

Grade point	DFD (15pts)	Pseudocode (15pts)	Tests (15pts)	Docs (15pts)	Style (5pts)	Git (10pts)	Workflow (50pts)
4	DFD is clear, concise, with correct symbols and labelled dataflow	Pseudo code is commented, well-organised, and notes where processes are sourced from where relevant	Most processes have some kind of test, some have multiple tests cases	Most processes are documented and hosted on the web. Processes are commented with useful information.	Code follows a language-appropriate style. Functions and variables are well-named	Commits are made regularly with clear messages	Workflow mostly accomplishes the desired goal using an appropriate language. It follows logically from the plan and diversions are explained. A result is presented.
3	DFD has correct symbols and dataflow	Pseudo code is commented and well-organised	Roughly half the processes are tested	Some processes are documented and hosted on the web. Some processes are commented.	Some code is styled appropriately, names are mostly sensible	Commits are infrequent. Messages are brief.	Workflow is written in an appropriate language but does not function as intended. It follows logically from the planned work.
2	DFD follows the basic rules of a DAG	Pseudo code is partially commented and readable	One or two processes have a test	Some processes are documented and commented.	A linter was applied	Very few commits	Workflow does not function and does not follow the plan
1	No DFD	Pseudo code is unreadable	No tests	No documentation	Code is not styled	One or no commits	Workflow only partially complete