## Meaning I

## Philosophical Logic

## Lecture 3: Meaning

- **1. Meaning** What is meaning? A foundational theory of meaning: In virtue of what does a particular sentence have the meaning or meanings it has?
- **2.** Speakers and sentences We can distinguish between what *linguistic expressions* (sentences, phrases, words) mean, and what *speakers* mean by uttering linguistic expressions. ('Sentence meaning' vs 'Speaker meaning')
- **3. Explanatory priority** What is the relation between sentence meaning and speaker meaning? Some say: sentence meaning explains speaker meaning. Others: speaker meaning explains sentence meaning. Alternatives?
- **4.** Natural and non-natural meaning Grice distinguishes between 'natural' and 'nonnatural' meaning, and offers 5 criteria to distinguish them. (Can we also conceive the distinction as being between non-communicative and communicative meaning?)
- 5. Accounting for non-natural meaning Two steps: (i) we can understand speaker meaning in terms of speaker intention; (ii) we can understand sentence meaning in terms of speaker meaning. (Some call this kind of analysis 'mentalism' about meaning.)

Step (i):

- 1. Theresa intends her utterance of "There will be a third runway." to induce in Boris the belief that there will be a third runway.
- 2. Theresa intends Boris to recognise the intention behind her utterance of "There will be a third runway."
- 3. Boris' recognition of Theresa's intention plays a part in the explanation of why Boris forms the belief that there will be a third runway.
- 6. Problems for this theory of meaning Searle's counterexample: "Kennst du das Land, wo die Zitronen blühen?". Searle's solution: distinguish perlocutionary and illocutionary effects, and require sensitivity to rules or convention. (J. Searle, "What is a Speech-Act?" in Max Black (ed.), Philosophy in America, London: Allen and Unwin, 1965, pp. 221—239.)