$

Definition A completely continuous operator  $A (= UT)$  is said to be of Hilbert-Schmidt type if it has the decomposition (1) with  $\lambda_n \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n^2$  converges.

Definition A completely continuous operator  $A (= UT)$  is said to be nuclear if it has the decomposition (1) with  $\lambda_n \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n$  converges.

In contrast to finite dimensional space, not every unitary ( $\|f\| = \|Uf\| = \|U^{-1}f\|$ ) operator or self-adjoint operator ( $A: (Af, g) = (f, Ag)$  for all  $f, g \in H$ ) has a complete set of eigenvectors in the Hilbert space  $H$ . e.g. the translation operator  $U_a$  in the Hilbert space  $L^2$  has only the zero eigenvector in  $L^2$ , while it has a complete set of eigenvectors (generalized eigenvectors) which lie outside the Hilbert space. Such eigenvectors cannot be interpreted unless one goes to a larger space. One usually obtains the Hilbert space as follows:

Consider a physical system which is described by a dynamical

group, say. The states of this system span a linear space,  $\Psi$ , (representations of the dynamical groups) in which one defines a positive definite bilinear Hermitian functional, i.e.  $(\phi, \psi)$ ; where  $\phi, \psi \in \Psi$  such that:

$$(i) \quad (\phi_1 + \phi_2, \psi) = (\phi_1, \psi) + (\phi_2, \psi)$$

$$(ii) \quad (a\phi, \psi) = a(\phi, \psi)$$

$$(iii) \quad (\phi, \psi) = (\psi, \phi)$$

$$(iv) \quad (\phi, \phi) \geq 0, \text{ and } (\phi, \phi) = 0 \text{ only when } \phi = 0.$$

Taking  $(\phi, \psi)$  as a scalar product in  $\Psi$  and completing it with respect to the norm defined by this scalar product, one obtains a corresponding Hilbert space. If one is able to define another scalar product (which is possible) in the linear space  $\Psi$ , one obtains different Hilbert spaces corresponding to different scalar products.

In order to interpret eigenfunctions lying outside the Hilbert space, one has to consider with the Hilbert space a certain extension  $\Phi'$  of it. Extension spaces are obtained by defining various topologies different from the one generated by the ordinary scalar product. For our purpose we shall make use of the concept of a rigged Hilbert space<sup>(1015)</sup>  $\Phi \subset H \subset \Phi^*$  defined below:

Definition A countable normed Hilbert space  $\Phi$  is a complete linear topological space. The topology is given by a countable set of norms  $\|\phi\|_n^2 = (\phi, \phi)_n$ ;  $n = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$ . The norms are

compatible in the sense that if a sequence  $\{\phi_k\}_n$  of elements of  $\Phi$  converges to zero in the norm  $\|\phi\|_n$  and is a fundamental sequence in the norm  $\|\phi\|_m$ , then it converges to zero in the norm  $\|\phi\|_m$ .

If we denote by  $\bar{\Phi}_n$  the space obtained by completing  $\Phi$  with respect to the norm  $\|\phi\|_n$ , due to the completeness of  $\bar{\Phi}$ , then  $\bar{\Phi} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{\Phi}_n$ .

We could assume without loss of generality that the set of inequalities hold,

$$\|\phi\|_1 \leq \|\phi\|_2 \dots \leq \|\phi\|_n \leq \dots \text{ which implies:}$$

$$\bar{\Phi} \subset \dots \subset \bar{\Phi}_n \dots \dots \subset \bar{\Phi}_1 \quad (5)$$

Along with the space we consider the adjoint space, i.e. the space of linear functionals on the space  $\phi$ . If we denote by  $\phi_{-k}$  the space  $\phi_k^*$  the adjoint space of the Hilbert space  $\phi_k$ . Then it is easily shown<sup>(15)</sup> that  $\phi^* = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi_{-n}$ . Since  $\phi_{-n}$  is a Hilbert space, then there is defined a norm  $\|F\|_{-n} = \sqrt{(F, \phi)_{-n}}$  by the scalar product  $(F, G)_{-n}$  in  $\phi_{-n}$ .

Definition A countable Hilbert space  $\bar{\Phi}$  is called nuclear if for every  $m$  there is an  $n$  such that the mapping  $T_m^n$  of the space  $\bar{\Phi}_n$  into the space  $\bar{\Phi}_m$  is nuclear.

It is clear that  $\phi^*$  is also a nuclear space, the mapping  $T_{-n}^{-m}$  (the adjoint operator of  $T_m^n$  for  $n, m < -1$ ) is also nuclear.

It was mentioned in the introduction that among various spaces in which the mathematical structure of a physical system should be mapped, one is the rigged Hilbert space. In the following we give

the definition of this space with some of its properties. Proofs are not presented (which can be found elsewhere) <sup>(10,15)</sup>).

Definition A rigged Hilbert space is a triple of spaces  $\Phi$ ,  $H$ ,  $\Phi^*$  such that:

a)  $\Phi$  is a nuclear space, in which there is defined a non-degenerate scalar product  $(\phi, \psi)$   $\phi$  , i.e.

$$(\phi, \psi_1 + \psi_2) = (\phi, \psi_1) + (\phi, \psi_2)$$

$$(\phi, \psi) = \overline{(\psi, \phi)}$$

$$(\phi, a\psi) = a(\phi, \psi) ; (\phi, \phi) \geq 0 ; (\phi, \phi) = 0 \text{ only when } \phi = 0$$

If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_n = \psi$  then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\phi, \psi_n) = (\phi, \psi)$ .

b)  $H$  is the completion of  $\Phi$  with respect to the norm defined by the scalar product  $(\phi, \psi)$ , where

c)  $\Phi^*$  is the adjoint space of  $\Phi$ .

From the definition it follows that: <sup>(15)</sup>

i)  $\Phi$  is a dense subset in  $H$ , i.e. there exists a continuous linear operator  $T$  which maps  $\Phi$  onto an everywhere dense subset in  $H$ .

(ii) The adjoint operator  $T^*$  of  $T$  is antilinear and maps  $H$  on to an everywhere dense subset in  $H^*$  (the adjoint space of  $H$ ).

It should be noted that if we define  $\Phi^*$  as the space of antilinear functions on  $\Phi$ , then  $T^*$  becomes a linear mapping.

The Realization of the Rigged Hilbert Space:

Let  $\phi \subset H \subset \phi^*$  be a rigged Hilbert space. Consider the realization  $h \rightarrow h(x)$  of the space  $H$  as a space of functions with the scalar product:

$$(\phi, \psi) = \int_X \phi(x) \overline{\psi(x)} d\sigma(x)$$

where  $\sigma(x)$  is a positive measure on some set  $X$ .

Then to each element  $\phi \in \phi$  there corresponds a function  $\phi(x)$ , associated by this realization with the element  $T\phi \in H$ . Thus we obtain a realization  $\phi \rightarrow \phi(x)$  of the space  $\phi$ , induced by the realization  $h \rightarrow h(x)$  of the space  $H$ .  $\phi^*$  is realized by the linear functionals on the space  $\phi$ . Moreover we could choose the realization of  $\phi$ , induced by the realization of  $H$  such that

$$\phi(x) = F_x(\phi) \text{ for every } x. \quad (15)$$

Definition A linear functional  $F$  on  $\phi$  is such that:

$F(A\phi) = \lambda F(\phi)$  for every  $\phi \in \phi$  is called a generalized eigenvector of the operator  $A$ , corresponding to the eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

Theorem

(i) A unitary operator in a rigged Hilbert space has a complete system of generalized eigenvectors, corresponding to eigenvalues  $\lambda$  having modulus one.

(ii) A self-adjoint operator in a rigged Hilbert space has a complete system of generalized eigenvectors, corresponding to real eigenvalues.

Theorem (15)

If  $\{T_k\}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$  (finite), is a system of commuting self-adjoint (unitary) operators in a rigged Hilbert space, then the set of generalized eigenvectors of this system is complete.

In the following we shall illustrate our postulate given in the introduction, namely the use of the rigged Hilbert space as a physical space

Example 1: Let  $T_h$  be the operator of translation in the Hilbert space  $L^2$  of functions on the line, having square integrable moduli. Let  $f(x) \in L^2$  in such a way that:

$$T_h f(x) = f(x-h) = a f(x) \quad (1)$$

Since  $F(\lambda) = \int f(x) e^{i\lambda x} dx$  (2)

is the Fourier transform of  $f(x)$  and

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int F(\lambda) e^{-i\lambda x} d\lambda \quad (3)$$

It follows from (1) that:

$$e^{i\lambda h} F(\lambda) = a F(\lambda) \quad (4)$$

$F(\lambda) \neq 0$  for points  $a = e^{i\lambda h}$ , otherwise  $F(\lambda) = 0$ , i.e.  $F(\lambda)$  is different from zero only at a countable set of points. Therefore  $T_h$  has only the zero eigenvector in  $L^2$ .

Consider the complete set of functions  $e^{-i\lambda x}$ , then:

$$T_h e^{-i\lambda x} = e^{i\lambda h} e^{-i\lambda x}$$

i.e.  $(e^{-i\lambda x})$  is an eigenfunction of the operator  $T_h$ , corresponding to the eigenvalue  $e^{ih}$ . The system of eigenfunctions  $e^{-i\lambda x}$  is complete, in the sense that every  $f(x) \in L^2$  has the form (3) and the following equality holds:

$$\int |f(x)|^2 dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int |F(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda \quad (5)$$

Thus  $T_h$  has a complete set of eigenfunctions  $e^{-i\lambda x}$  lying outside the Hilbert space.

Consider a rigged Hilbert space  $\Phi \subset H \subset \Phi^*$ . Consider a realization of  $H$  as  $L^2$ , the space of square integrable functions, then  $\Phi$  is realized by the space  $S$  of infinitely differentiable functions, rapidly decreasing on the real line together with their derivatives of any order and  $\Phi^*$  is realized as the space  $S^*$  of tempered distributions<sup>(5)</sup>. Now we say that the functions  $e^{-i\lambda x}$  are generalized eigenvectors for the translation operator considered in the space  $S$ .

$F(\lambda) = (e^{-i\lambda x}; \phi(x))$  where  $\phi(x) \in S = \Phi$  and  $F(\lambda)$  is the Fourier transform of  $\phi(x)$ . Equation (5) ensures that the set of generalized eigenvectors  $e^{-i\lambda x}$  is complete, i.e.  $F(\lambda) = 0$  implies  $\phi(x) = 0$  (See Theorem 1).

## CHAPTER 2

### (I) CONSTRUCTION OF THE RIGGED HILBERT SPACE OF THE RIGID ROTATOR

(a) It is shown in Chapter 1 that the dynamical group of the rigid rotator is the Lorentz group, in which one of the two Casimir operators has zero eigenvalues.

The Lie algebra of  $G$  is generated by the two vectors  $\vec{L}$  and  $\vec{B}$  and the commutation relations are of the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} [\vec{L}, \vec{L}] &= i\vec{L} \\ [\vec{L}, \vec{B}] &= i\vec{B} \\ [\vec{B}, \vec{B}] &= -i\vec{L} \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

with the two Casimir operators given by:

$$Q_1 = \vec{L} \cdot \vec{B} \tag{2}$$

$$Q_2 = B^2 - L^2 \tag{3}$$

The construction of the representation space  $\Psi$  has been given in detail<sup>(21)</sup>. Starting with a vector  $|00\rangle$  (we use Dirac's notation) with the properties that:

$$(i) J_1 |00\rangle = 0$$

$$(ii) \langle 00, 00 \rangle = 1$$

where  $\langle \quad ; \quad \rangle$  is the scalar product. We obtain by successive application of the operators  $J_1$  and  $B_1$  the set of vectors:

$$|(j_0, a); j_1 j_3\rangle = \psi_{j_1 j_3} \tag{4}$$

where:

$$(j_0, a) \in X = \left[ (j_0, a) \mid j_0 = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \dots ; -\infty < a < +\infty \right] \cup \left[ (j_0, a) \mid j_0 = 0; 0 < a \in 1 \right]$$

$$j = j_0, j_0+1, \dots, \dots, \dots, \text{ and}$$

$$j_3 = -j, -j+1, \dots, \dots, \dots, +j$$

The pair  $(j_0, a)$  name the irreducible representation. The set of states (4) spans a linear space, the linear envelope of which we call  $\Psi$ . The two numbers  $(j_0, a)$  are related to the eigenvalues of the two Casimir operators,

$$\text{if } q_i |(j_0, a); j j_3\rangle = q_i |(j_0, a); j j_3\rangle \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots)$$

Then:

$$q_1 = -j_0 a \quad (4)$$

$$q_2 = (1 + a^2 - j_0^2) \quad (5)$$

We shall denote by  $| j j_3 \rangle$  the ket  $| (j_0, a); j j_3 \rangle$ , writing

$$L_{\pm} = L_1 \pm i L_2$$

$$B_{\pm} = B_1 \pm i B_2$$

Then the operators  $B_{\pm}, L_{\pm}, B_3, L_3$  have the form:

$$L_+ | j j_3 \rangle = \sqrt{(j-j_3)(j+j_3+1)} | j j_3+1 \rangle \quad (6.1)$$

$$L_- | j j_3 \rangle = \sqrt{(j+j_3)(j-j_3+1)} | j j_3-1 \rangle \quad (6.2)$$

$$L_3 | j j_3 \rangle = j_3 | j j_3 \rangle \quad (6.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} B_+ | j j_3 \rangle &= \sqrt{(j-j_3)(j-j_3+1)} a_j | j-1, j_3+1 \rangle \\ &\quad - \sqrt{(j-j_3)(j+j_3+1)} a_j | j j_3+1 \rangle \\ &\quad + \sqrt{(j+j_3+1)(j+j_3+2)} a_{j+1} | j+1, j_3+1 \rangle \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^B_{-} |j \ j_3\rangle &= \sqrt{(j+j_3)(j+j_3+1)} \ c_j |j-1, j_3-1\rangle \\ &\quad - \sqrt{(j+j_3)(j+j_3+1)} \ a_j |j, j_3-1\rangle \\ &\quad - \sqrt{(j-j_3+1)(j-j_3+2)} \ c_{j+1} |j+1, j_3-1\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} {}^B_{+} |j \ j_3\rangle &= \sqrt{(j-j_3)(j+j_3)} \ c_j |j-1, j_3\rangle - j_3 a_j |j, j_3\rangle \\ &\quad - \sqrt{(j+j_3+1)(j-j_3+1)} \ c_{j+1} |j+1, j_3\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

where

$$a_j = \frac{j j_o a}{j(j+1)} \quad \text{and} \quad c_j = \frac{1}{j} \sqrt{\frac{(j^2 - j_o^2)(j^2 + a^2)}{4j^2 - 1}}$$

and

$$\langle j \ j_3 \ j \ j' \ j'_3 \rangle = \delta_{jj'} \ \delta_{j_3 j'_3} \quad (7)$$

For the rigid rotator, we have:

$$q_1 = 0$$

which implies that  $j_o = 0$

$$q_2 = 1 + a^2 \geq 0$$

If we take  $q_2 = 0$ , i.e.  $ia = 1$ , then the ground state (for  $c_1 = 0$ ) is not included.

Therefore we have to consider the representation of the Lorentz group given by <sup>supplementary</sup> principle series.

The linear envelope of the set of states  $|j \ j_3\rangle$  is the space  $\Psi(j_o = 0, a) = \Psi(a)$ . Completing  $\Psi$  with respect to the norm defined by the scalar product (7) one obtains the Hilbert space  $H(a)$ .

We introduce into  $\Psi$  the stronger topology given by the countable number of scalar products  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p$  given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_{jj_3} \circ \psi_{j'j'_3} \rangle_p &= \left\langle \psi_{jj_3} \mid (J^2 + \frac{1}{4})^p \mid \psi_{j'j'_3} \right\rangle \\ &= (j + \frac{1}{2})^{2p} \delta_{jj'} \delta_{j_3j'_3}, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

for all integer  $p$ .

Note that (7) is a special case of (8), i.e. with  $p = 0$ . The completion of the space  $\Psi$  with respect to the topology given by (8) is the linear topological space  $\bar{\Phi}$ . The norms:

$\bar{\Phi} \ni \phi = \sum_{jj_3} c_{jj_3} \psi_{jj_3}$  are given by:

$$\|\phi\|_p^2 = \sum_{jj_3} |c_{jj_3}|^2 (j + \frac{1}{2})^{2p} \quad (9)$$

From equation (9) it follows:

$$\|\phi_p\| \geq \|\phi_1\| \geq \|\phi_0\| \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\phi, \phi) \quad (10)$$

so that the canonical sequence of  $p$  is:

$$\bar{\Phi} \subset \dots \subset \bar{\Phi}_p \subset \bar{\Phi}_{p-1} \subset \dots \subset \bar{\Phi}_1 \subset \bar{\Phi}_0 \equiv H \quad (11)$$

The compatibility of the norms follows from the continuity of the operator  $(J^2 + \frac{1}{4}I)$  which follows from the continuity (see below) of the operators  $J_{\pm}, J_3$  and  $I$ , which is a completely continuous operator (it maps the space  $\bar{\Phi}$  into itself).

That is  $\bar{\Phi}$  is a countably normed Hilbert space. Now we identify the space  $\bar{\Phi}_0$  with the Hilbert space  $H$ . The continuity

of the scalar product in  $\mathbb{H}$  is assumed by equation (10).

Since  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is complete, then every  $\phi \in \tilde{\Phi}$  can be expressed as the limit of the sequence  $\{\phi^{(n)}\}_n$  of elements of  $\Phi$ , where

$$\phi_n = \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{j_3=-j}^{+j} c_{jj_3}^{(n)} \psi_{jj_3} \quad (12)$$

The limit is understood, with respect to the topology in the space  $\tilde{\Phi}$ ,

i.e.

$$\|\phi - \phi^{(n)}\|_p \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ for all } p,$$

from which we write:

$$\phi = \sum_{jj_3} c_{jj_3} \psi_{jj_3} \quad (13)$$

Let  $\phi^P$  denote the element  $\phi \in \tilde{\Phi}$  considered as an element of the space  $\tilde{\Phi}_p$  (i.e. the space obtained by completing  $\Psi$  with respect to the topology in  $\tilde{\Phi}_p$ ).  $\phi^P$  is the limit in the norm of the sequence  $\{\phi^{(n)}\}_n$  of elements in the space  $\tilde{\Phi}_p$ . Because of the completeness of the space  $\tilde{\Phi}_p$ , we write:

$$\phi^P = \sum_{jj_3} c_{jj_3} \psi_{jj_3}^P \quad (14)$$

From which we have

$$\langle \psi_{jj_3}^P, \phi \rangle_p = (\cdot, \cdot)^T c_{jj_3} \quad (15)$$

where  $\phi \in \tilde{\Phi}_p$ , i.e. we have the form (14).

(Note that we wrote  $\phi$  to mean  $\phi^P$ ).

Similarly we consider elements in the space  $\tilde{\Phi}_{p-1}$ . if we denote

such elements  $\phi^{P-1}$ , then:

$$\phi^{P-1} = \sum_{jj_3} c_{jj_3} \psi_{jj_3}^{P-1} \quad (16)$$

We define the operator  $T_{P-1}^P$  by:

$$T_{P-1}^P \phi = \phi^{P-1} \quad (17)$$

where  $\phi \in \mathbb{F}_P$  i.e.  $T_{P-1}^P$  maps the space  $\mathbb{F}_P$  into a dense subset of the space  $\mathbb{F}_{P-1}$ .

From equations (14) - (17) we have:

$$T_{P-1}^P \phi = \sum_{jj_3} \frac{1}{(j+\frac{1}{2})^{2P}} \langle \psi_{jj_3}^P, \phi \rangle_p \psi_{jj_3}^{P-1} \quad (18)$$

which we could write in the form

$$T_{P-1}^P = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j \sum_{j_3=-j}^{+j} \langle \psi_{jj_3}^P, \phi \rangle_p \psi_{jj_3}^{P-1} \quad (18)$$

where  $\phi \in \mathbb{F}_P$ ,  $\{\psi_{jj_3}^P\}$  and  $\{\psi_{jj_3}^{P-1}\}$  are orthonormal basis in

the spaces  $\mathbb{F}_P$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{P-1}$  respectively. (Note that  $\{\psi_{jj_3}^P\}$

is an orthonormal basis in the space  $H$ ),  $\lambda_j > 0$  and

$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \lambda_j$  converges, for  $p$  integer. Therefore the operator

$T_{P-1}^P$  is a nuclear operator, hence  $\mathbb{F}$  is a nuclear Hilbert space.

Now we consider the adjoint space  $\mathbb{F}^*$  of the space  $\mathbb{F}$ . The elements of the space  $\mathbb{F}^*$  are given by the linear functionals  $F(\phi) = \langle F, \phi \rangle$  where  $\phi \in \mathbb{F}$ , which can be expressed in the basis  $\{\psi_{jj_3}\}$  by:

$$\tilde{\Phi}^* \rightarrow F = \sum_{jj_3} f_{jj_3} \psi_{jj_3}$$

such that

$$\langle F, \phi \rangle = \sum_{jj_3} F_{jj_3} c_{jj_3} \text{ exists.}$$

Now since for  $\tilde{\Phi} \rightarrow \phi = \sum_{jj_3} \theta_{jj_3} \psi_{jj_3}$ , the sum

$$\|\phi\|_P^2 = \sum_{jj_3} |\theta_{jj_3}|^2 (j + \frac{1}{2})^{2P} \text{ has to exist, and for}$$

$$H \rightarrow h = \sum_{jj_3} h_{jj_3} \psi_{jj_3},$$

the sum:

$$\sum_{jj_3} |h_{jj_3}|^2 \text{ exists, then } H \in \tilde{\Phi}^*.$$

This completes the construction of the rigged Hilbert space  
 $\tilde{\Phi} \subset H \subset \tilde{\Phi}^*$ .

(b) In the following we shall show that the algebra contained in generated by the operators  $L_{\pm}, L_3, B_{\pm}, B_3$  is the algebra of bounded operators in the space  $\tilde{\Phi}$ .

Since every completely continuous operator is bound, it is sufficient to show that the generators are completely continuous operators.

Consider the sequence  $\{\phi_n\}$  of elements in the space  $\tilde{\Phi}$ ; the norms in  $\tilde{\Phi}$  are given by:

$$\|\phi_n\|_P^2 = \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{j_3=-j}^{+j} |c_{jj_3}^{(n)}|^2 (j + \frac{1}{2})^{2P} \quad (20)$$

Assume that

$$\|J_n\|_P^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (21.1)$$

for all P.

i.e.

$$\sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{j_3=-j}^{+j} |c_{jj_3}^{(n)}|^2 (j + \frac{1}{2})^{2P} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

for all P

(21.2)

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_3 \phi_n\|_P^2 &= (J_3 \phi_n, J_3 \phi_n)_P \\ &= \sum_{jj_3}^n j_3^2 |c_{jj_3}^{(n)}|^2 (j + \frac{1}{2})^{2P} \\ &\leq \sum_{jj_3}^n |c_{jj_3}^{(n)}|^2 (j + \frac{1}{2})^{2(P+1)} \\ &= \|\phi_n\|_{P+1}^2 \end{aligned}$$

from which in view of (16):

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|J_3 \phi_n\|_P = 0$$

∴  $J_3$  is a completely continuous operator, i.e. bounded.

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_{\pm} \phi_n\|_P^2 &= \sum_{jj_3}^n |c_{jj_3}^{(n)}|^2 (j \pm j_3 + 1)(j \mp j_3)(j + \frac{1}{2})^{2P} \\ &\leq \sum_{jj_3}^n |c_{jj_3}^{(n)}|^2 (2j+1)(2j)(j + \frac{1}{2})^{2P} \\ &\leq \sum_{jj_3}^n |c_{jj_3}^{(n)}|^2 (j + \frac{1}{2})^{2(P+1)} \\ &= 4 \|\phi_n\|_{P+1}^2 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|J_{\pm} \phi_n\|_P = 0$$

i.e.  $J_{\pm}$  are completely continuous operators, hence bounded.

To show that  $B_3$  is a completely continuous operator, for simplicity we fix  $j_3$ , moreover (without loss of generality we take  $j_3 = 0$ , then:

$$\|B_3 \phi_n\|_P^2 = \langle B_3 \phi_n | (j^2 + \frac{1}{4})^P | B_3 \phi_n \rangle \quad (22)$$

Denote  $\psi_j$  by  $\psi_j$  and  $c_{j,j_3=0}^{(n)}$  by  $c_j^{(n)}$ , then:

$$B_3 \psi_j = j c_j \psi_{j-1} - (j+1) c_{j+1} \psi_{j+1} \quad (23)$$

$$\text{and } \phi_n = \sum_{j=0}^n c_j^{(n)} \psi_j .$$

Instead of (21.2) we have

$$\sum_j |c_j^{(n)}|^2 (j+\frac{1}{2})^{2P} \rightarrow 0 \quad (24)$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $P$ .

Using equations (22) and (23), then equation (22) can be written in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \|B_3 \phi_n\|_P^2 &= \sum_{j=0}^n |c_j^{(n)}|^2 |c_j|^2 j^2 (j-\frac{1}{2})^{2P} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=0}^n |c_j^{(n)}|^2 |\psi_{j+1}|^2 (j+1)^2 (j+\frac{3}{2})^{2P} \\ &\quad - 2 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \bar{c}_{j+1}^{(n)} c_{j-1}^{(n)} \bar{c}_{j+1} c_j (j(j+1)(j+\frac{1}{2}))^{2P} \\ &= I_1^{(n)} + I_2^{(n)} + I_3^{(n)} \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

To show that  $B_3$  is a completely continuous operator, it is sufficient to show that the right hand sum of (25) goes to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , because the norm is positive. It follows that

$$\|B_3 \psi_n\|_P^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

$$\therefore c_j = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{j^2 + a^2}{(j-\frac{1}{2})(j+\frac{1}{2})}},$$

substituting the value of  $c_j$ , we get for example

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^{(n)} &= \sum_{j=0}^n |c_j^{(n)}|^2 j^2 \frac{j^2 + a^2}{(j-\frac{1}{2})(j+\frac{1}{2})} (j-\frac{1}{2})^{2P} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=0}^n |c_j^{(n)}|^2 \frac{(j+\frac{1}{2})^2 + a^2}{(j+\frac{1}{2})^{2P}} (j+\frac{1}{2})^{2P} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j=0}^n |c_j^{(n)}|^2 (j+\frac{1}{2})^{2(P+1)} + \frac{a^2}{4} \sum_{j=0}^n |c_j^{(n)}|^2 (j+\frac{1}{2})^{2P} \end{aligned}$$

Since the sum (24) goes to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $P$

$$\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_1^{(n)} = 0 \text{ for all } P$$

Similarly:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_2^{(n)} = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_3^{(n)} = 0.$$

Hence  $B_3$  is a ~~completely~~ continuous operator, i.e. bounded operator.

From the commutation relations:

$$[B_3, L_{\pm}] = B_{\pm}$$

and the facts that the multiplication of two ~~completely~~ continuous operators is again a ~~completely~~ continuous operator; also the

finite linear combination of ~~completely~~ continuous operators is again a ~~completely~~ continuous operator.

This shows that  $B_{\pm}$  are ~~completely~~ continuous operators, hence bounded.

This completes the proof of the second part of our postulate, i.e.  $L_{\pm}$ ,  $L_3$ ,  $B_{\pm}$ ,  $B_3$  are bounded operators in the space of the rigged Hilbert space  $\Phi \subset H \subset \Phi^*$ .

(c) Remark:

It is mentioned in Chapter I that we could have chosen the group  $E(3)$  as the dynamical group for the rigid rotator. In other words, we can define a one to one correspondence between the entire spectrum of the rigid rotator and an irreducible representation of the group  $E(3)$ .

$E(3)$  is obtained from the Lorentz group by the method of group contraction<sup>(18)</sup>. The contracted space is the desired irreducible representation of  $E(3)$ . To show that the above contraction of the rigid Hilbert space is still valid, we have to show that the contraction process is performed in a continuous manner.

For:

The irreducible representation space of the homogeneous Lorentz group is the direct sum of irreducible representation spaces of the rotation group:

$$H(a) = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{\infty} m_j$$

where  $m_j$  is the representation space of  $SO_3$  subgroup.

Now introduce the parameter  $\lambda$  such that

$$\lambda B_i = P_i \quad i = 1, 2, 3.$$

The commutation relations (1) become:

$$\begin{aligned} [\bar{L}, \bar{L}] &= i \bar{L} \\ [\bar{L}, \bar{P}] &= i \bar{P} \\ [\bar{P}, \bar{P}] &= -i\lambda^2 \bar{L} \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

The second Casimir operator has the form:

$$\lambda Q^2 = p^2 - \lambda^2 L^2 \tag{27}$$

As  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ , the commutation relation (26) goes to the commutation relation

$$\begin{aligned} [\bar{L}, \bar{L}] &= i \bar{L} \\ [\bar{L}, \bar{P}] &= i \bar{P} \\ [\bar{P}, \bar{P}] &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

which is the Lie algebra of the E(3) group.

We choose a sequence of irreducible representations in such a way that  $\lambda^2 \beta^2 = \epsilon^2$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$  ( $\beta^2 = 1 + a^2$  the eigenvalue of  $Q_2$ ; and  $\epsilon^2$  is arbitrary).

$$\therefore H(a) \longrightarrow H(\epsilon)$$

i.e.  $\epsilon$  characterize the representations of E(3). In general case when  $j_0 \neq 0$ , we carry out the same process, but fix  $j_0$  first. It is clear that the irreducible representation spaces  $m_j$  of the rotation group contained in  $H(a)$  (or in general  $H(a, j_0)$ ) are also contained in the contracted representations.

$$\therefore \lambda^2 \beta^2 = \lambda^2(1 + a^2 - j_0) = \lambda^2(1 + a^2) \rightarrow \epsilon^2 \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow 0$$

$(j_0 = 0 \text{ for the rotator})$

means that  $\lambda^2 a^2 \rightarrow \epsilon^2$  as  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ .

Then the representation space  $H(\epsilon)$  is again a direct sum of irreducible representation spaces of the rotation group.

$$\text{i.e. } H(\epsilon) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \oplus m_j \quad (\text{or in general } H(\epsilon, j_0) = \sum_{j=j_0}^{\infty} \oplus m_j)$$

Therefore the contraction is considered as a mapping from the set of real numbers  $\{a\}$  to the set of real numbers  $\{\epsilon\}$  and as such can be performed continuously. This proves the continuity of group contraction.

## CHAPTER 2

### B. CONSTRUCTION OF THE RIGGED HILBERT SPACE FOR THE HYDROGEN ATOM

#### (a) The Representation Space $\Psi$

We look for a representation of the group  $SO_{4,1}$  in which

- (i) the quartic operator  $w = 0$
- (ii) the chain

$$SO_4(\bar{A}, \bar{L}) \subset SU_3(\bar{L}) \subset SO_2(L_3) \quad (1)$$

of subgroups appears in a completely reduced form.

The Lie algebra of this group is given in Chapter I(b) by equations (10).  $SO_4(\bar{A}, \bar{L})$  is the maximal compact subgroup of  $SO_{4,1}$ , all unitary irreducible representations of this subgroup are finite dimensional. Let  $(k, m)$  and  $(k', m')$  be the quantum numbers of the subgroups  $SO_3(\frac{1}{2}(\bar{A} + \bar{L}))$  and  $SO_3(\frac{1}{2}(\bar{A} - \bar{L}))$  respectively. Then every state is represented by ket:

$$|k \quad k' \quad m \quad m'\rangle \quad (2)$$

The set of states (2) spans a linear space, which we denote by  $V_{kk'}$ . The dimension of this representation is  $(2k+1)(2k'+1)$  where  $k, k'$  may be any pair of the sequence  $0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \dots, \infty$ .

Following Newton<sup>(23)</sup>, one then assumes the existence of an irreducible unitary representation of  $SO_{4,1}$  by unitary operators in a space  $\Psi$ . The bases in  $\Psi$  are chosen such that the restricted induced representations of the subgroups (1) are in a completely reduced form, then:

$$\Psi = \bigoplus_{k,k'}^{\infty} V_{kk'} \quad (3)$$

The infinite sum follows from the fact that  $SO_{4,1}$  contains the

Lorentz group as a subgroup which has unitary infinite dimensional space.

Since  $w(=0)$ ,  $Q$ ,  $K^2$ ,  $K'^2$ ,  $K_3$ ,  $K_3'$  form a complete set of commuting operators, where  $\bar{K} = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{L} + \bar{A})$ ,  $\bar{K}' = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{L} - \bar{A})$ , then every state is represented by the ket.

$$|a, k, k', m m'\rangle \quad (3)$$

where  $a = a_1, a_2, \dots$  is a set of parameters which characterize completely the representation. We shall write  $|kk' mm'\rangle$  instead of  $|a; k k' m m'\rangle$ , except when necessary to display the representations.

The generators of  $SO_{4,1}$  have the following form, e.g.

$$\begin{aligned} L_3 |k k' m m'\rangle &= (m + m') |k k' m m'\rangle \\ \frac{1}{2}B_+ |k k' m m'\rangle &= \sqrt{(k+m+1)(k'+m'+1)} A_{kk'} |k+\frac{1}{2}, k'+\frac{1}{2}, m+\frac{1}{2}, m'+\frac{1}{2}\rangle \\ &\quad + \sqrt{(k-m)(k'-m')} A_{k-\frac{1}{2}, k'-\frac{1}{2}} |k-\frac{1}{2}, k'-\frac{1}{2}, m+\frac{1}{2}, m'+\frac{1}{2}\rangle \\ \frac{1}{2}(-S+iB_3) &= -\sqrt{(k+m+1)(k'-m'+1)} A_{kk'} |k+\frac{1}{2}, k'+\frac{1}{2}, m+\frac{1}{2}, m'-\frac{1}{2}\rangle \\ &\quad + \sqrt{(k-m)(k'+m')} A_{k-\frac{1}{2}, k'-\frac{1}{2}} |k-\frac{1}{2}, k'-\frac{1}{2}, m+\frac{1}{2}, m'-\frac{1}{2}\rangle \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K^2 |k k' m m'\rangle = k(k+1) |k k' m m'\rangle$$

$$K'^2 |k k' m m'\rangle = k'(k'+1) |k k' m m'\rangle$$

$$W |k k' m m'\rangle = 0$$

$$Q |k k' m m'\rangle = \beta^2 |k k' m m'\rangle \quad (4)$$

where  $A_{kk'}$  are numerical coefficients depending on  $k, k'$  only.

It is convenient to label the basis  $|k k' m m'\rangle$  by  $|j_0, e, j j_3\rangle$

where  $j_0, c$  are related to  $k$  and  $k'$  by the following relations

$$(j_0 + c)^2 - 1 = 4k(k' + 1)$$

$$(j_0 - c)^2 - 1 = 4k(k + 1)$$

$$j_0 = \begin{bmatrix} \text{sign } (k - k') \\ (k - k') \end{bmatrix}$$

$$c = \begin{bmatrix} \text{sign } (k - k') \\ (k + k' + 1) \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $(j, j_3)$  are the quantum numbers of  $SO_3(\bar{L})$ , where  $j_3 = m + m'$ . We write simply

$$|j_0 \; c, \; j \; j_3\rangle = |k \; k', \; j \; j_3\rangle.$$

To find the form of generators on this basis, we multiply both sides of equations (4) by

$$I = \sum_{kk'jj_3} |j \; j_3 \; k \; k'\rangle \langle k \; k' \; j \; j_3|$$

and note that

$$\langle k \; k' \; j \; j_3 | k \; k' \; m \; m'\rangle = \delta_{kk'} \delta_{k'k} \langle j \; j_3 | k \; k' \; m \; m'\rangle$$

where  $\langle j \; j_3 | k \; k' \; m \; m'\rangle$  are the Clebsch-Gordan Coefficients<sup>(12,23)</sup>.

Now we introduce the following notations

$$k, k' \quad n = k + k' + 1 \quad j_0 = |k - k'|.$$

For the hydrogen atom, we have  $k = k'$ , then:

$$n = 2k + 1 \quad \text{and} \quad j_0 = 0.$$

Using the properties of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients, we arrive at the following forms of the generators.

$$L_3 |n j j_3\rangle = j_3 |n j j_3\rangle \quad (5.1)$$

$$L_+ |n j j_3\rangle = \sqrt{(j+j_3+1)(j-j_3)} |n j j_3+1\rangle \quad (5.2)$$

$$L_- |n j j_3\rangle = \sqrt{(j-j_3)(j+j_3)} |n j j_3-1\rangle \quad (5.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_3 |n j j_3\rangle &= c_j \sqrt{(j-j_3)(j+j_3)} |n j-1 j_3\rangle \\ &- c_{j+1} \sqrt{(j-j_3+1)(j+j_3+1)} |n j+1 j_3\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

where

$$c_j = \sqrt{\frac{j^2 + n^2}{4j^2 - 1}} = \sqrt{\frac{n^2 - j^2}{4j^2 - 1}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{\pi} S |n j j_3\rangle &= A_n \sqrt{(n+j+1)(n-j)} |n+1 j j_3\rangle \\ &+ A_{n-1} \sqrt{(n+j)(n-j+1)} |n-1 j j_3\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

where  $A_n$  is a numerical coefficient depending on  $n$  only.

remembering

$$[S, A_{\pm}] = i B_{\pm} \quad (5.6)$$

$$[S, A_3] = i B_3 \quad (5.7)$$

$$[A_3, L_{\pm}] = \pm A_{\pm} \quad (5.8)$$

We can easily calculate the form of the other generators

$B_3, B_{\pm}, A_{\pm}$ . There are two classes of unitary irreducible representations:

Class 1: The continuous series which is characterized by the Casimir operator:

$$Q = \beta^2 \geq 0 ; \quad \beta \text{ is a real number,}$$

for which the irreducible representation space  $\psi(\beta, w=0)$  reduces into the representation spaces of the subgroup in the following way

$$\psi(\beta, 0) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_{n,0}$$

where  $v_{n,0}$  is the representation space of  $SO_4$  with dimension  $n^2$  ( $n = 2k + 1$ ). In this case:

$$A_n = \sqrt{\frac{n(n+1) + \beta^2 - 2}{n(n+1)}}$$

Class 2: The discrete series, which is characterized by:

$$Q = -(N-1)(N+2) \leq 0 , \quad N = 1, 2, \dots \dots$$

The irreducible representation space  $\psi(N, 0)$  reduces into the representation spaces of the subgroups in the following way

$$\psi(N, 0) = \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} v_{n,0} \quad (6)$$

where  $v_{n,0}$  is the representation space of  $SO_4$  with dimensions  $n^2$ ,

We know for the Hydrogen atom, that

$$k = \frac{h - 1}{2} \quad \text{where } E_n = -\frac{1}{2n^2}$$

In order to include all the states of the Hydrogen atom spectra, we must consider the continuous series. The discrete series does not include the ground state of the Hydrogen atom (i.e.  $n = 2, 3, \dots$ ).  $\beta^2$  must be greater than one, because if  $\beta^2 = 0$  we see that  $A_1 = 0$ , again the ground state is not included. Therefore one says: "The Hydrogen atom spectra (bound states) is identified with one irreducible unitary representation of  $SO_{4,1}$  characterized by  $w = 0$  and given by the continuous series."

(b) Construction of the Rigged Hilbert Space  $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{E}^*$ :

The set of states (3) constitutes an orthonormal basis for the linear space  $\psi(\beta, 0)$

$$\text{i.e. } \langle j_3 j n | n' j' j'_3 \rangle = \delta_{nn'} \delta_{jj'} \delta_{j_3 j'_3} \quad (7)$$

The scalar product (7) defines a norm. Completion of the linear space  $\psi$  with respect to this norm gives the Hilbert space  $H(\beta, 0)$ . We introduce into  $\psi(\beta, 0)$  the stronger topology given by the countable number of norms defined by the scalar products:

$$\langle n j j_3 | n' j' j'_3 \rangle_P = \langle n j j_3 | (L^2 + A^2 + 1)^P | n' j' j'_3 \rangle \quad (8)$$

for all  $P$  (integer).

Completion with respect to the topology given by (8) gives the space  $\bar{\Phi}$ . In the following we shall show that  $\bar{\Phi}$  is a countable Hilbert space.

$$\text{For, let } \bar{\Phi} \ni \phi = \sum_{njj_3} c_{jj_3}^n |njj_3\rangle,$$

the norms are given by:

$$\|\phi\|_P^2 = \sum_{njj_3} |c_{jj_3}^n|^2 n^{2P} \quad (9)$$

where equations (7) and (8) are used.

From equation (9) it is clear that,

$$\dots \|\phi\|_P \geq \|\phi\|_{P-1} \dots \geq \|\phi\|_1 \geq \|\phi\|_0 \quad (10)$$

That is to say the canonical sequence of  $P$  is the chain of spaces

$$\bar{\Phi} \subset \dots \subset \bar{\Phi}_P \dots \subset \bar{\Phi}_0 \equiv H \quad (10')$$

where we have identified the space  $\bar{\Phi}_0$  with  $H$ .

The continuity of the scalar products in  $H$  is assumed by (10). The compatibility of the norms follow from the continuity of the operator  $L^2 + A^2$  (the unit operator is a completely continuous operator which maps the space  $\bar{\Phi}$  onto itself).

Moreover,  $\bar{\Phi}$  is a nuclear Hilbert space.

Proof

To show that the space  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is nuclear, it is sufficient to show that there is a map  $T_{p-1}^P$  which maps the space  $\tilde{\Phi}_p$  into a dense subset of the space  $\tilde{\Phi}_{p-1}$ , and it is nuclear.

Since  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is complete, then any  $\phi \in \tilde{\Phi}$  is the limit in the norms of a sequence  $\{\phi_k\}$  of elements in the space  $\tilde{\Phi}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , where

$$\phi_k = \sum_{n=1}^k \sum_{jj_3} c_{jj_3}^n \psi_{njj_3}$$

and

$$\psi_{njj_3} = |n jj_3\rangle$$

i.e.  $\|\phi - \phi_k\|_p \rightarrow 0$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $p$ , which we shall write

$$\phi = \sum_{njj_3} c_{jj_3}^n \psi_{njj_3} \quad (11)$$

Let  $\phi^P \in \tilde{\Phi}_p$  mean the element  $\phi \in \tilde{\Phi}$  considered as an element of the space  $\tilde{\Phi}_p$ . Again  $\phi^P$  is the limit in the norm (defined for fixed  $P$ ) of a sequence of elements  $\{\phi_k^P\}$  of the space  $\tilde{\Phi}_p$ , which we shall write

$$\phi^P = \sum_{njj_3} c_{jj_3}^n \psi_{njj_3}^P \quad (12)$$

where  $\{\psi_{njj_3}^P\}$  is an orthonormal basis in the space  $\tilde{\Phi}_p$ .

Therefore for  $\phi \in \tilde{\Phi}_p$  (i.e. have the form (12)) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \langle \psi_{njj_3}^P, \phi \rangle_p &= \sum_{n'j'j'_3} c_{j'j'_3}^{n'} \langle \psi_{njj_3}^P, \psi_{n'j'j'_3}^P \rangle_p \\
 &= c_{jj_3}^n n^{2P} \\
 \therefore c_{jj_3}^n &= \frac{1}{n^{2P}} \langle \psi_{njj_3}^P, \phi \rangle_p
 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Similar to equation (12), we write  $\phi^{P-1} \in \bar{\Phi}_{p-1}$  in the form

$$\phi^{P-1} = \sum_{njj_3} c_{jj_3}^n \psi_{njj_3}^{P-1} \tag{14}$$

Writing

$$T_{p-1}^P \phi = \phi^{P-1}; \quad \text{where } \phi \in \bar{\Phi}_p.$$

Using equations (13) and (14) we obtain

$$T_{p-1}^P \phi = \sum_{njj_3} \frac{1}{n^{2P}} \langle \psi_{njj_3}^P, \phi \rangle_p \psi_{njj_3}^{P-1} \tag{15}$$

Writing:

$$\lambda_n = \frac{1}{n^{2P}}$$

it is clear that  $\lambda_n > 0$  and  $\sum_n \lambda_n$  converges. Therefore  $T_{p-1}^P$  is a nuclear map, hence  $\bar{\Phi}$  is a nuclear space. The elements of the adjoint space  $\bar{\Phi}^*$  are given by the linear functionals:

$$F(\phi) = \langle F, \phi \rangle$$

(which can be chosen such that  $\|F\| = \|\phi\|$ )

every element  $F \in \hat{\Phi}^*$  is the limit of the sequence  $F_n$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  with respect to the topology given in  $\hat{\Phi}^*$ , which we shall write:

$$F = \sum_{njj_3} f^n_{jj_3} \psi_{njj_3}$$

such that

$$(F, \phi) = \sum_{njj_3} f^{-n}_{jj_3} c^{(n)}_{jj_3} \quad \text{exists}.$$

Let  $\hat{\Phi} \ni \phi = \sum_{njj_3} c^n_{jj_3} \psi_{njj_3}$

the sum

$$\|\phi\|_P^2 = \sum_{njj_3} |c^n_{jj_3}|^2 n^{2P} \quad (16)$$

exists for all P,

and for  $H \ni h = \sum_{njj_3} h^n_{jj_3} \psi_{njj_3}$

only the sum

$$\|h\|^2 = \sum_{njj_3} |h^{(n)}_{jj_3}|^2 \quad \text{exists},$$

which implies that

$$H \subset \hat{\Phi}^*$$

This completes the construction of the rigged Hilbert space

$$\hat{\Phi} \subset H \subset \hat{\Phi}^*.$$

In the following we shall show that the algebra generated by  $L_3, L_{\pm}, B_3, B_{\pm}, A_3, A_{\pm}, S$  is a subalgebra of the algebra of bounded operators, defined in the space  $\bar{\Phi}$ .

Since every completely continuous operator is a bounded operator, we shall show that the generators are completely continuous operators.

For, let  $\rho \in \bar{\Phi}$ , it is the limit in the norm of the sequence  $\{\rho_k\}$  of elements of  $\bar{\Phi}$  where

$$\|\rho_k\|_P^2 = \sum_{n=1}^k \sum_{j,j_3} |c_{jj_3}^n|^2 n^{2P} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

where  $j = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{n-1}{2}$  and  $j_3 = -j, \dots, +j$  (17)

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_3 \rho_k\|_P^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^k \sum_{j,j_3} |c_{jj_3}^{(n)}|^2 j_3^2 n^{2P} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^k \sum_{j,j_3} |c_{jj_3}^n|^2 n^{2(P+1)} \\ &= \|\rho_k\|_{P+1}^2 \end{aligned}$$

in view of (17) which vanish for all  $P$ , then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|L_3 \rho_k\|_P^2 = 0.$$

i.e.  $L_3$  is a completely continuous operator, hence bounded.

Similarly

$$\|L_{\pm} \phi_k\|_p^2 \leq 4 \sum_{n=1}^k \sum_{j,j_3} |c_{jj_3}^n|^2 n^{2(p+1)}$$

$$= 4 \frac{\|\phi_k\|_p^2}{p+1}$$

$$\therefore \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|L_{\pm} \phi_k\|_p^2 = 0$$

i.e.  $L_{\pm}$  are completely continuous operators, hence bounded.

To show that  $A_3$  is a completely continuous operator, for simplicity we fix  $j_3$  and without loss of generality we set  $j_3 = 0$ .

Denote  $\psi_{nj, j_3=0}$  by  $\psi_{nj}$  and  $c_{j, j_3=0}^n$  by  $c_j^n$ .

Instead of equation (17) we have:

$$\|\phi_k\|_p^2 = \sum_{n=1}^k \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |c_j^n|^2 n^{2p} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

for all  $p$  (integer) (17')

$\|A_3 \phi_k\|_p^2$  can be written in the following form:

$$\|A_3 \phi_k\|_p^2 = I_1^{(k)} + I_2^{(k)} - 2I_3^{(k)}$$

where

$$I_1^{(k)} = \sum_{n,j}^{k, k-1} j^2 |c_j^n|^2 |c_j^{(n)}|^2 n^{2p}$$

$$I_2^{(k)} = \sum_{n,j}^{kj} (j+1)^2 |c_{j+1}|^2 |c_j^{(n)}|^2 n^{2p}$$

$$I_3^{(k)} = \operatorname{Re} \sum_{nj}^{kj} j(j+1) \bar{c}_{j+1} c_j \bar{c}_{j+1}^{(n)} c_{j-1}^{(n)} n^{2p}$$

Using the value of  $c_j$  and in view of (17), it is a straight-forward calculation, to see that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I_i^{(k)} = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3$$

i.e.  $A_3$  is a ~~completely~~ continuous operator, hence bounded.  
From the commutation relations

$$[A_3, L_{\pm}] = \pm A_{\pm}$$

It follows that the operators  $A_{\pm}$  are ~~completely~~ continuous operators, hence bounded.

If  $S$  is a ~~completely~~ continuous operator, then from the commutation relations:

$$[S, A_3] = i B_3$$

$$[S, A_{\pm}] = i B_{\pm}$$

It follows that  $B_3, B_{\pm}$  are ~~completely~~ continuous operators, hence bounded. Therefore it remains to show that  $S$  is a ~~completely~~ continuous operator. Since  $S$  leaves  $j$  and  $j_3$  unchanged, then without loss of generality we set  $j = j_3 = 0$ . Denote  $\psi_{n,j=0,j_3=0}$  by  $\psi_n$  and  $c_{j=0,j_3=0}^{(n)}$  by  $c^{(n)}$ . Then instead of (17) we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^k |c(n)|^2 \cdot n^{2p} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty \quad (17'')$$

for all  $p$  (integer).

$$\therefore S\psi_n = \frac{1}{2} A_n \cdot n(n+1) \psi_{n+1} + \frac{1}{2} A_{n-1} \cdot n(n+1) \psi_{n-1}$$

where

$$A_n = \sqrt{\frac{n(n+1) + \beta^2 - 2}{n(n+1)}}$$

$$\therefore \|\psi_k\|_p^2 = I_1^{(k)} + I_2^{(k)} + I_3^{(k)}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^{(k)} &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=1}^k |A_{n-1}|^2 |c(n)|^2 n(n+1) (n-1)^{2p} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\phi_k\|_{p+1}^2 + \frac{\beta^2}{4} \|\phi_k\|_p^2 \end{aligned}$$

In view of (17'') we have:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I_1^{(k)} = 0$$

Similarly

$$I_2^{(k)} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=1}^k |A_n|^2 |c(n)|^2 n(n+1) (n+1)^{2p}$$

which can be written as a finite sum of terms of the form

$\|\phi_k\|$ , where  $r = 0, 1, \dots, p$

each term goes to zero as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , hence:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I_3^{(k)} = 0$$

Similarly  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} I_3^{(k)} = 0$  (Note that equation (17)

is valid also for  $p = 0$ ).

i.e.  $S$  is a ~~completely~~ continuous operator, hence bounded.

Therefore the algebra  $A((L_3, L_{\pm}, A_3, A_{\pm}, B_3, B_{\pm}, S)) \subset L(\mathbb{F})$

where  $\mathbb{F}$  is the physical space of the rigged Hilbert space  
 $\mathbb{F} \subset H \subset \mathbb{F}^*$ .

Remark:

It is well known that the Euclidean group  $E(4)$  is also adequate for the Hydrogen atom, as a dynamical group, i.e. one can define a one-to-one correspondence between one unitary irreducible representation of  $E(4)$  and the entire spectrum of the Hydrogen atom.

$E(4)$  is obtained from  $SO_{4,1}$  by contracting the latter with respect to its  $SO_4$  subgroup, the contracted space is the desired representation space of  $E(4)$ . In order that our construction for the rigged Hilbert space is still valid in our case, i.e. all the generators of  $E(4)$  are bounded operators in the representation space of  $E(4)$  (i.e. the

contracted space), we must show the contraction process can be performed in a continuous manner.

For, let us introduce the parameter  $\lambda$ , the three vector  $\bar{F}$  and the scalar  $P_4$ , say, such that

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda B_i &= P_i \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \\ \lambda S &= P_4\end{aligned}$$

The commutation relations (10) (Chapter 1(b)) become:

$$\begin{aligned}[\bar{L}, \bar{L}] &= i \bar{L} \quad [\bar{L}, \bar{A}] = i \bar{A} \quad [\bar{A}, \bar{A}] = i \bar{L} \\ [\bar{P}, \bar{A}] &= i \bar{P}_4 \quad [\bar{P}, \bar{L}] = i \bar{P} \quad [P_4, \bar{A}] = i \bar{P} \quad [P_4, \bar{L}] = 0 \\ [\bar{P}, \bar{P}] &= -i \lambda^2 \bar{L} \quad [P_4, \bar{P}] = +i \lambda^2 \bar{A} \quad (1)\end{aligned}$$

The two Casimir operators have the form (for the Hydrogen atom)

$$W = 0$$

$$\lambda^2 Q = P_4^2 + P^2 - \lambda^2(A^2 + L^2) \quad (2)$$

We know that the contents of the irreducible unitary representation (continuous series) of  $SO_{4,1}$  in terms of unitary representations of the subgroup  $SO_4$  are the  $V_n$ , where  $n = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$ . Each representation  $V_n$  (of  $SO_4$ ) occurs only once

$$\text{i.e. } H(p) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} V_n \quad (3)$$

when  $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ .

Then the Lie algebra (1) goes to the Lie algebra of the group  $E(4)$  from (2)

(III) CONSTRUCTION OF THE RIGGED HILBERT SPACE OF THE N-DIMENSIONAL OSCILLATOR

The quantum mechanical treatment of the N-dimensional oscillator is well known, so details shall be omitted.

The physical observables, momenta, positions and energy are to be mapped onto the elements  $p_i, q_i, E$  respectively, ( $1 \leq i \leq N$ ) of an algebra  $\mathfrak{F}$  in which:

$$p_j q_i - q_i p_j + i\delta_{ij} I = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$E - \sum_{i=1}^N (p_i^2 + q_i^2) = 0 \quad (2)$$

It is more convenient to introduce the new operators

$$a_j = \frac{1}{2} (p_j - iq_j) \quad 1 \leq j \leq N$$

$$a_j^* = \frac{1}{2} (p_j + iq_j) \quad 1 \leq j \leq N$$

in terms of which equations (1) and (2) read:

$$a_i a_j^* - a_j^* a_i = \delta_{ij} I = \quad (1)'$$

$$E - \sum_{i=1}^N (a_i^* a_i + \frac{1}{2}) = 0 \quad (2)'$$

The algebra, generated by  $a_i$  and  $a_i^*$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ) coincides with the algebra generated by  $a_i, a_i^*, E$ .

Thus one says that the physical structure of the N-dimensional oscillator is to be mapped on the mathematical structure of an algebra generated by  $a_i, a_i^*$  modulo, the relations (1)' and

and (2)'.

From equation (2)' one sees immediately that our system admits  $SU(n)$  (special unitary group) as a symmetry group<sup>(7)</sup>. In the following we shall show that  $A \subset L(\bar{\Phi})$  where  $\bar{\Phi}$  is the physical space and  $p_1, q_1$  are extendable to self-adjoint operators in the Hilbert space  $H$  of the rigged Hilbert space  $\bar{\Phi} \subset H \subset \bar{\Phi}^*$ .

Following the quantum mechanical description of the  $N$ -dimensional oscillator, the set of states

$$\langle v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^N [(v_i)!]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^N (a_i^\dagger)^{v_i} |0\rangle \quad (1)$$

spans an orthonormal basis for a linear space, the linear envelope of which we call  $\Psi$ ,

where  $|0\rangle$  stands for  $|00 \dots \dots 0\rangle$   
and  $v_i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty$ ; for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ .

The orthogonality of the states (1) is given by

$$\langle v_1, \dots, v_N | v_1', \dots, v_N' \rangle = \delta_{v_1 v_1'} \delta_{v_2 v_2'} \dots \delta_{v_N v_N'} \quad (2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{v_1 v_1'} &= 1 \quad \text{for} \quad v_1 = v_1' \\ &= 0 \quad \text{for} \quad v_1 \neq v_1' \end{aligned}$$

Completion with respect to the norm given by the scalar product (2) gives the Hilbert space  $H$ .

We introduce into  $\Psi$  the stronger topology given by means

of the countable set of norms defined by the scalar products:

$$\langle v_1, \dots, v_N | v_1^*, \dots, v_N^* \rangle_p = \langle v_1, \dots, v_N | (n+1)^p | v_1^*, \dots, v_N^* \rangle \quad (3)$$

for all integer  $p$

where

$$n = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_N$$

and

$$n_1 = a_1^* a_1 .$$

The operator  $n$  has the following properties

$$n |v_1, \dots, v_N\rangle = v |v_1, \dots, v_N\rangle$$

where  $v = v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_N$ ;

$$a_1(n+1)^p a_1^* = (n+2)^p (n_1+1) = \sum_{s=0}^p \binom{p}{s} (v+1)^s (n_1+1) \quad (4.2)$$

$$a_1^*(n+1)^p a_1 = n^p n_1 = \sum_{s=0}^p (-1)^{p-s} \binom{p}{s} (v+1)^s n_1 \quad (4.3)$$

If we complete the space  $\Phi$  with respect to the topology given by (3), we get the linear topological space  $\tilde{\Phi}$ .

Introduce the following notation:

$$\psi_{(v)} = \psi_{v_1, \dots, v_N} = |v_1, \dots, v_N\rangle$$

and

$$c_{(v)} = c_{v_1, \dots, v_N},$$

$$\text{Then } \tilde{\Phi} \ni \phi = \sum_{[v] \in D}^{\infty} c_{(v)} \psi_{(v)}$$

The norms in  $\tilde{\Phi}$  are given by:

$$\|\phi\|_P^2 = \sum_{[v]=0}^{\infty} |c_{[v]}|^2 (v+1)^{2P} \quad (5)$$

for all integer P. Note that for  $P = 0$ , (5) defines the ordinary scalar product in the Hilbert space H.

From (5) it follows that:

$$\|\phi\|_P \geq \dots \geq \|\phi\|_1 \geq \|\phi\|_0 \quad (6.1)$$

i.e. the canonical sequence of P is the chain of spaces

$$\tilde{\Phi}_0 \subset \dots \subset \tilde{\Phi}_p \subset \tilde{\Phi}_{p+1} \subset \dots \subset \tilde{\Phi} \subset \tilde{\Phi} = H \quad (6.2)$$

$\tilde{\Phi}_p$  denotes the Hilbert space obtained by completing  $\tilde{\Phi}$  with respect to the norm given in (5) for fixed P. The compatibility of the norms (5) follows from the continuity of the operator n (see below), that is to say  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is a countably ~~normed~~ Hilbert space.  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is a dense subset in the space H.

The continuity of the scalar product in H follows from (6), hence the linear map T which maps  $\tilde{\Phi}$  into a dense subset of H, is continuous.

Since the space  $\tilde{\Phi}$  is complete, then every  $\phi \in \tilde{\Phi}$  is the limit in the norm of a sequence  $\{\phi_k\}$  of elements of the space  $\tilde{\Phi}$ , where

$$\phi_k = \sum_{[v]=0}^k c_{[v]} \psi_v$$

i.e.

$$\|\phi - \phi_k\|_P \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty .$$

We shall write

$$\phi = \sum_{[v]} c_{[v]} \psi_{[v]} \quad (7)$$

Let  $\phi^P$  denote the element  $\phi \in \bar{\Phi}$  as an element of the space  $\bar{\Phi}_p$ ;  $\bar{\Phi}$  is a dense subset in the space  $\bar{\Phi}_p$ . Due to the completeness of  $\bar{\Phi}_p$ ,  $\phi^P$  can be written as the limit in the norm of a sequence of elements of  $\bar{\Phi}_p$ , then:

$$\phi^P = \sum_{[v]} c_{[v]} \psi_{[v]}^P \quad (8)$$

where  $\{\psi_{[v]}^P\}$  is an orthonormal basis in the space  $\bar{\Phi}_p$ . Similarly

$$\phi^{P-1} = \sum_{[v]} c_{[v]} \psi_{[v]}^{P-1} \quad (9)$$

for  $\phi \in \bar{\Phi}_p$  we have:

$$\langle \psi_{[v]}^P, \phi \rangle_p = c_{[v]} (v+1)^P$$

$$\therefore c_{[v]} = \frac{1}{(v+1)^P} \langle \psi_{[v]}^P, \phi \rangle_p \quad (10)$$

Define the linear map.  $T_{P-1}^P$  such that:

$$T_{P-1}^P \phi = \phi^{P-1} \quad \phi^{P-1} \in \bar{\Phi}_{P-1} \text{ and } \phi \in \bar{\Phi}_p .$$

Using equations (7) - (10) we obtain

$$T_{P-1}^P \phi = \sum_{[v]} \frac{1}{(v+1)^{2P}} \langle \psi_{[v]}^P, \phi \rangle_p \psi_{[v]}^{P-1} \quad (11)$$

If we define  $\lambda_n = \frac{1}{(n+1)^p}$ , then

$$\lambda_n > 0$$

and  $\sum^{\infty} \lambda_n$  converges for  $p \neq 1$ , i.e.  $T_{p-1}^p$  is a nuclear map, hence  $\Phi$  is a nuclear space.

We have shown that

$$\Phi \subset H \quad (12)$$

by which there is defined a continuous map  $T$  which maps into a dense subset in  $H$ .

Let  $\Phi^*$  be the adjoint space of the space  $\Phi$ , i.e. the elements of  $\Phi^*$  are given by the linear functional  $F(\phi) = (F, \phi)$  when  $\phi \in \Phi$ . Every  $\Phi^* \rightarrow F = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_{[n]} \psi_{[n]}$  such that

$$(F, \phi) = \sum \bar{f}_{[n]} c_{[n]} \text{ exists.} \quad (13)$$

(13) comes from the fact that every linear functional on  $\Phi$  is bounded.

Consider  $T^*$  (the adjoint operator of  $T$ ); and it is defined by:

$T h'(\phi) = h'(T\phi)$  (note that  $T\phi = h \in H$ ) since every linear functional  $h' \in H$  (on the space  $H$ ) can be written in the form

$$h'(h) = (h, h_1) \quad (14)$$

where  $h, h_1 \in H$ .

In particular for all

$$h \in H = \sum_{[v]} h_{[v]} \delta_{[v]}$$

the sum

$$\|h\|^2 = \sum_{[v]} |h_{[v]}|^2 \text{ exists.}$$

Thus  $T^*$  can be considered as a mapping of  $H$  into  $\Phi^*$ ,  
hence  $H \subset \Phi^*$ .

This completes the construction of the rigged Hilbert space:

$$\Phi \subset H \subset \Phi^*$$

It remains to show that the algebra  $A(a_i, a_i^*) \subset L(\Phi)$ ,  
i.e. the algebra of bounded operators.

For this purpose we shall show in the following that  
 $a_i, a_i^*$  for all  $i$  are completely continuous operators, hence  
they are bounded operators (Theorem).

Let  $\{\phi^{(k)}\}$  be a <sup>Cauchy</sup> sequence of elements in the space  $\Phi$ ,  
since it is complete, then its limit in the norm (defined in the  
space  $\Phi$ ) exists as an element in  $\Phi$ .

Choose a sequence such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi^{(k)}\|_p = 0 \quad \text{for all integer } p$$

$$\text{i.e. } \lim_{(k) \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{(v)}^{(k)} |c_v|^2 (v+1)^p = 0 \tag{15}$$

for all integer  $p$ .

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|a_1^* \phi^{(k)}\|_P^2 &= \sum_{(v)}^{(k)} \langle v_1, \dots, v_N | a_1 (n+1)^P a_1^* | v_1, \dots, v_N \rangle \\
 &= \sum_{S=0}^P \binom{P}{S} \binom{(k)}{(v)} \langle v_1, \dots, v_N | (n+1)^S (n_1+1) | v_1, \dots, v_N \rangle \\
 &\leq \sum_{S=0}^P \binom{P}{S} \|\phi^{(k)}\|_{S+1}^2
 \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|a_1 \phi^{(k)}\|_P^2 &= \sum_{(v)}^{(k)} \langle v_1, \dots, v_N | a_1^* (n+1)^P a_1 | v_1, \dots, v_N \rangle \\
 &\leq \sum_{S=0}^{P+1} (-1)^{P-S+1} \binom{P+1}{S} \|\phi^{(k)}\|_S^2
 \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Because (15) vanish for all  $P$ , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lim_{k \rightarrow 0} \|\phi^{(k)}\|_0 &= \dots \lim \|\phi^{(k)}\|_P \\
 &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|\phi^{(k)}\|_{P+1} = \dots = 0 .
 \end{aligned}$$

Then each term in the finite series (16) (or(17)) vanishes identically, hence

$a_i$  and  $a_i^*$  ( $i = 1, \dots$ ) are bounded operators:

from this it follows that the operator  $n + \frac{1}{2} = \sum_{i=1}^N (a_i a_i^* + \frac{1}{2})$  is bounded. This completes our construction for the rigged Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{H}'$  in which the algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  generated by  $a_i$ ,  $a_i^*$  (for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ) is  $\mathfrak{A}$  a subalgebra of the algebra of bounded operators in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

The choice of the basis vectors is arbitrary, but they were chosen in such a way that the basis vectors are eigenstates of

the energy operator  $E = \left(n + \frac{N}{2}\right)$ .

Remark

In quantum field theory, we deal with systems which have infinite (countable) degrees of freedom. The indices in (1) take the values from one to  $\infty$ . The construction of the Hilbert space for this algebra (i.e. the representation space is not unique) (eqn. (1) with  $i = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$ ) admits various realizations which are inequivalent in contrast to the finite dimensional case, where all realizations of such algebra are unitarily equivalent.

It is of some value to extend the above construction of the rigged Hilbert space to include this more interesting case. It might be possible to construct the rigid Hilbert space  $\Psi \subset H \subset \Phi^*$  such that, with various realizations of the Hilbert space  $H$  (as the representation space of this infinite dimensional algebra), one still gets the same spaces  $\Psi$  and  $\Phi^*$ .

## SECTION II

### Introduction

#### (a) The aspect of symmetry in Physics

The most powerful tool for studying symmetry properties of a physical system is given by the methods of group theory. It is clear that all symmetry properties of a given system can be described as groups of transformations which carry the system into itself, in other words, as transformations which leave the system invariant. This aspect of symmetry manifests itself in different ways<sup>(15)</sup>; we mention, for example (but not all of them):

(i) The solutions of the equations of motions of a physical system will be classified by their symmetry character. The solutions of Lorentz covariant field equations must span representations of the Lorentz group for example, all elementary particles can be classified by stating what particular representations the associated fields belong to (i.e. scalar, vector, etc.).

(ii) The invariance of the dynamical laws, under some symmetry group, give rise to conservation laws, from which certain group elements constants of motion can be constructed. The existence of such constants of motion then lead us to selection rules<sup>(16)</sup>, accordingly certain processes become forbidden, e.g. the invariance under spatial reflections has the consequence that the operator of reflection commutes with

the Hamiltonian, so that its eigenvalues, i.e. parity of the states is a conserved quantity. Processes connecting states with different parity are forbidden.

(b) The law of transformation of symmetry operators in  
Quantum Theory

In quantum theory physical states of a physical system are represented by rays in a complex Hilbert space  $H$ . If  $\phi \in H$  is a vector corresponding to a physically realizable state, then  $\phi$  and  $a\phi$  (where  $a$  is a phase factor) represent one and the same state. It is useful to normalize the state vectors, i.e. to choose a vector from the ray of  $\phi$  which is normalized,

$$\text{i.e. } (\phi, \phi) = 1 \quad (1)$$

Even then, a phase factor (i.e. a factor of modulus one) remains free in  $\phi$ . The invariance transformations are thus transformations in the complex Hilbert space  $H$ , which map rays into rays (i.e. take us from one possible state to another) such that the law of probability is conserved.

$$\text{i.e. } |(\phi, \phi)|^2 = |(T\phi, T\phi)|^2 \quad \text{or} \quad |(\phi, \psi)| = |(T\phi, T\psi)| \quad (2)$$

where  $\phi, \psi \in H$  and  $T$  is the invariance transformation. Equation (2) remains valid if  $\phi, \psi, T\phi$  and  $T\psi$  are multiplied by arbitrary (i.e. not necessarily equal) phase factors. As

a consequence of equation (2)

(i) every symmetry transformation<sup>(20)</sup> can be replaced by unitary or antiunitarity transformations (i.e.  $(T\phi, T\psi) = (\phi, \psi)$  if  $T$  is unitary transformation and  $(T\phi, T\psi) = (\psi, \phi)$  if  $T$  is an antiunitary transformation).

(ii) The symmetry transformations are determined up to a phase factor.

Now we consider a particular group of transformations (a set of transformations do not necessarily form a group; for example, the discrete transformations  $P$ ,  $T$ ,  $C$  form a group only when they are subject to a chosen normalization)  $G = \{g_i\}$ ; to every transformation  $g_i$  we associate a unitary or antiunitary operator  $D(g_i)$  (see (i)), in the Hilbert space of the physical system onto which we apply the symmetry group. Each  $D(g_i)$  is determined up to a phase factor so that if

$$g_i g_j = g_k \quad g_i, g_j, g_k \in G$$

then the corresponding operators will be:

$$D(g_i) D(g_j) = \omega(g_i, g_j) D(g_k) \quad (3)$$

if  $D(g_j)$  is unitary

or

$$D(g_i) D^*(g_j) = \omega(g_i, g_j) D(g_k) \quad (4)$$

if  $D(g_i)$  is antiunitary; all  $g_i, g_j, g_k \in G$ .

Equation (3) (or equation (3) and equation (4)) does (do) not define a true representation, but a representation up to a

factor, which is usually called a quantum mechanical representation or ray (coray) representation. Therefore in quantum theory we speak about ray (coray) representations of the symmetry groups (see Chapter 1).

(c) Internal symmetry groups for elementary particles

In elementary particle physics one lacks a satisfactory dynamical theory, therefore it has become very important to exploit the symmetry properties which have been found empirically. An initial step was made by Wigner in his detailed study of irreducible representations of the inhomogeneous Lorentz group<sup>(10)</sup>. The irreducible representations of this group are characterized by two numbers ( $m, s$ ) conventionally identified with the generators corresponding to the mass and spin of the elementary system constituting the representation. This is the strictly kinematical group.

In the following we shall make no distinction as to whether the internal symmetry group originates from the kinematics or the dynamics of the physical system. The concept of isospin<sup>(9)</sup> (which is one of the foundation stones of modern physics) was introduced to express charge independence of nuclear forces (we remark that charge independence demands invariance under all rotations in the 3-dimensional iso-space).

With the number of different elementary particles rapidly growing, and with more available experimental information, new selection rules were found, reflecting the possible existence of

additional symmetries given by larger groups, for example  $SU(3)$  and  $SU(6)$ .

The ambitions of the group theoretical approach had grown considerably in the last few years in the course of extended studies of more complicated groups (i.e. larger than Poincaré group and  $SU(2)$  or  $SU(3)$  or  $SU(6)$ ) to incorporate all internal symmetries found empirically with the space time group (Poincaré group). All attempts have this in common, namely that the internal symmetry properties are taken into account by introducing internal degrees of freedom which are independent of space and time; this means that the internal symmetry group and the Poincaré group appear as a direct product. Therefore a finite transformation belonging to  $SU(3)$ , or  $SU(6)$ , or even  $SU(2)$ , transforms, in general, a permissible state vector into a linear combination of state vectors which belong to different Hilbert spaces (i.e. different irreducible representation spaces of the Poincaré group) and describe different physical systems which cannot be connected by any observable. In spite of using Lie groups of orders reaching several hundreds, it was not possible to satisfy all the imposed requirements. It has been shown recently that a symmetry scheme described by a Lie group which is of finite dimension and which contains the Poincaré group as a subgroup, is incompatible with the existence of a non-trivial mass formula, i.e. one obtains a discrete mass formula by breaking such a symmetry scheme, and this is unsatisfactory. (It was not shown that the continuous case is actually realizable). Therefore a closer examination of symmetries is

required. We shall limit ourselves to the basic question as to which kind of groups should be used in particular symmetry cases.

We start by making the following remarks:

(i) Given a number of particles (or elementary systems) we must distinguish between:

I: The symmetry in the grouping (multiplet structure) of a given set of particles and

II: The symmetry in the scattering of these particles, i.e. the symmetry of the S-matrix (whenever it is properly defined) describing the interaction of these particles.

In general, symmetry of type I is different from the symmetry of type II. It may happen that the two types of symmetry are the same; for example, in the  $SU(2)$  (isospin)-symmetry of the strong interactions in the absence of electromagnetic and weak interactions, the strongly interacting particles can be grouped into the singlet, doublet, triplet.... multiplets of  $SU(2)$  and at the same time, the strong interaction part of the S-matrix is invariant under  $SU_2(1)$ , but perhaps this is a special situation, for why is it that symmetries higher than the direct product of isospin and hypercharge have had some measure of success when dealing with masses and coupling constants, while the description they give of scattering amplitudes is much less transparent? <sup>(14)</sup>

(ii) It seems quite accidental that continuous parameter symmetry groups (i.e. Lie groups) have been preferred so far.

Physically realizable transformations belonging to the internal symmetry groups are discrete, therefore it is quite natural to consider finite symmetry groups rather than continuous symmetry groups when discussing internal symmetries. To make this point clear, we shall consider, only as an example, the isotopic spin symmetry group  $SU(2)$  and ask whether it is necessary to assume invariance under all rotations in the isospin space in charge independent theories? The answer is no! It was shown<sup>(5)</sup> that the consequences of the charge independent theory can be obtained from the requirements of permutation symmetry between two objects, and the law of charge conservation. This approach was taken by several people<sup>(5, 12, 22)</sup>. We summarize their procedure as follows:

If the multiplets of a set of particles (or states of a set of elementary systems) are assigned to an irreducible representation of a finite group, under which the theory is invariant, then the invariance requirement for this group does not, in general, guarantee the conservation law of electric charge. In this case it is necessary, in addition, to postulate the law of charge conservation, thereby extending the original (finite) symmetry group to a higher symmetry with a corresponding enlargement of the irreducible representations.

(iii) It is very interesting to remark that finite groups contain only a limited number of operations, so that invariance requirements under certain finite groups are less restrictive than that under Lie groups.

From the above discussion, it appears that the use of finite

groups as internal symmetry groups in particle physics, should be (at least) considered seriously. The use of finite groups retaining the advantage (in hope) of avoiding some of the difficulties of combining an internal symmetry group with the strict Poincare symmetry group, as well as obtaining interesting quantum numbers like baryon number etc., in a very natural manner. From the requirement of (b), we look for quantum representations of these symmetry groups.

In Chapter I we describe in some detail the ray representations of commutative finite groups. It is shown that the ray representations of these (simple) finite groups lead to such a richness of different classes (see appendix) and each class to different types of representations. Also one gets higher dimensional irreducible representations, that is, multiplet structure of states, much the same way, that one used to obtain from the representations of compact symmetry groups.

In Chapter II we obtain the quantum mechanical representations of  $n$  generalized parity operators. By a generalized parity operator we mean an operator whose square is the identity operator. The full inhomogeneous Lorentz group has two abstractly defined parity operators, a unitary parity operator corresponding to space reflections and the anti-unitary parity operator corresponding to time reflections. The product of two unitary parity<sup>(1)</sup> operators has been considered in the literature. The product of three parity operators was used<sup>(2)</sup> to classify leptons.

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION TO QUANTUM MECHANICAL REPRESENTATIONS OF FINITE GROUPS

#### I. Mathematical Introduction

##### Definition (1)

A ray representation belonging to the factor system  $w(g_i, g_j)$  of a group  $G = \{g\}$  is a set of  $\Gamma = \{D(g)\}$  of square non-singular,  $n$ -dimensional matrices  $D(g)$  together with the correspondence

$$g \longrightarrow D(g) \quad (1)$$

such that

$$D(g_i) D(g_j) = w(g_i, g_j) D(g_i g_j) \quad (2)$$

for all  $g_i g_j \in G$  and  $|w(g_i, g_j)| = 1$ .

##### Definition (2)

A ray representation  $\Gamma = \{D(g)\}$  of the group  $G = \{g\}$  is called a faithful representation if the correspondence given by (1) is one to one.

##### Definition (3)

Two ray representations  $\{D^{(1)}(g)\}$  and  $\{D^{(2)}(g)\}$  of the group  $G = \{g\}$  are called ray equivalent if there exists a real function  $a(g)$  in  $G$  and a square non-singular matrix  $S$  such that:

$$D^{(2)}(g) = e^{ia(g)} S D^{(1)}(g) S^{-1} \quad (3)$$

Definition (4)

Two factor systems of a group  $G$  are equivalent if they belong to two equivalent ray representations, i.e.  $S = 1$  (the unit matrix) then (3) has the form

$$D^{(2)}(g) = e^{ia(g)} D^{(1)}(g),$$

where  $a(g)$  is a real function in  $G$ .

We denote  $e^{ia(g)} = w(g).$

Then the two factor systems  $w$  and  $w'$  are related according to the equation:

$$w'(g_1, g_2) = \frac{w(g_1) w(g_2)}{w(g_1 g_2)} w(g_1, g_2) \text{ for all } g_1, g_2 \in G.$$

Definition (5)

Ray representations belonging to non-equivalent factor systems are said to be of different types.

It should be remarked that true representations (vector representation) of the group belong only to one type, i.e. mainly the type for which  $w(g_1, g_2) = 1$  for all  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ .

If the factor systems of a group are classified according to ray equivalence, it can be shown that there is only a finite number of such classes. The class of factor systems form a finite abelian group. This group is called the multiplicator group<sup>(\*)</sup>.

Definition (6) #

A ray representation  $D(g)$  of a group  $G = \{g\}$  is called unitary if:

$$D^{-1}(g) = D^+(g) \text{ for all } g \in G.$$

# In this chapter we use  $+$  = hermitian conjugate,  $*$  = complex conjugate in some book

As in the case of vector representations for finite groups (i.e. a representation defined by  $g \rightarrow D(g)$  and  $D(g_1) D(g_2) = D(g_1 g_2)$ ) one easily proves the following propositions. ("")

Proposition (1)

The ray representations for a finite group can always be chosen to be unitary.

Proposition (2)

If  $D^{(1)}(g)$  and  $D^{(2)}(g)$  are two irreducible ray representations of a group  $G$  belonging to the same factor system with different dimensions, then if there exists a matrix such that

$$D^{(1)}(g) S = S D^{(2)}(g) \text{ for all } g \in G \text{ then } S = 0.$$

Proposition (3)

If  $D(g)$  be an irreducible representation of a group  $G = \{g\}$  and if there exists a matrix  $S$  such that

$$D(g) S = S D(g) \text{ for all } g \in G \text{ then } S = \lambda I$$

where  $\lambda$  is constant and  $I$  is the unit matrix having the same dimensions of  $D(g)$ .

Proposition (4)

If  $G$  has the order  $b$  and its multiplicator  $B$  has order  $b$ , then the dimension of every irreducible ray representation of  $G$  is a divisor of  $bg$ , also the number of irreducible ray representations is  $bg$  (for proof see<sup>(10)</sup>).

Definition (7) Let  $G$  be a group,  $G'$  is another group which contains  $H'$  in its centre such that  $G'/H' = G$ . Then  $G'$  is called a covering group of  $G$  extended by the abelian group  $H'$ . The covering group  $G'$  of  $G$  is called a representative group (or the quantum mechanical representative group where  $H'$  is the multiplicator group of  $G$ ).

Proposition (5)

Let  $G = \{g\}$  be a group,  $G' = \{g'\}$  a covering group of  $G$  extended by the abelian group  $H'$ ;  $D'(g')$  =  $\Gamma'$  is an irreducible representation of  $G'$ ,  $S'$  the distinct cosets of  $H'$ ;  $g(s')$  any subset of  $G'$  consisting of one element  $g(s')$  from each coset  $S'$  of  $H'$ ; and  $g \rightarrow g'(s')$  the isomorphism between  $G'/H'$  and  $G$ . Then  $g \rightarrow D'(g')$  is an irreducible ray representation of  $G^{(1)}$ .

From the above theorem, it follows that one can find the ray representation of a group  $G$  by finding the vector representations of its covering group. However we shall avoid the construction of a covering group (i.e. the extension of  $G$  by the multiplicator group) by considering a much simpler group with different factor systems (see II).

When the symmetric group  $G$ , under consideration contains some elements with the time inversion operator with other operators (geometrical operators, e.g. space reflection operator or not, e.g. charge conjugation), the structure of the group becomes non-unitary, made up of unitary and anti-unitary operators. We shall call such groups non-unitary groups, their ray representations are called Coray representations.

It is convenient to express every anti-unitary operator as  $A = UK$  where  $U$  is a unitary operator, and  $K$  is the complex conjugation operator. It is easily demonstrated that the product of two unitary or two anti-unitary ones is unitary, and the product of an anti-unitary operator and a unitary one is an anti-unitary operator, from which it follows that the non-unitary group contains an equal number of unitary and anti-unitary operators. The unitary operators form an invariant subgroup of index two; if we call this group  $N$ , then  $G$  is given in terms of  $N$  as:

$$G = N + KN$$

or, in other words, if  $G$  is generated by  $n$  generators, then  $g_1, \dots, g_{n-1}$  can be chosen to be unitary operators and  $g_n$  is/anti-unitary operator.

#### Definition

A Coray representation belonging to a factor system  $w(g_i, g_j)$  of a group  $G = \{g\}$  is a set of matrices  $D(g_i)$  such that

$$D(U_i) D(U_j) = w(U_i, U_j) D(U_i, U_j)$$

$$L(U_i) D(a_j) = w(U_i, a_j) D(U_i a_j)$$

$$L(a_i) D^*(u_j) = w(u_i, u_j) D(a_i, u_j)$$

$$D(a_i) D^*(a_j) = w(a_i, a_j) D(a_i, a_j)$$

The associative law restricts these factors to the following conditions

$$w(u, g) w(ug, g') = w(u, gg') w(g, g')$$

$$w(a, g) w^*(ag, g') = w(a, gg') w^*(g, g')$$

where  $g$ ,  $u$ ,  $a$  correspond to any general element, the unitary, and the anti-unitary element, respectively. Other restrictions depend on the choice of the normalizations of the unitary and anti-unitary operators respectively (see Chapter II(b)).

It is shown that representations (vector or true representations) of non-unitary groups are determined by the vector representations of its unitary subgroup<sup>(1,2)</sup>. In an analogous way Coray representations of non-unitary groups are obtained from the ray representations of its unitary subgroup. Similar definitions in this case are exactly the same as definitions (1) - (5) for any representation. The following propositions can easily be proved in exactly the same way as for unitary groups. Definition (6) is modified, for a Coray representation is unitary;  $D(g) = D^+(g)$  when  $g$  is unitary, and  $D(g) = D^+(g)$  with  $D(g) = D^*(g)$  when  $g$  is anti-unitary, and all  $g \in G$ .

Proposition: Any Coray representation by non-singular matrices is equivalent to one by unitary matrices<sup>(11)</sup>.

Proposition: If  $\{D^{(1)}(g)\}$  and  $\{D^{(2)}(g)\}$  are two Coray representations of a group  $G$  belonging to the same factor system with different dimensions, then if there exists a matrix  $S$  such that

$$D^{(1)}(u) S = S D^{(2)}(u)$$

$$D^{(1)}(a) S^* = S D^{(2)}(a)$$

where  $g$ ,  $u$ ,  $a$  correspond to any general element, the unitary and the anti-unitary element, respectively, then  $S = 0$ .

Proposition: If  $D(g)$  be an irreducible Coray representation of a group  $G = g$  and if there exist  $S$  such that

$$L(u) S = S D(u), \quad u \text{ corresponds to any unitary element in } G,$$

$$L(a) S^* = S D(a), \quad a \text{ corresponds to any anti-unitary element in } G,$$

for all  $a, u \in G$  then  $S = \lambda I$ , where  $\lambda$  is a constant and  $I$  is the unit matrix having the same dimension as  $D(g)$ .

In Chapter II(b) we determine exactly in the same way as for unitary groups the corepresentations for non-unitary groups.

In this section, we are concerned only with finite groups. The unitarity or anti-unitarity of such groups is to be understood in the sense that the elements of the group correspond to unitary or antiunitary operators.

## II. Ray Representations of Commutative Groups

Any finite group  $G$  can be generated by a (small) number of generating elements  $\gamma_1$  i.e. the elements  $g \in G$  are obtained from all possible products of  $\gamma_1$  with a number of polynomial conditions of the form

$$f_\mu(\gamma_1) = a_\mu \quad \mu = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad (1)$$

depending on the group structure where  $f_\mu$  are polynomials in  $\gamma_1$  of degree  $m_\mu$  and  $a_\mu$  are arbitrary numbers.

(e.g. The symmetric group  $S_n$  is generated by (i)  $\gamma_1 = (12)$  and  $\gamma_2 = (123\dots n)$  where  $\gamma_1^2 = 1$ ,  $\gamma_2^n = 1, \dots$  and  $\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \neq \gamma_2 \gamma_1$  or (ii) by (n-1) partly commuting elements, namely, the (n-1) transpositions;  $\gamma_1 = (12)$ ,  $\gamma_2 = (123)\dots \gamma_{n-1} = (n-1, n)$  where  $\gamma_i^2 = 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$   
 $(\gamma_1 \gamma_{i+1})^3 = 1, \dots$ ).

In the following we shall consider only groups whose generators commute, i.e. commutative groups only.

Let  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n$  be the generators of a group  $G$  (of order  $m = n$ ) such that

$$\gamma_i \gamma_j = \gamma_j \gamma_i \quad (2)$$

In this case we could assume that there are no other numerical coefficients in  $f_\mu$  given by (1) except  $a_\mu$ .

The ray representations are defined by

$$D(\gamma_1) D(\gamma_j) = w_{ij} D(\gamma_1 \gamma_j) \quad (3)$$

Interchanging  $i$  and  $j$ , using equation (2), we find that:

$$D(Y_1) D(Y_j) = C_{ij} D(Y_j) D(Y_1) \quad (4)$$

$$\text{where } C_{ij} = \frac{w_{ij}}{w_{ji}}.$$

The restrictions (1) become

$$f_\mu(D(Y_1)) = \beta_\mu \quad \mu = 1, 2, \dots, N \quad (5)$$

where  $\beta_\mu$  are arbitrary numbers, in general  $\beta_\mu \neq a_\mu$ .

Replace  $D(Y_i)$  by  $\Gamma_i$  and assume that this representation is unitary (see proposition (1)), then the above equations have the more compact form

$$\Gamma_i \Gamma_j = C_{ij} \Gamma_j \Gamma_i \quad (3)'$$

$$f_\mu(\Gamma_i) = 1 \quad (5)'$$

where we set  $\beta_\mu = 1$  in (5), which is a consequence of the fact that two ray representations  $\Gamma_i$  and  $a_i \Gamma_i$  ( $a_i = 1$ ) lead to equivalent factor systems. We shall refer to the phase factors  $C_{ij}$  as the "commutation factors". These factors  $C_{ij}$  cannot be absorbed into the  $\Gamma$ 's because the multiplication of  $\Gamma_j$  by any factor  $a$  occurs on both sides of equation (3)' and hence the factor  $a$  cancels. But the commutation factors  $C_{ij}$  are restricted to a number of conditions similar to (5)'. In fact (3)' and (5)' determine all factors  $C_{ij}$ . Equations (3)' generate an algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  with the basis elements

$$I, \Gamma_i, \Gamma_i \Gamma_j \quad (i \leq j), \dots ; \Gamma_i \Gamma_j \dots \Gamma_k \quad (i < j \dots < k) \dots \quad (6)$$

which is just the basis of the representation of the group (Frobenius) algebra of the group  $G$ .

Note that the equality signs in  $i < j$  etc., give us also all the terms of the form  $\Gamma_i^{n_1} \Gamma_{i+1}^{n_2} \Gamma_{i+2}^{n_3} \dots \dots \dots$

Because of conditions (5)' the series (6) breaks after some power. We note that if we do not have (5)', the series (6) is infinite; in this case we have an infinite dimensional algebra. In our case the dimension of the algebra is equal to the order of the group  $G$ .

Theorem: The unitary ray representations of the group (3)' satisfy the generalized algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  generated by (3)' and (5)' with the basis (6).

Proof: Since  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n$  generate the group  $G$ , then every element  $g \in G$  is expressed in terms of the products of  $\gamma_i$ , for any  $g_i, g_j \in G$  we have;

$$\therefore D(g_i) D(g_j) = D(\gamma_{i_1}, \gamma_{i_2} \dots \gamma_{i_N}) D(\gamma_{j_1}, \gamma_{j_2}, \dots, \gamma_{j_M})$$

Using equation (4), then we arrive at the following equation

$$D(g_i) D(g_j) = \left( \prod_{e=1}^M \prod_{k=1}^N c_{i_k j_e} \right) D(g_j) D(g_i) \quad (7)$$

Consider the corresponding elements in the algebra, i.e.

$$[D(\gamma_{i_1}) \dots D(\gamma_{i_N})] \text{ and } [D(\gamma_{j_1}), D(\gamma_{j_2}), \dots, D(\gamma_{j_M})] \quad (\text{Note that})$$

$D(\gamma_j) = \Gamma_j$  and  $D(\gamma_i) = \Gamma_i$ . Using equation (4) (or (3)') we find that

$$(\Gamma_{i_1} \Gamma_{i_2} \dots \Gamma_{i_N})(\Gamma_{j_1} \Gamma_{j_2} \dots \Gamma_{j_M}) = \left( \prod_{e=1}^M \prod_{k=1}^N C_{i_k j_e} \right) \times \\ (\Gamma_{j_1} \dots \Gamma_{j_M})(\Gamma_{i_1} \dots \Gamma_{i_N}) \quad (8)$$

From (7) and (8) we see that the commutation relations of the arbitrary elements of the group  $G$  are exactly the same as the commutation relations of two arbitrary (but corresponding) elements of the algebra (6). Hence the result.

Therefore, we can determine first the representation of the algebra and then pass to the representation of the group. Care should be taken, since the representations of the commutation relations (3)' with the restrictions (5)' differ from the ray representations of the group (see Chapter II(a)).

Our task now is to find irreducible representations of the algebra  $A$ . For this purpose we interpret equation (3)' as the group composition law of a finite group  $G'$  consisting of the direct product of the set (6), the basis elements of  $A$ , and the abelian group  $B$  generated by the coefficients  $c_{ij}$ .  $G'$  and  $B$  are finite groups; if the order of  $G$  is  $g$  (which is the dimension of  $\mathfrak{A}$ ) and  $b$  is the order of  $B$  then  $gb$  is the order of the group  $G'$ . Of course  $G'/B = G$ .

Note that  $G'$  is different for different possible  $c_{ij}$ . The representations of  $G$  (vector representations) are also representations of  $G'$ , although they do not satisfy equation (3)'. Then the problem is reduced to the one of finding the additional representations of  $G'$  which satisfy (3)'.

Let  $k$  be the number of the desired additional representations of  $G'$  (which are the ray representations of  $G$ ), and let  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots, \varepsilon_k$  be their dimensions. Because the order of the group is equal to the sum of squares of the dimensions of inequivalent irreducible representations we have

$$gb = g + \epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_2^2 + \dots + \epsilon_k^2$$

i.e.

$$\epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_2^2 + \dots + \epsilon_k^2 = g(b-1) \quad (8)$$

(Note that  $G$  is a commutative group, each element forms a separate class).

It remains to determine the integer  $k$ , then the solution of (8) is unique.

We make use of the fact that the total number of irreducible representations of  $G$  is equal to the number of conjugate classes. For a given  $c_{ij}$  we first determine the number of elements among the basis set ( $\epsilon$ ) of the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  which commute with all other basis elements. Let this number be  $s$  ( $s \geq 1$ , because the identity commutes with all other elements), then

$$k = s(b-1) \quad (9)$$

(Note that every element of the group  $B$  forms a separate class; the number of conjugate classes equal to  $g + k = g + s(b-1)$ ) where

$$1 \leq s \leq g \quad (10)$$

(The case  $s = g$  arises when  $B$  is an invariant subgroup).

In the following we shall show the correspondence between the ray representations of finite groups and the fundamental representations of some Lie algebras.

The most general form of a commutative group is the direct product of  $n$  cyclic groups with orders  $m_i$ ;  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$

In this case we have instead of (3)' and (5)', the following equations

$$\Gamma_i \Gamma_j = c_{ij} \Gamma_j \Gamma_i \quad (11)$$

and

$$\frac{\Gamma_i^{m_i}}{\Gamma_i} = 1 \quad i = 1, \dots, n \quad (12)$$

Multiplying both sides of equation (11) by  $\Gamma_i^{m_i-1}$  and  $\Gamma_j^{m_j-1}$  respectively, using equations (11) and (12), we immediately find that  $c_{ij}$  are subject to the following conditions

$$(c_{ij})^{m_i} = (c_{ij})^{m_j} = (c_{ij})^{d_{ij}} = 1 \quad (13)$$

where  $d_{ij}$  is the greatest common divisor of  $m_i$  and  $m_j$ .

When all  $m_i$  are prime numbers, then  $d_{ij} = 1$  hence  $c_{ij} = 1$  for all  $i, j, = 1, \dots, n$ . In this case all representations are equivalent to vector representations, and all irreducible representations are one dimensional. In general  $d_{ij} \neq 1$ .

Let us form the following commutators

$$[\Gamma_i^{\alpha_i}, \Gamma_j^{\alpha_j}] = (c_{ij}^{\alpha_i \alpha_j} - 1) \Gamma_j^{\alpha_j} \Gamma_i^{\alpha_i} \quad (14)$$

Set  $L_{ij}^{\alpha_i \alpha_j} = (c_{ij}^{\alpha_i \alpha_j} - 1) \Gamma_i^{\alpha_i} \Gamma_j^{\alpha_j}$  and form the following commutators

$$[L_{ij}^{\alpha_i \alpha_j}, \Gamma_k^{\alpha_k}] = (c_{ik}^{\alpha_i \alpha_k} c_{jk}^{\alpha_j \alpha_k} - 1) \Gamma_k^{\alpha_k} L_{ij}^{\alpha_i \alpha_j} \quad (15)$$

and

$$\left[ L_{ij}^{\alpha_i \alpha_j}, L_{ke}^{\alpha_k \alpha_e} \right] = \left( c_{ie}^{\alpha_i \alpha_e} c_{ik}^{\alpha_i \alpha_k} c_{je}^{\alpha_j \alpha_e} c_{jk}^{\alpha_j \alpha_k} - 1 \right) L_{ke}^{\alpha_k \alpha_e} L_{ij}^{\alpha_i \alpha_j} \quad (16)$$

If these relations are not closed, we proceed, and set

$$L_{ijk}^{\alpha_i \alpha_j \alpha_k} = \left( c_{ik}^{\alpha_i \alpha_k} c_{jk}^{\alpha_j \alpha_k} - 1 \right) \Gamma_n^{\alpha_n} L_{ij}^{\alpha_i \alpha_j}$$

and

$$L_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_m}^{\alpha_{i_1} \alpha_{i_2} \dots \alpha_{i_m}} = \left( c_{i_1 i_2}^{\alpha_{i_1} \alpha_{i_2}} c_{i_2 i_3}^{\alpha_{i_2} \alpha_{i_3}} c_{i_3 i_4}^{\alpha_{i_3} \alpha_{i_4}} c_{i_m i_1}^{\alpha_{i_m} \alpha_{i_1}} - 1 \right) L_{i_1 i_2}^{\alpha_{i_1} \alpha_{i_2}} L_{i_2 i_3}^{\alpha_{i_2} \alpha_{i_3}} \dots$$

and form the commutation relations as before between the quantities

$$L_i^{\alpha_i} = \Gamma_i^{\alpha_i}, \quad L_{ij}^{\alpha_i \alpha_j}, \quad L_{ijk}^{\alpha_i \alpha_j \alpha_k}, \dots \dots \dots$$

If we choose  $a_i = \frac{m_i}{2}$ , it follows from equations (14), (15), and (16) that the  $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$  quantities  $L_i^{\alpha_i}$  and  $L_{ij}^{\alpha_i \alpha_j}$  form a Lie algebra. This is the algebra  $SO_{n+1}^{m_i}$ . If we choose the integers  $a_i < \frac{1}{2}$  then the commutation relations are not closed. We have to continue the process of taking the commutation relations  $P$  steps, say, such that  $P a_i = m_i$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , whenever admissible. Thus we obtain a set of Lie algebras whose fundamental irreducible representations are also irreducible representations of the subalgebras of the algebra  $A$ . We consider in detail the case where all  $m_i = 2$  in Chapter 2(I).

We end this section with the following remarks:

- (i) If the generating elements of the finite group  $G$  do not commute, then the above construction can possibly be generalized for any finite group.
- (ii) It is very interesting to consider the algebra  $A$  with more complicated restrictions than those given by (12), and find all its subalgebras, whose irreducible representations are isomorphic to the fundamental representations of other Lie algebras. (e.g. Unitary Lie algebras, ...). It is also interesting to relax the polynomial restrictions given by (5)''. In this case the series (6) is infinite and the algebra is of infinite dimensions. Such algebra can be related in one way or another to similar algebras in quantum field theory.

## CHAPTER 2

### INTRODUCTION

By a generalized parity operator we mean an operator whose square is the identity, i.e. an operator  $\Gamma$  such that  $\Gamma^2 = 1$ . This operator generates a two element symmetry group.

The generalized parity operators may be unitary or anti-unitary operators. The parity operator corresponding to the space reflection operator is unitary, while a generalized parity operator corresponding to the time reflection operator is anti-unitary. Other generalized parity operators are well defined, like charge conjugation, G-parity (isospin parity), etc. One reason for such consideration is that one hopes that the generalized parity operators would introduce more general additive quantities, which could be identified with the electron charge of baryon number and so on.<sup>(17)</sup> It should be remarked that in physical applications it is very useful to normalize the symmetry operators in such a way that they form a group. This is possible, since an arbitrary normalization choice of the phase factors cannot affect the physical contents of the theory.

In part I in this chapter we shall find the quantum mechanical representations of n-generalized parity (commuting) operators. In part II we consider the more general case of both unitary and anti-unitary parity operators.

## I. Unitary Ray Representations of the Generalized Parity

### Operators

Let  $G$  be the group generated by  $n$  elements  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots, \Gamma_n$  such that

$$\Gamma_i^2 = 1 \quad (1)$$

$$\Gamma_i \Gamma_j = \Gamma_j \Gamma_i \quad (2)$$

The group  $G$  consists of  $I, \Gamma_i$  and all possible distinct products of  $\Gamma_i$ 's and is abelian. Its elements will be denoted collectively by  $g_i$ . Note that  $\Gamma$ 's generate the group, i.e. any  $g_i$  is expressed as a product of  $\Gamma$ 's. Thus we have

$$g_i^2 = 1 \quad (1)'$$

$$g_i g_j = g_j g_i \quad (2)'$$

Let  $U(g_i)$  denote the unitary ray representations. They satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} U(g_i) U(g_j) &= w(i,j) U(g_i g_j) \\ U(I) &= I \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

and we have from equation (1)':

$$U^2(g_i) = a_i ; \quad a_i \text{ are constants.}$$

Two representations  $U(g)$  and  $\beta U(g)$  lead to equivalent factor systems. Hence because of (1)' the diagonal phases

which can be chosen to be the identity so that

$$U^2(g_i) = I \quad (4)$$

In order to determine the remaining phases we pass from the group law (3) to the commutation relations

$$\begin{aligned} U(g_i) U(g_j) &= w(i,j) U(g_i, g_j) \\ &= w(i,j) U(g_j, g_i) = w(i,j) w^{-1}(j,i) U(g_j) U(g_i) \\ &= c_{ij} U(g_j) U(g_i) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

We shall refer to the phase factors  $c_{ij}$  as the "commutation factors". These factors  $c_{ij}$  cannot be absorbed into the  $U$ 's because the multiplication of  $U$  by any factor  $a$  occurs on both sides of equation (5) and hence the factor  $a$  cancels. But the commutation factors  $c_{ij}$  must be restricted to  $\pm 1$ . For, if we multiply equation (5) from the left by  $U(g_j) U(g_i)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I &= c_{ij} U(g_j) U(g_i) U(g_j) U(g_i) \\ &= c_{ij} c_{ij} U(g_j)^2 U(g_i)^2 = c_{ij}^2 \\ \text{or } c_{ij} &= \pm 1 \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

In order to determine all independent  $c_{ij}$  it is sufficient to remember that the group is generated by  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots, \Gamma_n$ , the commutation factors of these generating elements, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} U(\Gamma_i) U(\Gamma_j) &= c_{ij} U(\Gamma_j) U(\Gamma_i) \\ U^2(\Gamma_i) &= 1 \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

determine all other factors  $C_{ij}$ . In fact equations (7) generate a generalized Clifford algebra with  $2n$  basis elements

$$U(\Gamma_1); U(\Gamma_i)U(\Gamma_j); \dots; U(\Gamma_1)U(\Gamma_2)\dots U(\Gamma_n) \quad (8)$$

$i < j$

which is just the representation of the group (Frobenius) algebra of the group  $G$ . The ordinary Clifford algebra corresponds to all  $C_{ij}^o = -1$ .

For any two group elements  $g_i, g_j$  expressed in terms of the products of  $\Gamma_i$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} U(g_i)U(g_j) &= U(\Gamma_{i_1}\Gamma_{i_2}\dots\Gamma_{i_N})U(\Gamma_{j_1}\Gamma_{j_2}\dots\Gamma_{j_M}) \\ &= (\prod_{k=1}^N \prod_{\ell=1}^M C_{i_k j_\ell}^o) U(g_j)U(g_i) \quad (9) \\ &= C_{ij} U(g_j)U(g_i) \end{aligned}$$

which is exactly the same as the commutation relations of the two elements  $[U(\Gamma_1), \dots, U(\Gamma_N)]$  and  $[U(\Gamma_{j_1}), \dots, U(\Gamma_{j_M})]$  of the algebra (8). This shows that the unitary ray representations of the group (1)' and (2)' satisfy the generalized Clifford algebra generated by (7) with the basis (8).

We therefore determine first the representations of the algebra and then pass to the representation of the group.

The representations of the commutation relations (7) differ from the ray representations of the group as follows: to a given representation of the Clifford algebra there corresponds as many ray representations of the group as there are phase factors  $w_{ij}$  satisfying

$$\frac{w_{ij}}{w_{ji}} = c_{ij} .$$

This freedom in the choice of the  $w_{ij}$  is, however, restricted by the associative law of the group which we have not yet used. If one multiplies equation (3) from the left by  $U(g_i g_j)$  and uses equations (4) and (2)', one gets:

$$U(g_i) U(g_j) U(g_i g_j) = w_{ij}$$

or

$$U(g_i) U(g_j) U(g_j g_i) = w_{ij}$$

or

$$w_{ji}^{-1} U(g_i) U(g_j) U(g_j) U(g_i) = w_{ij}$$

or

$$w_{ji}^{-1} = w_{ij} ; \quad c_{ij} = c_{ji}^{-1} \quad (10)$$

Consequently

$$c_{ij} = w_{ij}^2 = \pm 1$$

$$\text{i.e. } w_{ij} = \pm 1, \quad \pm i \quad (11)$$

It is now a relatively simple matter to pass from the representations of the commutation relations (i.e. Clifford algebra) to the ray representations of the group. Take a representation of the algebra with  $c_{ij}^0$  fixed. From equation (11) the corresponding  $w_{ij}$  take only two possible values  $\pm \sqrt{c_{ij}^0}$ . (Note that  $c_{ij}$  is given in terms of the products of  $c_{ij}^0$ ). Now quite generally, if  $U(g)$  is a representation of the commutation relations, so is  $a_1 U(g_1)$ ; and if  $U(g_1)$

corresponds to the phase systems  $w_{ij}$  of the ray representations of the group, then  $a_i U(g_i)$  corresponds to the equivalent phase system

$$w_{ij} \frac{a_i a_j}{\delta_{ij}}$$

In our case, because of (4), we have  $a_i = \pm 1$ . Note the difference between the equivalence of phase systems and the equivalence of representations. Two representations  $U$  and  $aU$  belong to equivalent phase systems, but they are in general not equivalent representations, that is, there exists no matrix  $S$  such that

$$SU(g)S^{-1} = aU(g) \quad \text{for all } g \in G.$$

The concept of equivalent phase systems tells us simply that if we have found one representation, the other is trivially obtained by multiplication with a phase factor, like  $U(g)$  and  $-U(g)$ . But the eigenvalues of the operator  $U(g)$  in the two representations are of course the opposite of each other.

We now find all the representations of the commutation relations (7), or the representations of the algebra with the basis (8). Some special cases of this algebra are well known. If all  $\delta_{ij} = 1$ , hence all  $\theta_{ij} = 1$ . We have the trivial case of an abelian group and all irreducible representations are one dimensional.

If all  $\delta_{ij} = -1$  ( $\theta_{ij} = \pm 1$  according whether we have an even number of products of  $\theta_{ij}$  or odd number, respectively), the representation  $U(g)$  forms a bona fide Clifford algebra

whose representations are known. All other mixed cases take an intermediary position between these two extreme cases.

Because of equation (10) there are

$$\frac{1}{2}(n-1) = K \text{ distinct factors } c_{ij},$$

hence a priori there are  $2^k$  different types of ray representations, depending on which  $c_{ij}$  are equal to "+1" and which are equal to "-1". If  $k$  of these factors are -1, they can be distributed in  $\binom{K}{k}$  different ways among the  $K$  factors,

$$\binom{K}{1} + \binom{K}{2} + \binom{K}{3} + \dots + \binom{K}{k} = 2^K$$

Consider now the class with  $k$  of the  $c_{ij}^o$  being equal to -1. We distinguish two cases:

(i) The  $k$  minus signs are so distributed that we have a sub-Clifford algebra with  $r$  generating elements,  $k = \frac{1}{2}(r-1)r$ .

(ii) There is no sub-algebra which is a bona fide Clifford algebra. For example, if  $k = 3$ , then the case (i) corresponds to  $c_{12}^o = c_{13}^o = c_{23}^o = -1$ , then  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3$  form a Clifford algebra. Case (ii) corresponds, say, to

$c_{12}^o = c_{13}^o = c_{14}^o = -1$ , that is  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$  anticommute but  $\Gamma_2$  and  $\Gamma_3$  commute. It is clear that we have case (i) only if  $k$  is of the form  $k = \frac{1}{2}r(r-1)$ ,  $r = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$ . (Note we write simply  $\Gamma_i$  to mean  $U(\Gamma_i)$ ).

Case (i): The representations are determined by those of the Clifford sub-algebra with  $r$  generating elements ( $r = 1, 2, \dots, n$ )

have been first determined by Jordan and Wigner<sup>(18)</sup> for even  $r$ .

For even  $r$  there is a single irreducible representation (up to unitary equivalence) of the Clifford sub-algebra of degree  $2^{r/2}$ .

Every other representation is completely reducible, faithful, having a dimension which is a multiple of  $2^{r/2}$ . For odd  $r$  a similar analysis

exists. In this case there are two inequivalent, but non-faithful representations of dimension  $2^{(r-1)/2}$ .

The faithful representation is the direct sum of these two and, therefore, of dimension  $2^{(r+1)/2}$ . Again every other representation is completely reducible and its dimension is a multiple of  $2^{(r-1)/2}$ .

Case (ii): Except in the cases where, by relabelling the elements, we may obtain a Clifford sub-algebra as in the case (i), the generalized algebra with mixed signs  $C_{ij}^0 = \pm 1$  lead in general to new types of representations different from those of Clifford algebra. As a matter of fact, all of these algebras (including the Clifford algebra) are special instances of a much larger algebra with arbitrary  $C_{ij}^0$  (forming a group) whose irreducible representations have been determined in Chapter 1. In this case the prescription of determining the irreducible representations is as follows: Consider the group

$\mathcal{E}$  consisting of the  $2^n$  elements given in equation (8) and their negatives. The order of  $\mathcal{E}$  is  $2^{n+1}$ . The representations of the commutation relations (7) are also representations of  $\mathcal{E}$ . The factor group  $\mathcal{E}/C_2$  is abelian and has  $2^n$  one dimensional representations, where  $C_2$  is the group of two elements (1, -1).

Following the procedure of Chapter 1, let  $k$  be the number of the additional representations and let  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_k$

be their dimensions, then

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_2^2 + \epsilon_k^2 + 2^n &= 2^{n+1} \\ \therefore \epsilon_1^2 + \epsilon_2^2 + \epsilon_k^2 &= 2^n\end{aligned}\quad (13)$$

The number  $k$  is equal to the number of basis elements in the set (8) which commute with all  $2^n$  elements. Thus, if all  $C_{ij}^0 = +1$  then  $k = 2^n$ , hence  $\epsilon_1 = \dots \epsilon_k = 1$ ; and if all  $C_{ij}^0 = -1$ , then  $k = 1$  or  $2$ , depending on whether  $n$  is even or odd, and  $\epsilon = 2^{n/2}$  ( $n$  even), or  $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = 2^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$  ( $n$  odd). Finally, to find the number of commuting elements in the set (8) we look at the table of  $C_{ij}^0$  and determine how many  $C_{ij}^0, C_{ij}^0 C_{ik}^0, C_{ij}^0 C_{ik}^0 C_{ik}^0, \dots$  are  $+1$  for fixed  $i$ , all  $j$  (all  $j, k, \ell$ ). It follows from this that the dimensions of irreducible representations are determined by those of the sub-algebra containing  $-$  signs for  $C_{ij}^0$ . Thus all irreducible representations of dimensions  $1, 2, 4, 8, \dots, 2^{(n/2)}$  occur where  $(\frac{n}{2}) = \frac{n}{2}$  (even) or  $\frac{n-1}{2}$  (odd  $n$ ). This completes the enumeration of all irreducible representations. The case  $n = 4$  is treated in detail and an explicit form of the matrices are given in an appendix.

### II. Unitary Coray Representations of Generalized Parity Operators

We wish to represent  $n_1$  generating elements by unitary operators  $U(\Gamma_i)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n_1$ , and  $n_2$  generating elements by <sup>anti</sup>unitary operators  $A(\Gamma_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n_2$ .  $n = n_1 + n_2$ . Because the product of two unitary (or anti-unitary) operators is again a unitary operator, while the product of unitary and anti-unitary operators is again an anti-unitary operator, the whole algebra with  $2^n$  basis elements splits into, say,  $m$  anti-unitary and  $2^n - m$  unitary operators. In particular, the case where all generating elements are represented by anti-unitary operators corresponds to the case where  $n_1 = 0$ , in which

$$m = \binom{n}{1} + \binom{n}{3} + \dots = 2^{n-1}$$

the number of unitary and anti-unitary operators is the same as those of the unitary representations of  $(n-1)$   $\Gamma$ 's and the anti-unitary representations of one  $\Gamma$ . Thus we have:

Theorem: The ray representation of  $G$  generated by  $n$  elements (commuting)  $\Gamma_i$  in which any member of the  $\Gamma$ 's are represented by anti-unitary operators can, by relabelling the elements and the proper adjustment of phases, be made to coincide with the ray representations in which only one  $\Gamma$  is represented by an anti-unitary operator.

The representation algebra is thus always generated by a direct product of the form:

$$(I, U(\Gamma_1) \dots U(\Gamma_{n-1})) \otimes (I, A(\Gamma_n)) .$$

Among all discrete commuting symmetry transformations there can

only be one anti-unitary generating operator (the others are the products).

We now discuss in general, the phases between anti-unitary and unitary operators.

As in Section I, we first normalize the unitary operators in such a way that the products of two unitary operators satisfy

$$U(g_i)^2 = 1 \quad w_{ii} = 1$$

$$U(g_i) U(g_j) = c_{ij} U(g_i) U(g_j); \quad c_{ij} = \pm 1 \quad (14)$$

Next we look at the products of two anti-unitary operators. The determination of the phase factors here is slightly more complicated.

For the relation: <sup>(20)</sup>

$$A(g_i) A^*(g_i) = \epsilon_{ii} U(g_i^2) = \epsilon_{ii} \quad (15)$$

The diagonal phases  $\epsilon_{ii}$  now cannot be normalized to unity by multiplying  $A$  with a phase factor. For in equation (15) we replace  $A(g_i)$  by  $w A(g_i) = A'(g_i)$  where  $w = 1$ , we see immediately that equation (15) holds for  $A'(g_i)$  with the same  $\epsilon_{ii}$ .

However, it follows from the associativity law that these phases are equal to  $\pm 1$ , for the multiplication of the above equation by  $A(g_i)$  from the left gives (see Chapter 1(a))

$$A(g_i) [A(g_i) A^*(g_i)]^* = A(g_i) \epsilon_{ii}$$

or

$$A(g_i) A^*(g_i) A(g_i) = \epsilon_{ii}^* A(g_i) \quad (16)$$

or  $\epsilon_{11} = \epsilon_{11}^*$  (17)

i.e.  $\epsilon_{11}$  are real, hence the result.

To determine the off-diagonal phase factors in

$$A(g_1) A^*(g_j) = \epsilon_{1j} U(g_1 g_j) \quad (18)$$

and

$$A(g_j) A^*(g_1) = \epsilon_{j1} U(g_j g_1) \quad (19)$$

Multiply both sides of these two equations to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A(g_j) A^*(g_1) A(g_1) A^*(g_j) &= \epsilon_{1j} \epsilon_{j1} \\ \epsilon_{jj} \epsilon_{11}^* &= \epsilon_{1j} \epsilon_{j1} \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\epsilon_{jj} \epsilon_{11} = \epsilon_{1j} \epsilon_{j1} \quad (20)$$

Because  $\epsilon_{kk}$  are real and equal to  $\pm 1$ , the product  $\epsilon_{1j} \epsilon_{j1}$  is also equal to  $\pm 1$ . From (18) and (19) we obtain

$$A(g_1) A^*(g_j) = d_{1j} A(g_j) A^*(g_1) \quad (21)$$

where

$$d_{1j} = \frac{\epsilon_{1j}}{\epsilon_{j1}} \quad (22)$$

In contrast to the unitary case, the associativity law does not allow us to determine the  $\epsilon_{1j}$ . To see this, we multiply equation (18) by  $A(g_1)$  from the left:

$$A(g_1) [A(g_1) A^*(g_j)]^* = \epsilon_{1j}^* A(g_1) U^*(g_1 g_j)$$

and replace  $U(g_i g_j)$  from equation (19) and use equations (16), (17) and (21) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{11}^* A(g_j) &= \epsilon_{1j}^* A(g_1) \epsilon_{ji}^{*-1} A^*(g_j) A(g_1) \\ &= \epsilon_{1j}^* \epsilon_{ji}^{*-1} d_{1j} \epsilon_{11}^* A(g_j) \\ \text{or } d_{1j}^* &= \epsilon_{1j}^* / \epsilon_{ji}^* = \frac{1}{d_{1j}^*} \end{aligned} \quad (22)'$$

i.e. the associativity law gives nothing new.

We can, however, pass to equivalent phase systems by multiplying in (18)  $A(g_i)$  and  $U(g_i g_j)$  by phase factors so that  $\epsilon_{ij} = 1$ . But then  $\epsilon_{ji}$  cannot be equal to +1 always, but from (20) it is equal to  $\pm 1$ . Consequently, the representations are determined up to these arbitrary phase factors in the anti-unitary operators.

Finally we consider the product of one unitary and one anti-unitary operator. Here we have only the off-diagonal phase factors

$$\begin{aligned} U(g_i) A(g_j) &= w_{ij} A(g_i g_j) \\ A(g_j) U^*(g_i) &= w_{ji} A(g_j g_i) = w_{ji} A(g_i g_j) \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

The commutation relations are given by

$$U(g_i) A(g_j) = f_{ij} A(g_j) U^*(g_i) \quad (25)$$

where

$$f_{ij} = \frac{w_{ij}}{w_{ji}} = f_{ji}^{-1} \quad (26)$$

Again we make use of the associativity law as before and obtain

$$U(g_i)^2 A(g_i) = w_{ij} U(g_i) A(g_i g_j)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} A(g_j) &= w_{ij} U(g_i) w_{ji}^{-1} A(g_j) U^*(g_i) \\ &= w_{ij} w_{ji}^{-1} f_{ij} A(g_j) U^*(g_i)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{or } f_{ij}^2 = 1 \quad \therefore f_{ij} = \pm 1 \quad (27)$$

To determine  $w_{ij}$  we again pass, as in the previous case, to an equivalent phase system by multiplying the first equation of (24) by phase factors such that

$$w_{ij} = +1$$

but then

$$w_{ji} = \pm 1 \quad (23)'$$

gives us two distinct types, as in equation (23). Both in (23) and (23)' we can choose  $\epsilon_{ij} = +1$  (or  $f_{ij} = +1$ ) for  $i < j$  then  $\epsilon_{ji}$  (or  $f_{ji}$ ) =  $\pm 1$  holds for  $i > j$ .

Now we discuss the explicit representations of the group. We bring first  $G$ , by relabelling the group elements, to the form given in theorem (2). i.e.  $(n-1)$  unitary generators  $U(\Gamma_i)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n-1$  and one anti-unitary generator  $A(\Gamma_n)$ . Because every anti-unitary operator is of the form

$A(\Gamma_n) = U(\Gamma_n) K$ , where  $K$  is the complex conjugation, we have from (15)

$$U(\Gamma_n) U^*(\Gamma_n) = \epsilon_{nn} = \pm 1 \quad (28)$$

In addition to the unitary condition

$$U(\Gamma_n) U^+(\Gamma_n) = U^+(\Gamma_n) U(\Gamma_n) = 1 \quad (29)$$

Thus the unitary matrix is symmetric or antisymmetric depending on whether  $\epsilon_{nn} = +1$  or  $-1$  respectively. We have from (25) and (27)

$$U(\Gamma_1) U(\Gamma_n) = f_{in} U(\Gamma_n) U^*(\Gamma_1) \quad (30)$$

Suppose we have a representation of  $G(n-1)$ ,  $U(\Gamma_1)$  as discussed in Section I, then equations (28) and (30) can be satisfied by real or pure imaginary matrices (for example,

$$\tilde{\sigma}_1 \tilde{\sigma}_2^* = + \tilde{\sigma}_2 \tilde{\sigma}_1 \text{ but } \tilde{\sigma}_1 \tilde{\sigma}_3^* = - \tilde{\sigma}_3 \tilde{\sigma}_1$$

We may take for  $\epsilon_{nn} = \mp 1$ ,  $U^*(\Gamma_n) = \mp U(\Gamma_n)$ ;  $\mp f_{in} = \sigma_{in}$ , then the representation of (30) is reduced again to the cases of Section I, but with the additional restriction  $U^*(\Gamma_n) = \mp U(\Gamma_n)$ .

APPENDIX

In this appendix, we shall write down exactly the form of the ray representations of a group  $G$  generated by four parity operators. The order of the group is 16 which is the dimension of the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ .  $G$  has the form

$$G = (1, \Gamma_1) \otimes (1, \Gamma_2) \otimes (1, \Gamma_3) \otimes (1, \Gamma_4)$$

$$= 1, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3, \Gamma_4, \Gamma_1 \Gamma_2, \dots, \Gamma_1 \Gamma_2 \Gamma_3 \Gamma_4$$

$$= 1, g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{15}.$$

The multiplication law of the group is given by

$$\Gamma_i \Gamma_j = \Gamma_j \Gamma_i \quad (1)$$

$$\Gamma_i^2 = 1 \quad (2) \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$$

of course

$$g_\mu g_\nu = g_\nu g_\mu \quad \mu, \nu = 0, 1, \dots, 15$$

where  $g_0 = 1$

$$\text{and } g_\mu^2 = 1 \quad \mu = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 15$$

The ray representation is given by:

$$U(\Gamma_i) U(\Gamma_j) = \epsilon_{ij} U(\Gamma_j) U(\Gamma_i) \quad (1)'$$

$$U^2(\Gamma_i) = 1 \quad (2)'$$

$$\text{where } \epsilon_{ij} = \frac{w(i,j)}{w(j,i)} = w^2(i,j) \quad (3)$$

$$(\text{when } \epsilon_{ij} = 1 \quad w(i,j) = 1) \quad (4)$$

$$\text{where } \epsilon_{ij}^2 = 1 \quad \text{or} \quad \epsilon_{ij} = \pm 1 \quad (5)$$

The number of independent commutation factors is 6.

Equation (1)' can be written in the following diagram.

$$\epsilon_{ij} = \epsilon_{ji}^{-1} \quad \Gamma_1 \quad \Gamma_2 \quad \Gamma_3 \quad \Gamma_4$$

$\Gamma_1$	1	$\epsilon_{12}$	$\epsilon_{13}$	$\epsilon_{14}$
$\Gamma_2$		1	$\epsilon_{23}$	$\epsilon_{24}$
$\Gamma_3$			1	$\epsilon_{34}$
$\Gamma_4$				1

Figure 1

Since the representation of the group is determined by the representation of its algebra modulo equation (3), we shall determine only the representations of the algebra.

The number of different types of ray representations  $= 2^6 = 64$ . In physical problems these types should describe different physical systems. Since we are interested in

writing the form of the representations, the interpretation of  $\Gamma$ 's does not matter: types which have the same dimensionality define a class. In this case we have the following classes:

Class 1

All  $\epsilon_{ij} = +1$ , in this all the elements of the algebra commute, hence the elements of the group. We have only one dimensional irreducible representations. This class defines one type.

Class 2

$\epsilon_{12} = -1$  say, all others equal +1. This class defines 6 types. In this case we have the two dimensional representations:

$$U(\Gamma_1) = \sigma_1$$

$$U(\Gamma_2) = \sigma_2$$

$$U(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2) = \sigma_3$$

$$U(\Gamma_3) = I$$

$$U(\Gamma_4) = I$$

.... ....

where  $I$  is the unit matrix of dimension 2, this representation is not faithful.

Class 2

$\epsilon_{12} = -1$ ,  $\epsilon_{13} = -1$  others equal +1. This class defines 15 types.

In this case we cannot have the one dimensional representations, because some of the matrices anticommute. Because  $U(\Gamma_2)$  and  $U(\Gamma_3)$  commute, but both anticommute with  $U(\Gamma_1)$ , then we have only irreducible representations of dimension two.

For example:

$$U(\Gamma_1) = \sigma_1$$

$$U(\Gamma_2) = \sigma_2$$

$$U(\Gamma_3) = \sigma_2$$

$$U(\Gamma_4) = I$$

We have  $\epsilon_1^2 + \dots + \epsilon_k^2 = 2^4 = 16$  and we have  $k = 2$ .

From the above formula we have  $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = 2$ , the other equivalent irreducible representations

$$U(\Gamma_1) = -\sigma_1$$

$$U(\Gamma_2) = -\sigma_2$$

$$U(\Gamma_3) = -\sigma_2$$

$$U(\Gamma_4) = I$$

Neither

Both representations are ~~not~~ faithful.

If we repeat this process, we arrive at the following class:

all  $\epsilon_{ij} = -1$ . This class defines only one type; the dimension of the irreducible representation is 4, the elements of the algebra have the form

$$U(\Gamma_1) = i\gamma_1$$

$$U(\Gamma_2) = i\gamma_2$$

$$U(\Gamma_3) = i\gamma_3$$

$$U(\Gamma_4) = i\gamma_4$$

$$U(\Gamma_i \Gamma_j) = i\gamma_i \gamma_j$$

$$U(\Gamma_i \Gamma_4) = \gamma_i \gamma_4$$

$$U(\Gamma_i \Gamma_j \Gamma_k) = \gamma_i \gamma_j \gamma_k$$

$$U(\Gamma_i \Gamma_j \Gamma_4) = i\gamma_i \gamma_j \gamma_4$$

$$U(\Gamma_1 \Gamma_2 \Gamma_3 \Gamma_4) = i\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3 \gamma_4$$

where  $i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$ .  $\gamma$ 's are the Dirac matrices.

The representation is faithful.

From the above analysis, we could write the representation matrices as a direct product of Pauli matrices and the  $2 \times 2$  identity matrix I. Because the dimension of the irreducible representations are determined by the non-commutative sub-algebra. The representation with the highest dimensions, i.e. for all  $\theta_{ij}^0 = -1$  can be chosen in the following standard form:

(a) When  $n$  is even

$$\begin{aligned}
 U(\Gamma_1) &= \sigma_1 \otimes I \otimes I \dots \otimes I \quad (\frac{n}{2} \text{ factors}) \\
 U(\Gamma_2) &= \sigma_2 \otimes I \otimes I \dots \otimes I \\
 U(\Gamma_3) &= \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_1 \otimes I \dots \otimes I \\
 U(\Gamma_4) &= \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes I \dots \otimes I \\
 U(\Gamma_5) &= \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_1 \otimes I \dots \otimes I \\
 U(\Gamma_6) &= \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_2 \otimes I \dots \otimes I \\
 \dots &\quad \dots \quad \dots \\
 U(\Gamma_{n-1}) &= \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_3 \dots \otimes \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_1 \\
 U(\Gamma_n) &= \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_3 \dots \otimes \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_2
 \end{aligned}$$

(b)  $n = \text{odd}$ .

There are two inequivalent irreducible representations

$$\begin{aligned}
 (i) \quad U(\Gamma_{2j-1}) &= U(\Gamma_{2j-1}) \quad \text{of (a)} \\
 U(\Gamma_{2j}) &= U(\Gamma_{2j}) \quad \text{of (a)} \quad j = 1, \dots, \frac{n-1}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$U(\Gamma_n) = \sigma_3 \otimes \sigma_3 \dots \otimes \sigma_3 \quad \frac{n-1}{2} \text{ factors.}$$

(ii) The negative of matrices in (i).

REFERENCES - SECTION I

1. Akhiezer and Glazman, "Theory of linear operators in Hilbert space", Vol. I, (English translation by Unger, New York, 1962).
2. M. Bander and C. Itzykson, Rev. Mod. Phys. 38, 330 (1966); 38, 346 (1966).
3. H. Bacry, Nuovo Cimento A, 15, 22 (1966).
4. V. Bargmann, Z. Physik 99, 576 (1936).
5. A.O. Barut, Proceedings - Seminar on High Energy Physics and Elementary Particles, Trieste 1965 (T.A.E.A. Vienna), and references therein.
6. A.O. Barut, Trieste preprint IC/65/33.
7. A.O. Barut and A. Böhm, Trieste preprint IC/65/18.
8. A.O. Barut and S.R. Komy, under preparation.
9. A. Bohm, University of Marburg Report (August 1964).
10. A. Bohm, Lectures on "Rigged Hilbert Space", Vol. II, Proceedings of the Theoretical Physics Institute, University of Colorado, Summer 1966. See also Trieste preprint IC/64/9.
11. R. Dashen and M. Gell-Mann, Phys. Lett. 17, 142 (1965).
12. J. Dixmier, Bull. Soc. Math. France 89, 9 (1961).
13. H.D. Doebner and O. Meluheimer, Trieste preprint IC/66/97.
14. V. Fock, Z. Physik 98, 145 (1935).
15. J.M. Gelfand and N. Vilenkin, "Generalized Functions", Vol. 4 (English translation by A. Feinstein, Academic Press, 1964).
16. D.E. Greenberg, University of Chicago preprint (1966).
17. A. Grossman, J. Math. Phys. 5, 1025 (1964); 5, 54 (1965).
18. E. Inönü and E.P. Wigner, Proc. N.A.S. 50 (1953), 510; 50 (1954), 119.
19. H.J. Lipkin, "Lie groups for pedestrians", (Amsterdam).
20. N. Mukunda, L. O'Raifeartaigh and E.C.G. Sudarshan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19, 1322 (1965).

21. M.A. Naimark, "Linear Representations of the Lorentz Group",  
(Pergamon Press, 1964.)
22. von Neumann, "Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Mechanics",  
(Princeton University Press (1955)).
23. T.D. Newton, Ann. of Math. 3, 730 (1950).
24. W. Pauli, Z. Physik 36, 336 (1926).
25. P. Budini, Nuovo Cimento A 44, 363 (1966);  
44, 411 (1966).
26. P. Budini and C. Fronsdal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 14, 968 (1965).
27. J.E. Roberts, Comm. Math. Phys. 3, 98 (1966).  
Cambridge University preprint (July 1966).
28. P. Roman, "Advanced Quantum Theory", Chapter I.  
(Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Inc. 1965).
29. M.E. Rose, "Elementary Theory of Angular Momentum",  
(Wiley, 1961, New York).
30. A. Salam, Trieste preprint IC/65/76.
31. A. Salam, R. Delbourgo and J. Strathdee, Proc. Roy. Soc.  
London.
32. E.C.G. Sudarshan, "Coral Gables Conference", Vol. III,  
(W.H. Freeman and Co., 1966).
33. L.H. Thomas, Ann. of Math. 42, 113 (1941).

REFERENCES - SECTION II

1. A.O. Barut, University of Colorado, Preprint U COL-65-8.
2. A.O. Barut, Phys. Rev. 147 (1966), 978.
3. A.O. Barut and S.R. Komy, University of Colorado, Preprint U COL-66-4.
4. H. Boerner, "Representations of Groups", (North Holland Pub. Co., Amsterdam, 1963).
5. S.K. Bose and U. Singh, Delhi University, preprint (1966).
6. I.M. Case, R. Karplus and C.N. Yang, Phys. Rev. 101, 874 (1956).
7. J.O. Dimmock, J. Math. Physics 4, 1307 (1960).
8. M.H. Hamermesh, "Group Theory and its Applications", (Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc., 1962).
9. W. Heisenberg, Z. f. Phys. 77, 1 (1932).
10. J.S. Lemont, "Application of Finite Groups", (Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1959).
11. M.V. Murthy, J. Math. Phys. 7, 853 (1966).
12. S. Okubo, J. Schecter and M. Veda, Annals of Phys. 32, 424 (1965).
13. O'Raifeartaigh, Preprint NYO-3399-27-SU-23.
14. A. Pais, Rev. Mod. Phys. 38, 215 (1966).
15. P. Roman, "Advanced Quantum Theory", Chapter 4. (Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Inc., 1965).
16. P. Runda, J. Math. Physics 6, 1273 (1965).
17. N. Tappiner, Phys. Rev. 140, 477 (1965).
18. P. Jordan and E.P. Wigner, Z. phys. 47, 631 (1928).
19. G.C. Wick, A.S. Wightman and E.P. Wigner, Phys. Rev. 88, 101, (1952).
20. E.P. Wigner in "Group Theoretical Concepts and Methods in Elementary Particle Physics", Gordon & Breach, Science publishers, Inc., New York, 1964.
21. E.P. Wigner, "Group Theory and Its Applications, Chap. 26. Academic Press Inc., New York, 1959).
22. Yoshio Yamaguchi, Phys. Lett. 2, 281 (1964).